

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BURNETT LAUFER, as Executrix for the
Estate of JAMES LAUFER and BURNETT LAUFER,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
1/21/10, 2010




John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 05/102194
90/013400

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JAN 24 2010

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 256501/91

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MARTIN SIMUNOVICH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/15, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
SAMUEL TUMIA

Index No.: 109510/97

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Samuel Tumia
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-22

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
SAMUEL TUMIA,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X


Index No. 109510/97

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121829/97
105232/98
111057/98
122599/99
104363/06

Donald C. Flowers

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32090

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121939/97

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

BERNARD SIKKAS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/29, 2010
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Bravelli;
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT PYANOWSKI AND JOAN ANN
PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 103005/04, 122111/97,
125791/99


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Pyanowski and Joan Ann Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0934Z

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103005/04 (122111/97,
125791/99)

ROBERT PYANOWSKI AND JOAN ANN
PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2010

FILED
JAN 23 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Pyanowski and Joan Ann Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0001

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122313/97
105235/98
111059/98
123724/99
100755/03
110506/03

Edward Devine

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30211

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 007370/98
122310/00

Gerald E. Fisher

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.16436

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121829/97
105232/98
111057/98
122599/99
104363/06

Donald C. Flowers

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32090

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122313/97

105235/98

111059/98

123724/99

100755/03

110506/03

Edward Devine

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30211

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARNOLD JOHNSON, Personal Representative
for the Estate of XAVIER PRANCKEVICUS,

Index No.: 99/110954
98/111044

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/19, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Revu & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111049/98
112534/98
110669/00

Michael Delvecchio

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05385.3322

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111051/98
108040/02

Floyd Cady Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053122872

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121829/97
105232/98
111057/98
122599/99
104363/06

Donald C. Flowers

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32090

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122313/97
105235/98
111059/98
123724/99
100755/03
110506/03

Edward Devine

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30211

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111069/98
114081/05

Richard Janca

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31924

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 07 2011

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111071/98
106369/02

Arthur Kingsland


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21806

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112521/98

Raymond Weber

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/17/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00885

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111049/98

112534/98
110669/00

Michael Delvecchio

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05395.3322

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

X:/cases/FBW33314/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH MCDERMOTT,

Index No.: 112546/98

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


12/16/10

_____, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH P. McDERMOTT and ROSALIE A. McDERMOTT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 112546-98

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED


JAN 24 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17/10
New York, New York


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113040/98

Martin Zuckerman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

JAN 21 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *DANIEL BLOVIN*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00443



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 114991/98
122872/00
109635/02

Raymond Almozara

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053370178

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.07879/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
CHRISTOPHER KANE, : Index No.: 119840/98
: 101422/07
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122176/98
104845/00


Martin S. Kaufman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.02636

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD A. PAIGE

Index No.: 101176/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/13 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard A. Paige
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2119

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
RICHARD PAIGE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.


Index No. 101176/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

MICHAEL PALUMBO,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X


Index No. 101196/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Molano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
DOUGLASS QUINN,

Index No. 101610/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



FILED

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSEPH SBUTTONI,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X

Index No. 101612/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Klein Heitler)

-----x
This Document Relates to:

JAMES RIGGINS, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

WHITING CORPORATION,

Defendant.
-----x

:
: Index No.: 101624/99
:
:
: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
: **AS TO DEFENDANT**
: **WHITING CORPORATION**
:

WHEREFORE, defendant Whiting Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Whiting Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Whiting Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York
Dated: 1/5/11

Math T. Weitz
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher Villanti
Christopher Villanti, Esq.
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Whiting Corporation
200 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10166
(212) 294-6700

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE

I, Christopher Villanti, state that I am an attorney in good standing and admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, and that on January 5, 2011, I served a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Notice of No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order and No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order upon the following counsel:

1. Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for plaintiff
2. All Defense Counsel in the New York City Asbestos Litigation

I hereby affirm the foregoing statements to be true under the penalties of perjury

Dated: January 5, 2011



Christopher Villanti

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ALDO PUGLIESE,

Index No. 102947/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
FLOYD WEST,

Index No. 103285/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


Defendant.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

JAMES RAY,

Index No. 103362/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


Defendant.

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ROBERT REID,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.


Index No. 103692/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

 **FILED**

C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 200
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

HENRY VERREGO,

Index No. 103833/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
RICHARD ROBBINS,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.


Index No. 104052/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York
December 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 1000
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 104052/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD ROBBINS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Dec 28, 2010
New York, New York



PETER LAZARUS, Esq.
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

LOUIS ZEID,

Index No. 104569/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
LEVI H. WALTON

Index No.: 104743/99

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
~~2010~~ 12/13, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Levi H. Walton
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

LEVI H. WALTON,

Index No. 104743/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
MARVIN SCHUMER

Index No.: 105423/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


12/13, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Marvin Schumer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Ltr.
JAN 24 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 99-105423

This Document Relates to:

MARVIN SCHUMER

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

12/3/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
MARVIN SCHUMER
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

MARVIN SCHUMER,

Index No. 105423/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 1001
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

WITOLD SOSNOWSKI,

Index No. 105620/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100 NEW YORK
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
PAUL J. SLOAN

Index No.: 105650/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12 113, 2010

JAN 24 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Paul J. Sloan
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2236

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.06836/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to :
: :
GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER, : Index No.: 106083/99
: 114255/04
: :
Plaintiff, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

SUSAN G. ROSSBACH,

Index No. 108914/99

Plaintiffs,

-- against --

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

CEDRIC VOTRA,

Index No. 110122/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ARNOLD JOHNSON, Personal Representative
for the Estate of XAVIER PRANCKEVICUS,

Index No. 99/110954
98/111044

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims in
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/19, 2010



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

JOSEPH TOMAKA,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----x


Index No. 110973/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloules, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
DONALD H. SAWYER

Index No.: 111152/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12 113, 2010


FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald H. Sawyer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011
SKH David & Dfg

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
STEPHEN A. STEWART

Index No.: 111889/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


 12/15/2010

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stephen A. Stewart
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2231

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

STEPHEN A. STEWART,

Index No. 111889/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

RICHARD STACHELEK,

Index No. 112500/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Moran
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ANTHONY PETRIZZO,

Index No. 113224/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM T. STADLER

Index No.: 113986/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
~~12/13~~ 2010

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William T. Stadler
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 108

FILED

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
WILLIAM STADLER,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X


Index No. 113986/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113986/99


William T. Stadler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.0001

FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

DONALD VINEY,

Plaintiffs,

-- against --

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X


Index No. 115487/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN RANDOLPH TUCKER

Index No.: 118269/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/13, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Randolph Tucker
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykma
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOHN N. SCHAEFER,

Index No. 119068/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ALFRED M. ROKITKA

Index No.: 119721/99

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York

 12/15/2010

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alfred M. Rokitka
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ROTKITKA ALFRED,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X


119721 | 99
Index No. 11972/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
NICHOLAS HERNANDEZ

Index No.: 120275/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/13, 2010


FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Nicholas Hernandez
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ROSEMARY KING, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of HARRY A. KING,

Index No.: 120328/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
199 Water Street, Suite 2500
New York, New York 10038-3516
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
ROSEMARY KING, Individually and as Executrix for the
Estate of HARRY A. KING
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JAMES P. KENNEDY and THERESA E. DEVINE as
Co-Executors for the Estate of JAMES T.
KENNEDY,

Index No.: 03/113954
99/120450

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


FILED
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
~~MOTION AND ORDER~~
JAN 7 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/14, 2010



John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKR-...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121983/99
109114/00
105205/05

Raymond C. Lobus


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.175

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121987/99
106450/00
100678/07

John M. King

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Julie Evans



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ **DANIEL BLOUIN**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.33733

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
PHILIP J. SABELLA

Index No.: 122193/99

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/13, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Philip J. Sabella
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2120

SO ORDERED



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH Revd & Dtd 1/24/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

PHILLIP SABELLA,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.
-----X

Index No. 122193/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

122193/99

X.:cases/FBW39093/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MARK WITTER,

Index No: 122201/99,
114286/00 & 103645/06

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/17/10

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114257/00
125333/00
114257/00

Michael Zogby

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
12/22/2010

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10642

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114286/00
103645/06

Mark Witter


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/12



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32074

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
ROBERT JAMES PURDY III,

Index No. 122334/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

FILED
JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121829/97
105232/98
111057/98
122599/99
104363/06

Donald C. Flowers

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32090

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 99-123532

This Document Relates to:

SAMUEL TUMIA AND NETA TUMIA

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
12/3/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
SAMUEL TUMIA and NETA TUMIA
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIM HEITLER

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES R. STANDER

Index No.: 123615/99

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/13/2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James R. Stander
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 11084-1
JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 07 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JAMES R. STANDER,

Index No. 123615/99

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122313/97
105235/98
111059/98
123724/99
100755/03
110506/03

Edward Devine

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30211

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
Arnold M. Littlewood, as Executor for the Estate of
Arnold Tom Littlewood and Eva Marie Littlewood,
Individually;

Index No.: 125070/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DECEMBER 21, 2010


Megan E. Kregstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
199 Water Street, Suite 2500
New York, New York 10038-3516
(212) 232-1300


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Arnold M. Littlewood, as Executor for the Estate of
Arnold Tom Littlewood and Eva Marie Littlewood,
Individually
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-20293

4833-9405-8502.1

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125761/99

Adolph V. Weber

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN 27 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

 12/29/2010
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10885

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 125767/99
105494/00
112083/00

James E. Lewis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11083

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125767/99
105475/00

John D. Larkin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11065

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125768/99
107321/00

Ronald E. Mangold


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11592

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 125768/99
107297/00

Douglas F. Mathews

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11612

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125769/99
100769/03

Norma A. Huff


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.12733

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 125775/99
105040/00
110978/06

Joseph M. Harcrow Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05315.3134

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125779/99
104670/00

Joseph J. Galdys Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11913

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103005/04, 122111/97,
125791/99

ROBERT PYANOWSKI AND JOAN ANN
PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2010

FILED
JAN 21 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Pyanowski and Joan Ann Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0001

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103005/04, 122111/97,
125791/99

ROBERT PYANOWSKI AND JOAN ANN
PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

December 30, 2010


FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Pyanowski and Joan Ann Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0934Z

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125798/99
104471/00

Duane Broughton

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

FILED


JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOVIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11446

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Carlo Briscoli



Index No: 125798/99
104483/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meisowitz
12/16/200
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11439

SO ORDERED, _____
[Signature]
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125799/99
104449/00
114151/02

John R. Catanzaro

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Julie Evans



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *DANIEL BLOUIN*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31932

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100550/00

Harold Rinehart

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10662

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.09146/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
ROBERT E. BRANN, Deceased, : Index No.: 100552/00
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 27 2011

536.09137/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: THOMAS L. BALLING, Deceased, : Index No.: 100553/00
: Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
: vs. : Trial Group)
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-100553

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS L. BALLING, JR., AS EXECUTOR FOR
THE ESTATE OF THOMAS L. BALLING AND JO
ANNE RADER BALLING, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

1/5/11

Wendy R. Kagan
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

Lisa Busch
LISA BUSCH, ESQ. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Thomas L. Balling, Jr., as Executor for the
Estate of Thomas L. Balling and Jo Anne
Rader Balling, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JAN 07 2011



BS-SYRUP-24

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100557/00

Roger Dessureault

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10652

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100592/00

William T. Franklin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *DAMEL BLOVIN*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.08584

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101032/00

Cary Blocker Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10823

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011


536.09227/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 101760/00
WESLEY SCHWARTZ, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: DEFENDANTS
Defendants. :

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
CHARLES VITALE, as Executor for the Estate of
WESLEY A. SCHWARTZ and EVELYN J. SCHWARTZ,
Individually,

Index No.: 101760/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
DECEMBER 21, 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



~~FOR FILE~~ Esq. Michael Farrell:
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charles Vitale, as Executor for the Estate of
Wesley A. Schwartz and Evelyn Schwartz, Ind.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102466/00

Francis J. Brooks

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11968

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.08809/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
FRANCIS J. BROOKS, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 102466/00
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(September 2010 Monthly FIFO
	:	Trial Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	
	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

FILED
JAN 27 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103412/00

Warren E. Burnham


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11969

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.15830/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 103413/00
WILLIAM A. WAGNER, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT
et al., : AND ORDER
: DEFENDANTS
Defendants. :

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103416/00

Francis X. Hoyles

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10


JAN 24 2011



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11945

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103500/00
115696/00

Joseph J. Elter

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

12/17/10

JAN 24 2011



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11694

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:
ANNA PATTNOSH and DIANE O'LEARY,
Individually and as Co-Administrators of the Estate of
VINCENT J. PATTNOSH, SR.,

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s),

:
: Index No.: 103534-00

-against-

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

ABB LUMUS CREST, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendants CRANE CO. and CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.

hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendants CRANE CO. and CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants CRANE CO. and CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

JAN 24 2011

Dated: 12/21/10
New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
CRANE CO.
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3990

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104164/00

Raymond St. Gelais

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/17/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2225

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104181/00

Herbert L. Stengel Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 1033.1706

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125799/99
104449/00
114151/02

John R. Catanzaro

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Julie Evans


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *DANIEL BLOUIN*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31932

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.14516/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
JOHN R. CATANZARO, : Index No.: 104449/00
: 114151/05
: :
Plaintiff, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125798/99
104471/00


Duane Broughton

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOWIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11446

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Carlo Briscoli



Index No: 125798/99
104483/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11439

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

JAN 07 2011


536.10632/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
CARLO BRISCOLI, : Index No.: 104483/00
: :
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/10/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125779/99
104670/00


Joseph J. Galdys Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11513

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122176/98
104845/00

Martin S. Kaufman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.02636

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104983/00
116794/07

Nathan Glowatz

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 1001
Our File No. 05335.14919

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125775/99
105040/00
110978/06

Joseph M. Harcrow Sr.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05345.3134

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105249/00

Daniel Schiano

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOVIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05223.11651

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105249/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DANIEL SCHIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: December 20, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOOIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WALTER A. SKY

Index No.: 105281/00

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
^{12/13} _____, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Walter A. Sky
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
WALTER SKY;

Index No.: 105281/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12-11-3, 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
199 Water Street, Suite 2500
New York, New York 10038-3516
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
WALTER SKY
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-1113

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105288/00

John Torchick

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
12/17/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11659

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.12534/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 105342/00
RONALD KENT, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.11306/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
JOHN M. KING,	:	Index No.: <u>105450/00</u>
	:	100678/07
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(September 2010 Monthly FIFO
	:	Trial Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	
	:	

FILED

JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125767/99
105475/00


John D. Larkin

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11065

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125767/99
105494/00
112083/00

James E. Lewis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11083

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011


536.11518/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 105774/00
EDWARD FERGUSON, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121987/99
106450/00
100678/07

John M. King

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOUIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.33733

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.08453/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 106483/00
RONALD W. KLOPFER, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106483/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RONALD KLOPFER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 1-3, ²⁰¹¹ ~~2010~~
New York, New York


~~Frank G. ... Esq.~~ Michael F. Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 23 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


536.11825/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 106891/00
FELIX JUZDOWSKI, Deceased, : 116796/07
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

X.:cases/FBW40065/legal/NOSJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GEORGE MALICK,

Index No.: 107269/00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/10

Matthew T. Marc Sotya
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125768/99
107297/00

Douglas F. Mathews


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11612

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125768/99
107321/00

Ronald E. Mangold


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11592

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.08919/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 107492/00
JERRY E. MALANEY, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN E. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 00-112127

00-108387

00-121514

JOHN MICHAEL MALLON AND CATHERINE
MALLON,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. C. & S., INC., ET AL.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

12/3/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
John Michael Mallon and Catherine Mallon
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121983/99
109114/00
105205/05

Raymond C. Lobus


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAMES H. MCELROY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 109408-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 21, 2010
New York, New York

Matthew Marc Intyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

X.:cases/PBW49144/legal/NOSJMnovember2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES MCELROY,

Index No.: 109408/00

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/10


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.11954/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 109431/00
JOHN L. MATHIS, Deceased,	:	
	:	(September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff,	:	Trial Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.10385/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 109491/00
GEORGE P. McGOFF, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111049/98
112534/98
110669/00

Michael Delvecchio

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3322

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.06388/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 111114/00
THOMAS SCERE, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

107 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125767/99
105494/00
112083/00

James E. Lewis

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11083

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN MICHAEL MALLON AND CATHERINE
MALLON,

against

A. C. & S., INC., ET AL.

INDEX NO.: 00-112127
00-108387
00-121514


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

12/3/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
John Michael Mallon and Catherine Mallon
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BALDWIN


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 112517/00 &
100750/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 12/14, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86148

FILED
JAN 29 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114257/00
125333/00
114257/00

Michael Zogby

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
12/22/2010

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10642

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114257/00
T25333/00
114257/00

Michael Zogby

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


12/22/2010
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10642

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

X:\cases\FBW39093\legal\NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MARK WITTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).
-----X


Index No.: 122201/99,
114286/00 & 103645/06


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/17/10

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114286/00
103645/06

Mark Witter

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/12


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32074

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103500/00
115696/00

Joseph J. Elter

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

12/17/10

JAN 24 2011




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.11694

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GIÑO ROMANO,

Index No.: 115836/00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/23/10



~~Mark O'Connell~~, Esq. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND DOZIER

Index No. 116827/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/6/ 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff
John C. Dearie & Associates
515 Madison Avenue, Suite 1118
New York, NY 10022



Julie L. Mer
Attorneys for Defendant
Robert A. Keasbey Co.
Weiner Lesniak LLP
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So ordered.



SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JAN 07 2011

X.:cases/FBW41227/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X Index No.: 116927/00
WILLIAM DONNELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/10


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARIE DONNELLY, as Administratrix for the Estate of
WILLIAM J DONNELLY, and MARIE DONNELLY,
Individually


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 116927/00


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a
BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86151

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-118734

This Document Relates to:

SUSAN CALICCHIO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF VINCENT
CALICCHIO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

12/20/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Susan Calicchio, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of Vincent
Calicchio
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

JAN 07 2011



BS-HOLE-24

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
VINCENT CALICCHIO

Index No.: 118734/00

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
 010

JAN 24 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Calicchio
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANDREA NETLAND, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of KOLBEIN NETLAND,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106352/01 &
119374/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.



John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.81147

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 23 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 07 2011

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ERNEST WILLIAMS;

Index No.: 107181/01, 119376/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3, 2010

ST. W.

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
ERNEST WILLIAMS
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-934

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO. 119377-00
107337-01

This Document Relates to:

AUGUST TURIANO AND NANCY TURIANO,

against

A. C. & S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
~~November 19, 2010~~

12/13/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
August Turiano and Nancy Turiano
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Klemp Heitler

FILED

JAN 07 2011

JAN 24 2011

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WALTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD BERGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 119390-00


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 21, 2010
New York, New York


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-119396

This Document Relates to:

JAMES M. ECKERT and JOAN A. ECKERT,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
12/17/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


DANIEL BLOUIN, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
James M. Eckert and Joan A. Eckert
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Keira Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BS-POSE-30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN MICHAEL MALLON AND CATHERINE
MALLON,

against

A. C. & S., INC., ET AL.

INDEX NO.: 00-112127
00-108387
00-121514


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: New York, New York

12/13/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ~~ESQ.~~
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ~~ESQ.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
John Michael Mallon and Catherine Mallon
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 007370/98
122310/00

Gerald E. Fisher

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.16436

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114991/98
122872/00
109635/02

Raymond Almozara

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533-0178

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JEROME GLASER

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 123671/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.81182

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN CABELLO

Index No.: 124333/00

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/13/2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Cabello
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.05971/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 124527/00

BRIAN J. MCGUIRE, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

107 2011

536.06209/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 125131/00
CHESTER HORNER, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/15/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


536.06209/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 125131/00
TERRANCE J. FOLEY, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 13 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114257/00
125333/00
114257/00

Michael Zogby

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans

Frank M. Ortiz
12/22/2010
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Samuel Meisowitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.10642

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.06099/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 125333/00
MICHAEL D. ZOGBY, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **FILED**
et al., : **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
: **JAN 27 2011**
Defendants. :
: **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**
: **NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.06185/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 101145/01
EARL R. MONETTE, Deceased, :
: (August 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ALEXANDER J. ABRAMSKI

Index No.: 102567/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


12/15 2010

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alexander J. Abramski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dis.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN KERIN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 102900/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.81192

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Stacy Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 21 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103611/01

MARY MARTIN, INDIVIDUALLY AND
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM C. MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2010

FILED
JAN 27 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerrann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of William C. Martin
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

2383-22677

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103611/01

MARY MARTIN, INDIVIDUALLY AND
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM C. MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 30 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of William C. Martin
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

1122-20070

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103611/01

MARY MARTIN, INDIVIDUALLY AND
PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
WILLIAM C. MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 30, 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerry Ann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of William C. Martin
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

324-6038

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

HENRY STUDE,

Index No. 104980/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION & ORDER**

Defendant.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
December 8, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.
McCullough Ginsberg Montano
& Partners LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant,
320 East 53rd Street, Suite 100
New York, New York 10022
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ETHEL KOROSECZ,

Index No.: 105477/01

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/15/10



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ DANIEL BLOVIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 105480-01

This Document Relates to:

MARY ANN SPIKER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR
THE ESTATE OF STANLEY J. KREVETSKI, AND
MARGARET KREVETSKI, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


ACANDS, INC., ET AL.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ, New York

12/3/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Mary Ann Spiker, Stanley J. Krevetski and
Margaret Krevetski
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
STANLEY J. KREVETSKI

Index No.: 105480/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
[Signature] 12/13 2010

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stanley J. Krevetski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

[Signature]
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2239

SO ORDERED:
[Signature]

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:


MARY ANN SPIKER, as Administratrix for the
Estate of STANLEY J. KREVETSKI, and MARY
ANN SPIKER, as Executrix for the Estate
of MARGARET KREVETSKI,
Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,
Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12 9 , 2010



John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I. A. S. Bert
(Heitler, J.)
FILED

JAN 1 2011
Index No.: 01/105480
**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____ 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DAVID WRIGHT, Administrator for the Estate of
ELIZABETH WRIGHT,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 105986/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Elizabeth Wright
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANDREA NETLAND, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of KOLBEIN NETLAND,


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 106352/01 &
119374/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.81147

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 21 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 07 2011

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL DANN and JAMES HOOKER, Personal
Representatives for the Estate of EARL HOOKER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 106564/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010




Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Earl Hooker
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
BYRON C. TAYLOR, as Personal Representative for
the Estate of ALFRED LEO LOZIER and BYRON C.
TAYLOR as Personal Representative for the Estate of
PHEBE LOZIER;

Index No.: **106684/01**

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3, 2010

St. W.

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

[Signature]
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
BYRON C. TAYLOR, as Personal Representative for the Estate
of ALFRED LEO LOZIER and BYRON C. TAYLOR as
Personal Representative for the Estate of PHEBE LOZIER
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-13781

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106928/01

FRANK CARUVANA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Frank Caruvana
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET MARIE BERENGER, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of CHARLES BERENGER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 107025/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/9 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Margaret Marie Berenger, Individually and Executrix
for the Estate of Charles Berenger
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ERNEST WILLIAMS;

Index No.: 107181/01, 119376/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3, 2010

ST-W.

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

[Handwritten Signature]

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
ERNEST WILLIAMS
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED,

[Handwritten Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-934

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
James S. Weisenburger
(deceased),

Index No.: 107206/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/22, 2010


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James S. Weisenburger
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 754-10834

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107296/01

MARY BROPHY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Mary Brophy
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN HARDOBY, Individually and GREGORY
HARDOBY and DIANE RABIEJ, as Co-Executrices for
the Estate of GEORGE HARDOBY

Index No.: 107297/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of George Hardoby
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE HARBODY

Index No.: 107297/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12-11-10, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George Harbody
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 27 2011
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUTH P. HOROWITZ, Individually and Executrix for
the Estate of ABRAHAM HOROWITZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 107298/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


JAN 24 2011

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010




Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Abraham Horowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: ~~119377-00~~
107337-01

This Document Relates to:

AUGUST TURIANO AND NANCY TURIANO,

against

A. C. & S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
~~November 19, 2010~~

12/13/10


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
August Turiano and Nancy Turiano
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED:


Sherry Kleit Heitler

JAN 07 2011

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
JOSEPH A. ZAMPELLA and MILLIE ZAMPELLA;

Index No.: 107791/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3, 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
CARL L. WOOD and BETTY WOOD
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-940

FILED
JAN 21 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHNSON, DIANA A. As Administratrix. For The Estate
Of SIMON B. MANNING, and DIANA A. JOHNSON,
Individually


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 108009/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87657

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAROLD N. SMITH,

Index No.: 01/108036

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn New York
12/14, 2010



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ort, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 21 2011

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: **108103/01**

JOHN A. MAZZULLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010




Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John A. Mazzullo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 2 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109761/01

PHILIP HERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Philip Hernandez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD D'IMPERIO, Executor for the Estate of
JOAN D'IMPERIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 110437/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Joan D'Imperio
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X.:/cases/FBW43360/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
HAROLD MCGINN,

Index No.: 110438/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHYLLIS E. MARANO and PHILIP MARANO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 110810/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Phyllis E. Marano and Philip Marano
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JUDITH E. ACKLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of
ROLAND DI ANTONIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: **110943/01**


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Roland Di Antonio
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM TAGLIAFERRI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 111229/01 &
119610/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86067

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 118721/01 &


111233/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86063

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUTH SANDRA THEAMAN and GERALD SPIGEL,
as Co-Executors for the Estate of JACK LOCKER

Index No.: 119243/01
111234/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Jack Locker
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111867/01

NICHOLAS DELFINO and LAURA DELFINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Nicholas Delfino and Laura Delfino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113260/01

EILEEN A. ROMERO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JOSEPH MCCARTHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 17, 2010



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



DANIEL BLOUIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph McCarthy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2571-875

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113260/01

EILEEN A. ROMERO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JOSEPH MCCARTHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 17, 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


DANIEL BLOVIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph McCarthy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

1235-6993

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113260/01

EILEEN A. ROMERO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JOSEPH MCCARTHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 17, 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


DANIEL BLOUIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph McCarthy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

454-1644

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113260/01

EILEEN A. ROMERO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JOSEPH MCCARTHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

JAN 24 2011

Dated: New York, New York
December 17, 2010

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Daniel Blouin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph McCarthy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-7476

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH MCCARTHY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 113260/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 12/17 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86035

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113260/01

EILEEN A. ROMERO, EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JOSEPH MCCARTHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

December 17, 2010




Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Kentile Floors, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



DANIEL BLOUIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph McCarthy
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

2082-8828

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ROBERT S. COLLINS, as Administrator for the
Estate of EDWARD G. COLLINS and ROBERT
S. COLLINS and CHERYL BADALAMENT, as
the Co-Executors for the Estate of LILIAN
COLLINS,

Index No.: 113728/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-


A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Edward G. Collins and Lilian Collins
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 


JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd. JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113956/01

CURTIS EDWARDS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Curtis Edwards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD M. GIEGERICH, Administrator for the
Estate of RICAHRD GIEGERICH and CHERYLE F.
GIEGERICH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.:114379/01


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Richard Giegerich and Cheryle F. Giegerich
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
CARL INZANTI and JEAN INZANTI	:	Index No.: 114872/01
	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Plaintiffs,	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
- against -	:	
A.C. and S., INC., et al.	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----X		

WHEREFORE, Defendant Dunham-Bush hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 and the NYCAL Amended Case Management Order dated September 20, 1996, Amended as of February 19, 2003, dismissing Plaintiffs' Verified Complaint against Defendant Dunham-Bush with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to Plaintiffs and all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dunham-Bush, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan. 4, ~~2010~~ 2011


LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
By: 
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Carl and Jean Inzanti

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP
By: 
Matthew S. Tamasco, Esq.
140 Broadway, 31st Floor
New York, New York 10005
Attorneys for Defendant
Dunham-Bush

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED, 

JAN 07 2011 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOUGLAS L. MAURAN, Administrator for the Estate
of ALFRED C. MAURAN,

Index No.: 115298/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/2 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Alfred C. Mauran
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
MINNIE BAIRD, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES
BAIRD and MINNIE BAIRD, Individually,

Index No.: 115594/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/2 2010

Steven T. Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Minnie Baird, as Executrix for the Estate of James
Baird and Minnie Baird, Individually
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, *Sherry Klein Heitler*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-5884

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
MICHAEL W. FLANAGAN and MARGARET
FLANAGAN;

Index No.: 115738/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3, 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
MICHAEL W. FLANAGAN and MARGARET
FLANAGAN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-6737

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

536.08378/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 116146/01

JOHN J. McCUE, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)

vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**

Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3412, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 117155/01

MARTIN CONDENZIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Martin Condenzio
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 118721/01 &
111233/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86063

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUTH SANDRA THEAMAN and GERALD SPIGEL,
as Co-Executors for the Estate of JACK LOCKER

Index No.: 119243/01
111234/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3 2010


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Estate of Jack Locker
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM TAGLIAFERRI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111229/01 &
119610/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86067

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE TRINIDAD


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 120395/01 &
109554/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86069

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 07 2011

X:/cases/FBW44589/legal/NOSIM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSE TRINIDAD,

Index No.: 120395/01 &
109554/02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/10


Matthew McCarty, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 120396/01, 103357/02

EDWARD T. GOOD AND CAROL GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

JAN 24 2011

Dated: New York, New York
, 2010

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good and Carol Good
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500
12/22/2010

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-801T

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 120396/01 103357/02

EDWARD T. GOOD AND CAROL GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
, 2010


Kevin Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Samuel Meironitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good and Carol Good
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500
12/22/2010

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3579T

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 120396/01, 103357/02

EDWARD T. GOOD AND CAROL GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
, 2010



Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



12/22/2010
Samuel Meitowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good and Carol Good
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2383-24975B

JAN 07 2011

536.10566/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL
: IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to :
: Index No.: 120397/01
DANIEL SCHIANO, : 105063/02
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARGARET VOSBURGH, as Administratrix for the
Estate of WALTER VOSBURGH, and MARGARET
VOSBURGH, Individually


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 120432/01 &
101070/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86072

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARGARET VOSBURGH, as Administratrix for the
Estate of WALTER VOSBURGH, and MARGARET
VOSBURGH, Individually

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120432/01 &
101070/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86072

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Kleih Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 101110/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

JAMES FLEMING,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: December 15, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOOM
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011


536.11044/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 101286/02
ALEX T. MILLER, Deceased, :
: (August 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition therefor.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120396/01, 103357/02

EDWARD T. GOOD AND CAROL GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


JAN 24 2011

Dated: New York, New York
, 2010

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

 12/22/2010

Samuel Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good and Carol Good
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-801T

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120396/01, 103357/02

EDWARD T. GOOD AND CAROL GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
, 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerlyann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Samuel Meitowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good and Carol Good
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

12/22/2010

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-3579T

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120396/01, 103357/02

EDWARD T. GOOD AND CAROL GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
, 2010




Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

 12/22/2010

Samuel Meitowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good and Carol Good
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2383-24975B

JAN 07 2011

536.10566/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120397/01
DANIEL SCHIANO, : 105063/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, heard the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105172/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GERALD HAHN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/15, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer M. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011


536.11801/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 105172/02
GERALD HAHN, Deceased, :
: (August 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/10/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111071/98
106369/02


Arthur Kingsland

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.21806

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111051/98
108040/02

Floyd Cady Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05352872

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD F. HOGAN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 108870/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/7, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

improperly named as Premier

**Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a
BMI**

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.87378

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 11 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE TRINIDAD

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01 &
109554/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/14 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86069

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 07 2011

X:/cases/FBW44589/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSE TRINIDAD,

Index No. 120395/01 &
109554/02

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/16/10


Matthew MacTibone, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114991/98
122872/00
109635/02

Raymond Almozara

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533-01748

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.13081/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
THOMAS CRUGER, : Index No.: 111243/02
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
Defendants. : **AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/13/11

East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARILYN GARCIA, Individually and as
Administratrix of the Estate of JOHN SANCHEZ
GARCIA, III,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 111905/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Dunham-Bush hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 and the NYCAL Amended Case Management Order dated September 20, 1996, Amended as of February 19, 2003, dismissing Plaintiffs' Verified Complaint against Defendant Dunham-Bush with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to Plaintiffs and all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dunham-Bush, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Jan. 4, ~~2010~~ 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

By: 

800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
*Marilyn Garcia, as Administratrix of
the Estate of John Sanchez Garcia, III*

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

By: 
Matthew S. Tamasco, Esq.

140 Broadway, 31st Floor
New York, New York 10005
Attorneys for Defendant
Dunham-Bush

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 02-111905

MARILYN GARCIA, Individually and as
Administratrix of the Estate of JOHN SANCHEZ
GARCIA, III,

Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, et al.


Defendants.
-----x

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 22, 2010
New York, New York


Erica Cesaro, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JAN 07 2011

536.13112/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 112375/02
MICHAEL GACH, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.13279/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 112954/02
RAYMOND F. WEBER, Deceased,	:	
	:	(September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff,	:	Trial Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125799/99
104449/00
114151/02

John R. Catanzaro

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Julie Evans



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *DANIEL BLOUIN*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31932

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.13912/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 118207/02
BERNARD SIKKAS, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., :
Defendants. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **FILED**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
: **JAN 24 2011**
: **COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**
: **NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 121911/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

EDWARD KANIA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/15, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.14550/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
:
ROBERT E. DOYLE, : Index No.: 123166/02
:
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
:
vs. : Trial Group)
:
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
:
Defendants. : **AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BALDWIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112517/00 &
100750/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/11/2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.,
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86148

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 29 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122313/97
105235/98
111059/98
123724/99
100755/03
110506/03

Edward Devine

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30211

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125769/99
100769/03

Norma A. Huff

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.12733

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
JAN 20 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE

536.16784/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: SAM DONOFRIO, : Index No.: 107991/03
: Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
: vs. : Trial Group)
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122313/97
105235/98
111059/98
123724/99
100755/03
110506/03

Edward Devine

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30211

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.17201/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
EDWARD JEMIOLO, Deceased, : Index No.: 111829/03
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRED A HOFMANN JR and GENE ANN HOFMANN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 111913/2003 &
106520/2004

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated 12/14, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq.,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86020

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
ANTHONY ASARO

Index No.: 113437/03

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/3 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 1005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Anthony Asaro
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
1863-5677

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:
JAMES P. KENNEDY and THERESA E. DIVINE as
Co-Executors for the Estate of JAMES T. KENNEDY;

Index No.: 113954/03

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/3, 2010

AT-UL

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

[Handwritten Signature]

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
JAMES P. KENNEDY and THERESA E. DIVINE as
Co-Executors for the Estate of JAMES T. KENNEDY;
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-20312

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES P. KENNEDY and THERESA E. DEVINE as
Co-Executors for the Estate of JAMES T.
KENNEDY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/113954
99/120450


FILED
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
JAN 14 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2010


John J. DeBridges, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKri - ...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115706/03

TERRANCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE
CORRIGAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/7 2010

Steven T. Corbin

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank Ortiz

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Terrance Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 27 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
Index No. 120250-03

ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO,

Plaintiff,

IAS Justice:
Hon. Sherry Klein
Heitler

-against-

MACK TRUCKS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York
January 4, 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Thomas J. Maimone, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, New York 11501

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 120579/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALOYSIUS KENNY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/15, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JAN 03 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011


536.17924/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 100755/04
LLOYD HARDEN, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.18011/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: ENZIO COSTANZO, Deceased, : Index No.: 102902/04
: Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
: vs. : Trial Group)
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 103005/04 122111/97,
125791/99

ROBERT PYANOWSKI AND JOAN ANN
PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 30, 2010

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann Cork, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Patterson Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Pyanowski and Joan Ann Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-0001

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 103005/04, 122111/97,
125791/99

ROBERT PYANOWSKI AND JOAN ANN
PYANOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

December 30, 2010

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Pyanowski and Joan Ann Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0934Z

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRED A HOFMANN JR and GENE ANN HOFMANN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111913/2003 &
106520/2004


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JAN 24 2011

Dated 12/14, 2010


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86020

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.18608/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 111253/04
MARTIN ZUCKERMAN, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.18577/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 111496/04

WILLIAM McLAUGHLIN, :
: (August 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)

vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **AND ORDER**
et al., :
:
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/10/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Stephen F. Balsamo
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.06836/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: :
GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER, : Index No.: 106083/99
: 114255/04
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.18806/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 115493/04

CARL LUNDERMAN, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)

vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**


Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


536.19099/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 102194/05
JAMES LAUFER, Deceased, :
: (August 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**
:
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/17/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BURNETT LAUFER, as Executrix for the
Estate of JAMES LAUFER and BURNETT LAUFER,
Individually,

Index No.: 05/102194
90/013400

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JAN 24 2011

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/14, 2010

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


John L. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SHERRY R. HEITLER

536.19094/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL
: IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
:
JOHN COUGHLIN, : Index No.: 102347/05
:
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
: Trial Group)
:
vs. :
:
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121983/99
109114/00
105205/05

Raymond C. Lobus

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.55

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Sharon Edwardsen, as Administratrix for the Estate of
George Edwardsen, and Sharon Edwardsen as
Administratrix for the Estate of Teresa Edwardsen,

Index No.: 112236/05

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/2 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Sharon Edwardsen, as Administratrix for the Estate of
George Edwardsen, and Sharon Edwardsen as
Administratrix for the Estate of Teresa Edwardsen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-20305

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111069/98
114081/05

Richard Janca


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31924

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 07 2011

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.14516/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
JOHN R. CATANZARO, : Index No.: 104449/00
: 114151/05
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :
et al., : NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 102335/06

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

RICHARD DANSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/15, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer V. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

X:/cases/FBW39093/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MARK WITTER,

Index No.: 122201/99,
114286/00 & 103645/06

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

12/17/10

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew Park*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122201/99
114286/00
103645/06


Mark Witter

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32074

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121829/97
105232/98
111057/98
122599/99
104363/06

Donald C. Flowers

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32090

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125775/99
105040/00
110978/06

Joseph M. Harcrow Sr.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/12/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05345.3234

FILED

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011

536.11306/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to:	:	
JOHN M. KING,	:	Index No.: 105450/00
	:	100678/07
Plaintiff,	:	(September 2010 Monthly FIFO
vs.	:	Trial Group)
	:	FILED
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	JAN 24 2011
	:	COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
	:	NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121987/99

106450/00

100678/07

John M. King

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
December 15, 2010


JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ DANIEL BLOUIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.33733

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.07879/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
CHRISTOPHER KANE, : Index No.: 119840/98
: 101422/07
Plaintiff, : (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
vs. : Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.21494/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 108386/07

MILTON BURCKHALTER, Deceased, :
: (August 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)

vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**

Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 32.2, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

536.21781/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 116794/07
NATHAN GLOWATZ, Deceased, :
: (September 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/13/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALGAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104983/00
116794/07

Nathan Glowatz

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/13/10



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10011
Our File No. 05335.14959

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 07 2011


536.11825/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
FELIX JUZDOWSKI, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 106891/00
	:	116796/07
Plaintiff,	:	(September 2010 Monthly FIFO
	:	Trial Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/12/10
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

Duplicate original *BA*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CARMEN PELLIGRINO and MARIE PELLIGRINO

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No: 190089-09

KCG, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO RUCO DRYWALL
PRODUCTS AND RUCO, INC., et al.

Defendant

WHEREFORE, the defendant, KCG, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO RUCO DRYWALL PRODUCTS AND RUCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KCG, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant KCG, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Buffalo, New York
September 7, 2010

Susan E. Van Gelder
Susan Van Gelder

~~David A. Chandler, Esq.~~ *Patti Boontyn, Esq.*
Weitz & Luxenberg, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane - 17th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Susan E. Van Gelder, Esq.
Goldberg Segalla LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
KGC, Inc.
665 Main Street - Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14203

Sherry
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JAN 07 2011

So ordered:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190133/09

FRANCIS LUTZ J. JR.,
Plaintiff(s)

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.,
Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **ELECTRO MECHANICAL CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **ELECTRO MECHANICAL CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTRO MECHANICAL CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

December 30, 2010



Robert Darish, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTRO MECHANICAL CORPORATION
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

JAN 29 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Mark Bibro, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
FRANCIS J. LUTZ
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190349/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WILLIAM E. TURNER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/29, 2010
New York, New York

Joseph W. Belluck Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th floor
New York, NY 10036


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

ZELLA F. TURNER, Individually and as Executrix of
the Estate of WILLIAM EDWARD TURNER,

Plaintiff,

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X


NYCAL
Index No: 190349/2009


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dean Pump Division hereby requests summary judgment
in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dean Pump Division with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendant Dean Pump Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.

Dated: Buffalo, New York


12-20-10

William Papain, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036


James W. Whitcomb, Esq.
PHILLIPS LYTTLE LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Dean Pump Division
3400 HSBC Center
Buffalo, New York 14203

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND SCHAEFER and MARIANNE
SCHAEFER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA
(ALCOA), et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 190432-09

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12.17.10
New York, New York

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
JAN 27 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 104471/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

DUANE BROUGHTON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: December 20, 2010
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL BLOUIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

JAN 24 2011

**NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 124131/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

TERRENCE FOLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 12/29, 2010
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
JAN 24 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN MLINCSEK and JOAN MLINCSEK

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190025/2010

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc., f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc., f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc., f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/22, 2010


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc., f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.86031

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

JAN 24 2011

JAN 07 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JAY NISSINOFF and MERYL NISSINOFF,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.B. DICK COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No(s): 190046-10


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3217, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17/10
New York, New York


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&I GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Salvatore Ferrari (Deceased),

Index No.: 190057/10

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
12/20, 2010

JAN 24 2011

~~Michael P. Roberts, Esq.~~ ~~Patricia B. Smith~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Salvatore Ferrari (Deceased)
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

~~Justin M. Tafe~~
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2165

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190062/10

CARLOS SIMMONS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS and
PIRELLI CABLE CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**
FILED


JAN 24 2011


**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant PRYSMIAN COMMUNICATIONS CABLES AND SYSTEMS USA, LLC, incorrectly sued herein as "PIRELLI CABLE CORPORATION" (hereinafter "PRYSMIAN"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PRYSMIAN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PRYSMIAN be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17, 2010
New York, New York


Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575


William T. Miedel, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
PRYSMIAN COMMUNICATIONS
CABLES AND SYSTEMS USA, LLC
100 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SKH - Rcvd & Dig.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOSEPH P. McDERMOTT and ROSALIE McDERMOTT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION AS SUCCESSOR,
BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL

Index No. 190136-10

IAS Justice:

Hon. Sherry Klein
Heitler

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York

January 4, 2011


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Lance Perez, Esq.
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Mack Trucks, Inc.
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609
Mineola, New York 11501

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

JAN 24 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH P. McDERMOTT and ROSALIE A.
McDERMOTT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s): 190136-10


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 12/17/10
New York, New York

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
Asbestos Matter

GEORGE ROBINSON,

Index No. 190170/2010

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Goodall Rubber Company ("Goodall") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Goodall with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goodall be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
December 19, 2010

JAN 24 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: _____

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

By: _____

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant
Goodall Rubber Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10016
(212) 452-5319

SO ORDERED: _____

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In Re First Judicial District
Asbestos Litigation

This Document Applies to:
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GEORGE ROBINSON (deceased),

Plaintiff,

vs.

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Index No: 10-190170

FILED

JAN 24 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Genuine Parts Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Genuine Parts Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
November ~~2010~~
December 7, 2010

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP



Jessica Russell, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Dated: Buffalo, New York
November 24, 2010

DAMON MOREY LLP


Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant,
Genuine Parts Company
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
200 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190193/10

Antonio Siracusa,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/3/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esquire
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

FILED
JAN 24 2011

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corp., as successor
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

John Chilarski and Helen Chilarski,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M Company, et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 190391/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants Raytheon Company and Hawker Beechcraft Corporation, incorrectly sued herein as Raytheon Aircraft Co. f/k/a Beech Aircraft Corp., hereby request summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants Raytheon Company and Hawker Beechcraft Corporation with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants Raytheon Company and Hawker Beechcraft Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York


~~November~~ 2010
December 21,



Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Lawrence W. Burnett
Kardon Stolzman
Napoli Bern Ripka, LLP
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, NY 10118
(212) 267-3700

Attorneys for Defendants
Thomas M. Crispi
Jill Berry
Schiff Hardin LLP
900 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 753-5000

SO ORDERED: _____



SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190397/10

Marvin Eisenberg and Lorraine Eisenberg


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC.,** incorrectly s/h/a **"SIEMENS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Powers"** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/17/10


Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Bryan Belasly


Erik DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC.,
incorrectly s/h/a **"SIEMENS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Powers"**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Bar File No. 0106510049

FILED

JAN 24 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190397/10

Marvin Eisenberg and Lorraine Eisenberg

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

JAN 24 2011

Dated: New York, New York


12/17/10

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




~~Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.~~
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

Bryan Belasly



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.00914

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190408-10

CLAYTON SHANK and ARLENE SHANK,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS
CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to
BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.

Defendants.
-----x


FILED
JAN 24 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 21, 2010

New York, New York


Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Ingersoll-Rand Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER JAN 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RAYMOND CARELLA and EDNA CARELLA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

McALLISTER TOWING AND TRANSPORTATION
CO., INC., McALLISTER TOWING, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190416-10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

JAN 24 2011


COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

Defendants, **MCALLISTER TOWING AND TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.** (s/h/a McAllister Towing) and **MCALLISTER TOWING OF NEW YORK LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212 dismissing plaintiffs' Amended Verified Complaint against defendants, **MCALLISTER TOWING AND TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.** (s/h/a McAllister Towing), and **MCALLISTER TOWING OF NEW YORK LLC**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants, **MCALLISTER TOWING AND TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.** (s/h/a McAllister Towing), and **MCALLISTER TOWING OF NEW YORK LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
December 13, 2010


BY


Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

BY


Attorneys for Defendant
McALLISTER TOWING AND TRANSPORTATION
CO., INC. and McALLISTER TOWING OF NEW
YORK LLC
FREEHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP
80 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005-1759
(212) 425-1900

361983.1


SNERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JAN 07 2011