

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

THOMAS PEPINO, as Administrator for the Estate  
of HENRY PEPINO and THOMAS PEPINO, as  
Administrator for the Estate of MILLICENT  
PEPINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
: Index No. 120390-01

:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120390/01, 123935/01


**HENRY A. PEPINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120390/01  
123935701


HENRY A. PEPINO (Deceased)


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/10, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY A. PEPINO

Index No. 120390/01  
123935/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY A. PEPINO

Index No. 120390/01  
123935/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
JUL 25 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY A. PEPINO

Index No. 120390/01  
123935/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 120390/01

This Document Relates to:

JAMES J. COUGHLIN

against

A. O. SMTH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

7/28/11

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MATTHEW MacINTYRE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
James J. Coughlin  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

AUG 05 2011

FILED  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



BV-ASPIRE-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES J. COUGHLIN,

Index No.: 120390-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES J. COUGHLIN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 1203901/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**


536.09772/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
VINCENT CANNIZZARO, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 123909/01
	:	120390/01
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
STEPHEN F. EALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
123909/01  
120390/01

VINCENT CANNIZZARO (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2011



FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JERRY DIAMOND

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120391/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS and  
SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for the Estate  
of FRANK SAITTA

Index No. 120392/01  
104066/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE AND ELISE C. BLAKE,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 120392701  
120392/01

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/1, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Daniel C. Blake  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

2571-0564D

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS and  
SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for the Estate  
of FRANK SAITTA

Index No. 120392/01  
104066/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 25 2011 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS  
and SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for  
the Estate of FRANK SAITTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:  
:  
:  
NYCAL  
:  
I.A.S. Part 30  
:  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
:  
:  
Index No. 120392-01

:  
:  
:  
**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS and  
SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for the Estate  
of FRANK SAITTA

Index No. 120392/01  
104066/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120392/01

DANIEL C. BLAKE AND ELISE C. BLAKE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/17, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Daniel C. Blake  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3583D

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0042173-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Daniel C. Blake**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 120392/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 120392-01

DANIEL C. BLAKE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et  
al.,


Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/20/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE AND ELISE C. BLAKE,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 120392/01  
120392/01

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/1, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Daniel C. Blake  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

2571-0564D

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120392/01  
~~104066/02~~


FRANK J. SAITTA (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/10, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120392/0; 104066/02



FRANK J. SAITTA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL BLAKE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120392/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104070/02, 120392/01

WILLIAM R. PROCTOR

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

FILED

AUG 15 2011

<p><i>Matthew T. M... Ortiz</i> Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz &amp; Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003</p>	<p><i>Helen Antoniou McGowan</i> Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney &amp; Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005</p>
---	---

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT NOBLE

Index No. 103681/02  
120393/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Teitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011 JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT NOBLE

Index No. 103681/02  
120393/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 13 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT NOBLE

Index No. 108681/02  
120393/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120394/01  
105789/02

JOHN B. Mc DONALD

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/8, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANIS  
NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Neitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120394/01 105789/02


**JOHN B. MC DONALD**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**


536.10567/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.:
JOHN B. McDONALD,	:	120394/01
	:	105789/02
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,  
  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES BRENNAN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120394/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN

Index No. 120395/01  
109539/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN

Index No. 120395/01  
109539/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE TRINIDAD

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES I. CARPENTER and NANCY ELLEN  
CARPENTER

Index No. 120395/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: <sup>6/24</sup> \_\_\_\_\_ 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120395/01


CHARLES I. CARPENTER


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/3, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN

Index No. 120395/01  
109539/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

111 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHARLES I. CARPENTER and NANCY  
ELLEN CARPENTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No(s): 120395-01

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120395/01



**CHARLES I. CARPENTER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN,	:	NYCAL
	:	I.A.S. Part 30
	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	Index No. 120395-01
-against-	:	
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	<b>NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY</b>
	:	<b>JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	

-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/15/11  
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meisowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120395/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

PERRY, DONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/18, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES I. CARPENTER and NANCY ELLEN  
CARPENTER

Index No. 120395/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:   7/23  , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES I. CARPENTER and NANCY ELLEN  
CARPENTER

Index No. 120395/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES F. SMITH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
100 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE TRINIDAD

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
109574/02  
120395/01

DONALD PERRY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/15, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109543/02  
120395/01

Thomas McCann


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/22/11

  
7/7/2011  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meitowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05331-00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES F. SMITH**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS MCCANN AND KATHERINE  
MCCANN,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 120395/01  
109543/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/6, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Samuel Meiravitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Thomas McCann and Katherine McCann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24945J

{N0042173-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120395/01  
109543/02

THOMAS MCCANN AND KATHERINE  
MCCANN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meitowitz 7/8/2011  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas McCann and Katherine McCann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-08961

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**


536.10338/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
THOMAS McCANN, : Index No.: 109543/02  
: 120395/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. *Samuel M. Brown*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

536.10338/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.:
THOMAS McCANN,	:	109543/02
	:	120395/01
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

 7/8/2011  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. Samuel Meirowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07927

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 13 2011  
JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD T. GOOD

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120396/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO and DOROTHY  
PELLEGRINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No. 120396-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. HICKEY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120396/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD T. GOOD

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120396/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. HICKEY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120396/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
CHARLES PELLEGRINO

Index No. 120396/01  
103336/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

JUL 13 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
103330702  
120396/01


ALEX SPARAGO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/3, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
JAMES EDWARDS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103336/02; 120396/01

**CHARLES PELLEGRINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

536.10564/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


---

This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 103330/02  
ALEX SPARAGO, : (20396/01)  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO

Index No. 120396/01  
103336/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 01/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 120396/01

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO AND DOROTHY  
PELLEGRINO

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**DATED:** New York, New York

7/27/11

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Charles Pellegrino and Dorothy Pellegrino  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
103336/02  
120396/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GARY STEVEN HITZIG, as Personal  
Representative for the estate of SOL. L. HITZIG  
and FLORENCE HITZIG, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 120397-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120397/01  
105078/01


SOL L. HITZIG (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120397/01, 105078/02

**SOL L. HITZIG**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sheryle S. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

536.10566/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
SOL L. HITZIG, Deceased,	:	Index No.: <u>120397/01</u>
	:	105078/02
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11/11

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

East Hanover, New Jersey  
*Suzanne Ratcheffe*  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
*Stephen F. Balsamo*  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

HOWARD CORR, SR.,

Index No.: 120398-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,  
et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Keith M. O'Connor*  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant

Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*

Honorable Sherry K. Neitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD CORR

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120398/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120398/01

ROCCO F. AMATO AND JOSEPHINE AMATO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael F. Fowell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Rocco F. Amato  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24946A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120398/01

ROCCO F. AMATO AND JOSEPHINE AMATO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Kerry Ann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Rocco F. Amato  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3576A

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD CORR

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120398/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CORR, ADMINISTRATRIX AND  
INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE ESTATE OF  
HOWARD CORR,

Index No.: 116795/07  
104831/02, 120398/01

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Fawcett,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Howard Corr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-6501

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CORR, ADMINISTRATRIX AND  
INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE ESTATE OF  
HOWARD CORR,

Index No.: 116795/07  
104831/02, 120398/01

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**POSITION**  
**FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Howard Corr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-6997

{N0042173-1}

JUL 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY

Index No. 120399/01  
104537/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY

Index No. 120399/01  
T04537/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY

Index No: 120399/01  
104537/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK


Index No. 104515/02  
120399/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 120399/01, 104537/02


**JOHN C. O'MALLEY**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/2, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No  
120399/01  
104537/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
218, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
104515/02  
120399/01

WILLIAM J. CAPEK (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104515/02; 120399/01



-----  
**WILLIAM J. CAPEK**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
JUL 25 2011  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120429/01, 100622/02


-----  
**CHARLES M. DALY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 120429/01, 100618/02



**NICHOLAS FERRANDINO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**


536.14457/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 120430/01  
RICHARD VINESKI, Deceased, : 100293/02  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120430/01  
100170/02

Michael J. Amato

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 120430/01:100157/02



**JOSEPH D. FESTA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120433/01, 124181/01



**EROTIDO LARRINGA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Vincent Costa**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 120462/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.




Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0679  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RUDOLPH BIBOW

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120471/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK

Index No. 104515/02  
120399/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK

Index No. 104515/02  
120399/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO

Index No. 120429/01  
100618/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO

Index No. 120429/01  
100618/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LISA A. ZUYUS as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,

Index No. 100622/02  
120429/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 120429-01


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LISA A. ZUYUS as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,

Index No. 100622/02  
120429/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LISA A. ZUYUS as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,

Index No. 100622/02


120429/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES M. DALY (Deceased)

Index No  
120429/01  
100622/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/2, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD J. BARTOLOMUCCI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL J. AMATO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
20429/01  
100618/02

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2011



FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD J. BARTOLOMUCCI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**MICHAEL J. AMATO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RICHARD BARTOLOMUCCI and MARY  
BARTOLOMUCCI,

Index No.: 120430-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120430/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Richard J. Bartolomucci**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 120430/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 120430/01, 100293/02


**RICHARD VINESKI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PAT IACOBELLI AND LENA IACOBELLI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 123576-01

120431-01


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  6     30  , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
123593/01  
~~120431/01~~

EDWARD W. SWANSON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/30, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

536.09774/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
EDWARD W. SWANSON,	:	Index No.: 123593/01
	:	120431/01
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

**FILED**


DATED:


08/01/11

AUG 05 2011


East Hanover, New Jersey

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 123593/01, 120431/01


-----  
**EDWARD W. SWANSON**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

MARGARET VOSBURGH, as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WALTER S. VOSBURGH and  
MARGARET VOSBURGH, Individually,

Index No.: 120432-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK C. PERRY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 13 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES R. FLEMING**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK C. PERRY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES R. FLEMING**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EROTIDO LARRINAGA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120433/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**'JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE T. BIRNE and ANETTE BIRNE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No. 120433-01

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6000  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Erotido Larrinaga**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 120433/01


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EROTIDO LARRINAGA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120433/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 124198/01; 120433/01



**GEORGE T. BIRNE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE T. BIRNE


Index No. 124198/01  
120433/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE T. BIRNE

Index No. 124198/01  
120433/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
124198/01  
120433/01


GEORGE T. BIRNE (Deceased)

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

 2011

FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE T. BIRNE

Index No. 124198/01  
~~120433/01~~

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**AETNA**

This Document relates to:

VINCENT COSTA and LUCY COSTA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 111231/01  
120462/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

June 24 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joe Vazquez, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Vincent Costa and Lucy Costa  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-20187

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 111231/01, 120462/01


VINCENT COSTA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RUDOLPH BIBOW

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120471/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



---


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 25 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Bertrand E. Lindsey**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 120575/01


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

BERTRAND LINDSEY,

Index No.: 120575/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.120575/01

-----x  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

BERTRAND E. LINDSAY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
June 27, 2011

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: 

Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

BEATRICE SCHIAVO, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of JAMES SCHIAVO, and BEATRICE  
SCHIAVO, Individually,

Index No.: 120582-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/28/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Keith O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08735  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Michael A. Ruzzi**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 120583/01


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLANTRAE & FORD P.C.  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111223/01; 120770/01


-----  
**THOMAS SHEEHAN**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 28 2011


536.07708/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
THOMAS SHEEHAN,	:	Index No.: 111223/01
	:	120770/01
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AUG 05 2011 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

BOIANO, ANTHONY (Deceased)

111223/01


120946/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

*6/25 2011*  


FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106021/02, 121004/01



-----  
**FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE MASTROMANO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE  
MASTROMANO, Individually

Index No. 106035/02

121004/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE MASTROMANO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE  
MASTROMANO, Individually

Index No. 106035/02  
121004/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE MASTROMANO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE  
MASTROMANO, Individually

Index No. 106035/02  
121004/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN B. TOWELL as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL and JEAN B. TOWELL,  
Individually,

Index No. 106021/02  
121004/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN B. TOWELL as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL and JEAN B. TOWELL,  
Individually,

Index No. 106021/02

121004/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 26 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN B. TOWELL as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL and JEAN B. TOWELL,  
Individually,

Index No. 106021/02


121004/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121004/01  
106035/02


ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York

2/3, 2011  


FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121004/01; 106035/02


**ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
 27 , 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JEAN B. TOWELL, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL, and JEAN  
B. TOWELL, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 121004-01  
:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:  
:

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROSE MASTROMANO, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and  
ROSE MASTROMANO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 121004-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually

Index No. 108535/02


121099/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually


Index No. 108535/02  
121099/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually

Index No. 108535/02  
121099/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

25 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121099/01, 108535/02


-----  
**JOHN J. OWENS**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

||| 25 2011


536.10569/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
JOHN J. OWENS, Deceased,	:	Index No.: <u>121099/01</u>
	:	108535/02
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs.	:	Group)
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. OWENS (Deceased)


Index No  
121099/01  
108535/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
21<sup>st</sup>, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

KATHLEEN OWENS, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN OWENS, AND  
KATHLEEN OWENS, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


INDEX NO. 121099-01  
108535-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
  e     20  , 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**  
JUL 25 2011  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Natale V. Grillo and Frances Grillo,

Index No.: 121118/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
6/20, 2011

  
~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Natale V. Grillo and Frances  
Grillo  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Phan Alvarado*  
  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-1096

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111224/01, 121118/01


**NATALE V. GRILLO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Natale V. Grillo**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 121118/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAIN & FORD, P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NATALE V. GRILLO and FRANCIS GRILLO,

Index No.: 121118-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/28/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08716  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

SIDNEY SMOLLER and HARRIET  
SMOLLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 121132-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 121132/01; 111224/01


**SIDNEY SMOLLER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SIDNEY SMOLLER and HARRIET SMOLLER

Index No. 121132/01  
111224/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:       6/22      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SIDNEY SMOLLER and HARRIET SMOLLER

Index No. 121132/01  
111224/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SIDNEY SMOLLER and HARRIET SMOLLER

Index No. 121132/01  
111224/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Edward F. Martens**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 121426/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD F. MARTENS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121426/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Albert Cotento**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 121539/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 121539-01

ALBERT CONTENTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/28/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011


536.07699/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 121547/01  
WILLIAM H. WHARRY, JR., : III1228/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
  
STEPHEN F. BALSANO, ESQ.  
NEW YORK OFFICE  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121547/01; 111228/01



-----  
**WILLIAM H. WHARRY JR.**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM WHARRY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 121547-01  
:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EDWARD J. BANG, as Executor for the Estate of  
FREDERICK E. BANG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
: Index No. 121732-01


:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
**AUG 15 2011**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

REC'D JUL 11 2011

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121732/01

FREDERICK E. BANG (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/3, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

EDWARD J. BANG, as Executor for the Estate of : Index No. 121732/01  
FREDERICK E. BANG, :  
:

Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
-against- : **MOTION AND ORDER**  
:

A. C. & S., INC., et al. : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
Defendants. : IAS Part 30  
:

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/19/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

By:   
Golriz Shoai-Naim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**AUG 05 2011**

536.10168/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 121732/01  
FREDERICK E. BANG, Deceased, :  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FREDERICK BANG

INDEX NO.

121732/01

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 25, 2011*  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

EDWARD J. BANG AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FREDERICK E. BANG,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 121732-01


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 20, 2011


  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN FIELD (Deceased)

Index No.  
121805/01  
100769/03  
122295/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

210 2011  


FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121805/01


**JOHN FIELD**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT V. WELISCHAR and LINDA WELISCHAR

Index No. 111236/01  
121823/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT V. WELISCHAR and LINDA WELISCHAR

Index No. 111236/01  
121823/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT V. WELISCHAR and LINDA WELISCHAR

Index No. 111236/01  
121823/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:       8/27      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
111236/01  
121823/01

ALBERT V. WELISCHAR (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/5, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 5 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LINDA WELISCHAR, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of ALBERT V. WELISCHAR, and LINDA  
WELISCHAR, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.


: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 121823-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&I GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

BUTLER, CARLTON C. (Deceased)


Index No  
111236/01  
121862/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

536.10337/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
CHARLES F. RAMA,	:	Index No.: 102737/02
	:	122138/01
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

AUG 15 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
EUGENE D. STEINHAUER et al.,

x  
Index No. 102733-2002  
~~122138-2001~~

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x  
WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 27, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102769/02; 122138/01



**JOHN E. BEHAN**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
        21  , 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102733/02  
122138/01

Eugene D. Steinhauer


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
102737703  
122138/01


CHARLES F. RAMA


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN E. BEHAN (Deceased)

Index No  
102769/02  
122138/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/13, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
EUGENE D. STEINHAUER et al.,

x  
Index No. 102733-2002  
122138-2001

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x  
WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 27, 2011

  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
L.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 102733/02, 122138/01

**EUGENE D. STEINHAUER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122138/01, 102733/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/14, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

1122-4254 AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0069730-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122138/01  
102733/02

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011

  
Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Muehlen  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
2571-0894AM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 122138/01  
102733/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Kerry Ann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
454-3587AM

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122138/01, 102733/02

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3777

{N0069615-1}

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122138/01  
102733/02

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/9, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-24974AM

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0042173-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 122138/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

STEINHAUER, EUGENE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/21, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: NYCAL  
CHARLES F. RAMA, : I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff(s), :  
-against- : Index No. 122138-01  
: :  
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE  
BEHAN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 122138-01  
:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10023  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO AND MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108136/02  
122139/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-15, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Northcott Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Intrabartolo and Mary Intrabartolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24941W

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO AND MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Index No.: 108136/02  
122139/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-20, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
David M. Northwick, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Intrabartolo and Mary Intrabartolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-3586W

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO AND MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108136/02  
122139/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7-19, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. North Graft, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Intrabartolo and Mary Intrabartolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1122-9280 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108157/02  
122139/01

Kenneth H. Heller

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10011  
Our File No. 053350000

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011


536.10335/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
WILLIAM J. DOWD,	:	Index No.: 108146/02
	:	122139/01
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
Defendants.	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 5, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-8785

{N0072358-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 16, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 13 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24941S

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. DOWD

Index No  
108146/02  
122139/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/8, 2011


**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

July 5, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

454-3586S

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

June 26, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-9283

AUG 20 2011


536.16252/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 122295/01
STEPHEN D. HALL,	:	100784/03
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, NEW YORK  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN D. HALL

Index No  
122295/01  
100784/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/13, 2011


  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

STEPHEN D. HALL AND JOAN C. HALL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


INDEX NO. 122295-01  
100784-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6 30, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN FIELD (Deceased)


Index No  
121805/01  
100769/03  
122295/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

 2011

FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 10 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122295/01



**STEPHEN D. HALL**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILLARD D. SHERLOCK

Index No. 122391/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILLARD D. SHERLOCK

Index No. 122391/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

536.07700/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

---

This document relates to: :  
:  
LOUIS MONTELEONE, : Index No.: 111226/01  
: 122427/01  
Plaintiff, :  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
vs. : Group)  
:  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS MONTELEONE and IRENE MONTELEONE

Index No. 122427/01  
111226/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

||| 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS MONTELEONE and IRENE MONTELEONE

Index No. 122427/01  
111226/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS MONTELEONE and IRENE MONTELEONE

Index No. 122427/01  
111226/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-122427

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
LOUIS MONTELEONE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
July 24, 2011

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
By:   
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NIXON PEABODY LLP NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 111226/01, 122530/01


**PETER DIMARTINO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

LOUIS MONTELEONE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No  
111226/01  
122530/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/3, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

536.07700/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: :  
PETER DI MARTINO, : Index No.: 111226/01  
: ~~122530/01~~  
: :  
Plaintiff, : :  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
vs. : Group)  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PETER DIMARTINO and PHYLLIS  
DIMARTINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No. 122530-01


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111226/01, 122540/01


JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:


JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON

Index No  
111226/01  
122540/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
 , 2011

FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON and MARY KENNINGTON

Index No. 122540/01  
111226/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON and MARY KENNINGTON

Index No. 122540/01  
111226/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:       6/22      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON and MARY KENNINGTON

Index No. 122540/01  
111226/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON and MARY  
KENNINGTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

:  
:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 122540-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111226/01; 122547/01



**JAMES JOSEPH FAULKNER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GLORIA BALESTRA, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
NICHOLAS J. BALESTRA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 122704/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 5, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Nicholas J. Balestra  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24867

{N0072355-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GLORIA BALESTRA, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
NICHOLAS J. BALESTRA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 122704/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 26, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Nicholas J. Balestra  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-19875

{N0072358-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS BALESTRA

INDEX NO.

122704/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 25, 2011*  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122704/01

Nicholas J. Balestra


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122792/01

Thomas Pascoe


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/26/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew Park, Esq.*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122792/01

CRAIG PASCOE, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
THOMAS PASCOE, AND RITA PASCOE,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/1, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Thomas Pascoe  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-15806

{N0072236-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CRAIG PASCOE, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
THOMAS PASCOE, AND RITA PASCOE,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122792/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Keryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Thomas Pascoe  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

454-3997

{N0072382-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CRAIG PASCOE, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
THOMAS PASCOE, AND RITA PASCOE,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 122792/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Estate of Thomas Pascoe  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15195

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123041/01

Louis D. Arieno

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/29/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROY A. ALTERMAN, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
LOUIS D. ARIENO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 123041/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 26, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Louis D. Arieno  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-19776

{N0072236-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROY A. ALTERMAN, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
LOUIS D. ARIENO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 123041/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 6, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Louis D. Arieno  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-25064

{N0072355-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN DOHERTY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123065/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED/SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 25 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**John Doherty**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 123065/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN DOHERTY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123065/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Gertrude Kraljic, as Executrix for the Estate of Jerry  
Chierchia, and Joan Chierchia, Individually


Index No. 123078/01  
111230/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JERRY CHIERCHIA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123078/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-123078

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
JERRY CHIERCHIA


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
July 24, 2011

By:   
Joe Varquez, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Jerry Chierchia**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 123078/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123131/01

John A. Camboni


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/29/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.00768

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL PALAZZO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123182/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL PALAZZO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123182/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Michael Palazzo**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 123182/01


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
PASQUALE VUOLO

Index No. 123189/01  
111230/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111230/01, 123189/01


PASQUALE VUOLO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PASQUALE VUOLO

Index No. 123189/01  
TT1230/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/23, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PASQUALE VUOLO

Index No. 123189/01  
TT1230/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:       8/24      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

J11 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PASQUALE VUOLO and CARMELA  
VUOLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 123189-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GREGORY SNEED, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
BRUCE D. SNEED,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


INDEX NO. 123225-01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 , 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123225/01

Bruce D. Sneed


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12/11

  
~~Frank D. Cozzie Esq.~~ Michael Fanelli  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.00560

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123225/01

Bruce D. Sneed


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/18/11

  
~~Frank J. Farrell, Esq.~~ - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal  
Injury Trust as successor to Felt  
Products Manufacturing Company  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 11120.00087

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123226/01

Harold Birge

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/13/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal  
Injury Trust as successor to Felt  
Products Manufacturing Company  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 11120.00056

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123275/01


Richard J. Dietz and Catherine Dietz


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC, f/k/a ROCKBESTOS-SURPRENANT CABLE CORP, s/h/a ROCKBESTOS, INC. (hereinafter RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/18/11

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 07696.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123275/01


Richard J. Dietz and Catherine Dietz


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AT&T CORP.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AT&T CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AT&T CORP.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/22/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
AT&T CORP.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 05175.00207

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123299/01

Floyd C. Richardson

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/25/11

*Matthe T. Mue Satya*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Julie Evans*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FLOYD C. RICHARDSON AND LINDA P.  
RICHARDSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 123299/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/17, 2011

Jennifer A. Fischetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd C. Richardson and Linda P. Richardson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-16362

JUL 25 2011

{N0072236-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FLOYD C. RICHARDSON AND LINDA P.  
RICHARDSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 123299/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd C. Richardson and Linda P. Richardson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3736

{N0072382-1}

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FLOYD C. RICHARDSON AND LINDA P.  
RICHARDSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 123299/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/8, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd C. Richardson and Linda P. Richardson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-15731

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0072358-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123415/01

ALVIN SCHADE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7-20-11  
New York, New York

  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, L.L.C.  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RITA SCHADE, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of ALVIN J. SCHADE

Index No. 123415/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Dore M. Norton of Weitz*

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RITA SCHADE, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of ALVIN J. SCHADE

Index No. 123415/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6.22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Dana M. Norbeck  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RITA SCHADE, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of ALVIN J. SCHADE

Index No. 123415/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123415/01

RITA SCHADE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE  
OF ALVIN J. SCHADE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-15, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dawn M. Weitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Alvin J. Schade  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1235-16081 NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 123415/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALVIN SCHADE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7-15, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Donald Northcraft, Esq.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RITA SCHADE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE  
OF ALVIN J. SCHADE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 123415/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Weitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Alvin J. Schade  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24737

{N0072355-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RITA SCHADE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE  
OF ALVIN J. SCHADE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 123415/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Sara M. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Alvin J. Schade  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-3740

{N0072382-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123415/01

RITA SCHADE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE  
OF ALVIN J. SCHADE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-20, 2011

**FILED**



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Adam Northcott  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Alvin J. Schade  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-16645

{N0072236-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Attorney at Law

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 123415/01

ALVIN SCHADE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 123457/01, 111227/01


-----  
**BERNHARD SCHINDLER**  
-----

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
 21 , 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
123457/01  
111227/01


BERNHARD SCHINDLER

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/0, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNHARD SCHINDLER and ANN SCHINDLER

Index No. 111227/01  
123457/04

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 26 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNHARD SCHINDLER and ANN SCHINDLER

Index No. 111227/01  
123457/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNHARD SCHINDLER and ANN SCHINDLER

Index No. 111227/01  
123457/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNHARD SCHINDLER and ANN SCHINDLER

Index No. 111227/01  
123457/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNHARD SCHINDLER and ANN SCHINDLER

Index No. 111227/01  
123457/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

536.07709/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 123457/01  
BERNHARD SCHINDLER, : III227/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/05/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BERNHARD SCHINDLER and ANN  
SCHINDLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No.: 123457-01  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/17/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&J GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**'JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JORGE RAMOS

Index No. 111227/01  
123460/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED  
JUN 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JORGE RAMOS

Index No. 111227/01  
123460/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JORGE RAMOS

Index No. 111227/04  
123460/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111227/01, 123460/01



**JORGE RAMOS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

JORGE RAMOS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
111227/01  
123460/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/30, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JORGE RAMOS

INDEX NO.

111227/01 and 123460/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 28, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

**FILED**  
AUG 13 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W/ BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JORGE RAMOS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No. 123460-01


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-603  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PAT IACOBELLI AND LENA IACOBELLI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 123576-01  
120431-01


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4 30, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x  
PAT IACOBELLI and LENA IACOBELLI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

Index No.: 123576-2001

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

**MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (incorrectly sued as "PACCAR, INC., Individually and through its division PETERBILT MOTORS CO.") (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party

Dated: New York, New York

*July 20 2011*  
*Suzanne Ratcliffe*  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*JB*  
Jennifer Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.123576/01

-----x  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

PAT IACOBELLI and LENA IACOBELLI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
June 27, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 123593/01; 120431/01



EDWARD W. SWANSON

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
123593/01  
120431/01

EDWARD W. SWANSON


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/8, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sheryn Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

536.09774/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to :  
: Index No.: 123593/01  
EDWARD W. SWANSON, : 120431/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
: vs. :  
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**  
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**  
: **AND ORDER**  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:


08/01/11

AUG 13 2011

East Hanover, New Jersey


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

JAMES J. COUGHLIN,

Index No.: 123906-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.123906/01

-----x  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

JAMES J. COUGHLIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
June 27, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & COUNAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
James J. Coughlin,

Index No.: 123906/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/15, 2011

AUG 15 2011

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
James J. Coughlin  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*  
JUSTIN M. TAFE  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-6423

So Ordered: *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
123909/01  
120390/01

VINCENT CANNIZZARO (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

2011  


FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**


536.09772/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 123909/01  
VINCENT CANNIZZARO, Deceased, : 120390/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, NEW YORK  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120390/01; 123935/01



**HENRY A. PEPINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY A. PEPINO

Index No. 120390/01

123935/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY A. PEPINO

Index No. 120390/01  
123935/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
JUL 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY A. PEPINO

Index No. 120390/01


123935/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 18 2011

JUL 25 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120390/01  
123935/01

HENRY A. PEPINO (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/30, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 13 2011

  
JAMES EDWARDS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARION SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WILLIAM J. SMITH, AND  
LUELLA I. SMITH, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 124120/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Kerlyann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of William J. Smith  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3673

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0072382-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MARION SMITH for the Estate of WILLIAM J. SMITH,  
and MARION SMITH, individually,

Index No.: 124120/01

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

ACandS, Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/18, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003-9536  
212.558.5500


**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
**McCarter & English, LLP**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120433/01; 124181/01



**EROTIDO LARRINGA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE T. BIRNE

Index No. 124198/01  
120433/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 124198/01; 120433/01


**GEORGE T. BIRNE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE T. BIRNE (Deceased)

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
124198/01  
120433/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
11 3 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE T. BIRNE

Index No. 124198/01  
120433/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE T. BIRNE

Index No. 124198/01  
120433/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.124446/01

-----x  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WILLIAM W. CAHLSTADT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
June 27, 2011

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: 

Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
JUL 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120389/01, 124446/01



**WILLIAM W. CAHLSTADT**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/29, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120430/01-100157/02



**JOSEPH D. FESTA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100157/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH D. FESTA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100157/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Joseph D. Festa**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 100157/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120430/01  
100170/02

Michael J. Amato


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120430/01; 100293/02



**RICHARD VINESKI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

536.14457/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 120430/01  
RICHARD VINESKI, Deceased, : 100293/02  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
Defendants. :

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. **FILED**

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

AUG 15 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100461/02

CHARLES CARUSO AND LUCILLE CARUSO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4373

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100461/02

CHARLES CARUSO AND LUCILLE CARUSO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

1235-10327

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100461/02

CHARLES CARUSO AND LUCILLE CARUSO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 10 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
1122-10833

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHARLES CARUSO AND LUCILLE  
CARUSO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 100461-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100461/02

CHARLES CARUSO AND LUCILLE CARUSO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/2, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

2383-25074

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0072355-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

CHARLES CARUSO and LUCILLE CARUSO,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

---

Index No.: 100461/2002

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

  
Mathew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Talene N. Megejian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS  
ENGINE COMPANY, INC.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100461/02

Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Company** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/19/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 111200061

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AUG 05 2011 NEW YORK


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: :  
LISA A. ZUYUS, as Personal Representative for : NYCAL  
the Estate of CHARLES M. DALY, : I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff(s), :  
: Index No. 100622-02  
-against- :  
: :  
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
Defendants. :  
: :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120429/01: 100618/02


**NICHOLAS FERRANDINO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/2, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
120429/01  
100618/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
21<sup>st</sup> 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO

Index No. 120429/01  
100618/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO

Index No. 120429/01

100618/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS FERRANDINO

Index No. 120429/01  
100618/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ANNE DIMATTEI, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of NICHOLAS FERRANDINO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 100618-02  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100821/02

JAMES K. KLEIN, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JAMES C. KLEIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-20, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James C. Klein  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-18409

{N0072236-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 120429/01, 100622/02


-----  
**CHARLES M. DALY**  
-----


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 13 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

CHARLES M. DALY (Deceased)

120429701


100622/02


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/2, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LISA A. ZUYUS as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,

Index No. 100622/02  
120429/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LISA A. ZUYUS as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,

Index No. 100622/02  
120429/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LISA A. ZUYUS as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,

Index No. 100622/02  
120429/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Meyer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET FULTON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN H. RANSON, AND  
MARGARET FULTON, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100870/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/1, 2011



Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John H. Ranson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-4629

**JUL 25 2011**

{N0072382-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET FULTON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN H. RANSON, AND  
MARGARET FULTON, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100870/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Maletzke  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John H. Ranson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-19900

{N0072236-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 100873-02

VINCENT J. DADO (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 07.14.11  
New York, New York

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

  
**SO ORDERED**  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JERRY DADO, as Administrator for the Estate of		: Index No. 100873/02
VINCENT J. DADO,		:
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A. C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/27/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Matthew Park, Esq.

By:   
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JERRY DADO AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR OF  
THE ESTATE OF VINCENT J. DADO,

Index No.: 100873/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Park  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Vincent J. Dado  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25050

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100873/02

JERRY DADO AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR OF  
THE ESTATE OF VINCENT J. DADO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Vincent J. Dado  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-4413

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0072382-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100873/02

JERRY DADO AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR OF  
THE ESTATE OF VINCENT J. DADO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/8, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Vincent J. Dado  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

1122-18604 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100873/02

JERRY DADO AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR OF  
THE ESTATE OF VINCENT J. DADO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Vincent J. Dado  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-18062

{N0072358-1}

- 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100873/02

Vincent J. Dado


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/21/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Frank C. Perry**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 101008/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

**FILED**


AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C. <sup>NEW YORK</sup>

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101070/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MARGARET VOSBURGH, as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WALTER S. VOSBURGH and  
MARGARET VOSBURGH, Individually,

Index No.: 101070-02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/28/11

New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*Keith M. O'Connor*

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101070/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Walter Vosburgh**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 101070/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK C. PERRY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101088/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

FRANK PERRY and EMILIE PERRY,

Index No.: 101088-02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/28/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08716  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK C. PERRY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101088/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RICHARD BARTOLOMUCCI and MARY  
BARTOLOMUCCI,

Index No.: 100100-02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: 7/20/11

AUG 15 2011

New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES R. FLEMING**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101110/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 17 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES R. FLEMING**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101110/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Linda A. Fleming, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of James  
R. Fleming,

Index No.: 101110/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7-29, 2011


AUG 15 2011

  
~~Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.~~  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Linda A. Fleming, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of James R. Fleming  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-3492

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**James R. Fleming**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 101110/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101245/02

PATRICIA BAILEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
KENNETH BAILEY JR. AND JOHN BAILEY  
AS CO-EXECUTORS FOR THE ESTATE OF  
KENNETH F. BAILEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Kenneth F. Bailey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-19468

{N0072236-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101245/02

PATRICIA BAILEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
KENNETH BAILEY JR. AND JOHN BAILEY  
AS CO-EXECUTORS FOR THE ESTATE OF  
KENNETH F. BAILEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/19, 2011




Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Kenneth F. Bailey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-25109

{N0072355-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA A. GILLETTE, AS PROPOSED  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN M.  
ROSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 102168/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011




Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John M. Rosh  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-25216

{N0072355-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA A. GILLETTE, AS PROPOSED  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN M.  
ROSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 102168/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-19, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Weitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John M. Rosh  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19999

{N0072358-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. GILLETE, as Proposed Executrix for the  
Estate of JOHN M. ROSH

Index No. 102168/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: May 26, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-102168

This Document Relates to:

PATRICIA A. GILLETE, AS PROPOSED  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN M.  
ROSH

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK, New York  
**DATED:** 6-28-2011

  
STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
PATRICIA A. GILLETE, as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of JOHN M. ROSH  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102168/02

PATRICIA A. GILLETTE, AS PROPOSED  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN M.  
ROSH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-20, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Dana M. Nofke  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John M. Rosh  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-5869

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Dolores Clemons, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of Morris  
Clemons,

Index No.: 102423/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Dolores Clemons, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Morris Clemons  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin N. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-4291

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Morris Clemons**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 102423/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0078  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL PRINGLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES J.  
PRINGLE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 102509/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

 7/7/2011

Samuel Meirovitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James J. Pringle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-1416

{N0072358-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL PRINGLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES J.  
PRINGLE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 102509/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

 7/7/2011  
Samuel Meirowitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James J. Pringle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-4835

{N0072382-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102509/02

James J. Pringle


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/21/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz 7/2/2011  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA M. WILLIS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
HENRY H. WILLIS, and BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Index No. 118528-02  
106695/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
L.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102733/02, 122138/01

-----  
**EUGENE D. STEINHAUER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
EUGENE D. STEINHAUER et al.,

x  
Index No. 102733-2002  
122138-2001

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 27, 2011

  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102733/02

**EUGENE STEINHAUER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011

Matthe T. M... Jacobs

Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen

Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Lipe Automation Corporation  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102733/02  
122138/01


Eugene D. Steinhauer

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/25/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122138/01  
102733/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/9, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-24974AM

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122138/01, 102733/02

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-3777

{N0069615-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
J.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122138/01  
102733/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
2571-0894AM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122138/01, 102733/02

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/14, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

1122-4254 AUG 15 2011

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0069730-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER AND KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122138/01  
102733/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Mucitza  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
454-3587AM

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
EUGENE D. STEINHAUER et al.,

Index No. 102733-2002  
122138-2001

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

x

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 27, 2011

  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
102737/02  
122138/01

CHARLES F. RAMA


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2011



FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

536.10337/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

---


This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 102737/02  
CHARLES F. RAMA, : 122138/01  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

---

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES RAMA

INDEX NO.

102737/02

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 25, 2011*  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CHARLES F. RAMA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 102737-02  
:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually

Index No. 102769/02  
102769/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/27 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102769/02, 122138/01


**JOHN E. BEHAN**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims of defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York  
2/17, 2011

  
P.C.

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
v Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually

Index No. 102769/02  
102769/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually

Index No. 102769/02  
102769/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually


Index No. 102769/02  
102769/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: <sup>6/27</sup> 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
102769/02  
122138/01

JOHN E. BEHAN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually

Index No. 102769/02  
102769/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually

Index No. 102769/02  
102769/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE  
BEHAN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 102769-02  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOHN J. O'BRIEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 102837-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and ~~cross claims against~~ defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_



111 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102946/02


James J. Carr

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/29/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335-0000

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA I. SINGLEY, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES J. CARR AND IRENE  
A. CARR, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 102946/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James J. Carr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-18190

{N0072236-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA I. SINGLEY, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES J. CARR AND IRENE  
A. CARR, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 102946/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE RATCHETTE, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James J. Carr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

2383-25240

{N0072355-1}

**JUL 25 2011**


536.10564/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 103330/02  
ALEX SPARAGO, : 120396/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**  
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**  
Defendants. : **AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
103330/02  
120396/01

ALEX SPARAGO


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
20, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
ALBANY, NEW YORK  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO

Index No. 120396/01  
103336/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO

Index No. 120396/01  
103336/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO


Index No  
103336/02  
T20396/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 103336/02, 120396/01

**CHARLES PELLEGRINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Raymond J. Hickey and Tana Hickey,

Index No.: 103352/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
July 1, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Raymond J. Hickey and Tana  
Hickey  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-6397

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 25 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

RALPH VINCENT and LINDA VINCENT,

Index No.: 02-103443

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

ABB LUMUS CREST, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/18, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003-9536  
212.558.5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
**McCarter & English, LLP**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X  
ASBURY C. WASHINGTON and Louetta Washington

Index No. 103454-02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PETTIBONE MICHIGAN, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.  
=====X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Pettibone Michigan, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Pettibone Michigan, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Pettibone Michigan, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

July 18, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
John E. Richmond, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

GAINES, GRÜNER, PONZINI &  
NOVICK, LLP


  
Steven H. Gaines, Esq.  
11 Martine Avenue, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
White Plains, New York 10606  
(914) 831-6246  
*Attorneys for Pettibone Michigan, LLC*

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ASBURY C. WASHINGTON AND  
LOUCETTA WASHINGTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC, et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 103454-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

          , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT NOBLE

Index No. 103681/02  
120393/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT NOBLE

Index No. 103681/02  
120393/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT NOBLE

Index No. 103681/02  
120393/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE DE GENNARO, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
AS PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF ANTHONY DE GENNARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 103867/02

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Anthony De Gennaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

2383-25312 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE DE GENNARO, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
AS PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF ANTHONY DE GENNARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 103867/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Anthony De Gennaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

454-5130 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE DE GENNARO, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
AS PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF ANTHONY DE GENNARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 103867/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/9, 2011

*Michele J. Mittleman*

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Matthew T. Wax Satya*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Anthony De Gennaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-21032

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PHILLIP A. RETAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


INDEX NO. 103869-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
        , 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103869/02

Philip A. Retan


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CAROL RETAN for the Estate of PHILLIP RETAN,  
and CAROL RETAN, individually,

Index No.: 103869/02

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

ACandS, Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/18, 2011  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003-9536  
212.558.5500

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
**McCarter & English, LLP**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL RETAN, AS THE ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF PHILLIP. A. RETAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 103869/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/9, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Phillip. A. Retan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-20250

{N0042173-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL RETAN, AS THE ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF PHILLIP. A. RETAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 103869/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Meisler  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Phillip. A. Retan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-5127

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS and  
SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for the Estate  
of FRANK SAITTA

Index No. 120392/01  
104066/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 25 2011 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS and  
SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for the Estate  
of FRANK SAITTA


Index No. 120392/01  
104066/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120392/01  
104066/02

FRANK J. SAITTA (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/10, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120392/01; 104066/02



FRANK J. SAITTA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 25 2011  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ADRIENN SAITTA and FRANCINE DOUKAS and  
SUZANN SAITTA, as Co-Administratrices for the Estate  
of FRANK SAITTA

Index No. 120392/01  
104066/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104070/02-120392/01

-----  
**WILLIAM R. PROCTOR**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

<p><i>Matthew T. Weitz</i> Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz &amp; Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003</p>	<p><i>Helen Antoniou McGowan</i> Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvancy &amp; Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005</p>
--	---

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-104070

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM PROCTOR

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

Aug. 2, 2011

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: Samuel Goldblatt

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 600  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 104106-02

DANIEL C. BLAKE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et  
al.,


Defendants.


-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York


  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIVIAN KETELS, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH  
M. KETELS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 104166/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-15, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
David M. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph M. Ketels  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-5117

{N0042173-1}

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 104166/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

JOSEPH KETELS,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.


---


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7-20, 2011  
New York, New York

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104166/02

VIVIAN KETELS, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH  
M. KETELS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-20, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph M. Ketels  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-18718

{N0072358-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104166/02

VIVIAN KETELS, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH  
M. KETELS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
7 20, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dan M. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph M. Ketels  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

1122-19251

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIVIAN KETELS, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of JOSEPH M. KETELS


Index No. 104166/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 6.22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Dana M. Nordkrantz*  
So

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIVIAN KETELS, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of JOSEPH M. KETELS

Index No. 104166/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6.22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Dana M. Norkrecht, Esq.*

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Feltler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIVIAN KETELS, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of JOSEPH M. KETELS


Index No. 104166/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Dana M. Norther*  
Es

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sheryl Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JERRY DIAMOND

Index No.: ; 02-104308

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD,  
INC.


Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JERRY DIAMOND

Index No.: ; 02-104308

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD,  
INC.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/28/11

New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, [Signature]

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Jerry Diamond**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 104308/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500


LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JERRY DIAMOND AND JOANN DIAMOND,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


INDEX NO. 104308-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK

Index No. 104515/02  
120399/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK

Index No. 104515/02  
120399/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
104515/02  
120399/01

WILLIAM J. CAPEK (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/2, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK

Index No. 104515/02  
120399/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM J. CAPEK and MARGARET A.  
CAPEK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 104515-02  
:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:  
:-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6000  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120399/01: 104537/02


JOHN C. O'MALLEY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY

Index No. 120399/01  
104537/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY


Index No. 120399/01  
104537/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY


Index No. 120399/01  
104537/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY


Index No  
120399/01  
104537/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York  
218, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN C. O'MALLEY and EILEEN  
O'MALLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.


:  
:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 104537-02  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CORR, ADMINISTRATRIX AND  
INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE ESTATE OF  
HOWARD CORR,

Index No.: 116795/07  
104831/02, 120398/01

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Howard Corr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-6997

JUL 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CORR, ADMINISTRATRIX AND  
INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE ESTATE OF  
HOWARD CORR,

Index No.: 116795/07  
104831/02, 120398/01

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Fawcett,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Howard Corr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-6501

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104982/01

Robert B. Gagliardo


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 106507.0015

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

TRAVIS M. YOUNGER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 105054/02

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.


Defendants.


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
7/27/11

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120397/01; 105078/02

**SOL L. HITZIG**



**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

536.10566/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

---

This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 120397/01  
SOL L. HITZIG, Deceased, : 105078/02  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11/11

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

East Hanover, New Jersey

*Suzanne Ratchliff*  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
*Stephen F. Balsamo*  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GARY STEVEN HITZIG, as Personal  
Representative for the estate of SOL. L. HITZIG  
and FLORENCE HITZIG, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No. 105078-02  
:  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GRACE LEWANDOSKI, AS EXECUTRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT F.  
LEWANDOSKI, AND GRACE LEWANDOSKI  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 105166-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  6     30  , 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Gerald D. Hahn**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 105172/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & NEW YORK, N.Y.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER AND CAROL  
PULVERMACHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105177/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE RATCHIFF, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William D. Pulvermacher and Carol Pulvermacher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-26959

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105177/02  
100780/03

William D. Pulvermacher


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York~~ New York

6/29/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105177-02  
100780-03

WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/11  
New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105177/02

WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER AND CAROL  
PULVERMACHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011




Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE RATCHIFF, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William D. Pulvermacher and Carol Pulvermacher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-10256

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105389-02

JAMES W. MCDONALD (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/11  
New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** 

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105389/02


James W. McDonald

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6/29/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHRISTINE A. MCDONALD, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JAMES W. MCDONALD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105389/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011




Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE PATCHIFFE, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James W. McDonald  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-1638

{N0072358-1}

JUL 25 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-105389

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTINE A. MCDONALD, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of JAMES W. MCDONALD,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ

*July 20, 2011*


  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.

40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  


BENJAMIN DANCHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Christine A. McDonald, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of James W.  
McDonald  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**


  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**AUG 05 2011**



BV-STAFF-26

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIAN BROWN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE  
OF LOREN J. BROWN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105590/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Loren J. Brown  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6535

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHESTER CZERMINSKI, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF  
LILLIAN S. CZERMINSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105592/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampan, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Lillian S. Czerminski  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6537

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES R. PELUSO, AS ADMINISTRATOR  
FOR THE ESTATE OF ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR.  
AND JANE M. PELUSO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105625/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Rocco L. Peluso, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6519  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALICE B. GOEBEL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF EDWARD  
C. GOEBEL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105623/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Edward C. Goebel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6491

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105711/02

ROBERT E. KERNS AND THELMA KERNS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Kerns and Thelma Kerns  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6647

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105712/02

RAE M. MANGONA, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
CARL P. MANGONA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Carl P. Mangona  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6648

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Howard H. Haberer,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 112587-02

105713-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
  6     30  , 2011

AUG 13 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105715/02  
110319/02

LUCY WALDRON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WESLIE M. WALDRON AND  
LUCY WALDRON, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Weslie M. Waldron  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-7073AT COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH G. MILLER AND JUDY ANN  
MILLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105715/02  
110305/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth G. Miller and Judy Ann Miller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-7073AF

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105715/02

JAMES O. HUGHES AND EVANGELINE  
HUGHES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James O. Hughes and Evangeline Hughes  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-7073W

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105717/02

JOHN M. YEDINAK AND JEANETTE  
YEDINAK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John M. Yedinak and Jeanette Yedinak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10013  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6719AU NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN LAMBO, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF DAVID LAMOB, AND  
DARLEEN TASSIE, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF MABEL LAMBO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: ~~105718/02~~  
112271/02


**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of David Lamob  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6723R NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEVERLY COVERT, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF DARREL C. COVERT,  
AND BEVERLY COVERT, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 105718/02  
112258/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Darrel C. Covert  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6723H

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**James Brennan**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 105777/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


8/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-105777

This Document Relates to:

BERNADETTE BRENNAN, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JAMES BRENNAN, and BERNADETTE  
BRENNAN, Individually,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ


*July 26, 2011*

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Bernadette Brennan, as Executrix for the  
Estate of James Brennan, and Bernadette  
Brennan, Individually  
7405 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



BV-SCOTCH-12

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES BRENNAN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105777/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES BRENNAN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105777/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1111 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Bernadette Brennan, Individually and  
as Executrix for the Estate of James  
Brennan,

Index No.: 105777/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/15, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bernadette Brennan,  
Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of James Brennan  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-4704

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
120394/01  
105789/02

JOHN B. Mc DONALD

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/8, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011


536.10567/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
JOHN B. McDONALD,	:	Index No.: 120394/01
	:	105789/02
Plaintiff,	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
Defendants.	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,  
  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120394/01; 105789/02


**JOHN B. MC DONALD**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Neitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01

105789/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

Index No. 120394/01  
105789/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:   cd24  , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH LAVIERO AND NANCY LAVIERO,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 105870/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Laviero and Nancy Laviero  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6702T

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105871/02  
108985/02

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER AND DONNA  
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/17, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Michael Wimmer and Donna Wimmer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011

324-6704A COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105871/02  
108982/02

SARAH WEAVER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF DAVID WEAVER, AND SARAH  
WEAVER, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of David Weaver  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6704AS

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELLEN A. COX, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF PHILLIP R. COX, AND ELLEN A.  
COX, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114082/05  
105872/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011




Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Phillip R. Cox  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-8481

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105873/02

CHRISTINE L. MONTEROSSO, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
DAVID G. MONTEROSSO, AND CHRISTINE  
L. MONTEROSSO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/17, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of David G. Monterosso  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
324-6652

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHRISTINE L MONTEROSSO,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PROPOSED  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DAVID  
G. MONTEROSSO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 105873-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 20, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105874/02

FRANK J. COSTELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Frank J. Costello  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6643J NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD J. CONKLIN,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 116037/06  
105876/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard J. Conklin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-8757

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALBERT J. FIOCCO, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. FIOCCO,

Index No.: 105876/02  
109710/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Anthony J. Fiocco  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6706AB

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105876/02  
109689/02

DONALD CARRIERO AND ROSEMARIE  
CARRIERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Carriero and Rosemarie Carriero  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6706G COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Marion Barthold, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of William  
C. Barthold,

Index No.: 105877/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7-5, 2011

  
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Marion Barthold, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of William C. Barthold  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**William C. Barthold**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 105877/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RITA C. HASKINS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD  
HASKINS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105990/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Donald Haskins  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6429 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106021/02, 121004/01



FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heidler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN B. TOWELL as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL and JEAN B. TOWELL,  
Individually,

Index No. 106021/02  
121004/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Feitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN B. TOWELL as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL and JEAN B. TOWELL,  
Individually,

Index No. 106021/02  
121004/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~JUL 25 2011~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN B. TOWELL as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL and JEAN B. TOWELL,  
Individually,

Index No. 106021/02  
121004/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
: JEAN B. TOWELL, as Administratrix for the : NYCAL  
Estate of FRANCIS JOSEPH TOWELL, and JEAN : I.A.S. Part 30  
B. TOWELL, Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
: Plaintiff(s), : Index No. 106021-02  
: -against- :  
: A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
: Defendants. :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 121004/01, 106035/02


**ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121004/01  
106035/02


ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO (Deceased)


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/30, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE MASTROMANO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE  
MASTROMANO, Individually

Index No. 106035/02  
121004/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE MASTROMANO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE  
MASTROMANO, Individually

Index No. 106035/02  
121004/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE MASTROMANO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE  
MASTROMANO, Individually

Index No. 106035/02  
121004/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: ROSE MASTROMANO, as Administratrix for the : NYCAL  
Estate of ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and : I.A.S. Part 30  
ROSE MASTROMANO, Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
: Plaintiff(s), : Index No. 106035-02  
: -against- :  
: A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
: Defendants. :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6000  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106223/02

BEACH TERWILLIGER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF GARY R. TERWILLIGER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Gary R. Terwilliger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 25 2011 NEW YORK

324-6438

X:/FBW44595/legal/MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES R. SKENE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 1062270/02


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

7/27/11

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 05 2011  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106242/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011



---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
106242/02  
115696/00


ZOYHOFSKI, DONALD J. (Deceased)


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106242/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

Index No.: 106242/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
6/29, 2011

AUG 15 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Donald J. Zoyhofski  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DAVID SPITZ, AS PROPOSED  
ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF  
IRVING SPITZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 106266/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/17, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Irving Spitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6427

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
106273/02  
120388/01

GEORGE M. WALSH (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/8, 2011


**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE M. WALSH

Index No. 106273/02  
120388/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff *Michael Farrell,*  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 25 2011 YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE M. WALSH

Index No. 106273/02  
120388/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE M. WALSH

Index No. 106273/02  
120388/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

536.10562/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 106273/02  
GEORGE M. WALSH, Deceased, : 120388/01  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106273/02:120388/01



-----  
**GEORGE M. WALSH**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DANIEL J. WALSH, as Executor for the Estate of  
GEORGE M. WALSH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
: Index No. 106273-02


:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6016  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011'**

THE COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JAMES R. SKENE


Index No  
120388/01  
106277/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120388/01:106277/02

JAMES R. SKENE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES R. SKENE and VIVIAN SKENE

Index No. 120388/01  
106277/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES R. SKENE and VIVIAN SKENE

Index No. 120388/01  
106277/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES R. SKENE and VIVIAN SKENE

Index No. 120388/01  
106277/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

536.10562/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
JAMES R. SKENE, : Index No.: 120388/01  
: 106277/02  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
Defendants. : AND ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES R. SKENE and VIVIAN SKENE,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
  
Defendants.

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No. 106277-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&I GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106283/02-120388/01



**ROBERT F. PORCELLA SR.**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/2, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT F. PORCELLA SR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
106283/02  
120388/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
21<sup>st</sup>, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT F. PORCELLA, SR. and GEORGIA  
PORCELLA

Index No. 120388/01  
106283/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:       8/23      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT F. PORCELLA, SR. and GEORGIA  
PORCELLA

Index No. 120388/01  
106283/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT F. PORCELLA, SR. and GEORGIA  
PORCELLA

Index No. 120388/01

106283/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT F. PORCELLA SR. and GEORGIA  
PORCELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 106283-02


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/12/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120388/01  
106314/02

I.D. Caples


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/26/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011'**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 120388/01: 106314/02



**I.D. CAPLES**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/27, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

I.D. CAPLES

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106314/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 13 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

I.D. CAPLES

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106314/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-106314

This Document Relates to:

MILDRED R. CAPLES, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of I.D. CAPLES, and MILDRED R.  
CAPLES, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 8-3-11 New Brunswick, NJ

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Mildred R. Caples, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of I.D. Caples,  
and Mildred R. Caples, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
AUG 05 2011



BV-SCOTCH-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106457/02  
109434/02

WILLIAM M. ALMOND AND GLORIA R.  
ALMOND,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William M. Almond and Gloria R. Almond  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6701B

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106507/02

LELAND KIRK WEBSTER JR. AND PATRICIA  
ANN WEBSTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/14, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Leland Kirk Webster Jr. and Patricia Ann Webster  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6439

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY CELESTE AND CONCETTA  
CELESTE,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 106508/02  
110850/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew D. Sambar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Anthony Celeste and Concetta Celeste  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6713M

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY

Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY

Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/17, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FARO P. VITALE AND JOANNE VITALE,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 106508/02  
110776/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011




Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Faro P. Vitale and Joanne Vitale  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6713AT

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY


Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/17, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FARO P. VITALE

Index No. 110776/02  
106508/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/20, 2011




Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
AUG 13 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROLAND E. LA DIEU, SR. AND JEANNE LA  
DIEU,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 110790-02

106508-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY AND KATHLEEN M.  
BUCKLEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John C. Buckley and Kathleen M. Buckley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6713K

CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106508/02  
110859/02

CATHERINE POMPOSELLO, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
LEONARD POMPOSELLO, AND CATHERINE  
POMPOSELLO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Leonard Pomposello  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6713AO

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOANN ZAITA, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF VINCENT J. AULETTA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 106509/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Vincent J. Auletta  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6431 NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106574/02

DEAN HARP, AS PROPOSED EXECUTOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF RALPH J. DE GEORGI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
~~Matthew D. Sampan, Esq.~~ *Matthew D. Sampan*

Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Ralph J. De Georgi  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0080939-1}

324-6670

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106577/02

FRANK HUBER, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN K. HUBER AND  
FRANCIS HUBER, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John K. Huber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6671

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FRANK ROKITKA AND EMMA ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 112711-02  
106578-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action and there being no opposition thereto,


**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106580/02  
113267/02

AMY L. TRIMM, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF HARRY TRIMM, AND LINDA  
TRIMM INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Harry Trimm  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

324-6853AU

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106581/02  
113939/02

AARON O'BRIEN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Aaron O'Brien  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6720AG

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARLENE PRIMEAU, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
CTA FOR THE ESTATE OF LEONARD  
PRIMEAU, AND ARLENE PRIMEAU,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 106691/02  
114761/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Leonard Primeau  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6900AL

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ


Index No. 115012/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ


Index No. 115012/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ

Index No. 115012/02


106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

INDEX NO.

106693/02 AND 115012/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD KRENTZ

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 28, 2011*

*[Signature]*

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Michael Farrell*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

*[Signature]*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106690/02  
113809/02

BERNARDINE CARPENTER, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
WILLIAM L. CARPENTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of William L. Carpenter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-68581

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/15, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, Individually and as : Index No. 109589/06  
Executrix for the Estate of JOHN R. FREDERICK, : 114999/02  
 : 106693/02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/19/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini  
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

AUG 05 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-106693

This Document Relates to:

DONALD J. KRENTZ,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ

*July 27, 2011*

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08906

  
MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Donald J. Krentz  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ AND IRENE KRENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 406693/02  
115012/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald J. Krentz and Irene Krentz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6879AE

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA M. WILLIS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
HENRY H. WILLIS, and BARBARA M. WILLIS,


Index No. 118528-02  
106695/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA M. WILLIS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
HENRY H. WILLIS, and BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Index No. 118528-02  
106695/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA M. WILLIS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
HENRY H. WILLIS, and BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Index No. 118528-02  
106695/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106695/02  
118528/02

HENRY WILLIS AND BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Henry Willis and Barbara M. Willis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
324-6859AW

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES WATTS AND VERONA WATTS,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 106695/02  
118525/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Watts and Verona Watts  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6859AT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106695/02  
118982/02

NICHOLAS CIRILLO, AS ADMINISTRATOR  
FOR THE ESTATE OF ROSEMARY CIRILLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21<sup>st</sup>, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Rosemary Cirillo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-68591

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD STERNER

INDEX NO.

106706/02 and 114859/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 15, 2011*  
*Matthew T. MacLarty*

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Timothy M. McCann*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET STERNER

Index No. 106706/02  
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/29, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET STERNER

Index No. 106706/02  
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET STERNER

Index No. 106706/02  
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD CHIMERA

INDEX NO.  
106708/02  
ASSIGNED TO:  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz, Esq. 6/30/2011  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
Timothy M. McCain, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106708/02

JAMES P. CAHILL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James P. Cahill  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6860E

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LINDA DAY AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF RICHARD J. DAY, SR., AND  
LINDA L. DAY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No.: 110478/02  
106806/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011


  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Richard J. Day, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6708L

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106806/02

EDWARD W. MORSE,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward W. Morse  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
324-6708AK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 106915/2002

LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 07.18.11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank D. Farrell, Esq. Michael Farrell,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
**Attorneys for Defendant**  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 106914/2002

GEORGE E. MEIROSE (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 07.18.11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O. Esq. Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
**Attorneys for Defendant**  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of EMILIO DI FABIO JR., and JOAN  
MARIE DI FABIO, Individually,

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et  
al., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Index No.: 107222/02

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/20, 2011



By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, as  
Executrix for the Estate of EMILIO DI  
FABIO JR., and JOAN MARIE DI FABIO,  
Individually



By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN  
& DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:



HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
ALTON A. HAKES,	: Index No. 114072/02
	: 107400/02
Plaintiff(s),	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A. C. & S., INC., et al.	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/27/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

By: [Signature]  
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107761/2002

ROSE P. DEAN (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Harrison, New York 07.18.11

  
-----

Michael Farrell, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
-----

Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

**Attorneys for Defendant**

**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
-----

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**'JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 108040/02

Floyd Cady Sr. and Shirley L. Cady,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011




Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-11629



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd Cady Sr. and Shirley L. Cady  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 108040/02

Emily H. Janca, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of Richard Janca,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/12, 2011



Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-9941



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Emily H. Janca  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10013  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 108105/2002

WILLIAM M. KOPCHO (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 07.18.11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank D'Amico~~ Esq. Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
**Attorneys for Defendant**  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108136/02  
122139/01

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO AND MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-15, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Northcutt, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Intrabartolo and Mary Intrabartolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24941W

{N0072355-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO AND MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Index No.: 108136/02  
122139/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-20, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
David M. Norker, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Intrabartolo and Mary Intrabartolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-3586W

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108136/02  
122139/01

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO AND MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-19, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph Intrabartolo and Mary Intrabartolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
112-9280 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO and MARY  
INTRABARTOLO,

Index No. 108136/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
108146/02  
122139/01

WILLIAM J. DOWD

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/30, 2011

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

536.10335/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


---


This document relates to :  
: Index No.: 108146/02  
WILLIAM J. DOWD, : 122139/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM DOWD

INDEX NO.

108146/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York~~ New York

*July 25, 2011*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3508

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108157/02  
122139/01

Kenneth H. Heller

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10011  
Our File No. 053350000

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108157/02  
T22139/01

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 16, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24941S

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

July 5, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-3586S

{N0072382-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 26, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-9283

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108157/02  
122139/01

KENNETH H. HELLER AND MARY HELLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 5, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-8785

{N0072358-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP COX

INDEX NO.

108196/02 and 114082/05

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 21, 2011*  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No  
S-5180-02

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

PHILIP R. COX, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 108196/02

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 20 2011*

  
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
William C. Silverman, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

536.10569/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
JOHN J. OWENS, Deceased, : Index No.: 121099/01  
: 108535/02  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/04/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 121099/01; 108535/02



**JOHN J. OWENS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

||| 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

KATHLEEN OWENS, AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN OWENS, AND  
KATHLEEN OWENS, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 121099-01

108535-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

      e      30      , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**  
JUL 25 2011  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121099701  
108535/02


JOHN J. OWENS (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/30, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually

Index No. 108535/02  
121099/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually


Index No. 108535/02  
121099/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually

Index No. 108535/02  
121099/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: :  
KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate : NYCAL  
of JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, : I.A.S. Part 30  
Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
: :  
Plaintiff(s), : Index No. 108535-02  
: :  
-against- :  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
: :  
Defendants. :  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/11  
New York, New York  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X  
SARAH WEAVER, as Executrix for the Estate of :  
DAVID WEAVER, and SARAH WEAVER, :  
Individually, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :

----- X

Index No.: 108982/02


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 20 2011



Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SARAH WEAVER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF DAVID WEAVER, AND SARAH  
WEAVER, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105871/02  
~~108982/02~~

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of David Weaver  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6704AS

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER AND DONNA  
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105871/02  
108985/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Michael Wimmer and Donna Wimmer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011

324-6704A COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM M. ALMOND AND GLORIA R.  
ALMOND,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106457/02

109434/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sanpar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William M. Almond and Gloria R. Almond  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6701B

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN

Index No. 120395/01  
109539/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

111 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN

Index No. 120395/01  
09539/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN

Index No. 120395/01  
109539/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109543/02  
120395/01

Thomas McCann

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/22/11

  
7/7/2011  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meitowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our Fil. No. 0533 00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

536.10338/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
THOMAS McCANN, : Index No.: 109543/02  
: 120395/01  
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
Defendants. : AND ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. Samuel Meirowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07927

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

536.10338/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
THOMAS McCANN, : Index No.: 109543/02  
: 120395/01  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:  
East Hanover, New Jersey

*Frank M. Ortiz* 7/8/2011  
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Samuel Meirowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*Stephen F. Balsamo*  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS MCCANN AND KATHERINE  
MCCANN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 120395/01  
109543/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/6, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

 7/7/2011

Samuel Meiravitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas McCann and Katherine McCann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-24945J

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS MCCANN AND KATHERINE  
MCCANN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 120395/01  
109543/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Samuel Meitowitz 7/8/2011  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas McCann and Katherine McCann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-08961

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: NYCAL  
THOMAS McCANN, : I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff(s), :  
: Index No. 109543-02  
-against- :  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
: **Defendants.** :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/15/11  
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Frank Catalano**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 109549/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109549/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109549/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Helen Catalano, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of Frank  
Catalano,

Index No.: 109549/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/15, 2011

**FILED**


AUG 15 2011

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Helen Catalano, Individually  
and as Executrix for the Estate  
of Frank Catalano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-5186

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**James F. Smith**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 109561/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortis  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
109574/02  
120395701

DONALD PERRY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/15, 2011

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-109574

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
DONALD PERRY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
Aug 2, 2011

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: Samuel Goldblatt  
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 109635/02

Candida Almozara, Individually and as Executive for the  
Estate of Manuel Almozara,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 7/12, 2011

Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-5590

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD CARRIERO AND ROSEMARIE  
CARRIERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105876/02


~~109689/02~~

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Carriero and Rosemarie Carriero  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6706G

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALBERT J. FIOCCO, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF ANTHONY J. FIOCCO,

Index No.: 105876/02  
109710/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Anthony J. Fiocco  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6706AB

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
109809/02  
107886/00  
121982/99


LIPA SR., LOUIS A. (Deceased)


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Philip Mule**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 109854/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KEITH R. JAKWAY, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF JOHN H. JAKWAY, AND JUNE  
JAKWAY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105718/02  
110297/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John H. Jakway  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-7073X

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH G. MILLER AND JUDY ANN  
MILLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105715/02  
110305/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Kenneth G. Miller and Judy Ann Miller  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-7073AF

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LUCY WALDRON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WESLIE M. WALDRON AND  
LUCY WALDRON, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No.: 105715/02  
110319/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Weslie M. Waldron  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-7073AT COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUCY WALDRON as Executrix for the Estate of WESLIE  
M. WALDRON and LUCY WALDRON Individually

Index No. 110319/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 25 2011 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUCY WALDRON as Executrix for the Estate of WESLIE  
M. WALDRON and LUCY WALDRON Individually

Index No. 110319/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUCY WALDRON as Executrix for the Estate of WESLIE  
M. WALDRON and LUCY WALDRON Individually

Index No. 110319/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kleinfelter

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**I.D. Caples**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 1106314/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LINDA DAY AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF RICHARD J. DAY, SR., AND  
LINDA L. DAY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 110478/02  
T06806/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Richard J. Day, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6708L

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105718/02  
110297/02

KEITH R. JAKWAY, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF JOHN H. JAKWAY, AND JUNE  
JAKWAY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John H. Jakway  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7073X

{N0080939-1}

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FARO P. VITALE AND JOANNE VITALE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106508/02  
110776/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Faro P. Vitale and Joanne Vitale  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

324-6713AT

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FARO P. VITALE

Index No. 110776/02  
106508/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/20, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROLAND E. LA DIEU, SR. AND JEANNE LA  
DIEU,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 110790-02  
106508-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011


  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY

Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY

Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY

Index No. 106508/02  
110848/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

JUL 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN C. BUCKLEY AND KATHLEEN M.  
BUCKLEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106508/02  
110848/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Santpar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John C. Buckley and Kathleen M. Buckley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6713K

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY CELESTE AND CONCETTA  
CELESTE,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 106508/02  
110850/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sambar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Anthony Celeste and Concetta Celeste  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6713M

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CATHERINE POMPOSELLO, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
LEONARD POMPOSELLO, AND CATHERINE  
POMPOSELLO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 106508/02  
110859/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Leonard Pomposello  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6713AO

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEVERLY COVERT, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF DARREL C. COVERT,  
AND BEVERLY COVERT, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105718/02

112258/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Darrel C. Covert  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6723H

{N0080939-1}

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN LAMBO, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF DAVID LAMOB, AND  
DARLEEN TASSIE, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF MABEL LAMBO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105718/02  
112271/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of David Lamob  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6723R NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112375/02

Phyllis Gach, as Executrix of the Estate of Michael Gach  
and Phyllis Gach, Individually


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO A.E. CLEVITE, INC. AND J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
McCORD CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Michael Gach**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 112375/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Howard H. Haberer,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 112587-02  
105713-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6 30, 2011

AGC 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FRANK ROKITKA AND EMMA ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 112711-02  
106578-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment  
in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action  
there being no opposition thereto,

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 22, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Frank Ortiz

Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company

Jill Berry

Schiff Hardin LLP

666 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10103

(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 112954/2002

RAYMOND F. WEBER (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-


KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 07.18.11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

AMY L. TRIMM, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF HARRY TRIMM, AND LINDA  
TRIMM INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106580/02

113267/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Harry Trimm  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

324-6853AU

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GERALD E. ALLEN AND SHIRLEY L.  
ALLEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 115178-05

113276-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

le m, 2011


  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WILLIAM J. BERTON AND GLORIA  
BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 119810-02  
113277-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 120902-02

113279-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**


AUG 15 2011

Dated: New York, New York

                     , 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES SEBASTIANI

Index No. 113280/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 29, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Wetz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES SEBASTIANI

Index No. 113280/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 29, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES SEBASTIANI

Index No. 113280/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 29, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Wertz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, as Executrix for the :  
Estate of EMILIO DI FABIO JR., and JOAN :  
MARIE DI FABIO, Individually, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

**Index No.: 113640/02**

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et :  
al., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

7/20, 2011  


By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.S.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, as  
Executrix for the Estate of EMILIO DI  
FABIO JR., and JOAN MARIE DI FABIO,  
Individually

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN  
& DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

Index No. 113640-02

This Document Relates To:

EMILIO J. DI FABIO JR. and JOAN M.  
DI FABIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), *et al.*,  
Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 25, 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5754

  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARDINE CARPENTER, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
WILLIAM L. CARPENTER,

Index No.: 106690/02

113809/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of William L. Carpenter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-68581

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

AARON O'BRIEN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.


Index No.: 106581/02  
113939/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Saffpar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Aaron O'Brien  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6720AG

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CLAUDIA M. SEAY, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF EZELLE SEAY AND  
CLAUDIA M. SEAY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 114044-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

        , 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**  
**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**  
**NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED: 

**JUL 25 2011**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Vito Conti**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 114483/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant


SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 02-114483

VITO CONTI,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD,  
INC., et al.,

Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: 7/28/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARLENE PRIMEAU, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
CTA FOR THE ESTATE OF LEONARD  
PRIMEAU, AND ARLENE PRIMEAU,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 106691/02  
114761/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Leonard Primeau  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6900AL

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD STERNER

INDEX NO.

106706/02 and 114859/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 32.12, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 15, 2011*

*Matthew T. MacLarty*

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Timothy M. McCann*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*Sherry Klein Heitler*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET STERNER

Index No. 106706/02  
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET STERNER

Index No. 106706/02  
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET STERNER

Index No. 106706/02  
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 6/29, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
14999/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, Individually and as : Index No. 109589/06  
Executrix for the Estate of JOHN R. FREDERICK, : ~~114999/02~~  
: 106693/02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

:  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30  
: X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/19/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini  
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 114999/02  
109589/06


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6879Q

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115012/02


**DONALD KRENTZ**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16, 2011

 Donald Krentz, Esq. Michael Formelli, Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ

Index No. 115012/02  
106693/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ

Index No. 115012/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ

Index No. 115012/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Bronnell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD J. KRENTZ AND IRENE KRENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106693/02  
115012/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald J. Krentz and Irene Krentz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6879AE

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD KRENTZ

INDEX NO.

106693/02 AND 115012/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 28, 2011*

*[Signature]*

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Michael Farrell*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

*[Signature]*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 13 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHIRLEY G. CHIMERA, as Executrix for the Estate of  
RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA, and SHIRLEY G.  
CHIMERA, Individually

Index No. 116076/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff <sup>6/30/2011</sup> Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHIRLEY G. CHIMERA, as Executrix for the Estate of  
RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA, and SHIRLEY G.  
CHIMERA, Individually

Index No. 116076/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
6/30/2011  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHIRLEY G. CHIMERA, as Executrix for the Estate of  
RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA, and SHIRLEY G.  
CHIMERA, Individually

Index No. 116076/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
6/30/2011  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Eugene O'Brien**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 117398/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-117398

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE O'BRIEN and JANE O'BRIEN,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 8-31 New Brunswick, NJ  
7/27/11



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Eugene O'Brien and Jane O'Brien  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

**SO ORDERED:**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



BV-SCOTCH-19

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDNA MCCUE, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of  
JOHN J. MCCUE,

Index No.: 02/117401  
01/116146  
98/111076  
95/126822

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/19, 2011

  
John A. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

BERNARD SIKKAS,

Index No.: 118207-02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,  
et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.

Braaten & Pascarella, LLC

Attorney for Defendant

Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.

2430 Route 34

Manasquan, New Jersey 08730

(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES WATTS AND VERONA WATTS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106695/02  
118525/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Watts and Verona Watts  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6859AT

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HENRY WILLIS AND BARBARA M. WILLIS,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 106695/02  
118528/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Henry Willis and Barbara M. Willis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
324-6859AW COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA M. WILLIS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
HENRY H. WILLIS, and BARBARA M. WILLIS,


Index No. 118528-02  
106695/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA M. WILLIS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
HENRY H. WILLIS, and BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Index No. 118528-02  
106695/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NICHOLAS CIRILLO, AS ADMINISTRATOR  
FOR THE ESTATE OF ROSEMARY CIRILLO,

Index No.: 106695/02  
118982/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21<sup>st</sup>, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Rosemary Cirillo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-68591

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WILLIAM J. BERTON AND GLORIA  
BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 119810-02  
TT3277-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**FILED**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE AUG 15 2011  
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES SEBASTIANI

INDEX NO.

120081/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK, NY 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120615/02  
103666/00

RICHARD M. PITTSLEY AND HELEN J.  
PITTSLEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard M. Pittsley and Helen J. Pittsley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6815Y

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO: 120902-02  
113279-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

                    , 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 121477/02,  
105917/04

CHARLES JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/18, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121477/02  
105917/04

Charles Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/19/11

*Matthew T. McIntyre*

Matthew McIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 0650701131

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-121477  
04-105917

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
CHARLES JOHNSON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
Aug 2, 2011

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]  
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Edward Kania**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 121911/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLA JANEY & FORD, P.C.  
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK, N.Y.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MATTHEW DANIEL ZUREK AND STELLA  
ZUREK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 122434/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/17, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Matthew Daniel Zurek and Stella Zurek  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6791 NEW YORK

{N0080939-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Robert E. Doyle**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 123166/02


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLANTINE & FORD P.C.  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. ~~105292-00~~  
                  123166-02

**Robert E. Doyle**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated:            New York, New York  
                    July 13, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011



\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

AUG 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123226/01

Harold Birge

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/11/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**McCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 650100556

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124120/01

William J. Smith

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12/11

  
~~Julie R. Evans, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 1050100710

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK NIELSEN

INDEX NO.

124339/02 and 101958/03

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 28, 2011*

*[Signature]*

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Michael Powell*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

*[Signature]*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK NIELSEN and GERTRUDE NIELSEN

Index No. 124339/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 16, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK NIELSEN and GERTRUDE NIELSEN

Index No. 124339/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 16, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK NIELSEN and GERTRUDE NIELSEN

Index No. 124339/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 16, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THERESA PAOLINI as Administratrix for the Estate of  
EMILIO PAOLINI, and THERESA PAOLINI,  
Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.


Index No.: 124397/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EMILIO PAOLINI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124397/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12/2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Emilio Paolini**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 124397/02

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500


LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EMILIO PAOLINI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124397/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011



---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 124489/02  
101779/03

JUNE A. KING, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF CONLEY M. KING, AND JUNE A.  
KING, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Conley M. King  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-7153M

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 02-125551

JAMES MIMS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD  
INC., et al.


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., sued  
herein as "TRANE U.S. INC. (Individually and as successor to AMERICAN STANDARD INC.)",  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a  
AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 28, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Derell Wilson, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC.  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM SWANSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 125907/02


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

7/15/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARLENE LANG, as the Executrix for the Estate of  
WILLIAM J. SWANSON, and ARLENE LANG as the  
Executrix for the Estate of GRACE L. SWANSON


Index No. 125907/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011'

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARLENE LANG, as the Executrix for the Estate of  
WILLIAM J. SWANSON, and ARLENE LANG as the  
Executrix for the Estate of GRACE L. SWANSON

Index No. 125907/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-125907

This Document Relates to:

ARLENE RUSH, as the Executrix for the Estate of  
WILLIAM J. SWANSON, and ARLENE RUSH, as the  
Executrix for the Estate of GRACE L. SWANSON.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 8-3-11 New Brunswick, NJ


  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Arlene Rush, as the Executrix for the Estate  
of William J. Swanson, and Arlene Rush, as  
the Executrix for the Estate of Grace L.  
Swanson  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**SO ORDERED:**

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WALTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

BILLIE W. SELF AND CYNTHIA L. SELF,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


INDEX NO. 127400-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 , 2011


Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FRANK MONASTERO AND CAROLINE  
MONASTERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 127406-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6 30, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: 

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARA NELL MURPHY, Individually and JAN  
MARIE MURPHY as Executrix for the Estate  
of JAMES F. MURPHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
John J. Barbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
Frank M. Orlitz, Esq. *Matthew Park, Esq.*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/100751  
00/122297  
98/113121  
98/111047

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CASIMIR R. STASZAK,

Index No. 03/100752  
00/108845

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/14, 2011

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Burbridge, Esq.  
Culler and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Gritz, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Harvey L. Fleitman

Index No. 119394/00

100588/01

100756/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 22, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AUG 05 2011  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100756/03  
119394/00  
100616/01


FERRONE SR., VINCENT T. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 124331-00

100757-03

Clyde Frasier

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 26, 2011

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: AUG 05 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS A. LESNIAK (Deceased)

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
102174/01  
119383/00  
100759/03

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
2/8, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 13 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

536.16584/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
FRANCIS A. LESNIAK, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 102174/01
	:	119383/00
Plaintiff,	:	<u>100759/03</u>
	:	
vs.	:	(May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	
et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

AUG 15 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
~~NEW YORK~~  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN FIELD (Deceased)


Index No  
121805/01  
100769/03  
122295/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York


 2011

FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 13 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 100769/2003  
102192/2005  
13278/1989

LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/14/11  
New York, New York

  
SUZANNE RATCHIFF, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

GOLDMAN, REUBEN W. (Deceased)


Index No  
100769/03  
T04560/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 119376/00; 107206/01; 100771/03

-----x  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

Weisenburger, James S. (deceased) ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
June 9, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALBRAITH  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:  
Hon:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sherry

Klien

JUL 05 2011

Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ALICE JANE WAGNER, as Executrix for the  
Estate of WILLIAM A. WAGNER, and ALICE  
JANE WAGNER,

Index No.: 100771-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 07730  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherrill K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100773/03  
119390/00  
125263/00


BILBY, LANE L. (Deceased)

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

6/29, 2011  



FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

'JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of DAVID  
BLENKENSOPP and ANNA E.  
BLENKENSOPP, Individually,

Index No: 100773-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

RYSKOWSKI, RAYMOND (Deceased)


Index No  
100779/03  
107575/01  
119384/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANIS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DORIS M. RYSKOWSKI, as Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND J. RYSKOWSKI, and DORIS M.  
RYSKOWSKI, Individually,


Index No. 107575/01  
100779/03-119384/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JUNE A. KING, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF CONLEY M. KING, AND JUNE A.  
KING, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 124489/02  
101779/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Conley M. King  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-7153M

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DORIS M. RYSKOWSKI, as Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND J. RYSKOWSKI, and DORIS M.  
RYSKOWSKI, Individually,


Index No. 107575/01  
100779/03 119384/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

'JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

SALVATORE RANDAZZO,

Index No.: 100780-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,  
et al.,

Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

AUG 15 2011

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105177-02  
100780-03

WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/11  
New York, New York



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105177/02  
100780/03

William D. Pulvermacher


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

6/29/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100780/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM PULVERMACHER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/11, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100781/03  
111494/04  
125766/99


NOWAK, HENRY M. (Deceased)


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100782/03  
106441/01  
119385/00


PONZI, FLOYD


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100782/03  
106460/01  
119385/00


PLUMMER, CHARLES (Deceased)


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

'JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100782/03  
119373/00  
106190/01


PARDES, MARTIN (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: **NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 106441/01  
00-119385  
100782/03

**FLOYD PONZI AND PATRICIA PONZI**

against

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

*July 20, 2011*

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Floyd Ponzi and Patricia Ponzi  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED:

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



BV-MAYOR-10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

STEPHEN D. HALL AND JOAN C. HALL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 122295-01

100784-03


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10 30, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN D. HALL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
122295/01  
100784/03

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/3, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011


536.16252/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
STEPHEN D. HALL, : Index No.: 122295/01  
: 100784/03  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
Defendants. : AND ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, NEW YORK  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100786/03  
115839/03


JOHNSON JR., VELPO

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

10/29, 2011  


FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Giovanni Lattanzio

Index No. 119383-00  
102269-01  
100787-03  
107623-06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 26, 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JOHN G. PALASZYNSKI (Deceased),

Index No.: 100788-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119470-98

Ezio Costanzo

100866-03

102902-04

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 13, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011



\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 

AUG 15 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK NIELSEN

INDEX NO.

124339/02 and 101958/03

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 28, 2011




~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 03-101958

This Document Relates to:

JACK NIELSEN,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ

*July 20, 2011*

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
Jack Nielsen  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY: 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**SO ORDERED:**

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

AUG 05 2011



BV-MAYOR-9

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 106199/03

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL J. MCCARTHY and MARY  
THERESA MCCARTHY,

Plaintiff(s)

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-


A.O. SMITH WASTER PRODUCTS  
CO., ET.AL.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant, CHICAGO PNEUMATIC TOOL COMPANY, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, CHICAGO PNEUMATIC TOOL COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CHICAGO PNEUMATIC TOOL COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:            New York, New York  
           July 18, 2011

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.,  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway LLP  
New York 10003

  
Eileen Budd, Esq.  
HARDIN, KUNDLA, MCKEON & COUNTY  
POLETTO, P.A  
Attorneys for Chicago Pneumatic  
Tool Company  
110 William Street 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038

SO-ORDERED:   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Sam Donofrio**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 107991/03


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUKENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLANE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 17 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 110506/03

Edward Devine,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 7/12, 2011

Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-15249

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Edward Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Edward L. Jemiolo**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 111829/03


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York


7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Edward T. Plantz**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 115218/03


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 025647-91  
                  115218-03

**Edward Plantz**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:            New York, New York  
                    July 13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VELPO JOHNSON, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115839/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VELPO JOHNSON, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115839/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*7/12* 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100786/03  
115839/03


JOHNSON JR., VELPO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

6/29, 2011  


FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003




JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RICHARD W. MITCHELL,

Index No.: 117873-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,  
et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11  
New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

AUG 05 2011

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANR O'MALLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of  
THOMAS O'MALLEY

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.


Index No.: 118351/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Jane O'Malley, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate  
of Thomas O'Malley,

Index No.: 118351/03

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/25, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jane O'Malley, Individually and  
as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of Thomas O'Malley  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUSTIN M. TAFE, NEW YORK  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-2790

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Thomas O'Malley**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 118351/03


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO

Index No.: 120250/03

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

6/29, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Angiolilo Julius Greico  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-109

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121992/99  
106623/00  
120250/03


GREICO, ANGIOLILO JULIUS (Deceased)

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Aloysius Kenny**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 120579/03


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered.

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 110084/01; 111225/01; 100336/04

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

Garbarino, John ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
June 9, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

**FILED**


AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon:

  
Sherry

  
Klien

Hietler

JUL 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Lloyd Harden**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 100755/04


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100755/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LLOYD HARDEN,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/25, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Lloyd Harden**

**Index No. 121810/97**

**105233/98**

**111068/98**


**100755-04**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:            New York, New York  
                    July 21, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria N. Maccone, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
AUG 16 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: **AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Edward Byrnes, Sr.**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102222/04


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DERNA COSTANZO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EZIO COSTANZO, and DERNA COSTANZO,  
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 102902-04

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7-14-11  
New York, New York


  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 04-102902

This Document Relates to:

DERNA COSTANZA, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EZIO COSTANZA and DERNA COSTANZA,  
Individually,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ  
7.15.11

  
MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Derna COSTANZA, as Executrix for the  
Estate of Ezio COSTANZA and Derna  
COSTANZA, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SO ORDERED:**

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**



BV-SCOTCH-5

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Ezio Costanzo**

**Index No. 119470-98**

**100866-03**

**102902-04**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:            New York, New York  
                    July 13, 2011

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**AUG 15 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 04-102903

This Document Relates to:

ROSE MARIE MACK, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of JAMES MACK JR.

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ  
8/28/11



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903




FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Rose Marie Mack, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of James Mack Jr.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**SO ORDERED:**

Honorable Sherry Klein  CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**MUL 05 2011**



BV-ORB-19

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 04-102903

This Document Relates to:

ROSE MARIE MACK, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of JAMES MACK JR.

against


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ  
6/28/11



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Rose Marie Mack, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of James Mack Jr.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**SO ORDERED:**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 05 2011**



BV-ORB-19

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**James H. Mack, Jr.**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102903/04


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/2011

WEITZ & LUKENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & BORDONE, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
JOAN ANN PYANOSKI, as Executrix for the Estate of  
ROBERT PYANOSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 103005/04

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Joan Ann Pyanowski, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of Robert  
Pyanowski,

Index No.: 103005/04

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/25, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Joan Ann Pyanowski, Individually  
and as Executrix for the Estate  
of Robert Pyanowski  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Justin M. Tafa, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(18) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-3922

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Robert Pyanowski**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 103005/04


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.


  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0009  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Mildred Reeves, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of Walter  
H. Reeves,

Index No.: 104917/04

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7-20, 2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Mildred Reeves, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Walter H. Reeves  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Our File No.: 10924-4053

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER H. REEVES

Index No.: 104917/04

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

  
8/29/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Walter H. Reeves  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER H. REEVES

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104917/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER H. REEVES

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104917/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
104917/04  
108083/00  
122192/99

REEVES, WALTER H.. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
4/29, 2011


  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108353/00; 112444/00;  
105233/04


**FRANK FRACCALVIERI**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/14, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 121477/02;  
105917/04

CHARLES JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/18, 2011  
New York, New York




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-121477  
04-105917

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES JOHNSON

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

Aug. 2, 2011

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: B. Dwyer

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121477/02  
105917/04

Charles Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/19/11

*Matthew T McIntyre*  
Matthew McIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507-0131

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRED A. HOFMANN, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106520/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRED A. HOFMANN, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106520/04


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
106751/04


JENNINGS, PAUL (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**'JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
106751/04


GREEN, DOROTHY


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Frank Lopopolo**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 108929/04


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLARINE & NEW YORK P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD G. PEPPERDAY

Index No. 111254/04

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD G. PEPPERDAY

Index No. 111254/04

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

 6/30/2011  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

13 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD G. PEPPERDAY


Index No. 111254/04

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
JUL 25 2011 AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
100781/03  
111494/04  
125766/99


NOWAK, HENRY M. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**William McLaughlin**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 111496/04

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/15/2011

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

Index No. 111957/04

This Document Relates To:

JAMES G. KUTKUHN and BARBARA L.  
KUTKUHN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, *et al.*,  
Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*July 14*, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heller

AUG 05 2011

FAC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
Joanne Gonsalves As Executrix for the Estate of Salvatore  
Sessa,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY; et al.;

Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 113628-2004


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
RE: FEBRUARY 2011**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-  
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP i/s/h/a CONNELL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Individually and as  
Successor to YUBA INDUSTRIES, INC.), hereby request summary judgement in the above-  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
complaint against defendant YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP i/s/h/a CONNELL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Individually and as Successor  
to YUBA INDUSTRIES, INC.), with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against  
defendant YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
i/s/h/a CONNELL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Individually and as Successor to YUBA  
INDUSTRIES, INC.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
June 30, 2011

  
-----  
JASON HODRINSKY, ESQ.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10017

  
-----  
THOMAS A. MONTIGLIO, ESQ  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Yuba Heat Transfer, Division of Connell-  
Partnership Limited  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
Our File No.: ESZ 02030 FAC

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Carl Lunderman**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 115493/04


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN

INDEX NO.

121774/97 and 115493/04

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 13, 2011*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joe Vazquez, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

*Timothy M. McCann*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No  
S-4146-98

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 102192/05

LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON



**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/7, 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**AETNA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHEILA A. JOHNSON, As Executor for the Estate of  
LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON & SHEILA A. JOHNSON As  
Proposed Executrix For the Estate of PATRICIA  
JOHNSON,

Index No.: 102192/05

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-


A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 102192/05

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LAWRENCE JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/11, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 100769/2003  
102192/2005  
13278/1989

LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/14/11  
New York, New York

  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**James Laufer**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102194/05


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**John Coughlin**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102347/05

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011


**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011.**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

JOAN CHURCH LOBUS, as Executrix for the Estate of  
Raymond Lobus and Joan Church, Individually,

Index Nos. 105205/05, 109114/00,  
121983/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
<sup>7/12</sup>  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-5590

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Joan Church Lobus  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT P. VENTRY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110031/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 25 2011 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Albert Ventry**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 1100031/05


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.




Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT  
VENTRY, & ROSE VENTRY Individually,

Index No.: 110031/05

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15, 2011



Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executric for the Estate of ALBERT  
VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY, Individually

Index No. 110031/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executric for the Estate of ALBERT  
VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY, Individually

Index No. 110031/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executric for the Estate of ALBERT  
VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY, Individually

Index No. 110031/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSE VENTRY, as Executric for the Estate of ALBERT  
VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY, Individually

Index No. 110031/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT VENTRY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110031/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011



---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114082/05  
105872/02

ELLEN A. COX, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF PHILLIP R. COX, AND ELLEN A.  
COX, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sambar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Phillip R. Cox  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-8481

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
ELLEN A. COX, as Executrix for the Estate of :  
PHILIP R. COX, and ELLEN A. COX, Individually, :  
 :  
Plaintiff, :  
 :  
- against - :  
 :  
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :  
 :  
Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 114082/05  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 20 2011



Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



William C. Silverman, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP COX

INDEX NO.

108196/02 and 114082/05

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 21, 2011*  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**John R. Catanzaro**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 114151/05

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LOXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10270  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011 JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Robert Lorentz**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 114469/05


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**NEW YORK**

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

Index No. 115178-05

This Document Relates To:

GERALD E. ALLEN and SHIRLEY L. ALLEN,  
Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,  
Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7-19, 2011




Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5754



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GERALD E. ALLEN AND SHIRLEY L.  
ALLEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 115178-05  
113276-02


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DEERE & COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DEERE & COMPANY with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DEERE & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
6 20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Frank Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Attorneys for Defendant Deere & Company  
Jill Berry  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
666 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10103  
(212) 753-5000

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

JUL 25 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)  
2/28/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


JOHN KNOX


INDEX NO.  
103975/97 and 115429/05  
ASSIGNED TO:  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER  
  
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 15, 2011*  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10035

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Our File No  
S-4799-97

AUG 05 2011

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH & AGNES OWENS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.


Index No.: 115563/05

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Joseph Owens**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 115563/05

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LEXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.



Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL AND CAROLE A. FARRELL

Index No. 104742/00  
115803/05 125779/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL AND CAROLE A. FARRELL

Index No. 104742/00  
115803/05 125779/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Cherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL AND CAROLE A. FARRELL

Index No. 104742/00  
115803/05 125779/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 24, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125779/99; 104742/00;  
115803/05


**RAYMOND J. FARRELL**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
27, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Richard Danson**

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102335/06


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORMIS, P.C.  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK C.

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Carol Baker, as Executrix for the Estate of Mark Witter,  
and Beverly Witter, Individually,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index Nos. 103645/06; 114286/00;  
122201/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*7/12*, 2011

*Steve T. Corbin*

Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-5590

*Frank M. Ortiz*

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Carol Baker  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Barbara A. Flowers, as Executrix for the Estate of  
Donald C. Flowers, and Barbara Flowers, Individually,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 104363/06; 122599/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/29, 2011



Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-19699



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN F. WHITE and EILEEN WHITE,  
  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,  
  
Defendants.

Index No.: 107014/06


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Martin F. White**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 107014/06


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LOXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Giovanni Lattanzio

Index No. 119383-00

102269-01

100787-03

107623-06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 26, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:                     

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Joann Karales and Susan Pavacic, as  
Co-Executrices for the Estate of  
Anthony Lamano,

Index No.: 108083/06

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/25, 2011


**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Joann Karales and Susan  
Pavacic, as Co-Executrices for  
the Estate of Anthony Lamano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
JUSTIN M. TARE  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5311

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOANN KARALES & SUSAN PAVACIC, As Co-  
Executrices for the Estate of ANTHONY LAMANO &  
ROSE LAMANO Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108083/06


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15, 2011




Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Anthony E. Lamano**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 108083/06


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY E. LAMANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108083/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109589/06

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOHN FREDERICK,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/18, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011.**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN R. FREDERICK, and CAROLINE K.  
FREDERICK, Individually

Index No. 109589/06  
114999/02  
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, Individually and as : Index No. 109589/06  
Executrix for the Estate of JOHN R. FREDERICK, : TT4999/02  
 : 106693/02

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/19/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini  
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Index No.: 114999/02  
109589/06

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-6879Q

{N0080939-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Evelyn M. Harcrow, as Executrix for the Estate of  
Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr., Individually,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


Index No. 110978/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 7/12, 2011

Steve T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-16005



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Evelyn M. Harcrow  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Sam F. Angrisano**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 1116682/06

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

**AETNA**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY C. TAYLOR AND CLAUDIA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 112046/06


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Jay C. Taylor**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 112046/06

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LINDA A. HUSSAIN, as the Executrix for the Estate of  
JOSEPH C. LANG & NANCY LANG, Individually,

Index No.: 112048/06

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15, 2011  


Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Linda A. Hussain, as Executrix for the  
Estate of Joseph C. Lang,

Index No.: 112048/06

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/25, 2011

AUG 15 2011

  
Michael Fanerli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Linda A. Hussain, as Executrix  
for the Estate of Joseph C.  
Lang  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5376

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Joseph C. Lang**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 112048/06

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0074  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH C. LANG

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112048/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112048/06

Joseph C. Lang

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/26/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Farrell, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.000

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD J. CONKLIN,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 116037/06  
105876/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard J. Conklin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

324-8757

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Richard Angrisano, as Executor for the  
Estate of Sam Angrisano, and Angeline  
Angrisano, Individually,

Index No.: 116682/06

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
7/25, 2011

AUG 15 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Richard Angrisano, as Executor  
for the Estate of Sam  
Angrisano, and Angeline  
Angrisano, Individually  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5416

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**AETNA**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD ANGRISANO, As Executor for the Estate of  
SAM F. ANGRISANO & ANGELINE ANGRISANO,  
Individually,

Index No.: 116682/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-


A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**SAM F. ANGRISANO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116682/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VINCENZO DICARLO


INDEX NO.  
116828/06  
ASSIGNED TO:  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Adam Cooper, Esq.  
WELTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3500

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, as Executrix for the :  
Estate of EMILIO DI FABIO JR., and JOAN :  
MARIE DI FABIO, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et :  
al., :

Defendant(s). :  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Index No.: 118214/06

**FILED**


**AUG 15 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/20, 2011  


By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, as  
Executrix for the Estate of EMILIO DI  
FABIO JR., and JOAN MARIE DI FABIO,  
Individually



By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN  
& DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for the  
Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI, SR. & DONNA MARIE  
MICHALSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 100021/07

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS, et. al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/15, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Martin Michalski**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 100021/07


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN A. MICHALSKI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100021/07

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/12 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**John M. King**

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 100678/07

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES MCELROY,  
(Deceased)

Index No.: 100837-07

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/20/11

New York, New York


  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Elizabeth W. McElroy, Individually and Personal  
Representative for the Estate of James H. McElroy,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.


Index No. 109408/00  
122190/99  
100837/07


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20 2011

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Elizabeth W. McElroy, Individually and Personal  
Representative for the Estate of James H. McElroy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**SO ORDERED,**  
10185

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, Individually and Personal  
Representative for the Estate of JAMES H. MCELROY,

Index No: 100837/07  
122190/99

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/16 2011




Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Elizabeth W. McElroy, Individually and Personal  
Representative for the Estate of James H. McElroy,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,  
10185

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, Individually and Personal  
Representative for the Estate of JAMES H. MCELROY,

Index No.: 100837/07  
~~122190/99~~

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/26 2011

ST-W  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

[Signature]  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Elizabeth W. McElroy, Individually and Personal  
Representative for the Estate of James H. McElroy,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,  
10185

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
102115/07  
125768/99  
107299/00


MAYDICH, ROBERT LAURANCE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MARCH 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
6/29, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 07/104633

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAVID BLENKENSOPP,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/25, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of DAVID  
BLENKENSOPP and ANNA E.  
BLENKENSOPP, Individually,

Index No: 104633-07

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/28/11

New York, New York

  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**David Blenkinsopp**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 104633/07


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/25/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BELLANCA & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of DAVID BLENKENSOPP & ANNA E.  
BLENKENSOPP, Individually,

Index No.: 104633/07

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et. al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/2/2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Anna E. Blenkensopp, as Executrix of the Estate of  
David Blenkensopp, and Anna E. Blenkensopp,  
Individually,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No.: 104634/07

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE  
OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, & BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS. CO., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/26 2011  


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, & BENEDETTA  
ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,  
20053

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Milton Burckhalter**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 108386/07


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLANTRAE & PARDUE, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 025657-91  
108386-07


Milton Burckhalter


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cleaver-Brooks Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
AUG 05 2011

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
110507/07


HERMAN ROSENBERG

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Nathan Glowatz**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 116794/07


**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CORR, ADMINISTRATRIX AND  
INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE ESTATE OF  
HOWARD CORR,

Index No.: 116795/07  
104831/02, 120398/01

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Howard Corr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-6997

{N0042173-1}

JUL 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CORR, ADMINISTRATRIX AND  
INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE ESTATE OF  
HOWARD CORR,

Index No. 116795/07  
104831/02, 120398/01

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/13, 2011



Jennifer A. Fischetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael Faneli,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Howard Corr  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-6501

{N0042173-1}

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD CORR

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116795/07

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/7, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

**Felix M. Juzdowski**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 116796/07

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and  
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES  
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

7/15/2011

**FILED**


AUG 15 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & BORDOWICZ, P.C. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Janine E. Brown  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.  
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, New York 10271-0079  
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**JUL 25 2011**

X:/FBW52865/legal/JULY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
GERARDO RUBINO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 101017/94 & 109704/08

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Matthew Park, Esq.*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

7/27/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heiter

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
111229/01, 119609/01  
~~190077/09~~


CHARLES THOMAS (Deceased)

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
7/9, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sheri Lynn Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**AUG 05 2011**

536.07707/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

---


This document relates to :  
: Index No.: 111229/01  
CHARLES THOMAS, Deceased, : 119609/01  
: 190027/09  
: Plaintiff, :  
: (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
vs. : Group)  
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :  
et al., : NO OPPOSITION  
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
Defendants. : AND ORDER

---

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/01/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

FILED

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 190064/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

PETER WALLACK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


---

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/26, 2011  
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq. A. BRANDIS, ESQ  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 190067/09

**DOREEN WALLACK**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler Hammer, Inc.) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

6/20/, 2011



Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Cristina Sinclair, Esq.  
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-  
interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190145-09

ANDREW J. VENIER AND PATRICIA  
VENIER, HIS WIFE

Plaintiff(s),


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER FOR WASHINGTON  
GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
F/K/A RAYTHEON ENGINEERS &  
CONSTRUCTORS, INC. ONLY


GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al  
Defendant(s)

WHEREFORE, defendant, WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/ka Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/k/a Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against, WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/k/a Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7-19, 2011

  
Stephen S. Davie, Esq.  
MACKENZIE HUGHES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
101 South Salina Street  
Syracuse, NY 13202

  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
110 William Street 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Hettler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190350/09

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN WILLIAMS,  
HIS WIFE,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ

*July 20, 2011*

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
KEVIN BERRY, ESQ.  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Thomas Williams and Kathleen Williams  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, NY 10038-3901

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190350/09


-----  
**THOMAS WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN  
WILLIAMS, his wife**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Milton Roy Company ("Milton Roy"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Milton Roy with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Milton Roy be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
Wilentz, Goldman, & Spitzer, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Jardim, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Milton Roy Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 190350/09

-----  
**THOMAS WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN  
WILLIAMS, his wife**  
-----

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Edward Valves, Inc and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/20, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
Wilentz, Goldman, & Spitzer, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as  
successor to Edward Valves, Inc and Edward Vogt Valve  
Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

205439  
10/2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190418/09

MARK LONERGAN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,  
Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Dap, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Dap, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Dap, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pecora Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



*Mark Lonergan*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Mark Lonergan  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

604-0376  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011



**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**ANGELO MANCINI AND NICOLINA MANCINI,**

**Plaintiff,**

**- against -**

**ARBURG, INC., et al.,**

**Defendants.**  
-----X

**Index No. 190060/10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, REICHHOLD, INC. ("Reichhold"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant Reichhold with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Reichhold, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

*July 25, 2011*



Mark Strauss, Esq.

**EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.**  
360 Lexington Ave, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
Tel: (212) 986-2233  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Michael B. Sena, Esq.

**HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.**  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004  
Tel: (212) 471-8527  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Reichhold, Inc.

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190096/10

MARK LONERGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/21, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Karnak Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Lonergan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

781-35

{N0083831-1}

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

SABOL, RONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

---


Index No.: 190099/10

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/21, 2011  
New York, New York



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190121/10

EVELYN C. MOLLOY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

CRANE CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/5, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Nash Engineering Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Evelyn C. Molloy  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

505-416

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30:  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190166/10


LOUIS DIGILIO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Flowserve with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
7/8, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
Attorneys for Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to  
Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc.,  
Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190166/10


-----  
**LOUIS DIGILIO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Robertshaw Controls Company ("Robertshaw"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Robertshaw with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robertshaw be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/8, 2011

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Robertshaw Controls Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190185/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOUIS JASON,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: July 12, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Patrick Timmons, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Ave. 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Hartler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190185/10

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS JASON

against


ALPHA WIRE CORP

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **EXTECO f/k/a THERMO-ELECTRIC CO., INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **EXTECO f/k/a THERMO-ELECTRIC CO., INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **EXTECO f/k/a THERMO-ELECTRIC CO., INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New York, New York

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
EXTECO f/k/a THERMO-ELECTRIC CO.,  
INC.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
PATRICK TIMMINS, ESQ.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
LOUIS JASON  
300 Third Avenue  
13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

**SO ORDERED:**

  
SHERRY KLEIN MEITLER

Hoagland, Longo,  
Moran, Dunst  
& Doukas, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

40 Paterson Street  
PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, NJ

111 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD DUMMIT

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190196/10


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2011

  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY., 10036  
(212) 681-1575

*Bryan Belaskey*

  
Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US\_ACTIVE-104621723.1

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190267/10

Cordell J. Bellach

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
**WHEREFORE, defendant Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid), incorrectly s/h/a "Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, Individually and as successor to Felt Products Manufacturing Co. and/or the former Vellumoid division of Federal-Mogul Corporation" hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid), with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,**

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid)**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/15/11

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
Early & Strauss, LLC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal  
Injury Trust, as successor in interest  
to the Vellumoid Division of  
Federal-Mogul Corporation  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 11121.0001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

AUG 05 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190267-10

CORDELL J. BELLACH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD  
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., sued herein as "TRANE U.S. INC. (Individually and as successor to AMERICAN STANDARD INC.)", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 25, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190270/10


**JOSEPH MULLEN**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York~~, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Brian Sorensen, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190322/10

MORRIS J. KEENAN AND MARY J. KEENAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/12, 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Falk Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Brian Early  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Morris J. Keenan and Mary J. Keenan  
Early & Strauss, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

875-0069 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
SAM GALEN

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et.al.

Defendants.  
-----X

Index No.: 190335/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

*July 20*, 2011

*afm*

Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10032  
(212)558-5500

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

*K*  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Katrina Murphy, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190336/10


JOSEPH AND CHRISTINE GEMELLARO


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Reliance Electric Company ("Reliance Electric"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Reliance Electric with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Reliance Electric be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/8, 2011

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Reliance Electric Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
JUL 25 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10/190368

JAMES GUCK and JOAN GUCK,

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS  
COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 18, 2011  
New York, New York

  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In Re First Judicial District  
Asbestos Litigation

This Document Applies to:  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOHN CHILARSKI and HELEN CHILARSKI,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.  
Index No.: 10-190391

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Genuine Parts Company**, correctly identified as Genuine Parts Company and incorrectly identified as NAPA Auto Parts, n/k/a United Auto Parts LLC, (hereinafter "Genuine Parts Company"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Genuine Parts Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
July 7, 2011

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA & ASSOC., LLP**

  
Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.  
*Attorney for Plaintiffs*  
3500 Sunrise Highway, Suite #T-207  
Great River, NY 11739

Dated: Buffalo, New York  
July 18, 2011

**DAMON MOREY LLP**

  
Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.  
*Attorney for Defendant,*  
Genuine Parts Company  
The Avant Building, Suite 1200  
200 Delaware Avenue  
Buffalo, New York 14202

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190391/10

John Chilarski and Helen Chilarski


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid)**, incorrectly s/h/a **"Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust as successor to Vellumoid Division of Federal Mogul"** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid)**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **Federal-Mogul Asbestos Personal Injury Trust, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation (Vellumoid)**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/22/11

  
Kardon Stolzman, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka & Associates, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
350 5th Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118


  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Federal Mogul Asbestos Personal  
Injury Trust, as successor in interest  
to the Vellumoid Division of  
Federal-Mogul Corporation  
50 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 11121.00016

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190391/10

John Chilarski and Helen Chilarski

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a "GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP." hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/21/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAPOLI BERN RIPKA & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
3500 Sunrise Highway, Suite T-207  
Great River, New York 11739

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Erik DiMarco, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
GENERAL DYNAMICS  
CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 07411.00062

SO ORDERED

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190391/10

John Chilarski and Helen Chilarski


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendants "TEXTRON, INC." and "AVCO CORP." hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants "TEXTRON, INC." and "AVCO CORP." without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants "TEXTRON, INC." and "AVCO CORP.", be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/20/11



NAPOLI BERN RIPKA & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
3500 Sunrise Highway, Suite T-207  
Great River, New York 11739

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendants  
TEXTRON INC. and  
AVCO CORP.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 00363.00111

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry J. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190391/10

John Chilarski and Helen Chilarski


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **CARRIER CORPORATION**, a subsidiary of United Technologies Corporation, (herein after "**CARRIER CORPORATION**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


7/19/11



NAPOLI BERN RIPKA & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
3500 Sunrise Highway, Suite T-207  
Great River, New York 11739

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSEK, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 10557.00901

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

GEORGE D. DEVINE and JUNE DEVINE, :  
His Wife, :  
 :  
Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

-against-

**Index No.: 190410/10**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et  
al., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 29, 2011  
  
By: Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
WILLENZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
Counsel for: **George D. Devine and June Devine**

  
By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN  
& DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**AUG 05 2011**

**05 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**Index No.: 190410/10**

This Document Relates to:

**GEORGE D. DEVINE and JUNE DEVINE,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

v.

**A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 18, 2011  
New York, New York

Kevin M. Berry  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

[Signature]  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Rheem Manufacturing Company  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190414/10


**LOUIS ODDO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
7/11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

ANGEL MARTINEZ & SHIRLEY  
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 190421-10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,  
Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 21, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka & Associates, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
3500 Sunride Highway, Suite T-207  
Great River, New York 11739  
(212) 267-3700

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ANGEL MARTINEZ & SHIRLEY  
MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 190421-10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,  
Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 21, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka & Associates, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
3500 Sunrise Highway  
Great River, NY 11739  
(212) 267-3700

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Ingersoll-Rand Company  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190421/10

-----X  
ANGEL MARTINEZ and SHIRLEY MARTINEZ,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and their agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendants"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 11, 2011

NAPOLI BERN RIPKA & ASSOCIATES, LLP


LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP

By:



Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118  
(212) 267-3700

By:



Michelle M. Grimaldi, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.477

SO ORDERED:



Sherry Klein Heitler  
Justice of the Supreme Court  
of the State of New York

Date:

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EUGENE BROWN and EDNA BROWN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

Index No. 190458/2010

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC ("MBUSA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant MBUSA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant MBUSA, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 21, 2011



Michael P. Roberts, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Tel: (212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Michael B. Sena, Esq.  
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004  
Tel: (212) 471-8527  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190464/10


Giuseppe Seidita and Josephine Seidita


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a  
“**CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT  
HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS**” (herein after “**CARRIER CORPORATION**”) hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: 7/11/11

  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Carrier Corporation  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 10557.00924

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

VERNIE ALYNE SHORT, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of TONY R.  
SHORT, and VERNIE ALYNE SHORT,  
Individually, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

**Index No.: 190020/11**

-against- :

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et  
al., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests judgment  
in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 13, 2011

By: Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: VERNIE ALYNE SHORT, as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of  
TONY R. SHORT, and VERNIE ALYNE  
SHORT, Individually

  
By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

8/20/11  
8/20/11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA POLOTAYE, :  
:

Plaintiffs, :  
:

- against - :  
:

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :  
:

Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 190028/11


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/21, 2011

  
Christopher A. Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**JUL 25 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE,

Index No. 190028/11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including  
REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY,


Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 13, 2011

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye  
(212) 558-5500

  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Reynolds Metals Company  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM A. STOCKHAUSEN and ANGELA BELLE  
STOCKHAUSEN,

Index No.: 190062-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,  
COPESE-VULCAN, INC, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant, COPESE-VULCAN, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, COPESE-VULCAN, INC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, COPESE-VULCAN, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
7/29, 2011

  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
COPESE-VULCAN, INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000  
Fax: 914-385-6060  
Our File No.: 089-595 GSH

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

----- X  
JOAN DONDERO,

Index No.: 11/190080

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER ON BEHALF OF  
DEFENDANT FREEPORT-  
MCMORAN CORPORATION**

- against -

ALCOA BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.  
----- X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Freeport-McMoRan Corporation, incorrectly named in the Verified Complaint as "Freeport-McMoRan (Individually and as Successor to Phelps Dodge)," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint and all amendments to the complaint against Freeport-McMoRan Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Freeport-McMoRan Corporation be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

**AUG 15 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

Dated: New York, New York

*July 8* 2011

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

By: \_\_\_\_\_

*Benjamin E. Haglund*  
Benjamin E. Haglund, Esq.  
DAY PITNEY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Freeport-McMoRan Corporation  
7 Times Square  
New York, NY 10036-7311  
(212) 297-5800

**SO ORDERED:**

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY  
GORHAM,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
----- X

: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS  
: LITIGATION (NYCAL)

: ASBESTOS MATTER  
: INDEX NO.: 190081/11

: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant **Morse Diesel International, Inc.** ("MDII") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, MDII, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant MDII be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

GANGEMI LAW FIRM, P.C.

By: [Signature]  
Bonnie M. Steinwolf  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: [Signature]  
Salvatore G. Gangemi  
700 White Plains Road, Suite 338  
Scarsdale, New York 10583  
(914) 725-5800  
Attorneys for Defendants Morse Diesel  
International, Inc.

**SO ORDERED:**

[Signature]  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X	
WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM,	: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (NYCAL)
	: ASBESTOS MATTER
Plaintiff,	: INDEX NO.: 190081/11
	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
-against-	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	:
	:
Defendants.	:
----- X	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant AMEC Construction Management, Inc. ("ACMI") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, ACMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant ACMI be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By:   
Bonnie M. Steinwolf  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

GANGEMI LAW FIRM, P.C.

By:   
Salvatore G. Gangemi  
700 White Plains Road, Suite 338  
Scarsdale, New York 10583  
(914) 725-5800  
Attorneys for Defendants AMEC  
Construction Management, Inc.

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**AUG 05 2011**

RECEIVED

AUG 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PAUL CRANE and ARLENE CRANE,

Index No.: 190082-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and  
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
8/14, 2011

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
AUG 15 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan  
Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000  
Fax: 914-385-6060  
Our File No.: 089-600 GSH

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
-----	X	
This Document Relates to:	:	Index No.: 11-190082
PAUL CRANE and ARLENE CRANE	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-----	X	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
	X	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff Paul Crane was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 23, 2011

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Belluck & Fox LLP

By:   
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.  
340 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10173

By:   
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
212.681.1575

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.  
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to  
The Bendix Corporation*

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

SO ORDERED

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.190085/11

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

MILA MACEK and WIJNANDA DEROO MACEK,  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE  
ELECTRIC CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant PERFORMANCE INDUSTRIES, INC. sued as an alleged successor to MR. GASKET COMPANY, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PERFORMANCE INDUSTRIES, INC. sued as an alleged successor to MR. GASKET COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PERFORMANCE INDUSTRIES, INC. sued as an alleged successor to MR. GASKET COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

June 20, 2011



Derek Wilson, Esq. Brian Early  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233



Heidi C. Baker, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230  
MMG File No.: 576.0002

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
----- X	
This Document Relates To:	: Index No. 190092/11
ARTHUR MUISE and JOANNE MUISE,	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
Plaintiffs,	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Defendants,	: IAS Part 39
----- X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Crown Cork & Seal Company, Inc. ("Crown") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Crown with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Crown be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
7/26/2011

KARST & VON OISTE LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Crown Cork & Seal Company, Inc.

By:   
Eric Karst, Esq.  
19500 State Hwy 249, Suite 420  
Houston, Texas 77070  
(281) 970-9988

By:   
Jason T. Cohen, Esq.  
One Liberty Plaza  
New York, New York 10006-1404  
(212) 412-9500

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

692267/21108

AUG 05 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ADAMS and BARBARA ADAMS

Index No. 190118-11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

JUL 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
GEORGE W. HISCHE and MARTINA HISCHE

Index No.: 190125/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
As successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUPS,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor  
To SARCO COMPANY, et al


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York


*July 19, 2011*



Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

AUG 15 2011

**FILED**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to Sarco  
Company  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

**AUG 05 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190129/11


Salvatore Finazzo

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.**, as successor in interest to **MURRAY ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.**, as successor in interest to **MURRAY ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.**, as successor in interest to **MURRAY ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/20/11  
  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Erik DiMarco, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**SIEMENS ENERGY AND  
AUTOMATION, INC.**, as successor  
in interest to **MURRAY  
ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 00965.00357

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

412  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190131-11

BERNARD BROWNE and LOURDES  
BROWNE,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-


ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 20, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011  
  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN &  
PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 05 2011

*F.Ae*  
JUL 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
BERNARD BROWNE and LOURDES BROWNE,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190131-2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALFA LAVAL, INC.; et al.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant WEBSTER PLUMBING SUPPLY INC., hereby request summary judgement in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant WEBSTER PLUMBING SUPPLY INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant WEBSTER PLUMBING SUPPLY INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

July 15, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JASON HODRINSKY, ESQ.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THOMAS A. MONTIGLIO, ESQ  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
WEBSTER PLUMBING SUPPLY INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
Our File No.: T2Z 0007H FAC

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

AUG 15 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

AUG 05 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190145/11

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD J. MALLOY AND MARILYN MALLOY

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NEW YORK, New York  
7/1/11

**FILED**  
AUG 15 2011

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

DANIEL BLOUIN, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
EDWARD J. MALLOY and MARILYN  
MALLOY,  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

AUG 05 2011



BV-WHOLE-4