

SCANNED ON 10/29/2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122059/99, 100804/97,  
113280/97 & 111073/98

JOHN MADDI (Deceased)

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

HOWARD MONTGOMERY (Deceased)


109676/99, 104260/97,  
111036/98 & 109676/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

8/15, 2010  


FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 106645/97,  
112622/99, 111065/98,  
113281/97, 112046/06

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/23, 2010

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Norel L. Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112046/06, 112622/99  
106645/97

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,  
  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112046/06, 112622/99  
106645/97

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112046/06, 112622/99

106645/97

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010


  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

2383-27490

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

Index No. 108181/1997

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH T. PANZA,  
  
Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C.&S., INC., *et al.*, Including  
ArvinMeritor, Inc.,  
  
Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 31, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carla Burke, Esq. (Bar No. 4319463)  
BARON & BUDD  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
3102 Oak Lawn Avenue,  
Suite 1100  
Dallas, TX 75219  
(800) 222-2766

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD DANSON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109600/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122059/99, 100804/97,  
113280/97 & 111073/98

JOHN MADDI (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 106645/97,  
112622/99, 111065/98,  
113281/97, 112046/06

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Noriel L. Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK NUCHERENO and MARGUERITE  
NUCHERENO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AC & S, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 121733/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/9, 2010



Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Frank Nuchereneno and Marguerite Nuchereneno  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121749/97

NICHOLAS SANTORA and FLORENCE  
SANTORA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AC & S, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8\9, 2010

  
Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant


Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Nicholas Santora and Florence Santora  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

This Document Relates to:

**BERNARD SIKKAS**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SA

X./cases/FBW54369/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 122078/97

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8/12

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE

Index No. 122078/97;  
123724/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


DATED: July 27, 2010

OCT - 1 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heller

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,	Index No <u>104095/98</u>
	111039/98
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
9/22/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Matthew Park, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110127/98 100692/00

RICHARD J. TEMPLETON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


AC & S, INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/9, 2010

  
Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard J. Templeton  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP-28-2010**

2383-0001

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

HOWARD MONTGOMERY (Deceased)

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No


109676/99, 104260/97,  
111036/98 & 109676/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

8/10/10, 2010  


FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 28 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,	: Index No. 104095/98
	: <u>111039/98</u>
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/22/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Matthew Park, Esq.

By:   
Gelfiz Shoai Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111043/98 110973/99

**JOSEPH J. TOMAKA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OUT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually

Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

JULIUS PAUL NOSEWICZ (Deceased)

111047/98 111486/98  
& 122602/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010




FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

WALTER L. CLEGHORN (Deceased)


117710/99, 111061/98  
& 117710/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11501  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 106645/97  
112622/99, 111065/98  
113281/97, 112046/06

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

Matthew T. Weitz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Norel L. Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122059/99, 100804/97,  
113280/97 & 111073/98

JOHN MADDI (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

JULIUS PAUL NOSEWICZ (Deceased)

111047/98, 111486/98  
& 122602/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X	
ALICE WAGNER and WILLIAM A. WAGNER,	: Index No. 113177/98
	: 103413/00
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. AND S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Peter Tambini

By:   
Scott Harford

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7/2010

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103413/00, 113177/98

WILLIAM A. WAGNER AND ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Treadwell Corporation  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-21916

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually

Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98, 103413/00;  
100771/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
SEP 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103413/00, 113177/98

WILLIAM A. WAGNER AND ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/25, 2010

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-22399

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
TERRANCE J. FOLEY


Index No. 118509/98  
125131/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010




Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

TERRANCE J. FOLEY

Index No. 118509/98  
125131/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell;  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118509/98  
125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane,

Index No.: 119840/98  
Index No.: 101422/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010



Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003



Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5440

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane,

Index No.: 119840/98  
Index No.: 101422/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11206  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1157

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

*Sherry K. Heitler*

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane,

Index No.: 119840/98  
Index No.: 101422/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1157

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100489/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS J. Mc CANN,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant KOHLER CO. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant KOHLER CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, KOHLER CO. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 8/25/10, 2010  
New York, New York

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Kohler Co.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SFP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

THOMAS J. Mc CANN


100489/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X  
THOMAS McCANN AND JOAN McCANN, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :

Index No.: 100489/99

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

----- X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

\_\_\_\_\_  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100490/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

EUGENE McCARTHY,  
Plaintiff(s),


- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,  
Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/22, 2010  
New York, New York

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ~~Matthew Park, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

SFP 23 2010 OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

EUGENE G. Mc CARTHY


100490/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11501  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
101005/99

CHARLES JAMES NICOMETO (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SEP 23 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Gladys E. Monahan, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of Robert  
J. Monahan,

Index No.: 101035/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
Sept. 13, 2010

  
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Gladys E. Monahan, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Robert J. Monahan  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

JK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101196/99

**MICHAEL PALUMBO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/16, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
MICHAEL PALUMBO, :  
 :  
 : Plaintiff, :  
 :  
 : - against - :  
 :  
 : A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
 :  
 : Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 101196/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

HERMAN H. HARDY JR. (Deceased)


101607/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101610/99

DOUGLAS F. QUINN



NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

This Document Relates to  
  
DOUGLAS F. QUINN

: Index No.: 101610/99  
:  
: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
: Part 30  
:  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**  
:  
:  
: X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101612/99

JOSEPH SBUTTONI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
GEORGINE J. SBUTTONI, Individually and as Personal :  
Representative for the Estate of JOSEPH SBUTTONI, :  
Plaintiff, :  
- against - :  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 101612/99

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**


OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
8/25 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Loring A. Fenton, Esq.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101622/99


John Bovenzi

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SFD 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Ann Riggins, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of James Riggins Sr.,

Index No.: 101624/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and  
there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/18, 2010

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Ann Riggins, as  
Administratrix for the  
Estate of James Riggins Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-1774 - 1 2010

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Ann Riggins, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of James Riggins Sr.,

Index No.: 101624/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Ann Riggins, as  
Administratrix for the  
Estate of James Riggins Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES W. CLOBRIDGE

INDEX NO.

102317/99

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/7/10



Peter Tambini, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035




Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102947/99

**ALDO PUGLIESE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103285/99

**FLOYD WEST**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
DUANE WEST, as Executor for the Estate of FLOYD :  
WEST, and DUANE WEST, as Executor for the Estate :  
of RUBY WEST, :  
Plaintiff, :  
- against - :  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
Defendants. :  
----- X


Index No.: 103285/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25 2010

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**  
OCT 1 2010  
**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
JACQUALLA GRAHAM, as Administratrix for the :  
Estate of JAMES H. RAY, and JACQUALLA :  
GRAHAM, as Executrix for the Estate of JOYCE RAY, :  
:  
Plaintiff, :  
:  
- against - :  
:  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
:  
Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 103362/99


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 5, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 103362/99

**JAMES RAY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

LESTER T. KNOPP (Deceased)


103373/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Carol Ann Knopp, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of  
Lester T. Knopp,

Index No.: 103373/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/2, 2010

OCT - 1 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Carol Ann Knopp, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Lester T. Knopp  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
ROBERT E. REID, :  
 :  
 : Plaintiff, :  
 :  
 : - against - :  
 :  
 : A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
 :  
 : Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 103692/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring J. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 103692/99


**ROBERT E. REID**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No

JOHN FLASHOFSKY SR. (Deceased)

103855/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010




FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

SEP 23 2010

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104569/99

**LOUIS ZEID**



**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SFP 23 2010**

BT

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
LOUIS ZEID AND DORIS ZEID, :  
 :  
 Plaintiffs, :  
 :  
 - against - :  
 :  
 A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
 :  
 Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 104569/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
RICHARD ROBBINS and MARIE ROBBINS,		: Index No. <del>104025/99</del>
	Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. AND S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

104052/99

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/7/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Peter Tambini

By:   
Scott Harford

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

9/7/2010

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010 10 10

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
VICTORIA SOSNOWSKI, Individually and as Executrix: :  
for the Estate of WITOLD SOSNOWSKI, :  
 :  
Plaintiff, :  
 :  
- against - :  
 :  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
 :  
Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 105620/99

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/15, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105620/99

WITOLD SOSNOWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

OCT - 1 2010

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**AUGUST ARRINDELL**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106246/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/14, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

AUGUST ARRINDELL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106246/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022 OCT - 1 2010  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

FRANK COSTELLO


106261/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
SEP 23 2010 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ANTHONY CATALINA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106275/99


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106316/99

Harold Ames


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335-00001

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 106763/99 108914/99



SUSAN G. ROSSBACH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK DENARO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106872/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS FACCILOLO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106930/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

PAUL KAULFERS (Deceased)


107742/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Frederick A. Ioli and Dolores Ioli,

Index No.: 107913/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
Sept. 13, 2010

  
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frederick A. Ioli and Dolores  
Ioli  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-OCT - 1 2010

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**SEP 23 2010**

ST

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107913/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FREDERICK A. IOLI and DELORES IOLI,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant KOHLER CO. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant KOHLER CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, KOHLER CO. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 8/25/10, 2010  
New York, New York

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

  
W. Simone Nicholson, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Kohler Co.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SFP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107913/99

FREDERICK IOLI,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
July 27, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

CULLEN AND DYKMAN LLP

By: 

By: 

*Daniel Blouin*  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frederick Ioli  
700 Broadway, 6th Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
718-855-9000  
File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

FREDERICK A. IOLI


107913/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

CECIL H. JACKSON (Deceased)


107918/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 L.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

JOHN HUGHES (Deceased)


107936/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11007  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

FRED H. KAUFMANN


108200/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8 Oct 5, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X  
SUSAN G. ROSSBACH, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :

Index No.: 108914/99

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**ED**


OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


----- X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment  
in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  
Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being  
no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/15, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring J. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106763/99; 108914/99


SUSAN G. ROSSBACH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/16, 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

382 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

SAVERIO CRISELLA (Deceased)


109505/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Patricia H. Debro, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of  
Michael Debro,

Index No.: 109513/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
Sept 13, 2010

  
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Patricia H. Debro, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Michael Debro  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

HOWARD MONTGOMERY (Deceased)

109676/99, 104260/97,  
111036/98 & 109676/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

8/15, 2010  


FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

HOWARD MONTGOMERY (Deceased)

109676/99, 104260/97  
111036/98 & (109676/99)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

8/15, 2010  


FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Kleih Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 28 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

ROGER L. KASTENHUBER SR. (Deceased)


109754/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., P.C.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

FREDERICK C. HAGEDORN (Deceased)


110087/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11077  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

54

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

This Document Relates to:

CEDRIC P. VOTRA

Index No.: 110122/99

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8<sup>th</sup> FLS, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110122/99

**CEDRIC P. VOTRA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

This Document Relates to: :

XAVIER PRANCKEVICUS :

: Index No.: 110954/99


: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
: Part 30


: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**  
:

----- X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/13, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Loring J. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111043/98; 110973/99

JOSEPH J. TOMAKA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
ELEANOR SZPARA, as Administratrix for the Estate of :  
JOSEPH J. TOMAKA, :  
: Plaintiff, :  
: - against - :  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
: Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 110973/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

Dated: New York, New York  
8/15, 2010




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No

ROBERT E. GARY (Deceased)

112128/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

st

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112500/99

**RICHARD STACHELEK**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
:  
:  
This Document Relates to: :  
:  
RICHARD STACHELEK :  
:  
:  
:  
----- X

Index No.: 112500/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring A. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT 01 2010

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

RUTH GOLD (Deceased)


112604/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8-5, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 112046/06, 112622/99  
106645/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

1235-22233

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 106645/97,  
112622/99, 111065/98,  
113281/97, 112046/06

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

Matthew T. Weitz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Noriel L. Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112046/06, 112622/99  
106645/97

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 112046/06, 112622/99  
106645/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010


  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

2383-27490

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM BELAK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113028/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM MICHAEL BELAK**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113028/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD BARTOLOMEO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113040/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE W. ALLEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113378/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> F.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113378/99


George W. Allen


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE N. ALLEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113378/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113379/99


Donald C. Allen

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 25 2010

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
114000/99

JAMES NOLAN COTHRON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & COMPANY  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SFD 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114815/99


Frederick Bobb

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
DAWN QUINN, as Administratrix for the Estate of :  
DONALD C. VINEY, and DAWN QUINN as :  
Administratrix for the Estate of ANN MARY VINEY, :  
Plaintiff, :  
- against - :  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
Defendants. :  
----- X


Index No.: 115487/99  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Loring A. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
SEP 23 2010

SEP 23 2010

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 115487/99

**DONALD VINEY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010


OCT - 1 2010

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SFP 237010

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
115493/99

ALEX LASKOWSKY (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
116768/99


THOMAS FUGATE (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

8-25, 2010  


FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
116769/99


WILLIAM G. FULLER (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AMMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

31

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

WALTER L. CLEGHORN (Deceased)

117710/99, 111061/98  
& 117710/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

WALTER L. CLEGHORN (Deceased)

117710/99, 111061/98  
& 117710/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

SEP - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

5

X.:cases/FBW37193/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOHN GARY,

Index No.: 117282/99

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice. and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


8-12-10  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Santa Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117930/99


Peter H. Aranson


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON ELSE, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 28 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
118267/99

MANUEL MARCOTE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

31

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
118951/99


ALFRED J. COGNETTI (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
Alfred M. Rokitka and Geraldine Rokitka;

Index No.: 119721/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/14, 2010

  
Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Alfred M. Rokitka and Geraldine Rokitka  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

RECEIVED

SEP 15 2010

PART 30

5

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120254/99


Joseph R. Mitchell


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, EISER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**BERNARD REOME, SR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120272/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**BERNARD REOME, SR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120272/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122189/99, 121345/99  
& 107599/00


DANIEL M. MAUPIN (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010




FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121982/99

RUDY H. LAUFER


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL  
GACH and PHYLLIS GACH, Individually


Index No. 121985/99  
112375/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL  
GACH and PHYLLIS GACH, Individually


Index No. 121985/99  
112375/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN MADDI (Deceased)

Index No  
122059/99 100804/97,  
113280/97 & 111073/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule,

Index No.: 109854/02  
Index No. 122078/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/20, 2010

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Gillen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

2010 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule,

Index No.: 109854/02  
Index No.: 122078/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/20, 2010

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Callen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11211  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL

Index No. 117873/03  
109249/00  
122185/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL

Index No. 117873/03  
109249/00  
122185/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell:  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heller

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL


Index No. 117873/03  
109249/00  
122185/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell:  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

DANIEL M. MAUPIN (Deceased)

Index No  
122189/99 121345/99  
& 107599/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122334/99



**ROBERT JAMES PURDY III**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 28 2010

SP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT JAMES PURDY, III


: Index No.: 122334/99  
:  
: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
: Part 30  
:  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**  
X

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York  
8/15, 2010

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Loring J. Fenton, Esq.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122338/99

IRVING F. MARTIN

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010




FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SFP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122340/99

WALTER S. KOCZUR


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003




JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

SEP - 1 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122342/99

ROBERT W. FANNING SR. (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT 1 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ST

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No

JULIUS PAUL NOSEWICZ (Deceased)

111047/98, 111486/98  
& 122602/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

OCT - 1 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 123465/99


**JOSEPH BOVE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/12/10, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X  
ELIZABETH STANDER, as Executrix for the Estate of :  
JAMES R. STANDER, :  
: Plaintiff, :  
: - against - :  
A. C. & S., INC., et al., :  
: Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 123615/99

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**FILED**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment **OCT - 1 2010**  
in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing **NEW YORK**  
Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being **COUNTY CLERK'S OFF**  
no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9 15, 2010

  
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE

Index No. 122078/97;  
123724/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: July 27, 2010

OCT - 1 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Feltler

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
123936/99

PATRICK J. DUNDON (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433  
**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SFP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
125070/99

ARNOLD TOM LITTLEWOOD (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/25, 2010

FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125073/99


Virginia M. Burns

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

34

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JUDITH HASELEY BROOKS, Individually and  
as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of  
RICHARD W. BROOKS

Index No. 125074/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/14, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

8

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JUDITH HASELEY BROOKS, Individually and as  
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD W.  
BROOKS

Index No. 125074/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/14, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JUDITH HASELEY BROOKS, Individually and as  
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD W.  
BROOKS

Index No. 125074/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/14, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

5

X:/cases/FBW39157/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

Index No.: 125074/99

RICHARD W. BROOKS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8/19/10

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MCGORR  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

CE0937010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**PETER ACKERMAN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125795/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARIO ANTHONY ARDUINI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125795/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI


Index No. 104483/00  
125798/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/16, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI

Index No. 104483/00


125798/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/16, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meifowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

  
Ker Yann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler; J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23 2010

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

8

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

324-5110K

ORIGINAL

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

Index No. 99/125872

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

NARDA MCHUGH, Individually and as Executrix :  
of the Estate of JAMES MCHUGH, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff, :

-against- :


A.C. and S., Inc., et al., Including MAREMONT :  
CORPORATION, :

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept, 15, 2010



Michael J. Croce, Esq.  
JOHN C. DEARIE & ASSOCIATES  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
515 Madison Avenue, Suite 1118  
New York, NY 10463  
(718) 543-1100



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

5

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110127/98, 100692/00

RICHARD J. TEMPLETON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


AC & S, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/19, 2010


  
Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard J. Templeton  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 28 2010

2383-0001

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN G. PALASZYNSKI and MAUREEN  
PALASZYNSKI

Index No. 102468/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

Matthew Meechitz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

SH  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hekler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN G. PALASZYNSKI and MAUREEN  
PALASZYNSKI

Index No. 102468/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN G. PALASZYNSKI and MAUREEN  
PALASZYNSKI

Index No. 102468/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOHN PALASYZNSKI,

Index No.: 102468/00

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.


Defendant(s).


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8-12-10  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102768/00

NICHOLAS SANTORA and FLORENCE  
SANTORA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/24, 2010

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Nicholas Santora and Florence Santora  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2082-1089

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 102783/00

THOMAS J. CRUGER, SR. and BARBARA CRUGER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly plead as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/7 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
THOMAS CRUGER and BARBARA CRUGER  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-19695

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

THOMAS J. CRUGER, SR. and BARBARA CRUGER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 102783/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly plead as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

9/7 2010  


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
THOMAS CRUGER and BARBARA CRUGER  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-19695

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

THOMAS J. CRUGER, SR. and BARBARA CRUGER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


Index No. 102783/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly plead as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/7 2010  


Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300




Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
THOMAS CRUGER and BARBARA CRUGER  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-19695

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 103413/00 113177/98

WILLIAM A. WAGNER AND ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/25, 2010

  
Kevinann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Treadwell Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-21916

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 103413/00, 113177/98

WILLIAM A. WAGNER AND ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/25, 2010

Keryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Peter Tambini, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-22399

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
ALICE WAGNER and WILLIAM A. WAGNER,	: Index No. 113177/98
	: 103413/00
Plaintiffs,	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. AND S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/7/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Peter Tambini

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Scott Harford


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

9/7/2010

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually

Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 1 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY BURZESI, SR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103594/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VENCENZO BASILE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103841/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**PETER ACKERMAN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104000/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry J. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARIO ANTHONY ARDUINI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104015/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS COLLELO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104264/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SEP 23 2010** NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE BUCALO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104338/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MALICK D. BYRNE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

104322/00

Index No: 104422/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MALICK D. BYRNE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

104 322/00


Index No: 104422700

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23 2010

*Jennifer A. Fuschetto*

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Samuel M. Meirowitz* 8/23/2010

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

Kerlyann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SFP 23 2010

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI


Index No. 104483/00  
125798/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/16, 2010




Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meisowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

8/23/2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

Handwritten initials 'SH' in the top right corner.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 104483/00, 125798/99

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/23, 2010

Handwritten signature of Kenneth M. Cook.

Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Handwritten signature of Samuel M. Meirowitz.

8/23/2010

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carlo Briscoli and Donna Briscoli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Handwritten signature of Sherry Klein Heitler.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARLO BRISCOLI and DONNA BRISCOLI

Index No. 104483/00  
125798/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/16, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SFP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH DELEVA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104718/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK OF THE COURT'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH A. DE CICCO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104722/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SFP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD R. KENT

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105342/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

CFD 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK J. DALY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105885/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS HAND, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106174/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD LARSEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106420/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD KLOPFER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106483/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA J. KLOPFER, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of RONALD W. KLOPFER

Index No. 106483/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Sawell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

CFD 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA J. KLOPFER, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of RONALD W. KLOPFER


Index No. 106483/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry KleinHeitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARBARA J. KLOPFER, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of RONALD W. KLOPFER


Index No. 106483/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

S

# ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

Index No. 106664/00


This Document Relates To: :  
:  
GERALD DAY, :  
:  
Plaintiff, :  
:  
-against- :  
:  
A.C. and S., Inc., et al., Including MAREMONT :  
CORPORATION, :  
:  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept 15, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Croce, Esq.  
JOHN C. DEARIE & ASSOCIATES  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
515 Madison Avenue, Suite 1118  
New York, NY 10463  
(718) 543-1100

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIE MALICK, as Proposed Administratrix for the  
Estate of GEORGE J. MALICK

Index No. 107269/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 14, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARIE MALICK, as Proposed Administratrix for the  
Estate of GEORGE J. MALICK

Index No. 107269/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 14, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel L. Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ST

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122189/99, 121345/99  
& 107599/00

DANIEL M. MAUPIN (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/15, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PETER LERUZIC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107875/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE BELL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108356/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD GUNTRIPP

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108357/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

31

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD GUNTRIPP, and KATHLEEN MCGRINDER,  
Individually

Index No. 111674/00  
108357/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/29, 2010

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD GUNTRIPP, and KATHLEEN MCGRINDER,  
Individually


Index No. 111674/00  
108357/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/29, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD GUNTRIPP, and KATHLEEN MCGRINDER,  
Individually

Index No. 111674/00  
108357/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/29, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SABATO FALCONE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108552/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108845/00, 100752/03

CASIMIR R. STASZAK and SLYVIA STASZAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 23, 2010

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Casimir R. Staszak and Slyvia Staszak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I.A.S. Part 30
-----X	:	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	
Casimir R. Staszak & Sylvia Staszak,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
v.	:	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
ACand S, Inc., et al.	:	
-----X	:	Index No.: 108845/00


WHEREFORE, defendant Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation ("Central Hudson") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Central Hudson with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Central Hudson be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/10  
  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Matthew Park, Esq.  
 WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 700 Broadway  
 New York, New York 10003  
 (212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
 OCT - 1 2010  
 NEW YORK  
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.  
 THOMPSON HINE LLP  
 Attorney for Defendant  
 335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor  
 New York, New York 10017  
 (212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED,   
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Hon. Sherry Heitler

SEP 28 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108845/00, 100752/03

CASIMIR R. STASZAK and SLYVIA STASZAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 23, 2010




Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Casimir R. Staszak and Sylvia Staszak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR TAYLOR

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108905/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SFP 23 2010** NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ST

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR TAYLOR

INDEX NO.

108905/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/16/10

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL


Index No. 117873/03  
109249/00  
122185/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Fanelli  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL


Index No. 117873/03  
109249/00  
122185/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Fanelli:  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL

Index No. 117873/03

109249/00

122185/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell:  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00  
102314/01  
100787/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/26, 2010




Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLionardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER


Index No. 109383/00  
102314/01  
100787/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00  
102314/01  
100787/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLorardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROY COLVERD

Index No. 109384/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bucyrus International, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bucyrus International, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Bucyrus International, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/13, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Early & Strauss  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
P.O. Box 3601  
New York, NY 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Bucyrus International  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PASQUAL GIORDANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110393/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE BELL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110596/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES A. SMITH and VIRGINIA C. SMITH

Index No. 110681/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/19, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES A. SMITH and VIRGINIA C. SMITH

Index No. 110681/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/19, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003




Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES A. SMITH and VIRGINIA C. SMITH

Index No. 110681/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/19, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heiter

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**THERLEAN LOUSSAINT**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111094/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**EDWARD HENENBERG**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111502/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD GUNTRIPP, and KATHLEEN MCGRINDER,  
Individually

Index No. 111674/00  
108357/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/29, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD GUNTRIPP, and KATHLEEN MCGRINDER,  
Individually


Index No. 111674/00  
108357/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/29, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD GUNTRIPP, and KATHLEEN MCGRINDER,  
Individually

Index No. 111674/00  
108357/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/29, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD GUNTRIPP

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111674/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ERNEST GEORGE FLOERKE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112460/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/1, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD P. DREWS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112463/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

HARRY HEMPELMAN AND MARY HEMPELMAN,

Index No.: 00-114602

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-v.-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., including  
J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, sued herein as "J.H. France," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 21, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**EARLY & STRAUSS**  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10170  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Eva S. Wayne, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
J.H. France Refractories Company  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DATED: **SEP 23 2010**  
\_\_\_\_\_

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 117040/00

GARY O. JOHNSON


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ATHANASIOS A. JOSEPH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117041/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK FRISINA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117068/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

SJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

IRVING BERNSTEIN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117420/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAN LOUCKS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 119382/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010.**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK LUISI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119382/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALD KRUEGER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119383/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND KORONOWSKI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119383/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

KARL KROGER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119383/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

US\_ACTIVE-104295892.1

**SFP 23 2010**

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK ALFASI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119386/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SFP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE CAVESE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119387/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN CALLEJA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 119387/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN CALLEJA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119387/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

27

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL K. BOLTON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

This Document Relates to:

**MICHAEL K. BOLTON**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blatt, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK J. BRENNAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK J. BRENNAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
SEP 23 2010 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTONIO BRUSCA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL K. BOLTON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119388/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD S. BERGER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119390/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ERNEST BELLITTI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119390/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SP

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 119390/00

ERNEST BELLITTI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES HAZARD et al.,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

Index No. 100794-2001  
119391-2000

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,  
  
Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x


WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2 2010



Danny R. Kraft, Jr. Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Steven S. Singer, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LARRY HERRICK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119391/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS GUZZI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119391/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES HAZARD et al.,

Index No. 100794-2001  
119391-2000

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,


Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x


WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2 2010




Danny R. Kraft, Jr. Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Steven S. Singer, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERARD T. GOLDEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119392/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN FRUEH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119393/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL FILIPPONE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 119394/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROY EHRICHS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119396/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. DE FORGE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119397/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH CROUNSE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119397/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SEP 23 2010** NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD CLOUGH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119398/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ARMAND CORENO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119398/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

9

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT JANKOWITZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119399/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 25<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT JANKOWITZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119399/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A. Jankowitz,

Index No.: 119399/00  
Index No.: 100974/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A.  
Jankowitz  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cuilen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1411

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A. Jankowitz,

Index No.: 119399/00  
Index No.: 100974/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A.  
Jankowitz  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Callen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-5376

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A. Jankowitz,

Index No.: 119399/00  
Index No.: 100974/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A.  
Jankowitz  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1888

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

5/5

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
CHARLES KAZAKWIC, : NYCAL  
 : I.A.S. Part 30  
 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
 Plaintiff(s), :  
 -against- : Index No(s) 119400-00  
 : 101913-01  
 A.C. & S., INC., et al., :  
 : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
 Defendants. : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/8/10


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES KAZAKWIC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119400/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD JOYCE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119400/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:  DANIEL KELLY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
  
Index No: 119400/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LUDWIG KLOPOTT

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119400/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US\_ACTIVE-104279127.1

**SEP 23 2010**

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM CONRAD

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120658/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT N. CROCKETT

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120662/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS PLANKEY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120727/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**SFP 23 2010**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**EMMANUEL TABONE**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120779/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

SF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH LEVESQUE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121105/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH JONES JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121468/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

31

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HENRY HYDE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121516/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RICHARD DOPYERA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122299/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH P. WEBER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122497/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

CD 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SIDNEY P. KLINE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122594/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK RUOTOLO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123072/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 123075/00

MICHAEL O'KREPKA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD MC ENTYRE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123224/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SEP 23 2010**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS KEADY SR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123475/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US\_ACTIVE-104273135.1

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RALPH MAXWELL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123479/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK

CLERK'S OFFICE

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY VELELLA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123670/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 21<sup>st</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES R. JACKSON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124088/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2  
SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124236/00

**NORMAN T. SMYTH**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

27

# ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

Index No. 124585/00

This Document Relates To: :  
:  
GEORGE FILIPPONI, :  
:  
Plaintiff, :  
:  
-against- :  
:  
A.C. and S., Inc., et al., Including MAREMONT :  
CORPORATION, :  
:  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept 15, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Croce, Esq.  
JOHN C. DEARIE & ASSOCIATES  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
515 Madison Avenue, Suite 1118  
New York, NY 10463  
(718) 543-1100

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & **ED**  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

OCT - 1 2010

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

TERRANCE J. FOLEY

Index No. 118509/98

125131/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell;  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118509/98

125131/00


TERRANCE J. FOLEY


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

TERRANCE J. FOLEY


Index No. 118509/98  
125131/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Fancit  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

TERRANCE FOLEY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125131/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

SFP 23 2010 OCT - 1 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ERNEST BELLITTI**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125248/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/15, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
ERNEST BELLITTI and MARIE BELLITTI,	: Index No. 125248/00
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
-against-	:
	:
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/22/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Michael Fanelli

By:   
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 28 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
RICHARD S. BERGER,	: Index No. 125254/00
	:
Plaintiff,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/15/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC


By:   
Frank Ortiz

By:   
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125331/00


**EDWARD J. HAUCK**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL ZOGBY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL K. BOLTON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125799/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICK J. BRENNAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125828/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RUTH E. MAIDEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 125917/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SF

# ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

Index No. 125990/00

This Document Relates To:

MARY SAUER, Estate of EDWARD J. SAUER,  
Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc., et al., Including MAREMONT  
CORPORATION,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept, 15, 2010



Michael J. Croce, Esq.  
JOHN C. DEARIE & ASSOCIATES  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
515 Madison Avenue, Suite 1118  
New York, NY 10463  
(718) 543-1100



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 28 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN CALLEJA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100031/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100208/01



DAVID CURRIE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----- X  
TRACEY CURRIE-LAFOUNTAIN, as Executrix for :  
the Estate of DAVID A. CURRIE, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 100208/01


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25, 2010



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff



Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CHARLES HAZARD et al.,

x

Index No. 100794-2001  
119391-2000

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,


Defendants.

x


WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2 2010



Danny R. Kraft, Jr. Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Steven S. Singer, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heltler

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES HAZARD et al.,

x

Index No. 100794-2001  
119391-2000

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,


Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x


WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2 2010



Danny R. Kraft, Jr. Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Steven S. Singer, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heltler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT JANKOWITZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100974/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010



St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A. Jankowitz,

Index No.: 119399/00  
Index No.: 100974/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A.  
Jankowitz  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Callen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-5376

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

30 28 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A. Jankowitz,

Index No.: 119399/00  
Index No.: 100974/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Jankowitz and Mary A.  
Jankowitz  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003



Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1411

**FILED**

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
SEP 23 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

KEVIN M. MONETTE, as Executor for the Estate : Index No. 101145/01  
of EARL R. MONETTE, and as Administrator for :  
the Estate of FRANCES F. MONETTE, :

Plaintiff(s),

: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2/2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
: NYCAL  
CHARLES KAZAKWIC, : I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff(s), :  
-against- : Index No(s): 119400-00  
: 101913-01  
A.C. & S., INC., et al., :  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
Defendants. : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
: :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/8/10

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00

102314/01

100787/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00

102314/01

100787/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

S

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00

102314/01

100787/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/26, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Eugene Degannes and Jacqueline Degannes,

Index No.: 103007/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
218, 2010

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Eugene Degannes and  
Jacqueline Degannes  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Culien and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2170

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Eugene Degannes and Jacqueline Degannes,

Index No.: 103007/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

OCT - 1 2010

  
Matthew T. McIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Eugene Degannes and  
Jacqueline Degannes  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-661

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Eugene Degannes and Jacqueline Degannes,

Index No.: 103007/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
Matthew T. McIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Eugene Degannes and  
Jacqueline Degannes  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tase, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-8000 - 1 2010

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

51

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
RICHARD A. MATTICE, SR.,		: Index No. 103446/01
		:
	Plaintiff,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/22/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC


By:   
Michael Fanelli

By:   
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT S. SHROBA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104288/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALFRED MC CARTHY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104558/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

S

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD L. KRUS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104564/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HENRY T. JANSSEN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104567/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
PEARL MARGOLIS,  
(deceased)

Index No.: 104902/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
Sept. 13, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
MARTIN CONDENZIO  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-5699

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN PAUL KEITH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105230/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH


Index No. 105230/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/25, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH


Index No. 105230/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/25, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH

Index No. 105230/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/25, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SFP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105230/01

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1/7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
\_\_\_\_\_

Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Paul Keith and Dorothy Keith  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SFP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105230/01

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7, 2010



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Paul Keith and Dorothy Keith  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

1122-18957

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105230/01

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Paul Keith and Dorothy Keith  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105230/01

JOHN PAUL KEITH and DOROTHY KEITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7, 2010



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Paul Keith and Dorothy Keith  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT THOMAS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105352/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT THOMAS and LINDA K. THOMAS

Index No. 105352/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003  
Samuel Meisowitz

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

**FILED**

SEP 23 2010

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT THOMAS and LINDA K. THOMAS

Index No. 105352/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Samuel Meirowitz*

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STANLEY KREVETSKI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105480/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DOLPH E. HOLM

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105873/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/1, 2010



---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
RICHARD CLOUGH and KATIE CLOUGH,	: Index No. 105915/01
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	:
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept. 13, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Daniel Blouin

By:   
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL J. KELLY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105983/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

80938

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANNE KELLY, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of MICHAEL J. KELLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105983/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8-10, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Anne Kelly and Estate of Michael J. Kelly  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

1235-920

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Robert Spaminato and Helen Spaminato,

Index No.: 106641/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Spaminato and Helen  
Spaminato  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe,  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-2984

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT SPAMPINATO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106641/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP PEARSALL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106927/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP PEARSALL

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106927/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
PAUL G. WOJCICKI and PAULINE WOJCICKI,		: Index No. 107191/01
		:
	Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Peter Tambini

By:   
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7/2010

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD R. SKELLY and BARBARA SKELLY

Index No. 107595-01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD R. SKELLY and BARBARA SKELLY

Index No. 107595-01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD R. SKELLY and BARBARA SKELLY

Index No. 107595-01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL ROBINSON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108005/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


8/25, 2010

**FILED**


OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Craig Blau, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DANIEL ROBINSON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108005/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SEP 23 2010**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MILTON POSILICO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108008/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD TROTTA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108011/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
108036/01


HAROLD N. SMITH


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
8/16, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD N. SMITH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108036/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**SEP 23 2010**

**OCT - 1 2010**

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD N. SMITH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108036/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109115/01


John Rey


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**LED**

OCT - 1 2010

  
SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP L. WRIGHT

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109598/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP L. WRIGHT

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109598/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JUAN LEBRON MEDINA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110164/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2009



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 100038  
(212) 558-5500




Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JUAN LEBRON MEDINA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110164/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110439/01


Frank J. Sardo

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010 FILED

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES L. MC GEE**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110498/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 28<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010


NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
110498/01


JAMES McGEE (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
819, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

SEP - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110498/01

James McGee


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

SEP 23 2010

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SH

X:/cases/FBW42920/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES MCGEE,

Index No.: 110498/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8-12-10  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Santa Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES L. MC GEE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110498/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110740/01


Stephen J. Sizensky


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10007  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
PHYLLIS E. MARANO,  
(deceased)

Index No.: 110810/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
Sept. 13, 2010



, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Phyllis E. Marano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003




Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-6098

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111222/01


Albert Kenneth Nichols


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM P. TANNER

Index No. 111222/01  
115831/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 10, 2010

Matthew T. Muzny  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier  
Helen Griff Chalier  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM P. TANNER

Index No. 111222/01  
115831/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 10, 2010

Matthe T. M... Itz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier  
Helen Griff Chalier  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Keitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Keitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TERRENCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE  
CORRIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 111225/01 118070/01,  
115706/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrence Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

2383-235351

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES SCHIAVO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111231/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111865/01


Angelo Palermo

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112406/01

Bobby Sleger

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

34

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH MC CARTHY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113260/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**FRANKIE VERBLE**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113998/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**FRANKIE VERBLE**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113998/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK TRINKLE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

114380/01

Index No: 114381/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FREDERICK TRINKLE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

114380/01

Index No: ~~114381/01~~

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK MICCICHE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114855/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK MICCICHE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114855/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID VALENTE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115466/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID VALENTE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115466/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM P. TANNER

Index No. 111222/01  
115831/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 10, 2010

Matthe T. Moritz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier  
Helen Griff Chalier  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Keitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Keitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM P. TANNER

Index No. 111222/01  
115831/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 10, 2010

Matthew T. Musty  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Helen Griff Chalier  
Helen Griff Chalier  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

FILED  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116148/01


George McLaughlin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

st

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
MARTIN CONDENZIO,  
(deceased)

Index No.: 117155/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
Sept. 13, 2010



, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
MARTIN CONDENZIO  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003




Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-6367

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010** COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111225/01, 118070/01,  
115706/03

TERRENCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE  
CORRIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2, 2010

  
Kerry Ann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrence Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

2383-235351

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LUCAS TOTO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119199/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LUCAS TOTO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119199/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119620/01

Raymond Pfliegler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
~~WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,~~  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 053310001

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARMELO FICALORA

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120388/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SEP 23 2010**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JERRY DIAMOND

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120391/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD T. GOOD

Index No. 120396/01  
103357702  
190263/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Aug 2 2010

SEM 7/26/2010  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES FLEMING**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120432/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES SCHIAVO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120582/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/25, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Craig Blau, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN FANWICK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121735/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/11, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

S  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 101110/02

JAMES R. FLEMING and LINDA A. FLEMING


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/19, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Victoria W. Donath  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES R. FLEMING and LINDA A. FLEMING

Index No. 101110/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/19, 2010

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Victoria W. Donath  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES R. FLEMING and LINDA A. FLEMING

Index No. 101110/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/19, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Victoria W. Donath  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD T. GOOD

Index No. 120396/01  
103357/02  
190263/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Aug 2, 2010

SEM 7/26/2010  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

SKH  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SE - 23 2010

FILED

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**Jerry Diamond and Joann Diamond,**

Index No. 02-104308

Plaintiffs

-Against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Standard Motor Products, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**FILED**


OCT - 1 2010


NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003-9536  
212.558.5500

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
McCarter & English, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule,

Index No.: 109854/02  
Index No.: 122078/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/20, 2010

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry V. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

34

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 109854/02


PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule,

Index No. 109854/02  
Index No.: 122078/99

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/20, 2010

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Callen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL  
GACH and PHYLLIS GACH, Individually

Index No. 112375/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/6/, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL GACH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112375/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL  
GACH and PHYLLIS GACH, Individually

Index No. 121985/99  
112375/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS GACH, as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL  
GACH and PHYLLIS GACH, Individually

Index No. 121985/99  
112375/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/6, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 28 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
ALLEN RABINOWITZ, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of STANLEY RABINOWITZ and PEARL  
RABINOWITZ, as Spouse,

Index No. 116543/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

\_\_\_\_\_  
A.F. SUPPLY CORPORATION, et al., including  
J.A. SEXAUER, INC.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant, J.A. Sexauer, Inc. (hereinafter "J.A. Sexauer"), hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant J.A. Sexauer with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
J.A. Sexauer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 31, 2010

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
Stanley Rabinowitz, et al.  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986 - 2233

\_\_\_\_\_  
Grace DeMario, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
J.A. Sexauer, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ALLEN RABINOWITZ , as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of STANLEY RABINOWITZ and PEARL  
RABINOWITZ, as Spouse,

Index No.: 116543/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.F. SUPPLY CORPORATION, et al., including  
J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, sued herein as "J.H. France," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 31, 2010

Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Stanley Rabinowitz, et al.  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10170  
(212) 986-2233

Grace DeMario, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
J.H. France Refractories Company  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DATED: SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

ALLEN RABINOWITZ, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of STANLEY RABINOWITZ and PEARL  
RABINOWITZ, as Spouse,

Index No. 116543/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.F. SUPPLY CORPORATION, et al., including  
NEW YORK AIR BRAKE CORPORATION,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant New York Air Brake Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant New York Air Brake Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant New York Air Brake Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 31, 2010

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

  
Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Stanley Rabinowitz, et al.  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986 - 2233

  
Grace DeMario, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
New York Air Brake Corporation  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL

Index No. 117873/03  
109249700  
122185/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Fanelli  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL


Index No. 117873/03  
109249/00  
122185/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Fanelli:  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heller

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITCHELL

Index No. 117873/03  
109249700  
122185/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Sept 2, 2010



Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SEP 23 2010

31

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108845/00, 100752/03

CASIMIR R. STASZAK and SLYVIA STASZAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 23, 2010

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Casimir R. Staszak and Sylvia Staszak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108845/00, (100752/03)

CASIMIR R. STASZAK and SLYVIA STASZAK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 23, 2010



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Casimir R. Staszak and Sylvia Staszak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
ROBERT VAN BUREN and ALICE VAN BUREN,	: Index No. 100771/03
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/2/2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Danny R. Kraft, Jr.

By:   
Diane M. Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Alice Jane Wagner, as Executrix for the Estate of William  
A. Wagner, and Alice Jane Wagner, Individually


Index No. 111046/98;  
113177/98; 103413/00;  
100771/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 27, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00

102314/01

100787/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLorenzo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER


Index No. 109383/00  
102314/01  
100787/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER

Index No. 109383/00

102314/01

100787/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102222/04, 106686/03

JOAN E. BYRNES, Individually and Executrix for  
the Estate of EDWARD BYRNES, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

August 23, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joan E. Byrnes and Edward Byrnes, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102222/04, 106686/03

JOAN E. BYRNES, Individually and Executrix for  
the Estate of EDWARD BYRNES, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*August 23*, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joan E. Byrnes and Edward Byrnes, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107744/03

Fred P. Sileo

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 053-10000



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ANTHONY ASARO

Index No.: 113437/03

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/20, 2010



Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Anthony Asaro  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 104-2010

**FILED**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

23 2010 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS CAMPISI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114875/03


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TERRENCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE  
CORRIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111225/01, 118070/01,  
115706/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/2, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrence Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

2383-235351

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

REGINA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of :  
ALOYSIUS KENNY, and REGINA KENNY, :  
Individually, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., :

Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 120579/03

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**


OCT - 1 2010


NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 15, 2010

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (102222/04) 106686/03

JOAN E. BYRNES, Individually and Executrix for  
the Estate of EDWARD BYRNES, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*August 23*, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joan E. Byrnes and Edward Byrnes, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

57

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (102222/04) 106686/03

JOAN E. BYRNES, Individually and Executrix for  
the Estate of EDWARD BYRNES, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 23, 2010



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joan E. Byrnes and Edward Byrnes, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
----- X

JOAN E. BYRNES, as Executrix for the Estate of :  
EDWARD BYRNES SR., and JOAN E. BYRNES, :  
Individually, :

Plaintiff, :

- against - :

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., :

Defendants. :  
----- X

Index No.: 102222/04

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Part 30

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010


NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


**WHEREFORE**, defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 15, 2010*

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

  
Loring I. Fenton, Esq.  
Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
200 Park Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10166  
(212) 801-9200  
Attorneys for Robert A. Keasbey Co.

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK LOPOPOLO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108929/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115492/04


Edward Parker

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/25/10



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0100

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH OWENS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115563/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH OWENS and AGNES OWENS


Index No. 115563/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 20, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH OWENS and AGNES OWENS

Index No. 115563/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 20, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH OWENS and AGNES OWENS

Index No. 115563/05


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: August 20, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of RICHARD DANSON,  
and HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, Individually

Index No. 102335/06

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Aug 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff 7/26/2010  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003  
Samuel Meitowitz

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of RICHARD DANSON,  
and HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, Individually

Index No. 102335/06


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Aug 2, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meisowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor 7/26/2010  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD DANSON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102335/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE**

SEP 28 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 106645/97,  
112622/99, 111065/98,  
113281/97, 112046/06

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/23, 2010

Matthew T. Weitz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Norel L. Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAY C. TAYLOR

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 1112046/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAY C. TAYLOR

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112046/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/30, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**SEP 23 2010**

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 112046/06 112622/99  
106645/97

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 112046/06 112622/99  
106645/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

2383-27490

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112046/06 112622/99  
106645/97

JAY C. TAYLOR and CLAONA TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/31, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay C. Taylor and Claona Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. DE FORGE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100681/07


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/11, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Christopher W. Healy, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SEP 23 2010**

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane,

Index No.: 119840/98  
Index No.: 101422/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1157

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 8 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane,

Index No.: 119840/98  
Index No.: 101422/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5440

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane,

Index No.: 119840/98  
Index No.: 101422/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/8, 2010

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafe*

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11206  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1157

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190090/08

**BEVERLY E. LANE and SHARON A. LANE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Gestra, Inc. and Vogt Valve Co. (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., individually and as successor to Flowserve Gestra and Vogt Valves), ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Early, Ludwick, Sweeney, Strauss  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely a  
successor to Gestra, Inc. and Vogt Valve Co.  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190125/09

LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/10, 2010



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a  
Wolff & Munier, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Holly C. Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Louis G. D'Addio  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190125/09

LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tremco Incorporated, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tremco Incorporated, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tremco Incorporated, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/10, 2010



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tremco Incorporated  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Holly C. Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Louis G. D'Addio  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

X-ATT53452/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 190125/09


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **ATLAS TURNER, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/6/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for **ATLAS TURNER, INC.**,  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/AWA53476/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 190125/09

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants SPX COOLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants SPX COOLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants SPX COOLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/6/10



Holly Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for MARLEY COOLING  
TECHNOLOGIES  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

X:/ATT53453/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 190125/09

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/6/10  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Holly Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a  
UTICA BOILER  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Meitler

SEP 23 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 190125/09


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants JOHN CRANE, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants JOHN CRANE, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants JOHN CRANE, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/6/10  


Holly Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for JOHN CRANE, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190125/09

LOUIS G. D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, ET  
AL.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/10, 2010

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
Holly C. Peterson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Louis G. D'Addio  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED;

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:


Index No: 190125/09


Louis G. D'Addio

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/16/10  
  
*Holly C. Peterson*  
Robert Konior, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No.: 10557.00237

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190125/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOUIS D'ADDIO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/25, 2010  
New York, New York

  
Holly Peterson, Esq.  
Levy Phillips  
800 Third Ave. 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190152/09

LARRY V. COON and EUNICE COON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., sued herein as "TRANE U.S. INC. (Individually and as successor to AMERICAN STANDARD INC.)", hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 13, 2010  
New York, New York

  
Mark Strauss, Esq. Brian Early  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Larry V. Coon and Eunice Coon  
Plaintiffs

Index No. 09-190152

-Against-


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Velan Valve Corp.,  
Defendant.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/14, 2010

  
Brian Early, Esq.  
Early & Strauss, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Ave 20<sup>th</sup> flr  
New York, New York 10017  
212.986.2233

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
McCarter & English, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Velan Valve Corp  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, New York 1  
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

✓ St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X	
NICHOLAS LOVAGLIO and DOROTHY	: Index No. 190210/09
LOVAGLIO,	: :
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	: :
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	: :
	: X
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7/10

SEEGER WEISS LLP.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC


By:   
Daniel R. Wasp

By:   
Diane Pompei

1 Williams Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 584-0700

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
TERRY TOULANTIS,

Index No.:190260/09

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2010

Paul Burshdyn  
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Terry Toulantis  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Kristen Loughren  
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.

Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.:6754-10730

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190281/09

ROBERT HORN,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Madsen & Howell, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Madsen & Howell, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Madsen & Howell, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/17, 2010



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Madsen & Howell, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Robert Horn  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190281/09

ROBERT HORN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


9/7, 2010

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a  
Zurn Industries, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Jordan C. Foy, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Robert Horn  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036 CT - 1 2010  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SFP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190281/09

ROBERT HORN,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7, 2010



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Robert Horn  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190293/09

**WILLIAM KLINE and DOROTHY L. KLINE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Tuthill Corporation (improperly sued as Tuthill Corporation (Murray Turbomachinery Division) (Individually and as Successor to Kewanee Boiler, Carling Turbines and Murray Turbomachinery)) (hereinafter "Tuthill") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Tuthill with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Tuthill be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/24, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian F. Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, NY 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Tuthill Corporation  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

**SEP 23 2010**


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	:	
In re: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I. A. S. Part 30
	:	(Heitler, J.)
-----X	:	
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 09/190300
	:	
<b>George Graham</b>	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	:	JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant Trident Valve Actuator Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Trident Valve Actuator Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Trident Valve Actuator Co. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 2, 2010

  
 Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
 800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 New York, New York 10022

  
 Judith Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP**  
 599 Lexington Avenue  
 New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,

  
 Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
 001 - 1 2010  
 NEW YORK  
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SEP 23 2010**


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	:	
In re: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I. A. S. Part 30
	:	(Heitler, J.)
-----X	:	
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 09/190300
	:	
<b>George Graham</b>	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	:	JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 2, 2010

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
 800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 New York, New York 10022

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Judith Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP**  
 599 Lexington Avenue  
 New York, NY 10022

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT - 1 2010  
 NEW YORK  
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190311/09

**FRANK DESANTIS and PATRICIA  
DESANTIS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor Rockwell Manufacturing Company (improperly sued as Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a Rockwell Manufacturers) ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/16, 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Brendan Tully, Esq.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., sole  
as successor Rockwell Manufacturing Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,  SEP 23 2010  
Hon. Shirley Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.  


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JOHN MLINCSEK and JOAN MLINCSEK,

Index No. 190025-10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including  
RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. N/K/A AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. (sued herein as Research-Cottrell, Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. N/K/A AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. N/K/A AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 17 2010

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
180 Maiden Lane, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. N/K/A  
AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190036/10


Nathaniel Murray


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/9/2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, N.Y., 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No.: 10557.00823

**FILED**

SEP - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**SEP 23 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM F. O'CONNELL and DIANE O'CONNELL,

NYCAL - IAS Part 30

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

-against-

Index No.: 190051/10

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

**No Opposition Summary  
Judgment Motion and Order**


-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 8, 2010

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Roberts, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Norman J. Golub  
Marshall Conway Wright & Bradley, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation  
116 John Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 619-4444

SO ORDERED:  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 14 2010  
SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
John Stepikura,

Index No.: ~~190039/00~~  
190039/10

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated Brooklyn, New York  
August 31, 2010

Adam Cooper, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
*John Stepikura*  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
*Burnham LLC*  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2123

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190058/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

KENNETH ALTUCHOFF,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/7, 2010  
New York, New York

Joseph W. Belluck Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190062/10

Carlos E. Simmons


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/10

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 10557.00828

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROLAND BARBIER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

Index No.: 190070/2010

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
Sept 7, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP

By:   
Joe Williams, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.  
199 Water Street, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 232-1406

SO ORDERED:   
Sherry Klein Heitler  
Justice of the Supreme Court  
of the State of New York

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190096-2010


Mark Lonergan

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **TRMI-H LLC f/k/a TEXACO, Inc., (hereinafter "TRMI-H LLC")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **TRMI-H LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **TRMI-H LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/8/10  


Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, N.Y., 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRMI-H LLC  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SEP 23 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ST

-----X  
DAVID KONSTANTIN and RUBY KONSTANTIN,

Index No. 10-190134

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


630 THIRD AVENUE ASSOCIATES, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and improperly named as "Successor in Interest to The Cleveland Pneumatic Company, a Division of the Pneumo Abex Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Abex, Inc.", hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/17, 2010

BY:   
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212)681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

BY:   
Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

Bryan Belasky

SO ORDERED   
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**FILED**

SEP 23 2010

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

st

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190138

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**DONALD and BARBARA CONROY**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
9/14, 2010

By: Mark B. Bibo  
Derell Wilson, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10-190138

DONALD CONROY AND BARBARA CONROY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY (Individually and  
as Successor to ALDRICH PUMP COMPANY and  
TERRY STEAM TURBINE COMPANY),  
et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., sued herein as  
"TRANE U.S. INC. (Individually and as successor to AMERICAN STANDARD INC.)", hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD  
INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 13, 2010

New York, New York  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Marc Bibro, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

ORIGINAL St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LEO REGINALD AKERS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AFTON PUMPS, INC., *et al.*,  
Including, ARVINMERITOR, INC.,

Defendants.  
-----X

Index No. 190150/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
September 9, 2010


**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010


NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Janet Walsh, Esq.  
LOCKS LAW FIRM, PLLC  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
747 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 838-3333

  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hefner

SEP 23 2010

AUG 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190170/10


George Robinson


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 10557.00853

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 29 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

3

JOE MARTIN and G. JAN MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-v-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 190171-10

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories ("UGL") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant UGL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 14, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By:

David Chandler, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By:

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

SO ORDERED:

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
JOE MARTIN AND G. JAN MARTIN,	: Index No. 190171/10
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/7/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
David Chandler


LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lois Kim

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. **SEP 23 2010**

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SA

X.:cases/KCC54292/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT SEITZ and DOLORES SEITZ,

Index No.: 190183/10

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC. et al.;


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8125110  
  
Holly Peterson, Esq.  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KIMBERLY CLARK CORPORATION  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 23 2010

37

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Raymond Finerty and Mary Finerty  
Plaintiffs

Index No. 10-190187

-Against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


Standard Motor Products, Inc.,  
Defendant.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**FILED**  
SEP - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/20, 2010

  
Brendan Tully, Esq.  
Levy, Philips, Konigsberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue.  
New York, New York 10022  
212.605.6200

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
McCarter & English, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
212.609.6800



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**Vincent Dragone and Jeanne Dragone**  
Plaintiffs  
-Against-

Index No. 10-190192

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Air & Liquid Systems Corp., et al.,  
Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/21, 2010

  
David Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003-9536  
212.558.5500

  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
McCarter & English, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Velan Valve Corp.  
245 Park Avenue, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
212.609.6800

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 23 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ST

VINCENT DRAGONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-v-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION as  
Successor by Merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 190192-10

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Justice Sherry K. Heitler


WHEREFORE, defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories ("UGL") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant UGL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
September 14, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By:

  
David Chandler, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By:

  
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

  
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RONALD DUMMITT and DORIS KAY DUMMITT,

Index No. 10-190196

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and as Successor in Interest to Goodrich – Gulf Chemical, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/17, 2010

BY:   
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212)681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bryan Belasky

BY:   
Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED   
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 28 2010

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD T. GOOD

Index No. 120396/01  
103357/02  
190263/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Aug 2 2010

Samuel Meitowitz 7/26/2010  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meitowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Norie Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED, Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

FILED

SA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190269/10

GLENN RITZEL

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/30, 2010

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT - 1 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190270-10

Joseph Mullen


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

8/27/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., Individually, and  
as successor in interest to Anaconda  
Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.0001

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No:190270/10


Joseph Mullen

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, incorrectly named defendants "SIEMENS ENERGY INC." and "SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to MURRAY"<sup>1</sup> hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against said entities with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against incorrectly named defendants "SIEMENS ENERGY INC." and "SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor in Interest to MURRAY" be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/14/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Erik DiMarco, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor  
in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY &  
AUTOMATION, INC.,  
SIEMENS ENERGY INC.,  
SIEMENS ENERGY INC., as Successor  
in Interest to MURRAY  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 00965.00342

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT - 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff incorrectly named these entities within the complaint. The proper entity named in this action should have been "SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC." Accordingly, the instant No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order shall also pertain to any claims which may be brought against "SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC., Successor in Interest to SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC."

ST

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 190320/10


**MIKE CHARLES BENSON and VICTORIA  
BENSON**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., sole  
as successor Rockwell Manufacturing Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**  
SEP 1 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Shirley Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.  


SEP 23 2010

St

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 190320/10

**MIKE CHARLES BENSON and VICTORIA  
BENSON**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE defendant Stewart Warner Corporation ("Stewart Warner") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Stewart Warner with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Stewart Warner be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
8/26, 2010

**FILED**

OCT - 1 2010


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.,  
solely as successor Rockwell Manufacturing  
Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Shirley Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.



SEP 23 2010