

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190088/2010

PATRICIA UNDERDOWN, as Administratrix for the Estate
of RONALD UNDERDOWN, and PATRICIA UNDERDOWN,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

08/11 /
Dated: July , 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald J. Underdown
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Paul B. Josephs
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
FILED
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 36 50
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190092/12

WILLIAM E. HANNA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 14, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William E. Hanna
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Paul B. Josephs
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
FILED
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190024/09

RHONDA SAMUEL as Executrix for the Estate of MORRIS
GOLDMINTZ, and MURIEL GOLDMINTZ, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 14, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Morris Goldmintz
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature] 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

[Signature]
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
NY'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 / 50
(Moulton, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: ¹¹³⁰⁴⁹~~190049~~/1999

JOSEPH L. BILLITIER SR. and ISABEL BILLITIER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 14, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JOSEPH L. BILLITIER SR.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

[Signature]
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 SD
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190375/2010

JOHN BAUER and RUTH BAUER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 14, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Bauer
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

[Signature]
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 SD
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 123777/02
102672/98

JUDITH HYATT, as Personal Representative for the
Estate of AMERIGO DEBELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: ^{August 31,} July, 2015
Harrison, New York


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Amerigo Debello
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED


SEP 22 2015




Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107221/02
113153/02

FRANCES A. BARRIO, as Executrix for the Estate of
EDWARD S. BARRIO, and FRANCES A. BARRIO,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~August 11, 2015~~ 9-4-15
Harrison, New York


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward S. Barrio
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

SEP 23 2015
FILED
CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 ^{SD}
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 108282/99

DAVID F. KELLY as Personal Representative for the Estate of
FREDERICK P. KELLY JR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: ~~August 11, 2015~~ 9/4/15 SEP 22 2015
Harrison, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frederick P. Kelly Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Russell S. Jamison
Russell S. Jamison, Esc.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 13 2015
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190232/2010

ANNIE SMYTH, as Administratrix for the Estate of THOMAS
SMYTH, and ANNIE SMYTH, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: July ~~2015~~ 9/4/15 SEP 22 2015
Harrison, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Smyth
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Paul B. Josephs
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

[Signature] 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2015
FILED
115 SUP. CLERK'S OFFICE - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 112689/00
122194/99

THEODORE SHATERNIK and LYDIA SHATERNIK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: July 2015 9/4/15
Harrison, New York

SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Theodore Shaternik
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
[Signature]

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

[Signature] 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----	X	
STEPHEN P. FOLEY, as Administrator for the	:	Index No.: 125210/02
Estate of JOHN FOLEY AND STEPHEN P. FOLEY,	:	
As Executor for the Estate of BARBARA FOLEY,	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	
-against-	:	
	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
	:	IAS Part 50
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----	X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
9/9/15

SEP 22 2015

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
LYNCH DASKALOFF MERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature] 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 10
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
IRWIN MORRIS and SANDRA MORRIS,	: Index No.: 190082/09
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	:
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
	: IAS Part 50
	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Dated: New York, New York
9/4/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
CLERK'S OFFICE
SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
JOSEPH T. SANDER and JEAN SANDER,

INDEX NO. 190391/2011

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

----- x
Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation, Individually hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Clark-Reliance Corporation, Individually with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Clark-Reliance Corporation, Individually be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/15/15

DATED: 9/16/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Clark-Reliance Corporation, Individually
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

FILED

SEP 22 2015

SO ORDERED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


HONORABLE PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.C. 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----- X

MARK V. SLEVIN, Executor of the Estate of
PATRICK T. SLEVIN,

Index No.: 190406-2010

Plaintiff,

(Moulton)

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., *et al.*,

(Levy Konigsberg LLP – March 2014 FIFO)

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mestek, Inc. (incorrectly sued herein as Mestek, Inc., Individually and as successor-in-interest to L.J. Wing Company, Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Mestek, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Mestek, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 10, 2015

FILED


SEP 22 2015
Jim Kramer, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mark V. Slevin, Executor of the Estate
of Patrick T. Slevin


Matthew J. Rice, Esq.
Troutman Sanders, LLP
875 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 704-6000
Attorneys for Defendant
Mestek, Inc.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 15 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 50

-----X

(Moulton, P)

ELIEZER BARASCH, as Administrator of
Estate of STANLEY S. BARASCH,

: INDEX NO. 190055-2011

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, *et al.*,

: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
FOR CHAMPLAIN CABLE
CORPORATION**

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Champlain Cable Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Champlain Cable Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Champlain Cable Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


SEP 15 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
September 10, 2015



Darron E. Berquist, Esq.

The Lanier Law Firm PLLC
Tower 56
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 421-2800
Attorneys for Plaintiff
*Eliezer Barasch, as Administrator of
Estate of Stanley S. Barasch*


Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.

Troutman Sanders LLP
875 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 704-6000
Attorneys for Defendant
Champlain Cable Corporation

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 15 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : I.A.S. Part 50
 : (Moulton)
-----X

This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 107994-99
 :
 :

MARGARET F. DUFFY, Individually and as : **NO OPPOSITION**
Executrix for the Estate of FRANK DUFFY, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation, formerly known as "PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, individually and as successor in interest to ABEX CORPORATION," (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 10, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.
HAWKINS PARNELL
THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest
to Abex Corporation
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10022-7678
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED, Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 14 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : I.A.S. Part 50
: (Moulton)
-----X

This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190007-09

DOUG CHAPPLE and HELEN CHAPPLE, :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation, incorrectly sued herein as "PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to ABEX CORPORATION," (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: September 10, 2015
New York, New York

SEP 22 2015


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.
HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON
& YOUNG LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest
to Abex Corporation
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor
New York, New York 10022-7678
(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED,  9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 14 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 106178/00

WILLIE J. HAMILTON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500


FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael J. Curtis

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 114680/04


ROBERT ROMAGNOLO and JEAN ROMAGNOLO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation,” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Aug 20, 2015



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Phan Alva, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190033/09

WILLIAM V. KELLER


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 27, 2015

~~Justin Weitz, Esq.~~ Justin Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 110105/1999

-----X
ELIZABETH M. GORMAN, as Administratrix for the
Estate of EDWARD FRANCIS GORMAN,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

8/21/15

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**LEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dhan Alvarado*
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Madina Axelrod, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No.: 119873/1998

PATRICIA A. HOTNICH, as Administratrix for the Estate of
JOHN HOTNICH, and PATRICIA A. HOTNICH,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

8/31/15

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Madina Axelrod, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 116426/01

VINCENT BOYLE and ELLEN BOYLE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

M. Curtis

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 13 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

CATHERINE A. CAIAZZO, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD A. CAIAZZO,

Index No. 104036/02
119365/99

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE-DIESEL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Erika L. Fraenkel

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Catherine A. Caiazzo, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of Edward A. Caiazzo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 13 2015
PART 50
NY'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 114300/02, 107404/02

HENRY D. HOLKA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Michael J. Curtis

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 23 2015
CLERK OF COURT
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 112280/02, 105718/02

LYLE V. MOSSOW

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

FILED


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael J. Curtis
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

**Index Nos. 106709/02
115543/02**

NORBERT KACZ and AUDREY KACZ

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500


FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael J. Curtis
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113609/02

EDWIN C. WILSON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

M. Curtis

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 16 2015
www.nyc.gov

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 118952/00

EDWARD COGHLAN


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

FILED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 104731/00

JOSEPH M. DE MAURO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

DOLORES BRESCHARD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of ROBERT JOSEPH BRESCHARD, and
DOLORES BRESCHARD, Individually,

Index No. 100564/06

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

FILED

Defendants,

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Dolores Breschard, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of Robert Joseph Breschard and
Dolores Breschard, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 107135/00

Estate of RAYMOND MICHAEL WACHOWICZ, *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.”
hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals
Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 10 2015
100 - 2015 - 10003

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 109782/00

Estate of ROBERT S. SABADASZ

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Michael J. Curtis

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 127106/02

Estate of PHILIP R. ANZALONE, *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 9/14, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael J. Curtis

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
 Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 23 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

DORIS MULLER, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate
of ELMER F. MULLER, and DORIS MULLER,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 115712/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Doris Muller, as Proposed Executrix for the
Estate of Elmer F. Muller, and Doris Muller
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 22 2015
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

MILDRED MURRAY, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of EDWIN MURRAY,

Index No. 102879/05

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Mildred Murray, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of Edwin Murray
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 21 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 16 2015
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

SO ORDERED *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

SUSAN M. PETELEY as the Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH L. PETELEY and MARY E. PETELEY,
Individually and SUSAN M. PETELEY as the Executrix
for the Estate of MARY E. PETELEY,

Index No. 117266/05

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

*Susan M. Peteley as the Executrix for the Estate
of Joseph L. Peteley and Mary E. Peteley
Individually and Susan M. Peteley as the
Executrix for the Estate of Mary E. Peteley*
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant

Morse Diesel, Inc.
151 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038

791-0285

FILED
SEP 21 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 23 2015

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

GRACE CHAMIDES, as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH CHAMIDES, and GRACE CHAMIDES,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 105596/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Grace Chamides, as Executrix for the Estate of
Joseph Chamides, and Grace Chamides,
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
15 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 19 2015
CIVIL

SO ORDERED

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated:

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

FRANK L. KOCKLER, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of GENEVIEVE KOCKLER and FRANK L.
KOCKLER, Individually,

Index No. 105592/05

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.


Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Frank L. Kockler, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of Genevieve Kockler and Frank
L. Kockler, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

INES KOONE, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK
KOONE SR., and INES KOONE, Individually,

Index No. 104954/06

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Erika L. Fraenkel

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Ines Koone, as Executrix for the Estate of Frank Koone Sr., and Ines Koone, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

MARILYN MECKLER, as Executrix for the Estate of
MORTON MECKLER, and MARILYN MECKLER,
Individually,

Index No. 102561/06

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Erika L. Fraenkel

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Marilyn Meckler, as Executrix for the Estate of
Morton Meckler, and Marilyn Meckler,
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

SUSAN A. METZ, as Executrix for the Estate of
THOMAS J. METZ, and SUSAN A. METZ,
Individually,

Index No. 102288/06

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Susan A. Metz, as Executrix for the Estate of
Thomas J. Metz, and Susan A. Metz,
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 20 2015

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190009/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MILTON GOODBAR,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/09, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates
Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

ANTHONY J. SIMINI and ANNETTE SIMINI,

Index No. 117706/05

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Anthony J. Simini and Annette Simini
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel
Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
DALBY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

MICHAEL KLEINERMAN, as the Personal
Representative for the Estate of EDWARD RICH, and
ERIC KAPLAN, as Executor for the Estate of
CHARLOTTE J. RICH,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 106352/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015


COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
*Michael Kleinerman, as the Personal
Representative for the Estate of Edward Rich,
and Eric Kaplan, as Executor for the Estate of
Charlotte J. Rich*
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel
Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

PETER J. FAMIGHETTI, as Administrator for the
Estate of MICHAEL FAMIGHETTI, and PETER J.
FAMIGHETTI, as the Executor for the Estate of
FRANCES FAMIGHETTI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 102945/06

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

*Peter J. Famighetti, as Administrator for the
Estate of Michael Famighetti, and Peter J.
Famighetti, as the Executor for the Estate of
Frances Famighetti, Individually*

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Erika L. Fraenkel

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant

Morse Diesel, Inc.

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038


(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated:

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190165/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARNOLD GORDON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/09, 2015
New York, New York

FILED
Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

K

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190285/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALEXANDER PARENTE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/09, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Katrina H. Murphy
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Aurora Pump Company

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100


New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190185/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

HERMAN VISGER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/4, 2015
New York, New York




Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190503/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND CAPPO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: September 8, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew J. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

K
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190009/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MILTON GOODBAR,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/09, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew J. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

K
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190165/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARNOLD GORDON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Dated: 9/9, 2015
New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Andrew P. Kates
Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190165/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARNOLD GORDON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: _____, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates

Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature] 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 59
NY'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190009/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MILTON GOODBAR,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/09, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates

Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature] 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2015
PART 50
SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 101521/05

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

KARIN DEAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/4, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10005

(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates

Andrew P. Kates, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE

SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant

Weil-McLain

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100


New York, NY 10022

(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100029/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

HENRY MIKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: September 8, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Andrew P. Kates

Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121991/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIE J. HAMILTON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: September 8, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates
Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED.

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 101162/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALOISIUS J. OCZEK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/09/14, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates
Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton
9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106709/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NORBERT KACZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 09/14, 2015
New York, New York

Matthew J. MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Andrew P. Kates
Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION


-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANK DUFFY

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
107994/99



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION


-----X
This Document Relates to:

HENRY D. HOLKA

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
107404/02
114300/02



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

[Signature] 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION


-----X
This Document Relates to:

JAMES E. McCABE

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
113073/02
106579/02



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED *[Signature]* 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION


-----X
This Document Relates to:

LYLE V. MOSSOW

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

Index No.
112280/02
105718/02



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION


-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT S. SABADASZ

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
109782/00
122191/99



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

SEYMOUR SAMUELS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
190024/08



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION


-----X
This Document Relates to:

PHILIP R. ANZALONE

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
127106/02
107100/02



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. Max Antone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 115177/05

RANDI ALBERTELLI, as Executrix for the Estate of
HOWARD ROTHMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ^{August 27} ~~July~~, 2015
Harrison, New York

FILED

SEP 22 2015
Matthew MacI...
Matthew MacI...
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. NEW YORK
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Howard Rothman
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Russell S. Jamison
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

FILED
SEP 20 2015
CLERK'S OFFICE
SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 *SO*
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 118531/02
106695/02

ROBERT J. SHANSTROM and RITA M. SHANSTROM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *August 27*, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff **FILED**
Robert J. Shanstrom
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Russell S. Jamison
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. *9/21/15*

SEP 20 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190128/10

CARL A. STEINER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, ADSCO Manufacturing, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ADSCO Manufacturing, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ADSCO Manufacturing, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
SEPTEMBER 10, 2015




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Carl A. Steiner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
ADSCO Manufacturing, LLC
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


9/21/15

Hon. Peter H. Moulton
FILED

SEP 22 2015

{N0409534-1}

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
523-15
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

GERALD RUSSOMANNO, AS
EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF VITO
RUSSOMANNO

Index No.: 190226/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
Estate of Vito Mussomanno
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Carol M. Tempesta

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
DAP, Inc. k/n/a La Mirada Products Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



604-456

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

KARIN DEAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.
101521/05



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND BAKER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

Index No.
111050/98
111414/98



**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003 SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

[Signature] 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 36 50
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190474/11

AGNES SPINELLI, as Administratrix for the Estate of
HARRY E. SPINELLI, and AGNES SPINELLI,
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: ~~July~~ ^{August 31,} 2015,
Harrison, New York


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Harry E. Spinelli
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED


SEP 22 2015



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

FILED
SEP 10 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 38 50
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105780-02
120394-01

ALVIN L. BASCOMB and FRANCES BASCOMB,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

August 27,
Dated: ~~July~~, 2015
Harrison, New York



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alvin L. Bascomb
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15


Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SEP 20 2015
CLERK'S OFFICE
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 36 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105870-02
108769-02

DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ^{August 27} ~~July~~, 2015
Harrison, New York



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Daniel A. Przepiora
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

SEP 22 2015

SO ORDERED: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

FILED
SEP 16 2015
CLERK
SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120970/02, 121574/96,
113280/97, 111076/98,
111360/99

LINDA MCCANN, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES W.
MCCANN and LINDA MCCANN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 11, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
FILED
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff SEP 22 2015
James W. McCann
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Russell S. Jamison
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

SEP 23 2015
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 1900369/11

SALVATORE LIPARI and CELESTINE
LIPARI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint and all amendments thereto against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SEP 22 2015


9/8, 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


~~Adam Dreksler, Esq.~~ *LIWDSWJ MDE-LICCO*
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 SD
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 112741/02
106578/02
114779/04

DAVID JOSEPH BARNES and TERRY L. BARNES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~August 11, 2015~~ 9/4/15
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
David J. Barnes
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Russell S. Jamison
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

SEP 13 2015
RECEIVED
SYSTEMS DIVISION - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 ^{SD}
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103379/93
132766/93
109974/06

WENDY ARLENE NELSON, as Executrix for the Estate of
ANDREW PAUL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~July 14, 2015~~ 9/4/15
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Andrew Paul
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Paul B. Josephs
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature] 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
FILED
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANKLIN POTTER

-----X

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

Index No.
121088/97, 105221/98
111040/98, 102788/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MARCH 2014 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

Matthew J. Antyze
FILED

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC. n/k/a TTV REALTY HOLDINGS,
INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]* 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 SD
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 119913/01, 134988/94
111075/98, 124229/02

SALVATORE MALTA, as Personal Representative for the
Estate of THOMAS MALTA and SALVATORE MALTA,
As Personal Representative for the Estate of LOUISE
MALTA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-


KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.
Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ^{August 31} ~~July~~, 2015
Harrison, New York


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Malta
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2015
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 100558/01, ~~19491/96~~
113281/97, 111063/98
111360/99

JOSEPH RONALD SHANLEY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.
Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~July~~, 2015 8/28/15
Harrison, New York


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph Shanley
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

FILED
SEP 18 2015
PARTED
NYC SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA

against

A.C.AND S, INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY)

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

INDEX NO.: 02-108769

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9-14-15
New York, New York

Misha Shah
MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA
100 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SEP 16 2015



CN-COURT-2 ✓

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE LIPARI AND CELESTINE
LIPARI,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET
AL.,

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)


INDEX NO.: 190369/11

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 9-11-15
New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
SALVATORE LIPARI and CELESTINE LIPARI
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SEP 16 2015
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL


CN-COURT-5

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICIA ANN HUTNICK PASTORE, AS
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PAUL M.
HUTNICK, JR.,

against

A.C.AND S, INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY)

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

INDEX NO.: 01-111226

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9-11-15
New York, New York

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
PATRICIA ANN HUTNICK PASTORE, as
Executrix for the Estate of PAUL M. HUTNICK,
JR.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Handwritten signature] 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SEP 18 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL


CN-COURT-8

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

ALICE NASH, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD
L. NASH, and ALICE NASH, Individually,

Index No. 116095/02
106708/02

Plaintiffs,

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL,
INC.

Defendants,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/14, 2015

Matthew J. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Alice Nash, as Executrix for the Estate of
Richard L. Nash, and Alice Nash, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: _____

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

DIANE O'CONNOR, as Executrix for the Estate of
THOMAS WALTER O'CONNOR, and DIANE
O'CONNOR, Individually,

**Index No. 112730/00
122202/99**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL,
INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Diane O'Connor, as Executrix for the Estate of
Thomas Walter O'Connor, and Diane
O'Connor, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

Handwritten notes and stamps in the bottom right corner, including a date stamp 'SEP 23 2015' and other illegible markings.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

X
CONNIE PAUL, as Administratrix for the Estate of
RALPH E. PAUL, and CONNIE PAUL, Individually,

**Index No. 114051/02
107400/02**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL,
INC.

Defendants,

_____X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Connie Paul, as Administratrix for the Estate of
Ralph E. Paul, and Connie Paul, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 23 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111048/98
113156/98
120669/00

ROSE L. JORDAN, Individually and as Administratrix for the
Estate of WILLIAM JORDAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP 22 2015

Dated: July 14, 2015
Harrison, New York

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William Jordan
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Paul B. Josephs
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

SEP 16 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
(Moulton, P.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 118819/98
108708-01
100682-07

JOSEPH HANSEN and VIRGINIA ANN HANSEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER AS TO
DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER
BOILER COMPANY**

WHEREFORE, defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY** be and **FILED** the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 27, 2015
Harrison, New York

SEP 22 2015

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph Hansen
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Russell S. Jamison
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER
COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton)

NELSON A. STRAUCH JR. and PATRICIA
STRAUCH,

Index No. 105671/99

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Defendants,

_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept 10, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Nelson A. Strauch Jr. and Patricia Strauch
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALVIN BASCOMB AND FRANCES BASCOMB

against

A.C. AND S, INC., (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

INDEX NO.: 01-120394

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 9-14-15 New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew MacIntyre*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
ALVIN BASCOMB and FRANCES BASCOMB
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


SO ORDERED:

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY



CN-COURT-11 ✓

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-105780

ALVIN BASCOMB AND FRANCES BASCOMB

against


A.C. AND S, INC., (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: ^{9/14/15} New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. ^{Matthew MacIntyre}
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
ALVIN BASCOMB and FRANCES BASCOMB
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Peter H. Moulton ^{9/21/15}

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


NEW YORK



CN-COURT-12

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY



**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MELISSA A. MCCORMICK AND JACQUELINE
M. MCCORMICK, AS EXECUTRICES FOR
THE ESTATE OF GERALD T. MCCORMICK

against

A.C.AND S, INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY)

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

INDEX NO.: 02-105872

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: *9-14-15*

New York, New York

[Signature]
MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

[Signature]
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
MELISSA A. MCCORMICK AND
JACQUELINE M. MCCORMICK, as
Executrices for the Estate of GERALD T.
MCCORMICK
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature] *9/21/15*
Honorable Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NY'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL



CN-COURT-3

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MELISSA A. MCCORMICK AND JACQUELINE
M. MCCORMICK, AS EXECUTRICES FOR
THE ESTATE OF GERALD T. MCCORMICK

against

A.C.AND S, INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY)

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

INDEX NO.: 02-108222

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/14/15
New York, New York

Misha Shah
MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN,
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

Matthew MacIntyre
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
MELISSA A. MCCORMICK AND
JACQUELINE M. MCCORMICK, as
Executrices for the Estate of GERALD T.
MCCORMICK
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
CASE NUMBER 02-108222 - CIVIL


CN-COURT-4

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROSE ANGELA KALACHMAN, AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
MELVIN KALACHMAN, AND ROSE ANGELA
KALACHMAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)


INDEX NO.: 108198/99


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9-14-15 New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
ROSE ANGELA KALACHMAN, as
Administratrix for the Estate of MELVIN
KALACHMAN, and ROSE ANGELA
KALACHMAN, Individually,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
CLERK OF COURT - CIVIL


CN-COURT-7

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190240/09

ROSE ANGELA KALACHMAN, AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
MELVIN KALACHMAN, AND ROSE ANGELA
KALACHMAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9-14-15
New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN,
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew MacIntyre, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
ROSE ANGELA KALACHMAN, as
Administratrix for the Estate of MELVIN
KALACHMAN, and ROSE ANGELA
KALACHMAN, Individually,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
MOTION AND ORDER - CIVIL



CN-COURT-6

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILFRED L. HINES, SR., AND NOREEN ANN
HINES,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)


INDEX NO.: 98-119847

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 9-17-15
New York, New York


MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005


FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew MacIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
WILFRED L. HINES, SR., AND NOREEN
ANN HINES,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
SEP 22 2015

SO ORDERED: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART TO
CLERK'S OFFICE


CN-COURT-9

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILFRED L. HINES, SR., AND NOREEN ANN
HINES,

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

INDEX NO.: 116038/06

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9-14-15
New York, New York

Misha Shah
MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. Matthew MacIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
WILFRED L. HINES, SR., AND NOREEN
ANN HINES,
200 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15
Honorable Peter H. Moulton

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL


CN-COURT-10

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA

against

A.C.AND S, INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY)

I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

INDEX NO.: 02-105870

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9-14-15
New York, New York

Misha Shah
MISHA SHAH, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN,
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10005

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. *Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
DANIEL A. PRZEPIORA
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Peter H. Moulton
Honorable Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

HOAGLAND, LONGO,
MORAN, DUNST
& DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street
Suite 1100
New York, NY

SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

BARCODE
CN-COURT-1 ✓

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

JAMES LADELIA and THERESA LADELIA,

Index No. 121776/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AC and S, INC. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel Construction Co., Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

FILED

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

SEP 22 2015

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Rosario Chetta


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
James LaDelia and Theresa LaDelia
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

SHELLY M. CARROLL as Executrix for the Estate of
DONALD E. MCCORMICK SR., and SHIRLEY
MCCORMICK, Individually,

Index No. 190195/09

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Shelly M. Carroll as Executrix for the Estate of
Donald E. McCormick Sr., and Shirley
McCormick, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, *Peter H. Moulton*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

WALTER JOHANNESSEN and GRACE
JOHANNESSEN,

**Index No. 126686/02
104016/03**

Plaintiffs,

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE-DIESEL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Defendants,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as “Morse-Diesel International, Inc.”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Walter Johannesen and Grace Johannesen
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J)

MARY GHOLSON, as Administratrix for the Estate of
BENJAMIN F. GHOLSON, and MARY GHOLSON,
Individually,

**Index No. 104693/00
125779/99**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL,
INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Mary Gholson, as Administratrix for the Estate of Benjamin F. Gholson, and Mary Gholson, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated:

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

GLADYS FRANZONE, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of THOMAS FRANZONE, and GLADYS
FRANZONE, Individually,

Index No. 106972/08

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015


Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Gladys Franzone, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of Thomas Franzone, and Gladys
Franzone, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

_____x

RONALD R. DOEDEMA and PATRICIA DOEDEMA,

**Index No. 117579/02
107099/02**

Plaintiffs,

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE DIESEL,
INC.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants,

_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Ronald R. Doedema and Patricia Doedema
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 22 2015
NEW YORK
CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

GABRIEL CINTORINO and EVA CINTORINO,

Index No. 100081/08

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Gabriel Cintorino and Eva Cintorino
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

FRANCES BORMAN, as Administratrix for the Estate
of GEORGE BRADY,

Index No. 190115/08

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Frances Borman, as Administratrix for the
estate of George Brady
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated:

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

ARTHUR C. CLARK JR. and ARNITA CLARK,

Plaintiffs,

**Index No. 106691/02
114787/02**

A.C. and S., INC. et al., including, MORSE-DIESEL
INTERNATIONAL, INC.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants,

_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Rosario Chetta

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Arthur C. Clark Jr. And Arnita Clark
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

PAUL MILLER and LOUISE MILLER,

**Index No. 190174/09
122079/97**

Plaintiffs,

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants,

_____x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____ 9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Rosario Chetta

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Paul Miller and Louise Miller
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: _____



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

ARTHUR J. TILLMAN JR. as Executor for the Estate of
ARTHUR J. TILLMAN SR.,

Index No. 109703/08

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,
including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.

Defendants,

_____X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/14, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Rosario Chetta

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Arthur J. Tillman Jr. as Executor for the Estate
of Arthur J. Tillman Sr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15

SEP 22 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 113154/1998

-----X
BRIAN A. ROLFE and BRENDA R. WRIGHT, as Co-
Executrices for the Estate of RONALD ROLFE and DONNA
ROLFE,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company hereby requests Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs to either party.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Dated: New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

8/31/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dhan Alvarado*
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Madina Axelrod, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190287/2014

JOHN B. CONKLIN and NANCY ODELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (misidentified in the complaint as "Rockwell Automation Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC") ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Allen-Bradley, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
SEPTEMBER 8, 2015


FILED
SEP 22 2015


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,
Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley
Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X
WILLIAM D. EBANKS,

Index No. 190040/15

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

=====X


WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter "ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, ELECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ELECTROLUX, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York
9/7, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C. 9/21/15
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190161/14

ALLEN E. WATTS and LOLA ESTELLE : NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
WATTS : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc., (improperly pled as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to the Vickers Pump Company and Cutler-Hammer. Inc. and Waterbury Pump) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/8, 2015
FILED
SEP 22 2015
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert M. Gilmartin Jr.
Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr., Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation, as
successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and
Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

[Signature] 9/21/15

2561334 **HON. PETER H. MOULTON**

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190078/15

EWALD KESENHEIMER


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC f/k/a Burnham Corporation (improperly pled as Burnham Corporation) (hereinafter "Burnham" or "defendant"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/18 2015
FILED

<p>Jordan C. Fox, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036</p>	<p>SEP 22 2015 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK</p> <p> Andrew F. Bain, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005</p>
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190406/14

**MICHAEL KOULERMOS and MARIAN
KOULERMOS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, improperly pled as Burnham Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

<p>Jordan C. Fox, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036</p> <p>FILED SEP 22 2015 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK</p>	<p>Andrew F. Bain, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005</p>
--	--

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190079/2015

FRANK GONDAR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/15
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY &
CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely
as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



2720465_1

RECEIVED
SEP 14 2015
B

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190381/2013

**CASIMIR WORSO and BETTY WORSO-
RIBO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 8/28/15
New York, New York


Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10036
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



RECEIVED
SEP 1 1 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190416/2013

ANN MARIE SEDITA,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company and Nordstrom Valves, Inc. (improperly named as Flowserve Corporation, solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company and Nordstrom Valves, Inc.) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/28, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015




~~Douglas von Oiste, Esq.~~ *David A. Chandler*
Karst & von Oiste, Law Firm
Attorneys for Plaintiff
576 5th Avenue, Suite 706
New York, New York 10036



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company and Nordstrom Valves, Inc.
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED
SEP 11 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190137/2015

FRED C. BODDY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/8/15
New York, New York



Adam S. Dreksler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Fred C. Boddy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2636881_1

So Ordered:


9/21/15
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190101/2015

ITALO A. POMPONI and EILEEN POMPONI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 9/2/15
New York, New York


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY &
CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely
as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
2720557-1

RECEIVED
AUG 17 2015
BY:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190078/2015

EWALD KESENHEIMER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Benjamin Moore & Co., Individually and as successor to Janovic ("Benjamin Moore"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Benjamin Moore with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Benjamin Moore be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 9/19/15
New York, New York

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10017
FILED
SEP 22 2015



Brian Sorensen, Esq.
MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY &
CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Benjamin Moore & Co.,
Individually and as successor to Janovic
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
IYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED
SEP 08 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

WALTER HAZARD AND SUSAN HAZARD,

Index No. 190377/2014

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, *et al.*,
including TEREX CRANES WILMINGTON, INC.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER AS
AGAINST TEREX CRANES
WILMINGTON, INC.**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant TEREX CRANES WILMINGTON, INC., now known as TEREX USA, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Terex Cranes Wilmington, Inc., now known as Terex USA, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Terex Cranes Wilmington, Inc., now known as Terex USA, LLC and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Dated: New York, New York
[Signature], 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Walter Hazard, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

[Signature]
David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Terex Cranes Wilmington, Inc., now known as
TEREX USA, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: *9/21/15*

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190132/15

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JEFFREY HOWER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: August 27th, 2015
New York, New York



Justin Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

-----X
STYLIANOS VAFIADIS and RUTH VAFIADIS,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190209/2015

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

3M COMPANY f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING &
MANUFACTURING CO., et al.

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, ROSS CONTROLS INTERNATIONAL, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, ROSS CONTROLS INTERNATIONAL, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, ROSS CONTROLS INTERNATIONAL, be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs.


FILED

SEP 22 2015

Dated: New York, New York
September 16, 2015

Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Shaub, Ahmuty, Citrin & Spratt, LLP



By: NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.


By: Jeffrey R. Beitler

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Stylianos Vafiadis and Ruth Vafiadis
800 Third Avenue, 11th floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Attorneys for Defendant
Ross Controls International
1983 Marcus Avenue
Lake Success, New York 11042-1056
(516) 488-3300

SO-ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190005/2015

SOL P. RASKIN and MONA RASKIN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/9/15
New York, New York

Patti
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brian

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY &
CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Peter H. Moulton
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
2720690_1

RECEIVED
AUG 27 2015
BY:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X
FRANK GONDAR,

Index No.: 190079/2015

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually
and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

Defendants.

=====X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: White Plains, New York
9/22, 2015

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Messemer LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

9/21/15

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

=====X
FRANK GONDAR,

Index No.: 190079/2015

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC. et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

Defendants.

=====X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.** (hereinafter "**SPIRAX SARCO**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
9/10/2015

FILED

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SEP 22 2015

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Messemer, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PAGE 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
DON P. DONOVAN and KATHLEEN DONOVAN,

Index No.: 190046/15

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

ABB, INC., et al.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

08/17/15 FILED

SEP 22 2015

Patti Burshtyn
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Patti Burshtyn, Esq. NEW YORK
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

John M. Guerriero
John M. Guerriero, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No.: 190022/15

JOEL BERLIN and JEANNE BERLIN,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

08/28/2015
FILED
Patti Burshtyn
SEP 22 2015

Patti Burshtyn, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. NEW YORK
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Michael F. Gorman, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

This Document Relates to:

IVETTE MONTANEZ and PETER MONTANEZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No.: 190409/2014

WHEREFORE, defendant, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.**, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 4, 2015


FILED



Darron E. Berquist, Esq.
Lanier Law Firm, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
126 East 56th Street, Sixth Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-2800


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Zachary S. Goldberg, Esq.
Goldberg Corwin LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.
380 Lexington Avenue, Suite 725
New York, New York 10168
(212) 986-1000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

Index No.: 190414/13

WILLIAM PAGANO, as Administrator for the Estate
Of ANN PAGANO, and WILLIAM PAGANO,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York SEP 22 2015

7/31/15 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Suzanna Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Michael F. Gorman, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

-----X
GAETANO BATOLONE and MARIE
BARTOLONE,

Index No.: 190398/2014

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEM CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant FLOWSERVE U.S. INC., as successor-in-interest to DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION incorrectly sued herein as FLOWSERVE CORPORATION, as successor in interest to DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION, (hereinafter "DURAMETALLIC") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant DURAMETALLIC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, DURAMETALLIC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
August 25, 2015

LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.

[Signature]
FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
James Kramer, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

[Signature]
Madina Axelrod, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Durametalllic Corporation
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton
9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 80
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No.: 190377/14

WALTER HAZARD and SUSAN HAZARD,

-against-
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant A.W. Chesterton Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, A.W. Chesterton Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

SEP 22 2015

August 25, 2015
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
**LEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

M. Axelrod

Madina Axelrod, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
FILED
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190402/2014

**ELI PETROVITCH and ANTOINETTE
PETROVITCH**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Flowserve US, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/18, 2015

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED
AUG 03 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190402/14



**ELI PETROVITCH and ANTOINETTE
PETROVITCH**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC f/k/a Burnham Corporation, improperly pled as Burnham Corporation ("Burnham LLC"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
9/8, 2015

 Jordan C. Fox, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4 th Floor New York, New York 10036	FILED SEP 22 2015 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	 Andrew F. Bain, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x
JOHN CUZZOLINO and CONCETTA CUZZOLINO,

Index No.: 190270/14

-against-
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.
-----x
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

7/20/15


Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Andrew W. Dean, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,  9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : I.A.S. Part 50
: (Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates To: :
: **Index No.: 190270/2014**
: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
JOHN CUZZOLINO and CONCETTA : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
CUZZOLINO :
:

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (Improperly pled as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to The Vickers Pump Company and Cutler Hammer, Inc.) (“Eaton”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:


New York, New York
9/15
FILED
2015
SEP 22 2015

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Robert M. Gilmartin, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation, as
successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and
Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

FRANK GONDAR,

Index No: 190079/2015

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS., *et al.*,
including SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. (incorrectly sued herein as Sears, Roebuck and Company) (hereinafter "Sears") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sears with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sears be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED
SEP 22 2015
September 11 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

D P M

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank Gondar
(212) 681-1575

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Sears, Roebuck and Co.
(212) 791-0285

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

DATED: *9/21/15*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

FRANK GONDAR,

Index No. 190079/2015

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS., *et al.*,
including J.A. SEXAUER, INC.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, J.A. Sexauer, Inc. (hereinafter "J.A. Sexauer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant J.A. Sexauer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant J.A. Sexauer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 11, 2015

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC


Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank Gondar
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
J.A. Sexauer, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Dated: 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

SOL P. RASKIN and MONA RASKIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 190005/2015

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

I.A.S. Part 20 50
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE CORPORATION"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion may be signed in separate counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes of this motion.

Dated: 09/08 2015
New York, New York

FILED

Patt ✓ SEP 22 2015
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG CLERK'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Plaintiffs COUNTY OF NEW YORK
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

K
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually,
and as Successor to York-Shipley
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

MARK RICCI,

Index No.: 190224/14

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York


6/29/15


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



John M. Guerriero, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton
FILED 9/21/15

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NY'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

Index No.: 190428/14

JOHN NEGRI,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

ADVANCE AUTO SUPPLY, et al.


HON. PETER H. MOULTON
~~Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler~~

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., (incorrectly named as BW/IP INTERNATIONAL, CO., formerly known as Borg Warner Industrial Products Inc., a former subsidiary of an successor to Borg Warner Corp. and Byron Jackson Pumps), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Andrew W. Dean, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,


~~Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler~~

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

Index No.: 190402/14

ELI PETROVITCH and ANTOINETTE
PETROVITCH,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.
-----x

HON. PETER H. MOULTON
~~Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler~~

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Nicole G. Markowitz, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

9/21/15

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190132/15

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JEFFREY HOWER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: August 27th, 2015
New York, New York



Justin Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




Andrew P. Kates, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 190131/14

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FREDERICK CRONIN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 08/10, 2015
New York, New York


FILED

SEP 22 2015



Nick Novack, Esq.
**LEVY, PHILLIPS &
KONIGSBERG, LLP**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 605-6200


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 190054/15

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WALLACE HANKIN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/31, 2015
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NANCY DURGIN, as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD
DURGIN, and NANCY DURGIN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,
- against -

COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

190464/2012

Index No.: ~~190646/12~~

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

I.A.S. Part 50
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE CORPORATION"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court. This No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion may be signed in separate counterparts and facsimile or PDF signatures shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes of this motion.

Dated: 5/13 2015
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

K

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually and
as Successor to York-Shipley
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190048/15
120397/01

JOSEPH IANNUZZELLI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 7/30, 2015
New York, New York


Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jordan D. Beltz, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190573/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRANCESCO ADESSO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 7/6, 2015
New York, New York


Lindsay DeCicco, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NY'S SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

R E C E I V E D
JUN - 6 2015
Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd
New York NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 190475/13

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARTHUR HALLBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 08/31, 2015
New York, New York



Michael Cohan, Esq.
**NAPOLI, BERN, RIPKA, SHKOLNIK
& ASSOCIATES, LLP**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, NY 10118
(212) 267-3700


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190277/14

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARCO TROISE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 08/31/, 2015
New York, New York


Mark Bibro, Esq.
**EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY &
MEISENKOTHEN, LLC**
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 50
~~(Holder, J.)~~
Motion

ARDESHIR OMMANI and ELEANOR OMMANI,

Index No.: 190384/14

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Kelly-Moore Paint Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Kelly-Moore Paint Company without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kelly-Moore Paint Company be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 11, 2015
Sept.

Matthew M. Quirin
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Kelly-Moore Paint Company
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Jordan Fox
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ardeshir Ommani
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

ISYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSEPH WENK, as Administrator for the Estate
Of CAROL WENK, and JOSEPH WENK,
Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AGCO CORPORATION f/k/a and as Successor in
Interest to MASSEY-FERGUSON, INC., f/k/a and as
Successor in interest to MASSEY-FERGUSON,
INC., CLARK MATERIAL HANDLING
CORPORATION,
et al.

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL 50
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, I.)

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

Index No.: 190301/2013

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BAY CRANE SERVICE, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BAY CRANE SERVICE, INC., only, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BAY CRANE SERVICE, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SEP 22 2015

July 13, 2015 *Server size 9, 2015*

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: *[Signature]*
Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBURG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: *[Signature]*
Jeffrey Rubinstein, Esq.
FAUST GOETZ SCHENKER & BLEE
Attorney for Defendant
BAY CRANE SERVICE, INC.
Two Rector Street, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 363-6900
Our File No.: 12665-CRT

So Ordered:

[Signature] 9/21/15

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190040/15

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM EBANKS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 7/6, 2015
New York, New York


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK
NEW YORK


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS CLERK OF SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190078/15

EWALD KESENHEIMER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/15, 2015

FILED


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ewald Kesenheimer
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 685-1575

Amie B. Cooper, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
The Nash Engineering Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190018/14,

RICHARD QUINN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/15/, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Favelli
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Quinn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Maria J. Ciccio
Maria J. Ciccio, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOAN BARBARINO, Individually and as Executrix
of the Estate of ROY BARBARINO, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BASF CATALYSTS LLC, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Index No. 190072/2014


WHEREFORE, defendant Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: SEPTEMBER 14, 2015



Joseph M. Cotilletta, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Tower 56
126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022

SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael J. Masino, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc.
Pittsford, New York 14534

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Peter H. Moulton



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL
	: I.A.S. Part 50
	: (Moulton, P)
-----	X
This Document Relates To:	: Index No.: 190054/15
	:
WALLACE S. HANKIN and HELEN HANKIN	:
	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiffs,	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	: ORDER
- against -	:
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.	:
	:
Defendants.	:
-----	X

WHEREFORE, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Dated: 9/4/15

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe
New York, New York
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert A. Schaefer, Jr.

Robert A. Schaefer, Jr. Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys For Defendant
Armstrong International, Inc.

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/11/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED
SEP - 9 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RUDOLPH T. SURACE and MARIE SURACE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No. 190017-14
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
February 2016 FIFO Trial Group

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP 22 2015


Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


BY: 

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway *M. Michael Farrell*
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/16/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CLAUDIUS EASTMAN and MINERVA FERNANDEZ,

Index No. 190568-12
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

Plaintiff(s),

Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
August 2015 FIFO Trial Group

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


SEP 22 2015

8/25, 2015


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BY: 

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway Michael Farrell
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/15

FRANK GONDAR,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC incorrectly sued as Zurn Industries Inc. a/k/a and successor-in-interest to Erie City Iron Works, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


FILED
SEP 22 2015


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Gondar
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, LLC
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL
535-535

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/15

FRANK GONDAR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Pecora Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Pecora Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Pecora Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Gondar
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Carol M. Tempesta

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Pecora Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/15

FRANK GONDAR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Gondar
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

SEP 22 2015


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Carol M. Tempesta
Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/15

FRANK GONDAR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Jenkins Bros., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Jenkins Bros., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Jenkins Bros., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/15/2015

FILED


SEP 22 2015

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Gondar
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Jenkins Bros.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/15

FRANK GONDAR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

SEP 22 2015

9/15/15, 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Gondar
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Maria J. Ciccio
Maria J. Ciccio, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



504-504

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190079/15

FRANK GONDAR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
9/15, 2015

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Gondar
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Janice B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ROBERT FRIEDLANDER and ROSE
FRIEDLANDER**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No: 190283/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. n/k/a Rhone Poulenc AG Company n/k/a Bayer Cropscience, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. n/k/a Rhone Poulenc AG Company n/k/a Bayer Cropscience, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. n/k/a Rhone Poulenc AG Company n/k/a Bayer Cropscience, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

SEPTEMBER 9, 2015


FILED

SEP 22 2015


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Peter Moulton


Adam Cooper, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ROBERT FRIEDLANDER and ROSE
FRIEDLANDER**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No: 190283/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~SEPTEMBER~~ 9, 2015

FILED


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

PETER TAMBURO



Adam Cooper, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**NORA NEWMAN as Executrix of the Estate of
WILLIAM J. NEWMAN**

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

NYCAL Index No: 190425/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant The Lincoln Electric Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant The Lincoln Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The Lincoln Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Sept. 10, 2015


 **FILED**
SEP 22 2015


James M. Kramer, Esq.
Lévy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6227

Brian T. Murnane, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for The Lincoln Electric Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10016
(212) 452-5300

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SEP 26 2015
NYS CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**NORA NEWMAN as Executrix of the Estate of
WILLIAM J. NEWMAN**

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

NYCAL Index No: 190425/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Hobart Brothers Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hobart Brothers Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hobart Brothers Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
Sept. 10, 2015


James M. Kramer, Esq.
Levy Konigsberg LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6227


FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Brian T. Murnane, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Hobart Brothers Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SEP 16 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
FRANCIS ZELL,

INDEX NO. 190223/2014

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant, Honeywell International, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Honeywell International, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell International, Inc. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/4/15

DATED: 9/16/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Honeywell International, Inc.
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

FILED

SEP 22 2015

SO ORDERED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



9/21/15

HON. PETER H. MOULTON

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
MARK RICCI,

Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 190²24/2014

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises Inc., f/k/a Ventfabrics Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Avocet Enterprises Inc., f/k/a Ventfabrics Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Avocet Enterprises Inc., f/k/a Ventfabrics Inc. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:


DATED: 9/16/15

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC



Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003




Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Avocet Enterprises Inc., f/k/a Ventfabrics Inc.
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

FILED

SEP 22 2015


SO ORDERED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



HONORABLE PETER H. MOULTON, J.S.C.

9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL

CHARLES BONA and PATRICIA BONA, :
 :
Plaintiffs, :
 :
-----X

Index No. 190562/2012

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
IAS Part 50

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/9/15

WETZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: 
Justin J. Weitz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400


FILED

SEP 22 2015

Attorneys for Plaintiffs COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorneys for Defendant
Goodyear Canada, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
EWALD KESENHEIMER,	: Index No. 190078/15
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:
	: Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 50
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/9/15

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Jordan Fox, Esq.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:  9/21/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
175 CLERK'S OFFICE - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS J. VARLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et. al.

Defendant(s).

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

Index No.: 190414/14

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, McCORD CORPORATION ("McCord"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint, and all cross-claims, against McCord, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against McCord be hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
_____, 2015


FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Varley
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Andrew J. Scholz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
McCord Corporation
GOLDBERG SEGALLA, LLP
11 Martine Avenue, Suite 750
White Plains, New York 10606
(914) 798-5400

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Peter Moulton

9/17/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GLENN GERMAINE and
MORAG GERMAINE

Index No. 190032-2015

v.

AMERICAN EXPORT LINES, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants Kinder Morgan, Inc., and El Paso Corp. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 32-2, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants Kinder Morgan, Inc., and El Paso Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kinder Morgan, Inc., and El Paso Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____, 2015
New York, New York

FILED


SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Daniel

David Blouin, Esquire
SIMONS HANLY CONROY
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
112 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
(212) 784-6415


Lauren B. Plevinsky, Esquire
BILLET AND ASSOCIATES, LLC
Attorneys for Kinder Morgan, Inc.,
and El Paso Corp.
2000 Market Street, Suite 2803
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 496-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH F. SIMONS and VIRGINIA G. SIMONS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190292/2014

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**


WHEREFORE, defendant Fisher Controls International LLC, incorrectly sued herein as "Fisher Controls International, Inc." (hereinafter "Defendant") requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

9/02/15


LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP

By: 

Nicholas Novack, Esq.

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
T: 212.605.6200
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


SO ORDERED:


Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/21/15

SIMON•LESSER PC

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 


Siobhain Minarovich, Esq.

355 Lexington Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, NY 10017
T: 212.599.5455
Attorneys for Defendant

*Fisher Controls International LLC,
i/s/h as "Fisher Controls International, Inc."*

FILED

SEP 22 2015



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

VIRGINIA G. SIMONS, Individually and as executrix
of the Estate of JOSEPH F. SIMONS,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 190292/14


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY (hereinafter "H.B. FULLER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant H.B. FULLER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, H.B. FULLER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 08/10 2015
New York, New York




John P. Guinan, Esq.

LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. Fuller Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 16 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ABSESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No.: 190277/2013

This Document Relates To:

PAUL F. BRASINO and ADELINE BRASINO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, AMF AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC (hereinafter
"Automation Technologies"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to the Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's
Complaint against Automation Technologies, with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice of all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims
against Automation Technologies, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs to either party.

DATED: New York, New York
August 24, 2015

DATED: Buffalo, New York
August 31, 2015

PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Paul F. Brasino and Adeline Brasino
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone No.: (212) 558-5500

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

J. JOSEPH WILDER, ESQ.
Wilder & Linneball, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMF Automation Technologies, LLC
403 Main at Court Street
730 Brisbane Building
Buffalo, New York 10203
Telephone No.: (716) 853-6001

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/17/15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

LENNOX F. COLTESTE and MELANIE COLTESTE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P)

Index No. 190217/2015

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Patti Burshtyn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5580
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Lennox and Melanie Colteste




Nicole A. Spence
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
51 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
Pfizer, Inc.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,  9/17/15
Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD
SEP 14 2015
HON. PETER H. MOULTON
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

SEP 04 1975



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Hon. Peter H. Moulton

This Document Relates to:

**JOAN BARBARINO, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of ROY BARBARINO,**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

BASF CATALYSTS LLC, et al.,

Index No.: 190072/2014

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.**, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, **BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
September 4, 2015

FILED

SEP 22 2015


Darron E. Berquist, Esq.
Lanier Law Firm, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
126 East 56th Street, Sixth Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-2800

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Zachary S. Goldberg, Esq.
Goldberg Corwin LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS, INC.
380 Lexington Avenue, Suite 725
New York, New York 10168
(212) 986-1000

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/17/15

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD
SEP 14 2015
HON. PETER H. MOULTON
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
ELSA I. MARTINEZ AND HECTOR MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, *P*)

Index No. 190208/2015

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Patti Burshtyn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 558-5580
Facsimile: (212) 344-5461
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Elsa and Hector Martinez



Nicole A. Spence
RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP
81 Main Street, Suite 508
White Plains, NY 10601
Telephone: (914) 285-0700
Facsimile: (914) 285-1213
Attorneys for Defendant
Pfizer, Inc.

FILED

SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C.

9/17/15

RECEIVED
NYS SUPREME CT-CIVIL-1ST JD
SEP 14 2015
HON. PETER H. MOULTON
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

RECEIVED

SEP 04 2015

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part ~~3050~~
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190211/09


**DAISY CHABOUDY, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of ROBERT
CHABOUDY, Deceased**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/11/15
New York, New York


Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as
successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company,
Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and
Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED
SEP 11 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

Index No.: 109984/08

**KENNETH H. TODD, Individually and as
Executor of the Estate of VICTOR TODD,
deceased**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/11/15
New York, New York


Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,
solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing
Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom
Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 9/21/15

Hon. Peter H. Moulton



RECEIVED
SEP 11 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190386/2011

**BARBARA CONNOLLY, as Personal
Representative of the Heirs and Estate of
ROBERT L. SIMMS, Deceased**



**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc, as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (misnamed in the complaint as "Rockwell Automation Individually and as Successor in Interest to Allen Bradley and Rostone Corporation") ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Allen-Bradley, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/26, 2015

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

 David Chandler, Esq. Karst & von Oiste LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401 New York, NY 10036-4830	 Joseph P. La Sala, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Peter H. Moulton

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

RECEIVED
SEP 11 2015
BY: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----	X	
LINDA MCCANN, as Executrix for the Estate of	:	Index Nos.:
JAMES W. MCCANN and LINDA MCCANN,	:	120970/02
Individually,	:	121574/96
	:	113280/97
	:	111076/98
Plaintiff(s),	:	111360/99
	:	100611/03
-against-	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
Defendants.	:	
	:	Hon. Peter H. Moulton,
	:	IAS Part 50
-----	X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

9/9/15

SEP 22 2015

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

LYNCH BASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Peter H. Moulton, J.S.C. 9/21/15



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Moulton, P.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190125/14

**DORIS JOHNSON, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of CARLETON C.
JOHNSON, deceased**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (Improperly pled as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to the Vickers Pump Company and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. and Waterbury Pump) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/18, 2015

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED
SEP 22 2015

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr.

Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr., Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation,
as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer,
Inc.
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor
88 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 483-9490

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Peter H. Moulton 9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190037/14

ASHLEY BALDUFF, As Administratrix of the : NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Estate of WILLIAM RAYMOND TANZINI, : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
deceased :

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Aeroquip LLC, successor by merger to Eaton Aeroquip Inc. f/k/a Aeroquip Corporation (improperly pleaded as Eaton Aeroquip Inc., f/k/a Aeroquip Corporation) ("Aeroquip"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Aeroquip with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Aeroquip be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/26
_____, 2015

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED
SEP 22 2015
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert M. Gilmartin Jr.

Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr., Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Aeroquip LLC,
successor by merger to Eaton Aeroquip Inc. f/k/a
Aeroquip Corporation
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
HON. PETER H. MOULTON

9/21/15

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2015
PART 50
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

HON. PETER H. MOULTON