

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 18176-81

MARTIN S. SIMUNOVICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY.,
et al,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, as Executrix for
the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER, and
PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 000876-84


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD RAMMACHER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 000876/84

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN E. BYRNES, as Executrix of the Estate
of EDWARD J. BYRNES, and JOAN BYRNES,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John S. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
AUG 10 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 04/102222
03/106686
87/015662
87CIV4473
88CIV6358

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EWALD C. LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 116846/00 & ~~15662/87~~

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11



Santa Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD BYRNES SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 015662/87

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

REGINA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate
of ALOYSIUS KENNY, and REGINA KENNY,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Anzbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/120579
89/11622
89CIV3460
87CIV4457
87/015566

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALOYSISUS KENNY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 015566/87

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES ANDERSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 006238/88


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106674780.1

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11622/89

REGINA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of
ALOYSIUS KENNY and REGINA KENNY,
Individually,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALOYSISUS KENNY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 011622/89

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

REGINA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate
of ALOYSIUS KENNY, and REGINA KENNY,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Index No.: 03/120579
89/11622
89CIV3460
87CIV4457
87/015566

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Cullen, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

SHEILA A. JOHNSON, as Executrix for the
Estate of LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON, and SHEILA
A. JOHNSON, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of PATRICIA JOHNSON,

Index No.: 05/102192
03/100769
89/13278
89 CIV 4059

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John G. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

... 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELEANOR A. WHITE as Proposed Administratrix
of the Goods Chattels and Credits of
JOHN F. WHITE, deceased, and ELEANOR A. WHITE,
Individually,

Index No.: 89/013269

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant
to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's
complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Gillen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES LAUFER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 013400/90


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD T. PLANTZ,

Index No. 03/115218
91/02564

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


Jonathan Barbridge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD PLANTZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 025647/91


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 25650/91

MARTIN S. SIMUNOVICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY.,
et al,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN SIMUNOVICH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 256501/91

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MILTON BURCKHALTER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 025657/91

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY S. LARDNER, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of THOMAS P. LARDNER, and
MARY S. LARDNER, Individually,

Index No.: 01/123008
95/119121
92/11319

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011



John S. Blumbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10013
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAY MCFARLAND

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 021755/92

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 217638/92
100300/94

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/9, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

1122-15839

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 217638/92
100300/94

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/6, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

454-0570

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 217638/92
100300/94

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/3, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

2383-22839

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 217638/92
100300/94

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
8/4, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

1235-15228

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 29525-92

JOSEPH SIGNORELLI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD KANIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 029795/92


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD KANIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 134010/93

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH SIGNORELLI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100118/94

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100118-94

JOSEPH SIGNORELLI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

 FILED

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 217638792
100300794

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/3, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

2383-22839

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 217638/92

~~100300/94~~

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/4, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

1235-15228

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 217638/92

100300/94

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/6, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

454-0570

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CLAIRE GESUALDI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
RALPH GESUALDI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 217638/92

100300/94

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/9, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ralph Gesualdi
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

1122-15839

{N0080939-1}



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GUNARS TRESS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100681/94

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALEX T. MILLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100955/94


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERARDO RUBINO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. ~~101017/94 &~~
109704/08

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/6, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

improperly named as Premier Refractories,

Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI


530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.82457

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JIMMY ROBERTSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102589/94

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

MARY S. LARDNER, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of THOMAS P. LARDNER, and
MARY S. LARDNER, Individually,

Index No.: 01/123008
~~95/119121~~
92/11319

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John S. Culver, Esq.
Culver and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10013
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS LARDNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119121/95

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA ARTURI, as Executrix of the Estate
of JAMES CALLAHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10023
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/101855
98/117487
98/111032
96/115065
95/128844

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

VIRGINIA ARTURI, as Executrix of the Estate
of JAMES CALLAHAN,

Index No.: 02/101855
98/117487
98/111032
96/115065
95/128844

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10013
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND KENSEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101498/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for
the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI, SR., and
DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 101498-97

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Steven K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FLOYD WEST

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103285/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARY K. DONALDSON, as Administratrix for the
Estate of JACKIE E. DONALDSON SR., and
MARY K. DONALDSON, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 103649-97
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JACK DONALDSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103649/97


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JEFFREY L. JARZYNSKI, as Executor for the
Estate of RAYMOND JARZYNSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 103990-97

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND JARZYNSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103990/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM MCLAUGHLIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104248/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAY C. TAYLOR,

Index No.: 106645-97

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC.,

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROL GRAMOLINI as Executrix for the Estate of
PETER DIGRAZIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 108737-97

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PETER DIGRAZIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108737/97


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARY K. DONALDSON, as Administratrix for the
Estate of JACKIE E. DONALDSON SR., and
MARY K. DONALDSON, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 113279-97
:
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JACK DONALDSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113279/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JEFFREY L. JARZYNSKI, as Executor for the
Estate of RAYMOND JARZYNSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 113280-97


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Quiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND JARZYNSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113280/97


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND KENSEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113280/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for
the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI, SR., and
DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 113280-97

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAY C. TAYLOR,

Index No.: 113281-97

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 0736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 12174997

NICHOLAS SANTORA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----x


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: August 15, 2011

New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

 Keith M. O'Connor

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH OWENS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John V. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 05/115563
99/122431
98/111038
98/105232
97/121762

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BARBARA A. FLOWERS, as Executrix for the
Estate of DONALD C. FLOWERS, and BARBARA
A. FLOWERS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 121829-97
:
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEANETTE SIKKAS, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of BERNARD
SIKKAS,

Index No.: 02/118207
00/110522
98/111064
98/105235
97/121939

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John B. Barbry, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER CLEGHORN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100488/98


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John L. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 98/111039
98/104095

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BARBARA A. FLOWERS, as Executrix for the
Estate of DONALD C. FLOWERS, and BARBARA
A. FLOWERS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 105232-98
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSEPH OWENS,

Index No.: 05/115563
99/122431
98/111038
98/105232
97/121762

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John A. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEANETTE SIKKAS, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of BERNARD
SIKKAS,

Index No.: 02/118207
00/110522
98/111064
98/105235
97/121939

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John G. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
300 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
HOWARD ELLEFSEN and SYLVIA ELLEFSEN,	: Index No. 110289/98
	: 111058/98
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A. C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Michael Fanelli
Michael Fanelli, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

VIRGINIA ARTURI, as Executrix of the Estate
of JAMES CALLAHAN,

Index No.: 02/101855
98/117487
98/111032
96/115065
95/128844

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10013
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, as Executrix for
the Estate of MARTIN MICHALSKI, SR., and
DONNA MARIE MICHALSKI, Individually,

Index No.: 111035-98

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant
TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

August 15, 2011

New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08733
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH OWENS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 05/115563
99/122431
~~98/111038~~
98/105232
97/121762

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
77 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 98/111039
98/104095

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John A. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, as Executrix for
the Estate of RICHARD RAMMACHER, and
PATRICIA ANN RAMMACHER, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 111040-98


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD RAMMACHER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111040/98


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ALICE JANE WAGNER, as Executrix for the
Estate of WILLIAM A. WAGNER, and ALICE
JANE WAGNER, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100771
00/103413
98/113177
98/111046

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John H. Bridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ARA NELL MURPHY, Individually and JAN ARIE
MURPHY, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES F.
MURPHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 111047-98


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

SAM DONOFRIO,

Index No.: 03/107991
03/100755
98/113130
98/111049

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Allen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BARBARA A. FLOWERS, as Executrix for the
Estate of DONALD C. FLOWERS, and BARBARA
A. FLOWERS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 111057-98
:


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JACK DONALDSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111058/98

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
HOWARD ELLEFSEN and SYLVIA ELLEFSEN,	: Index No. 110289/98
	: 111058/98
Plaintiff(s),	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Michael Fanelli, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEANETTE SIKKAS, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of BERNARD
SIKKAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
300 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/118207
00/110522
98/111064
98/105235
97/121939

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAY C. TAYLOR,

Index No.: 111065-98

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND JARZYNSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111069/98

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EMILY H. JANCA, as Executrix for the Estate of
RICHARD A. JANCA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 111069-98


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JEFFREY L. JARZYNSKI, as Executor for the
Estate of RAYMOND JARZYNSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 111069-98
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND KENSEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111070/98

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. MCCUE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111076/98

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER FILED

US_ACTIVE-106684536.1

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOHN J. McCUE (dec'd), :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A. W. CHESTERTON CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

: NYCAL

: I.A.S. Part 30

: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 111076-98

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**


: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**


: **ORDER**
: :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND WEBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112521/98


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106686208.1

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113040/98

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

VALERIE ZUCKERMAN, as Executrix for the
Estate of MARTIN ZUCKERMAN, and
LAWRENCE ZUCKERMAN, as Executor for the
Estate of THELMA ZUCKERMAN,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

: Index No.: 113040-98

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS Co., et al., :


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ARA NELL MURPHY, Individually and JAN ARIE MURPHY, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES F. MURPHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 113121-98

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Sarbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/107991
03/100755
98/113130
98/111049

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM WAGNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113177/98


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALICE JANE WAGNER, as Executrix for the
Estate of WILLIAM A. WAGNER, and ALICE
JANE WAGNER, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100771
00/103413
98/113177
98/111046

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

VIRGINIA ARTURI, as Executrix of the Estate
of JAMES CALLAHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


Index No.: 02/101855
98/117487
98/111032
96/115065
95/128844


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index Nos. 118174/98, 125131/00

ED CODAIR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/11, 2011


FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 118174-98

EDWARD A. CODAIR,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

TRANE US INC, f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTOPHER KANE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119840/98


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOANN KARALES and SUSAN PAVACIC, as Co-
Executrices for the Estate of ANTHONY
LAMANO, and ROSE LAMANO, Individually,

Index No. 06/108083
98/121906

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John B. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSEPH C. LANG,

Index No.: 121919-98

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,
et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
[Signature]
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08136
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH G. MASSARO, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of JOSEPH F. MASSARO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 100050-99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE ELIE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100558/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

IGNATIUS NOTARO and IDA NOTARO,

Index No.: 99/101027

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John F. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

IGNATIUS NOTARO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101027/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD PAIGE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101176/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL PALUMBO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101196/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HERMAN H. HARDY JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101607/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JOHN BOVENZI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101622/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAMES RIGGINS SR.,
(Deceased),

Index No.: 101624-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES RIGGINS SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101624/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALDO PUGLIESE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102947/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES RAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103362/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103579/99

EDWARD BELLO AND LORRAINE BELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, New York Protective Coverings, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
New York Protective Coverings
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Mark Bibo
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Bello and Lorraine Bello
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

2177-0019 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103579/99

EDWARD BELLO AND LORRAINE BELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Hercules Chemical Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Hercules Chemical Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Hercules Chemical Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/20, 2011

FILED


AUG 31 2011


Kerry Ann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Hercules Chemical Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Mark B. Boro
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Bello and Lorraine Bello
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

87-0608

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103579/99

EDWARD BELLO AND LORRAINE BELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/2, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Mark B. Bello
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Bello and Lorraine Bello
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

FILED

AUG 31 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

535-0155

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT REID

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103692/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 99-103824

WILLIAM EUGENE VOSS,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN FLASHOFSKY SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103855/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

RICHARD ROBBINS and MARIE ROBBINS,

Index No.: 104052-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. AND S., INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braatèn & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS ZEID

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104569/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106672641.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LEVI WALTON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104743/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARVIN SCHUMER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105423/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WITHOLD SOSNOWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105620/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PAUL SLOAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105650/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ANTHONY M. SLOAN, as Administrator for the
Estate of PAUL J. SLOAN,

Index No.: 105650-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.


Defendants.
-----X


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN ANTHONY COMETTI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106086/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
AUGUST ARRINDELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106246/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FRANK COSTELLO and ANN COSTELLO,

Index No.: 99/106261

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK COSTELLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106261/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
HAROLD AMES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106316/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE CHAMPAGNE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106337/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SUSAN ROSSBACH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106763/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS JOSEPH GREEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107233/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HOWARD GUILDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107251/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK A. IOLI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107913/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRED H. KAUFMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108200/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106667960.1

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JACOB TUREK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108485/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ROSE VENTRY, as Executrix for the Estate of
ALBERT VENTRY, and ROSE VENTRY,
Individually,

Index No: 108599-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS TAYLOR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108617/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106643024.1

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD ROBBINS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108914/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES E. MACK JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109747/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.

REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

X:/FBW36602/Legal/MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROY L. SMALLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 110083/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

7/29/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler **AUG 16 2011**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRED C. HAGEDORN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110087/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

DONALD H. SAWYER,

Index No.: 11115299

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,
et al.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD SAWYER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111152/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SHIRLEY POVELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111201/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WAYNE HUNTLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 111573/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 10 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

SIMONE STEWART, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of STEPHEN A.
STEWART,

Index No.: 111889-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08732
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

SIMONE STEWART, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of STEPHEN A.
STEWART,

Index No.: 111889-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN STEWART

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111889/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAY C. TAYLOR,

Index No.: 112622-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC.,

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
DONALD ALLEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113379/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES NOLAN COTHRON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114000/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106667899.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY DOBIES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114491/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS FUGATE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116768/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM FULLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116769/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JACK DONALDSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116634/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation

599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
PETER ARANSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117930/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN GARY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117282/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MANUEL MARCOTE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118267/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.O.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ALFRED M. ROKITKA,

Index No.: 119721-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,
et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190675-99

This Document Relates to:

MIRIAM HAHN, Individually and as Executrix for the
Estate of GERALD D. HAHN,

against


ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
7/27/11



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Miriam Hahn, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of Gerald D. Hahn
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Heitler



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BV-SCOTCH-16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 12045099

JAMES T. KENNEDY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN
STANDARD, INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN F. MEYER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120263/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL M. MAUPIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121345/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS X. BACHETY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121732/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 121732/99

FRANCIS XAVIER BACHETY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT CORTRIGHT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121856/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 121856/99

ROBERT G. CORTRIGHT and JOANNE B.
CORTRIGHT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: AUGUST 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08050

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 99-121932

JOHN BELLANDI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALVIN EHL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121980/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121981-99

GERHARDT KUHN,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RUDY H. LAUFER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121982/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.

Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109809/02
107886/00
121982/99

Louis A. Lipa, Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOAN CHURCH LOBUS, as Executrix for the Estate :
of RAYMOND LOBUS, and JOAN CHURCH :
LOBUS, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendants. :
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 121983-99

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela D. Giglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/107482
99/121984

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate
of MICHAEL GACH and PHYLLIS GACH,
Individually,

Index No.: 02/112375
00/105977
99/121985

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Barbradge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN KEPTNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 106462/00 & 121987/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/13


Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BARBARA J. KLOPFER, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of
RONALD W. KLOPFER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/106483
99/121987


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York

7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DIANE L. KUCIEL, as Executrix for the Estate of
FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI, PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 121988-99
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RICHARD W. MITCHELL,

Index No.: 03/117873
00/109249
99/122185

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Bozbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

KARL MULLER,

Index No.: 00/109465
99/122187

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
6/3/11, 2011


John C. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Bykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109465/00, 122187/99

KARL MULLER,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/3, 2011


Kenneth Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


David A. Chandler
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Karl Muller
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES NICOLAIDIS (dec.),

Index No.: 00/109064
99/122188

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/11, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Chirch and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SCOTT MCGRAW, as Executor for the Estate
of MERRICK MCGRAW,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/107854
99/122189

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JESSE MCSHERRY (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/109427
89/122190

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

7/29, 2011


John L. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES H. MCELROY (dec.),

Index No.: 07/100837
00/109408
99/122190

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011




John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011
AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHARLES M. RUMFOLA (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 00/109778
99/122191


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John E. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP SABELLA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 12219399

PHILIP J. SABELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE SCERE,

Index No.: 00/111114
99/122193

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Index No.: 00/108905
99/122198

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John L. Burbridge, Esq.
Challen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI,

Index No.: 00/113196
99/122199

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROL BAKER, as Executrix for the Estate of
MARK WITTER, and BEVERLY WITTER,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS Co., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 122201-99
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL BAKER, as Executrix for the Estate
of MARK WITTER, and BEVERLY WITTER,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 06/103645
00/114286
99/122201

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

TONI ZOGBY, as Administratrix for the
Estate of MICHAEL ZOGBY, and TONI ZOGBY,
Individually,

Index No.: 00/125333
00/114257
99/122201

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York

7/29, 2011


John J. Bursbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH OWENS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 05/115563
99/122431
98/111038
98/105232
97/121762

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BARBARA A. FLOWERS, as Executrix for the
Estate of DONALD C. FLOWERS, and BARBARA
A. FLOWERS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 122599-99
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JULIUS PAUL NOSEWICZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122602/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
VIRGINIA BURNS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125073/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111494/04
100781/03
107169/00
125766/99

Henry M. Nowak


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN-RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
& SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 125767-99


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DANIEL R. MANGOLD, as Executor for the Estate
of RONALD E. MANGOLD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 125768-99

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 125768-99
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 102115/2007
125768/1999
107299/2000

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 08.02.11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler
AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KRISTINA KENT, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of RONALD R. KENT,

Index No.: 00/105342
00/105642
99/125769

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John L. Farbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 12577099

ROBERT WAGNER,

Plaintiff,

-against-


A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry R. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EVELYN M. HARCROW, as Executrix for the
Estate of JOSEPH M. HARCROW SR., and
EVELYN M. HARCROW, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 125775-99
:
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A.
FARRELL,

Index No.: 125779-99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/1/11
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 3 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 1 9 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSE A. FERNANDEZ,

Index No: 125779/99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 104749/2000
125779/1999

JOSE A. FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 5-26-2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. Dorothy M. Dorker et al
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

VALERIE ZUCKERMAN, as Executrix for the
Estate of MARTIN ZUCKERMAN, and
LAWRENCE ZUCKERMAN, as Executor for the
Estate of THELMA ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS Co., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 125793-99


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/104483
99/125798
I-2001-2654
(Albany Co.)

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DENISE LANG as Executrix for the Estate
of DUANE BROUGHTON, and CLOY BROUGHTON,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John P. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/104471
99/125798

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 1 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-100198

This Document Relates to:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN

against

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

7/27/11




MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BV-SCOTCH-9

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARILYN RINEHART, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of HAROLD RINEHART,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
& SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 100550-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD RINEHART

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100550/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106885931.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 22

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. BRANN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100552/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS BALLING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100553/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA MEHLROSE, as Administratrix for
Estate of RICHARD H. MEHLROSE, and
PATRICIA MEHLROSE, Individually,

Index No.: 00/100582

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PATRICIA MEHLROSE, as Administratrix for
Estate of RICHARD H. MEHLROSE, and
PATRICIA MEHLROSE, Individually,

Index No.: 00/100582

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/10, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykeman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler **SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER**

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EMILY H. JANCA, as Executrix for the Estate of
RICHARD A. JANCA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 100855-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WESLEY SCHWARTZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101760/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th F
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

CHARLES A. VITALE, as Executor of the Estate of
WESLEY A. SCHWARTZ, and EVELYN J.
SCHWARTZ, Individually,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

: Index No.: 101760-00

-against- :

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
& SUPPLY) et al., :


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JANET BROOKS, as Executrix for the Estate of
FRANCIS J. BROOKS, and JANET BROOKS, as
Executrix for the Estate of GENEVIEVE BROOKS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING &
SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 102466-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS J BROOKS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102466/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103411/00

KATHLEEN FIK, AS PROPOSED EXECUTRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM F. RYAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/13, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of William F. Ryan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-19437

{N0080939-1}

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WARREN BURNHAM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103412/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WAYNE WALLACE, as Executor for the Estate of
WARREN E. BURNHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C & S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING &
SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 103412-00
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM WAGNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103413/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106686166.1



AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ALICE JANE WAGNER, as Executrix for the
Estate of WILLIAM A. WAGNER, and ALICE
JANE WAGNER, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100771
00/103413
98/113177
98/111046

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10001
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EMILY H. JANCA, as Executrix for the Estate of
RICHARD A. JANCA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 103416-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN EDWARD BYNUM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103589/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN BELLANDI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103811/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 00-103811

JOHN BELLANDI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MAURICE BEEMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103811/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RUDELL HARRY BELVIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103812/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 103846/00

FRANCIS XAVIER BACHETY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Keith M. O'Connor
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS X. BACHETY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103846/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND ST. GELAIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104164/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD CRIPPS, JR.

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 104292/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/6, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John I. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87170

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN R. CANTAZARO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104449/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DENISE LANG as Executrix for the Estate
of DUANE BROUGHTON, and CLOY BROUGHTON,
Individually,

Index No.: 00/104471
99/125798

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John S. Embry, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 18 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARLO BRISCOLI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104483/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/19, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/104483
99/125798
I-2001-2654
(Albany Co.)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH GALDYS JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104670/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A.
FARRELL,

Index No.: 104712-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/11/11
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSE A. FERNANDEZ,

Index No: 104749/00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 104749/2000
125779/1999

JOSE A. FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ⁵⁻²⁶⁻²⁰¹¹ New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ~~Dana M. Perker~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of
NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 104983-00


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH HARCROW SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105040/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EVELYN M. HARCROW, as Executrix for the
Estate of JOSEPH M. HARCROW SR., and
EVELYN M. HARCROW, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 105040-00
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT CASARIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105103/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL SCHIANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105249/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106885964.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

X:/FBW39345/legal/MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SIDNEY WALDMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 105260/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER A. SKY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105282/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN TORCHICK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105288/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106886127.1

FILED
RECEIVED
AUG 31 2011
AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KRISTINA KENT, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of RONALD R. KENT,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/105342
~~00/105642~~
99/125769

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John L. Warbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
& SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 105494-00


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11

New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KRISTINA KENT, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of RONALD R. KENT,

Index No.: 00/105342
00/105642
99/125769

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Karbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate
of MICHAEL GACH and PHYLLIS GACH,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/112375
00/105977
99/121985

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN D. LARKIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105475/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106684080.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VERONICA CONNELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105597/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD J. FERGUSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105744/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT CORTRIGHT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105827/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 105827/00

ROBERT G. CORTRIGHT and JOANNE B.
CORTRIGHT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
AND SUPPLY), et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

 FILED

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 105846-00

SOLOMON ELIAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALVIN EHL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105849/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 105977-00

This Document Relates to:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of
MICHAEL GACH, and PHYLLIS GACH,
INDIVIDUALLY

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

2/27/11


MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Phyllis Gach, as Executrix for the Estate of
Michael Gach, and Phyllis Gach, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BV-SCOTCH-6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ELMER A. LANG

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106412/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106433-00

GERHARDT KUHN,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN KEPTNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No. 106462/00 & 121987/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/13


Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BARBARA J. KLOPFER, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of
RONALD W. KLOPFER,

Index No. 00/106483
99/121987

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**BARBARA J. KLOPFER, Individually
and as Executrix for the Estate of
RONALD W. KLOPFER,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. & S. INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 106483/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/15/11

Michael Farnell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN GIARDIELLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106727/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DIANE L. KUCIEL, as Executrix for the Estate of
FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI, PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 106891-00
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES C. REYNOLDS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107003/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107086/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CASIMIR SIBIGA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107083/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED RECEIVED
AUG 31 2011
AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD R. SKELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107095/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10713600

ROBERT WAGNER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.


Defendants.
-----x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111494/04
100781/03
107169/00
125766/99

Henry M. Nowak


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BRIAN J. O'BYRNE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107173/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK. O'ROURKE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107177/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SHELDON MCMANUS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107203/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




FILED

Christopher W. Healy, Esq. **AUG 31 2011**
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL. **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**
New York, New York 10022 **NEW YORK**
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN MELTON SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107207/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

GEORGE J. MALICK (dec.),

Index No.: 00/107269

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

10 2011
10 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE MALICK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107269/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106687365.1



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MATTHEW KALINOWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107270/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No.: 107297-00

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107297/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This document relates to:

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102115/2007
125768/1999
107299/2000

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 08.02.11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler
AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD MANGOLD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107321/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DANIEL R. MANGOLD, as Executor for the Estate
of RONALD E. MANGOLD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 107321-00


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI and MARIA
M. MIGLIOZZI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 107449/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STELLA MIGLIOZZI, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of ANTONIO
MIGLIOZZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. DeBridges, Esq.
Carrion and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/105605
00/107449

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Index No.: 00/107492
99/121984

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MERRICK MCGRAW

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107854/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SCOTT MCGRAW, as Executor for the Estate
of MERRICK MCGRAW,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/107854
99/122189

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109809/02
107886/00
121982/99

Louis A. Lipa, Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS TODD LAWRIE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107860/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THEODORE RICHARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108078/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MILTON STROBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108551/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

US_ACTIVE-106688625.1

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, as Administratrix for
the Estate of FRANK FRACCALVIERI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
17 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 04/105233
00/112444
00/108353

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD GUNTRIPP (dec.),

Index No.: 00/111674
00/108352

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Buebridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

GERALD G. DORAN and DIANA DORAN,

Index No.: 00/112478
00/108353

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10002
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ROSALIE FERRANTI, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of RICHARD M. FERRANTI,

Index No: 108353-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH GALANTE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108353/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY BAGNETT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108356/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106686956.1

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE BALL JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108356/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT (dec.),

Index No.: 00/110574

00/110571

00/108356

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John A. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM ALLOCA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108356/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONRAD AUBIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108356/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT TRAVIS SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108359/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

GINO ROMANO (dec.),

Index No.: 00/115838
00/108388

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-108553

This Document Relates to:

FRANCES WEIR, Individually and as Administratrix
for the Estate of WILLIAM T. WEIR,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
8/15/11



MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903




FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Frances Weir, Individually and
Administratrix for the Estate of William T.
Weir
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

AUG 19 2011



BV-ANKLE-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES THURLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108721/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212)-521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106688658.1



AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/108905
99/122198

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John L. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN M. MARKEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109001/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY NASCA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109052/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES NICOLAIDIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109064/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



US_ACTIVE-106687965.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES NICOLAIDIS (dec.),

Index No.: 00/109064
99/122188

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/11, 2011



John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN MARTINELLI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109079/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106642890.1

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOAN CHURCH LOBUS, as Executrix for the Estate
of RAYMOND LOBUS, and JOAN CHURCH
LOBUS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 109114-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD MITCHELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109249/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



FILED

Christopher W. Healy, Esq. **AUG 31 2011**
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL. **CLERK'S OFFICE**
New York, New York 10022 **NEW YORK**
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL,

Index No.: 03/117873
00/109249
99/122185

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. ✓

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Borbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 3 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALD MIRABELLA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109253/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109264/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106688387.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS PAONESSA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109266/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106688378.1



AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NESTORI J. PAKIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109285/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30
FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD MONACO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109318/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 20th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES H. MCELROY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109408/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JAMES H. MCELROY (dec.),

Index No.: 07/100837
~~00/109408~~
99/122190

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CORNELIUS MCDADE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109413/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106687383.1



FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JESSE MCSHERRY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109427/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JESSE MCSHERRY (dec.),

Index No. 00/109427
99/122190

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN L. MATHIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109431/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

KARL MULLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109465/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106687889.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KARL MULLER,

Index No.: 00/109465
 '99/122187

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
 6/23/11, 2011



John G. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KARL MULLER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109465/00, 122187/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/3, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


David A. Chandler
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Karl Muller
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 BROADWAY
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE MCGOFF

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109491/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/19, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106684551.1



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CHARLES M. RUMFOLA (dec.),

Index No.: 00/109778
99/122191

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK COSTANZO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110077/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT WAGNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 1107136/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

CHARLES A. LASS,

Index No.: 110181-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

 AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (dec.),

Index No.: 00/117873
00/110182

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Partridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED
AUG 16 2011



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 110183/00
117421/00

James Gardner Berry

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/4/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBIN SORRENTINO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110306/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

FILED PART 30

US_ACTIVE-106688494.1

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEANETTE SIKKAS, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of BERNARD
SIKKAS,

Index No.: 02/118207
00/110522
98/111064
98/105235
97/121939

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John S. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PETER CEFALY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110548/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM ALLOCA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110564/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT (dec.),

Index No.: 00/110574
00/110571
00/108356

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbidge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY BAGNETT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110574/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED RECEIVED
AUG 31 2011 AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK **PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT (dec.),

Index No.: 00/110574
00/110571
00/108356

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONRAD AUBIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110577/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN BANIK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110587/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECORDED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE BALL JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110589/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD E. KING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110960/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE SCERE,

Index No.: 00/111114
99/122193

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT LORENTZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111109/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PARFILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS SCERE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111114/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106685948.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NICOLA SCALICI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111116/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
FILED 19 2011
AUG 31 2011 PART 30

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ARA NELL MURPHY, Individually and JAN ARIE
MURPHY, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES F.
MURPHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 111484-00


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD GUNTRIPP (dec.),

Index No.: 00/111674
00/108352

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING
& SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No.: 112083-00


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VICTOR MELILLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112110/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEFAN MAZUROWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112122/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



FILED

AUG 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES MACK JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112123/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK FRACCALVIERI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112444/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, as Administratrix for
the Estate of FRANK FRACCALVIERI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. MacBride, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 04/105233
00/112444
00/108353

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ROSALIE FERRANTI, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of RICHARD M. FERRANTI,

Index No: 112457-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PAUL DRANK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112462/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

GERALD G. DORAN and DIANA DORAN,

Index No.: 00/112478
00/108353

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John W. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10002
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCESCO ORILIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112680/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT O'NEILL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112718/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK VANCHIERI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113196/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PATRICK C. VANCHIERI,

Index No.: 00/113196
99/122199

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler 10 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD RHODES SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113756/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DOMINICK RICCIARDI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113757/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAIMONDO PICINIC

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113778/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EBER JOHNSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114024/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,




Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LESLIE SMITH SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114135/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES T. STEVENS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114155/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM V. STEVENSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114167/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TONI ZOGBY, as Administratrix for the
Estate of MICHAEL ZOGBY, and TONI ZOGBY,
Individually,

Index No.: 00/125333
~~00/114257~~
99/122201

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Bursbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES ZITO JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114258/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED 30

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THEODORE ZAKRZEWSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114264/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
FILED
AUG 31 2011
PART 30

US_ACTIVE-106688718.1

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LEO WYSOCKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114271/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER FILED

AUG 31 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROL BAKER, as Executrix for the Estate of
MARK WITTER, and BEVERLY WITTER,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS Co., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 114286-00

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CAROL BAKER, as Executrix for the Estate
of MARK WITTER, and BEVERLY WITTER,
Individually,

Index No.: 06/103645
~~00/114286~~
99/122201

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARK WITTER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114286/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106686219.1



AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN A. SMITH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114772/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES L. SPENCE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114778/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106688501.1



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANGELO TESTA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114808/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER FILED

US_ACTIVE-106688635.1

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
AUG 31 2011 **PART 30**
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

GERALD H. RABIDEAU,

Index No: 115220-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011
County Clerk's Office
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

AUG 19 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115220/00

GERALD H. RABIDEAU, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/26, 2011

FILED

AUG 3 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,
LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115261/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115696/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 16 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GINO ROMANO (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 00/115836
00/108386

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GINO ROMANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115836/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John M. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



~~Frank M. O'Connell~~ Esq. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SO ORDERED
AUG 16 2011, Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/102693
00/119380
00/115696

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106751/04
115696/00

Paul Jennings


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/9/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EWALD C. LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 116846/00 & 15662/87

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11


Sama Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM J. DONNELLY (dec.),

Index No.: 00/116927

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


Jean E. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

CHARLES A. LASS,

Index No.: 117033-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE LIBERATO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117036/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106687155.1

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110183/00
117421/00

James Gardner Berry


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/4/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 9 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 117381/00

JACK L. FRASIEUR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/28, 2011

FILED


AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,
LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 13 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES LEE NEWMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117846/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698221.1

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117855/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (dec.),

Index No.: 00/117873
00/110182

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Ferridge, Esq.
Cullinane and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED
AUG 16 2011



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC POSILLICO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117893/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANDREW PURCELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117894/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SAM MUDARO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118366/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL MELYNK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118374/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698030.1

FILED RECEIVED

AUG 31 2011

AUG 19 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DOROTHY MELNYK, Individually and
as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL
A. MELNYK,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 118374/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/31/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT MAZZE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118386/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD L. HARVEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118460/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED RECEIVED
AUG 31 2011 AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONRAD LACHANCE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118502/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ELVIRA M. DU BRITZ, Individually
and as Executrix for the Estate of
MICHAEL DU BRITZ,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 118679/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/15/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL DU BRITZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118679/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES E. WILLIAMS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119159/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**CHARLES E. WILLIAMS,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 119159/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

BRIDGET JONES, as Administratrix for the
Estate of CHARLES E. WILLIAMS,

Index No.: 00/119376
00/119159

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/11, 2011



John A. Barbridge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARK PALLESCHI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119373/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100782/03

106190/01

119373/00

Martin Pardes


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05319-0001

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Index No: 119373-00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08536
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARK O'BRIEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119374/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NANCY L. WYANT, as Administratrix for the
Estate of EDWARD E. WYANT SR., and NANCY
L. WYANT, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100770
01/107774
00/119375

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John P. Cullen, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED
AUG 16 2011

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT MULLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119374/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
ALBERT YANIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119375/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11937500

JOSEPH A. ZAMPELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AERMICAN
STANDARD, INC., et al.


Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry R. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD E. WYANT SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119375/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698866.1

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES WEISENBURGER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 11937/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106645610.1

AUG 19 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PAUL WOJCICKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119376/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BRIDGET JONES, as Administratrix for the
Estate of CHARLES E. WILLIAMS,

Index No.: 00/119376
00/119159

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11 Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011



John W. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CLIFFORD A. WALKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119376/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 19 2011

RECEIVED COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD R. WINTERS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119376/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR VANZILE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT VAN BUREN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

AUGUST TURIANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARIN TIGHE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. TURNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698715.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-108698647.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11937700

AUGUST TURIANO,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

TRANE US, INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD,
INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 0736
(732) 528-8888

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALTON H. THOMPKINS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119377/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

OSCAR SPARLING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119378/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CASIMIR SIBIGA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119379/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD R. SKELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119379/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698602.1

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK SCHULTE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119379/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT PAUL SAWICKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119379/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/1/11, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 3 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 1 9 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)
Index No: 119379/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MAURI SAVALAINEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119379/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD E. SIERING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 1197379/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698597.1



FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD R. SKELLY,

Index No.: 01/107095
00/119379

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John Purbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10035
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
2011 16 2011 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD A. MATTICE SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119381/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102702/01
119380/00

Virgil C. Miller

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
8/12/11

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JIM MCKENNA

NYCAL
J.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119380/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY MODAFFERI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119380/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698164.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN (dec.),

Index No.: 01/102693
00/119380
00/115696

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John W. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


~~Clark M. O'Connell, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED
AUG 16 2011 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103459/01
119381/00

Thomas E. McDermott


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

IGNACIO MANN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119382/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.

Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARRE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119382/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 01/104033
00/119382

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011




John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GIOVANNI LATTANZIO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119383/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER,

Index No.: 03/100787
01/102314
00/119383

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119384/00, 107575/01

RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/26, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,
LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE A. SANTIAGO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119384/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES SANDQUIST

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119384/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM SABOTT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119384/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT RAHEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119385/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VITO PIETANZA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119385/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

SALVATORE RANDAZZO,

Index No.: 03/100780
01/106463
00/119385

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John P. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100782/03
106441/01
119385/00

Charles Plummer


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/12/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HENRY J. ALLEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119386/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID BLEKENSOPP

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

US_ACTIVE-106695059.1

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT D. BISHOP SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119390/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

US_ACTIVE-106695047.1

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BETTY ANN BISHOP, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT D.
BISHOP, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/125268
00/119390

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EARL R. HANMER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119391/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER AUG 31 2011



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FRED HANMER, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of EARL R. HANMER,

Index No. : 01/100783
00/119391
I-2001-2205

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100794/01
119391/00

Charles Hazard


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

AUG 19 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. FOWLER and GRACE
FOWLER,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 119393/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

GRACE FOWLER, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of JOHN T. FOWLER,

Index No.: 00/119393
01/6633 (Erie Co.)

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler, JUDGE 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

DAVID GIANCARLO,

Index No.: 01/100725
00/119393

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN T. FOWLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119393/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/10, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID J. GIANCARLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119393/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HARVEY L. FLEITMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119394/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
FILED AUG 19 2011
AUG 31 2011 PART 30

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119394/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100756/03
100616/01
119394/00

Vincent T. Ferrone Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ and ARLENE FERNANDEZ,

Index No.: 03/100756
01/100621
00/119394

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11 Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011


John F. Andridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
NEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 3 1 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 11938400

JAMES P. SANDQUIST,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US, f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11938400

JOSE A. SANTIAGO,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-


TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.,
et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US, f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

TERRY M. KESSLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119400/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

MARSHA A. KESSLER, as Executrix for the
Estate of TERRY M. KESSLER, and MARSHA
A. KESSLER, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100787
01/101892
00/119400

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John H. Sutcliffe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALEXANDER ZABLOZKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119673/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10017
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119828/00

Warren J. Leonard

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/10/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY L. SZWED

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120665/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106643006.1

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120780/00

Robert F. Bowley Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/4/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533-00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD FLOYD BENNETT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121197/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/19, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 121295/00

LUIS GARCIA,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US, f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08726
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
AUG 19 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LUIS GARCIA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121295/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN MICHAEL MALLON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121514/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th L.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTONIO SCALAFANI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121686/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th F
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JACOB TUREK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121764/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SOLOMON ELIAS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121980/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH J. McINERNEY (dec.),

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/122053

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John F. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dylkman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
17 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. MCINERNEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122053/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106697967.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122143/00

John Capasso


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/3/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0000

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 3 1 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ARA NELL MURPHY, Individually and JAN ARIE :
MURPHY, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES F. :
MURPHY, :

NYCAL :
I.A.S. Part 30 :
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) :

Plaintiff(s), :

Index No.: 122297-00

-against- :

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG :
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., :

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


Defendants.


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/15/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS E. RUSSELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122496/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122850/00

Jorge F. Guerra


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/9/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MANUEL ALMOZARA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122872/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MAURITS C. MULDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123057/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT LOUGHLIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123088/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH TOMASKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123565/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CLYDE FRASIER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124331/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED AUG 19 2011
AUG 31 2011 **PART 30**

RECEIVED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALD J. MICHEL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124332/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698066.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN CABELLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124333/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALAN EDWARD PAUZE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124339/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK COURTNEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124342/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHESTER HORNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125131/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry G. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index Nos.: 118174/98; 125131/00

ED CODAIR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
8/11, 2011

FILED


AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BETTY ANN BISHOP, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT D.
BISHOP, SR.,

Index No.: 00/125268
00/119390

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD J. HAUCK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125331/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Porter

US_ACTIVE-106671718.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TONI ZOGBY, as Administratrix for the
Estate of MICHAEL ZOGBY, and TONI ZOGBY,
Individually,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 00/125333
00/114257
99/122201

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100756/03
100616/01
119394/00

Vincent T. Ferrone Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ and ARLENE FERNANDEZ,

Index No.: 03/100756
01/100621
00/119394

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11 Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011


John J. MacBride, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ and ARLENE
FERNANDEZ ,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 100621/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

DAVID GIANCARLO,

Index No. 01/100725
00/119393

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FRED HANMER, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of EARL R. HANMER,

Index No.: 01/100783
00/119391
I-2001-2205

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100794/01
119391/00

Charles Hazard


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

AUG 19 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES ASHCRAFT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101205/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER FILED PART 30

US_ACTIVE-106694610.1

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARSHA A. KESSLER, as Executrix for the
Estate of TERRY M. KESSLER, and MARSHA
A. KESSLER, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100787
01/101892
00/119400

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John J. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH MATTE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102125/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/100787
01/102314
00/119383

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John A. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND KENSEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102456/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


~~Clark M. Quinn~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SO ORDERED
AUG 16 2011 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 01/102693
00/119380
00/115696

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102702/01
119380/00

Virgil C. Miller


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/12/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY MODAFFERI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102720/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,




Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER AUG 31 2011

FILED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102902/01


Donald E. Hitz

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/9/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THEODORE F. WALKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103003/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EUGENE DEGANNES and JACQUELINE DEGANNES,

Index No.: 03/100755
01/103007

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/29, 2011


John D. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EUGENE DEGANNES and
JACQUELINE DEGANNES,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 103007/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE DEGANNES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103007/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103270/01

Alicia J. Kucharczak


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/10/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103459/01
119381/00

Thomas E. McDermott


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0007

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM C. MARTIN (dec.),

Index No.: 01/103611

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John P. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM C. MARTIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103611/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106697877.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM V. HAKES III

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103870/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD PARKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103986/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

LAWRENCE A. LIGAMMARE (dec.),

Index No.: 01/104033
00/119382

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Busbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENIS F. NICASTRO and CAROLE S.
NICASTRO ,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 104561/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DENIS F. NICASTRO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104561/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698255.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RUFUS HALL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104563/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED RECEIVED
AUG 31 2011 AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK **PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104695/01

William J. Robinson


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES V. ROSATI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 104696/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES V. ROSATI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104696/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES V. ROSATI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104696/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PEARL MARGOLIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104902/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104904/01

Harvey McCain


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 104980-01

HENRY STUDE and ENZA STUDE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HENRY STUDE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104980/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT B. GAGLIARDO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104982/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED PART 30

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS J. LANGAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104997/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106695964.1



AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.:104999/2001

FRANK C. GULLO, JR.

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 08.02.11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler
AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH,

Index No.: 01/105230

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY
KEITH,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 105230/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES E. MEYERS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105252/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT THOMAS and LINDA K.
THOMAS,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)


INDEX NO.: 105352/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

X:/FBW42741/legal/MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM TANNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 105354/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler
AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ETHEL L. KOROSCEZ and FRANK
KOROSCEZ,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)


INDEX NO.: 105477/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ETHEL N. KOROSECZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105477/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SVEN ELOF NILSSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105483/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8116, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STELLA MIGLIOZZI, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of ANTONIO
MIGLIOZZI,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/105605
00/107449

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John S. Brubridge, Esq.
Carter and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105605/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698072.1

FILED RECEIVED
AUG 31 2011 AUG 19 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE PART 30
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH K. O'ROURKE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105680/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MYRON D. SCHREIBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105745/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD C. PRITCHETT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105870/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HENRY SHERMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105871/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ELIZABETH WRIGHT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105986/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Index No: 106190-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100782/03
106190/01
119373/00

Martin Pardes

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/11/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05315-00-01

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100782/03

106441/01

119385/00

Charles Plummer


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/12/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10011
Our File No. 05335.0001

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE RANDAZZO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106463/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SALVATORE RANDAZZO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John P. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/100780
01/106463
00/119385

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY JOHN REILLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106642/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAROL ANN KELLY, as Executrix for
the Estate of LAWRENCE J. KELLY,
and CAROL ANN KELLY, Individually,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 106643/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/5/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE KELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106643/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No.:106643-01

This Document Relates To:

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

CAROL ANN KELLY, as Executrix for the estate of
LAWRENCE J. KELLY, and CAROL ANN KELLY,
individually

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A. C. and S., INC., et al.


Defendants
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 15, 2011


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106644/01

Richard T. Grogan

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/8/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

536.07200/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
JOHN A. LOCKWOOD, Deceased, : Index No.: 106933/01
: :
Plaintiff, : (May 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 08/02/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ *Matthew Park, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07930

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106933/01

JOHN A. LOCKWOOD

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/18, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,
LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 106933/2001

JOHN A. LOCKWOOD (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 07.25.11



Frank Ortiz, Esq. — Matthew Park
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500


Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MAURI SAVALAINEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106962/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,




Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD E. SIERING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107081/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698591.1



FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 107095/01

I.A.S. Part 39
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

EDWARD R. SKELLY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C.&S. INC, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant KOHLER CO. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant KOHLER CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, KOHLER CO. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: September 23, 2011
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *David Blouin*
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**


W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Kohler
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



BR-ROCK-3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD R. SKELLY,

Index No. 01/107095
00/119379

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10033
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
AUG 16 2011 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD R. WINTERS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107187/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CLIFFORD A. WALKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107201/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400


SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698764.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107317/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th F
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698638.1



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALTON H. THOMPkins

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107325/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10733701

AUGUST TURIANO,

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-


TRANE US, INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD,
INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

OSCAR SPARLING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107403/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DENNIS M. WHALEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107450/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH COSTANZO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107453/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106683235.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM R. SLIGER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107507/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10757001

JOSE A. SANTIAGO,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-


TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.,
et al.

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US, f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 10757101

JAMES P. SANDQUIST,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
[Signature]
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor
Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US, f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107575/2001

RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 08.02.11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119384/00; 107575/01

RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/26, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NANCY L. WYANT, as Administratrix for the
Estate of EDWARD E. WYANT SR., and NANCY
L. WYANT, Individually,

Index No.: 03/100770
01/107774
00/119375

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John F. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED

AUG 16 2011


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SIMON MANNING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108009/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108012/01

Philip F. Anundson


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/2/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP F. ANUNDSON

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 108012/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/5 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86209

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JAY EBINGER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 108708/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH G. MASSARO, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of JOSEPH F. MASSARO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 108713-01

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 8/18/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH A. MASSARO JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108713/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED

AUG 19 2011

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSEPH C. LANG,

Index No.: 108713-01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY,
et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York


Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08716
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GLENN E. POWERS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109019/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698265.1

FILED

AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
AUG 19 2011
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
PHILIP HERNANDEZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109761/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY J. ROMANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110165/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

US_ACTIVE-106698302.1



**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MADELINE MCGINN, Individually and
RICHARD JOHN MAVERICK and
RICHARD JOHN MAVERICK as
Personal Representatives for the Estate
of HAROLD MCGINN ,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 110438/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

8/15/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD MCGINN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110438/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAROLD MCGINN (dec.),

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/110742
01/110438

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAROLD MCGINN (dec.),

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/110742
01/110438

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011



John J. Furbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 110814/2001

DANIEL MAYNARD PRATT (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR-
OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**


WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 08.02.11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY**
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
DANIEL TORRETTA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111218/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JAMES BAIRD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111218/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PASQUALE RASILE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No. 111218/01 & 115624/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JOHN J. FARRELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111219/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

NIKOLA PICINICH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No. 111219/01 & 116416/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
MORRIS COHEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111221/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JOHN GARREN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111222/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
ROGER WARKENTHIEN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111222/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH J. MAIELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 111222/01 & 115816/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
ALBERT NICHOLS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111222/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN GARREN and JOAN C. GARREN,

Index No.: 01/115805
01/111222

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
2/29, 2011


John P. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111222/01
115845/01

Frank M. Colabella

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/2/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY BOIANO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 111223/01 &
120946/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/5 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hepwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86210

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111223/01
120946/01

Anthony Boiano


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/3/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.000

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-111224

This Document Relates to:

NATALE V. GRILLO AND FRANCES GRILLO

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NEW YORK CITY, New York
July 22, 2011


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Natale V. Grillo and Frances Grillo
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

AUG 16 2011


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-111224

This Document Relates to:

NATALE V. GRILLO AND FRANCES GRILLO

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NEW YORK CITY, New York

July 22, 2011



WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Natale V. Grillo and Frances Grillo
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED: AUG 16 2011



SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

X:/FBW43886/legal/MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SIDNEY SMOLLER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 111224/01 & 121132/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PHILIP KENNEDY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 111225/01 & 118079/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff's
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
SHALOM LEVY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111227/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JORGE RAMOS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 111227/01


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

7/29/11


Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
WILLIAM H. WHARRY JR.,	: Index No. 121547/01
	: 111228/01
Plaintiff(s),	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S., INC., et al.	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-19-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

BY: 
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
D. M. Norbert et al.

By: 
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

~~AUG 16 2011~~



SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111228/01; 121539/01

ALBERT CONTENTO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/18, 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121547/01: 111228/01

WILLIAM H. WHARRY JR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/28, 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,
LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CHARLES F. THOMAS (dec.),

Index No.: 09/190027
01/119609
01/111229

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7/29, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Driz, Esq. Michael Panelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

20028 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PASQUALE VUOLO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No. 111230/01 & 123189/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11


Sanja Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JAMES SCHIAVO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111231/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11123101

GEORGE EDWARDSSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AERMICAN
STANDARD, INC., et al.


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: August 15, 2011
New York, New York



Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUDOLPH BIBOW and JOAN BIBOW,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
7-19, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *Dana M. Northcott, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 01/120471
01/111231

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111233/01-118721/01

JOSEPH W. SHRECK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
7/27, 2011

FILED


AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JACK LOCKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111234/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

AUG 31 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106645855.1

AUG 19 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
NUNZIA CONTINO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 111234/01 & 119252/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
7/29/11


Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED
AUG 31 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

X:/FBW43553/legal/MAY 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PATRICIA F. FAGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 111234/01 & 119237/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

7/29/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

AUG 16 2011

FILED

AUG 31 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**