

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118174/98

Ed Codair

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116324/03  
121905/98

Michael Laing


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER/LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103939/99

Samuel Rosenblatt

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 107970/99

This Document Relates to:

PETER P. HONAN and CATHERINE HONAN,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
DAVID A. CHANDLER, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Peter P. Honan and Catherine Honan  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



BW-NINE-15

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115803/05  
104742/00  
125779/99

Raymond J. Farrell


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON/ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
RICHARD H. MEHLROSE

Index No.: 100582/00

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
*September 7, 2011*

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Phon Alvarado*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Richard H. Mehlorose  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2521

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011 **FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
REUBEN W. GOLDMAN

Index No.: 104560/00

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Reuben W. Goldman  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1108

SO ORDERED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115803/05  
104742/00  
125779/99

Raymond J. Farrell


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107534/00


James V. Lomanto


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/27/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.00131

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates To:

Arthur Taylor

Plaintiffs,

-against-

FOSTER WHEELER, LLC

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: IAS Part 30  
: (Heitler, J.)


:  
: Index No. 108905-00


:  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

  
Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick Detert  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Newark, New Jersey 07102


  
Frank M. Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK.

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates To:

Louis F. Paonessa

Plaintiffs,

-against-

FOSTER WHEELER, LLC

Defendants.  
-----X

: NYCAL  
: IAS Part 30  
: (Heitler, J.)


:  
: Index No. 109266-00


:  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

  
Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick Detert  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Newark, New Jersey 07102


  
Frank M. Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

Jesse McSherry :

Plaintiffs, :

-against- :

FOSTER WHEELER, LLC :

Defendants. :

-----X

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 109427-00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

  
Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick Detert  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Newark, New Jersey 07102

  
Frank M. Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

ROBIN M. SORRENTINO (Deceased)

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No  
110306/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: NOVEMEBR 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

917, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
  
RAYMOND SARAMA AND GLADYS SARAMA  
  
against  
  
A.C. & S., INC., ET AL


INDEX NO.: 00-111125

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ  
9.23.11



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ. ~~JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Raymond Sarama and Gladys Sarama  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Kleitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-111672

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD A. HAYES AND THEODORA HAYES

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ




STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



LISA BUSCH, ESQ. Joe Vazquez, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Edward A. Hayes and Theodora Hayes  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates To:

Frank Fraccalvieri

Plaintiffs,

-against-

FOSTER WHEELER, LLC

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 105233-04  
112444,00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

  
Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick Detert  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Newark, New Jersey 07102

  
Frank M. Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
SALVATORE J. VASTA and PATRICIA : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
VASTA, : **JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO**  
 : **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**  
 :  
Plaintiff(s), :  
 :  
-against- : **Index No.: 113938/00**  
 :  
AC & S, INC., et al., :  
 :  
Defendant(s). :  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 13, 2011*

  
By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: Salvatore J. Vasta and Patricia Vasta

  
By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114024/00


Eber V. Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
9/15/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 075<sup>cc</sup>00000

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Linda Dupraw, as Executrix for the  
Estate of Gerald Rabideau Sr.,

Index No.: 115220/00

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011


OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ ~~Joe Vazquez, Esq.~~  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Linda Dupraw, as Executrix for  
the Estate of Gerald Rabideau  
Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

~~Justin M. Tafe, Esq.~~  
~~Cullen and Dykman LLP~~  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2648

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Linda Dupraw, as Executrix for the  
Estate of Gerald Rabideau Sr.,

Index No.: 115220/00

Plaintiff,

- against -

Air & Liquid Systems Corp., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc. be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3/2011, 2011


OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ ~~Joe Varquez, Esq.~~  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Linda Dupraw, as Executrix for  
the Estate of Gerald Rabideau  
Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-4778

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Index No.: 03/100782  
01/106190  
00/119373

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/13, 2011

  
John F. Burbridge, Esq.  
Eggen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


Phan  
Awarado

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-119379

This Document Relates to:  
  
FRANK SERRAVALLO AND VIVIAN SERRAVALLO  
  
against  
  
A.C. & S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ  
9.22.11

  
STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
JAMES THOMPSON, ESQ. *Matthew Park, Esq.*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Frank Serravallo and Vivian Serravallo  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 119387/00

This Document Relates to:

HARRY A. BURTON AND BEVERLY J. BURTON

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 9. 8. 11  
New Brunswick, NJ



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Harry A. Burton and Beverly J. Burton  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119828/00


Warren J. Leonard


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/12/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

GEORGE J. SABO JR., as Executor for the :  
Estate of GEORGE J. SABO and EMMA SABO, :  
GEORGE J. SABO JR., Individually, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

**Index No.: 123481/00**

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/21, 2011



By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: George J. Sabo Jr., as Executor  
for the Estate of George J. Sabo and Emma  
Sabo, George J. Sabo Jr., Individually



By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

{01040230.DOC }

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-124525

This Document Relates to:

MAUREEN WILBURN, AS PROPOSED PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
ROSARIO MORREALE

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11


New Brunswick, NJ

  
STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Maureen Wilburn, as Proposed Personal  
Representative for the Estate of Rosario  
Morreale  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



BW-FAMOUS-13

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103003/01


Theodore F. Walker

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/12/11  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.00001

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/13, 2011

  
John J. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Phan Alvarado

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/100782  
01/106198  
00/119373

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107450/01


Dennis M. Whalen

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/28/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.00177

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JAMES JOSEPH FAULKNER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.  
111226/01  
122547/01


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
*September 7th*, 2011

  
~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ *Phan A. Lucado*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOSEPH MCCARTHY

Index No.: 113260/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/2, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Joseph McCarthy  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: F11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EVA M. MASI

Index No.: 115469/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Eva M. Masi  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1108-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115630/01

Daniel Torretta


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/27/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.00762

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WILLIAM P. TANNER

Index No.: 115831/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
William P. Tanner  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2328

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOHN J. CULLEN

Index No.: 118068/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John J. Cullen  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11074-2495

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
KAREL DVORAK

Index No.: 119235/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn New York  
10/13, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Karl Dvorak  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11087-2152

SO ORDERED.



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
BRYAN M. PRICE

Index No.: 119477/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bryan M. Price  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1108411

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

PETER GIANGASPRO and ELIZABETH GIANGASPRO,

Index No.: 11/190052  
02/105762  
01/120394

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/6/11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John A. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

*BCN DARRITZ*

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120395/01  
109539/02

Henry T. McLoughlin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER/LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Index No.: 10/190263  
02/103357  
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Gritz, Esq. *8/28/2011*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. *Samuel Meisowitz*  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 120396/01  
103352/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND HICKEY,  
Plaintiff(s),

- against -


WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9-27, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120430/01  
100316/02


Gerald Kammerman

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/13/11  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 0756.0001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK.

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-120430

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD BARTOLOMUCCI AND MARY  
BARTOLOMUCCI

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
KOHLER CO.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



JOE VASQUEZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
RICHARD BARTOLOMUCCI and MARY  
BARTOLOMUCCI  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
VINCENT COSTA

Index No.: 120462/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
*10/28*, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Vincent Costa  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 110841

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
RUDOLPH BIBOW

Index No.: 120471/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Rudolph Bibow  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC

177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Our File No.: 11084-1NEW YORK

SO ORDERED



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:  
BERTRAND E. LINDSEY

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/13, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bertrand E. Lindsey  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2295

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 120575/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
MICHAEL A. RUZZI

Index No.: 120583/01

**NO-OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Michael A. Ruzzi  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRED A. HOFMANN, JR.

Index No.: 121113/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Fred A. Hofmann, Jr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2607

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
NATALE V. GRILLO

Index No.: 121118/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Natale V. Grillo  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1084-2620

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
121734/01

FRANK S. BARBERA (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
9/7/11, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ *Phon Alvarado*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-121734


-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
FRANK S. BARBERA

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
9/20, 2011

By: 

Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102769/02  
122138/01


John E. Behan

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/16/11  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.00001  
**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122307/01


David M. Faggione

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


9/15/11  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07560000

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
122391/01

MILLARD D. SHERLOCK (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
*Sept. 7*, 2011

  
~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ *Phan Alvarado*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

**OCT 26 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122391/01


Millard D. Sherlock

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/17/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
*Phem Alvarado*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0000

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122391/01

Millard D. Sherlock

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

JAMES JOSEPH FAULKNER

Index No

111226/01

122547/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
*September 7th*, 2011

~~FRANK ORSZ~~ *Phan Alvarado*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
James Joseph Faulkner and Alwine Irene  
Faulkner,

Index No.: 122547/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
*September 7, 2011*

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

*[Signature]*  
~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
James Joseph Faulkner and  
Alwine Irene Faulkner  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Phan Alvarado*

*[Signature]*  
JUSTICE CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-2048

So Ordered:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122698/01

John F. Wallace, Jr.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122698/01

John F. Wallace, Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/17/11



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Phan Alvarado*



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-122701

This Document Relates to:

ROXANN H. INTRILIGATOR, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF EUGENE F. HOFF

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



PETER TAMBINI, ESQ. *See Verano et*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Roxann H. Intriligator, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of Eugene F. Hoff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122701/01

Eugene F. Hoff

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

536.10183/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: :  
EUGENE F. HOFF, Deceased, : Index No.: 122701/01  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (June 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ. JOE VAZQUEZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, NEW YORK  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122788/01


Raymond Jarzynski

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/26/11  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 0650701005

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOHN DOHERTY

Index No.: 123065/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Doherty  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2494

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-123130

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTINE WISSING, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE  
OF ALFRED FREDERICK WISSING

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ




STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Christine Wissing, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of  
Alfred Frederick Wissing  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
MICHAEL PALAZZO

Index No.: 123182/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Michael Palazzo  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2416

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2011

OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EROTIDO LARRINAGA

Index No.: 124181/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Erotido Larrinaga  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
RICHARD J. BARTOLOMUCCI

Index No.: 100154/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Richard J. Bartolomucci  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2465

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOSEPH D. FESTA

Index No.: 100157/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
*Joseph D. Festa*  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
*Burnham LLC*  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Michael J. Amato and Theresa Amato,

Index No.: 100170/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
*Sept 7*, 2011

OCT 26 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. *Phan Alvarado*  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Michael J. Amato and Theresa  
Amato  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-4129

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
MICHAEL J. AMATO

Index No.: 100170/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Michael J. Amato  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2484

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120430/01  
100316/02


Gerald Kammerman

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/13/11  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 0756.00001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK.

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CHARLES CARUSO, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 100461/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloubos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

536.10748/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: :  
DAVID L. LYNCH, Deceased, : Index No.: 100580/02  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (June 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**  
et al., : **AND ORDER**  
: :  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Joe Varquez, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100580/02

David L. Lynch

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 051335.0001

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRANK C. PERRY

Index No.: 101088/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frank C. Perry  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JAMES R. FLEMING

Index No.: 101110/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
James R. Fleming  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11024-319

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
OCT 07 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101247/02


John F. Nicholas


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 7536.02548

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101424/02


Robert Covert

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC, f/k/a ROCKBESTOS-SURPRENANT CABLE CORP, s/h/a ROCKBESTOS, INC. (hereinafter RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 07696.01646

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101424/02


Robert Covert


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AT&T CORP.**, **incorrectly s/h/a "AT&T"**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AT&T CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AT&T CORP.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
AT&T CORP.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 05175 00223

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

536.11036/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 102008/02  
ROCCO MATERO, Deceased, :  
: (June 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Joe Varquez, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102008/02

Rocco Matero


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102508/02

Felix Ojeda

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No  
102509/02


JAMES J. PRINGLE (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
FRANK ORTIZ Samuel Weirowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102737

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
CHARLES F. RAMA


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
*September 22, 2011*

By:   
John E. Richmond Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102769/02  
122138/01


John E. Behan


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/16/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.00001

**FILED**

**OCT 26 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102915/02

Richard P. Heslin


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102915/02

Richard P. Heslin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/17/11



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Phan Alvarado*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 0535.0001

**FILED**

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103058/02

Richard A. Wood


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

536.11469/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 103058/02
RICHARD A. WOOD, Deceased,	:	
	:	(June 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: *9/26/11*  
East Hanover, New Jersey

OCT 26 2011

*[Signature]*  
~~FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ *Joe Varquez, Esq.*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*[Signature]*  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
~~STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.~~  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 120396/01  
103352/02

RAYMOND HICKEY,  
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9-27, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
RAYMOND J. HICKEY

Index No.: 103352/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10.13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Raymond J. Hickey  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2417

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Index No.: 10/190263  
02/103357  
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
John S. Burbidge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718)855-9000

 <sup>8/28/2011</sup>  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:


Index No: 103443/02

Ralph Vincent and Linda L. Vincent

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC, f/k/a ROCKBESTOS-SURPRENANT CABLE CORP, s/h/a ROCKBESTOS, INC. (hereinafter RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 07696.01632

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103443/02


Ralph Vincent and Linda L. Vincent


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AT&T CORP.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AT&T CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AT&T CORP.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
AT&T CORP.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 05175.00220

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

536.17435/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
RALPH VINCENT, : Index No.: 103443/02  
: (June 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial  
Plaintiff, : Group)  
vs. :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/26/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo, Esq.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103443/02

Ralph Vincent


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103443/02

Ralph Vincent

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.15986

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
ASBURY C. WASHINGTON and LOUETTA : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
WASHINGTON, : **JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO**  
 : **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**  
Plaintiff(s), :  
-against- : **Index No.: 103454/02**  
ABB LUMUS CREST, et al., :  
Defendant(s). :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/21, 2011

  
By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: Asbury C. Washington and Louetta Washington

  
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
E: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
DANIEL C. BLAKE

Index No.: 104106/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Daniel C. Blake  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 104106/02

**FILED**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JERRY DIAMOND

Index No.: 104308/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jerry Diamond  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11054-

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105173/02


John A. Reinard


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/12/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105175/02


Francis E. Cosgrove


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105177/02  
100780/03


William D. Pulvermacher and Carol Pulvermacher,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a  
“**CARRIER CORPORATION** as successor in interest to **BRYANT HEATING &  
COOLING SYSTEMS**” (hereinafter “**CARRIER CORPORATION**”) hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Carrier Corporation  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 10557.00579

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105177/02  
100780/03

William D. Pulvermacher and Carol Pulvermacher,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
S.W. Anderson Sales Corp.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 11227.0000

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105559/02

John Reina

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9.27.2011

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Dore M. Merck, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 05335-1000

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105559/02

John Reina

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a MCCORD CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to A.E. CLEVITE, INC. and J.P. INDUSTRIES (herein after "MCCORD CORPORATION")**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9-27-2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.00719

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 105559/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

JOHN REINA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


---

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9-27, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Frank M. Weitz & Co.*  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 105716-02  
111684-02


Charles E. French

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Sept. 16, 2011  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Eyans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10002  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.6001

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

PETER GIANGASPRO and ELIZABETH GIANGASPRO,

Index No.: 11/190052  
02/105762  
01/120394

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/6/11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John A. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *BCN DARUWZ*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JAMES BRENNAN

Index No.: 105777/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
James Brennan  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106266/02

DAVID SPITZ, AS PROPOSED  
ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF  
IRVING SPITZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Simkins Industries, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Simkins Industries, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Simkins Industries, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/19, 2011

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

  
Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Simkins Industries  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Irving Spitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

695-0002

{N0080939-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
CHARLES H. GEE

Index No.: 106304/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Charles H. Gee  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2453


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO

Index No.: 106310/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Angelo T. D'Agostino  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

**FILED**  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, OCT 26 2011  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
I.D. CAPLES

Index No.: 106314/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
I.D. Caples  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118980/02  
106695/02

Eric Dreimiller


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**

150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000

Our File No. 07536.0001 2 6 2011

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND  
MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No: 106706/02  
114859/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Donald L. Sterner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 06 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0653AS

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

GEORGE E. MEIROSE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 106914/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 106915/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulou, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RONALD J. DEON,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 111994/02  
106963/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
GEORGE HENRY BISHOP,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 111308/02  
106965/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

RONALD G. PEPPERDAY,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.


-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

Index No. 111254/04

106999/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
PATRICK J. CIRBUS,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X


Index No. 111316/02  
106999/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 28 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02  
118004/02

LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY AND PATRICIA A.  
ROOKEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/2011, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lawrence D. Rookey and Patricia A. Rookey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

454-6550A1

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROBERT H. LA GOE,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 117995/02  
107006/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

WILLIAM F. LAW,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 117996/02

107006/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Pargers  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

Oct 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 118004/02

107006/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/15, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-107006

This Document Relates to:

MARY LOU LA GOE, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of ROBERT H. LA GOE, and MARY LOU LA GOE,  
Individually,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


 9/20/2011  
SAMUEL MEIROWITZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Mary Lou La Goe, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Robert H. La Goe, and Mary Lou La  
Goe, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SO ORDERED:**

  
**FILED**

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ARNOLD BENEDETTO,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 115368/02

107007/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 20 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

HARVEY KESSLER,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 115342/02  
107007/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RICHARD BURTON,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 117571/02  
107099/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

<sup>10/5</sup>, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RANDALL A. KACER,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. ~~119102/02~~  
107102/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LAWRENCE STERN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 119111/02  
107102/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 112800/02

107167/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 28 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MATTHEW J. DeGAETANO,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 107183/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 107183-02

CYNTHIA SCHULTHEIS and LYNDA O'BRIEN  
As Co-Administratrices for the Estate of MATTHEW  
J. DEGAETANO, and CYNTHIA SCHULTHEIS,  
and LYNDA O'BRIEN, as Co-Executrices for the  
Estate of JEANNE DEGAETANO,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

A.C. AND S. Inc., e., et al.

Defendants

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

*COOPERATION (CMA)*

WHEREFORE, Defendant Norfolk Southern ~~Railroad~~ herby requests Summary

Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  
dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant Norfolk Southern ~~Railroad~~ with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

*COOPERATION (CMA)*


ORDERED, that upon Notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Norfolk Southern Railroad, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
September 16, 2011

  
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Law Offices of Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
NY, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Thomas M. Smith, Esq.  
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Norfolk Southern Railroad Corporation*  
10 Bank Street, Suite 700  
White Plains, NY 10606  
(914) 286-2807

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113141/02  
107221/02

Milton Earl Cherry


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 9/16/2011  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MILTON EARL CHERRY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 113141/02  
107221/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulós, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**LED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MERLE G. BURGIN,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 116958/05  
107221/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

<sup>10/5</sup>, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

107 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ALTON A. HAKES,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 114072/02  
107400/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

STEPHEN A. MANDELL,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 113483/02

107401/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

WILLIAM A. MATICE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 113480/02  
107401/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107401/02

STEPHEN A. MANDELL,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/21, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Stephen A. Mandell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25556AH

{N0042173-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. FAGAN, AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN J. FAGAN AND JOAN  
M. FAGAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 107403/02  
114119/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John J. Fagan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25555R

{N0042173-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 116798/07  
114296/02  
107404/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

10/6, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MICHAEL DEAN, as Proposed Executor for  
the Estate of ROSE DEAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No. 107761-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/21/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROSE P. DEAN,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 107761/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
WILLIAM M. KOPCHO,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 108105/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulou, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROBERT E. HOULIHAN, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 108356/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
DONALD F. HOGAN,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 108870/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Barnes  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
ALFRED J. KOPERA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 108872/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108872/02

Alfred J. Kopera

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Sept. 2, 2011




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335,0001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DONALD McEVOY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 108877/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
SIDNEY S. LOEB,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 109064/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

07 2011,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120395/01  
109539/02

Henry T. McLoughlin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER/LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00391

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRANK CATALANO

Index No.: 109549/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/28, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frank Catalano  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1108713

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
OCT 07 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOSE TRINIDAD

Index No.: 109554/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jose Trinidad  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:  
JAMES F. SMITH

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
James F. Smith  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1108-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 109561/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LEONARD DARMSTEDTER,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 109641/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 23 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MAURICE J. HOURIHAN,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 109642/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109642/02

Maurice J. Hourihan

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Sept. 12, 2011*

*Frank M. Ortiz*

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Julie Evans*

Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125556/02  
110268/02

Allen Chisley

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Sept. 13, 2011*



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 0533500001

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
JAMES A. ROBINETT,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 110830/02

126812/02

110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110269/02  
126765/02

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Netherch, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Willis R. Gavigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25692U

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 110269/02  
126765/02

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011



Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Northcutt, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Willis R. Gavigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6638V

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
PAUL W. KRUG,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 126791/02

110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulou, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 126765/02  
110269/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126812/02  
110269/02

JAMES A. ROBINETT,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/2011, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James A. Robinett  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6638AN

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CLINTON A. McCLOUD,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 126733/02  
110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-110269

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
WILLIS GAVIGAN


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
9-27, 2011

By:   
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 100  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Lucy Waldron, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of Weslie  
Waldron,

Index No.: 110319/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/17, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

[Signature]  
Phan Alvarado, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Lucy Waldron, Individually and  
as Executrix for the Estate of  
Weslie Waldron  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

[Signature]  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JOSEPH S. COLLESANO,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 110717/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulós, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110776/02

Faro P. Vitale


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/17/11

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Phan Alvarado*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

JAMES A. ROBINETT,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X


Index No. (110830/02)  
126812/02  
110269/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

GEORGE HENRY BISHOP,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 111308/02  
106965/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

PATRICK J. CIRBUS,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 111316/02  
106999/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111343/02  
111254/04

Ronald G. Pepperday


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
9/6/2011  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ ~~Schabel Meirowitz, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335 00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111343/02  
111254/04

Ronald G. Pepperday

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
9/1/2011  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**McCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.01158

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111636/02

Augustine P. Massello


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC, f/k/a ROCKBESTOS-SURPRENANT CABLE CORP, s/h/a ROCKBESTOS WIRE & CABLE CO. , individually and as successor to and/or also known as The Rockbestos Company, Cerro Wire & Cable Co., Inc. and Rockbestos-Surprenant Cable Corp. (hereinafter RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/26/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kush Shukla, Esq.  
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Eyans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 07696.00432

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105716-02  
111684-02

Charles E. French

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Sept. 16, 2011*  
*Supreme Court*  
*Frank Ortiz, Esq.*  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*Julie R. Evans, Esq.*  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10001  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.0000

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry K. Heitler*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RONALD J. DEON,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 111994/02  
106963/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HOWARD H. HABERER I,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

**Index No.: 112587/02**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/21, 2011



By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: Howard H. Haberer I



By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 112800/02  
107167/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RAYMOND F. WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 112954/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113141/02  
107221/02

Milton Earl Cherry

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 9/16/2011  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.0001

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MILTON EARL CHERRY,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 113141/02  
107221/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
C. Dino Haloulós, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113276/02  
115178/05

Gerald Elmont Allen

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9-27-2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.01162

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :


-----X  
This Document Relates To: :  
: :  
GERALD E. ALLEN and SHIRLEY L. ALLEN, : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO**  
Plaintiff(s), : **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**  
: :  
-against- : **Index No.: 113276/02**  
: :  
A.C. and S. INC., et al., :  
: :  
Defendant(s). :

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
          9/15          , 2011

  
By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: Gerald E. Allen and Shirley L. Allen

  
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

WILLIAM J. BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 119810/02


113277/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

WILLIAM A. MATICE,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X


Index No. 113480/02  
107401/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
STEPHEN A. MANDELL,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.


Index No. 113483/02  
107401/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHIRLEY RIDDELL, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD RIDDELL,  
AND SHIRLEY RIDDELL, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No.: 113565/02  
127345/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Donald Riddell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0652B

{N0042173-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113565/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DONALD RIDDELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9-27, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Dana Northcraft, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHIRLEY RIDDELL, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD RIDDELL,  
AND SHIRLEY RIDDELL, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 113565/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Donald Riddell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6848B

{N0090166-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DONALD W. RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 127345702

113565/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

RALPH COLON,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X


Index No. 126186/02  
113566/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
<sup>10/5</sup>, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126186/02  
113566/02

Ralph Colon

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

*September 17, 2011*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *John E. Richmond, Esq.*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Shirley K. Heitler

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 114013/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

ELMER ALBERICO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


---


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9-27, 2011  
New York, New York

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ALTON A. HAKES,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 114072/02  
107400/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500




C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

**OCT 26 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. FAGAN, AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOHN J. FAGAN AND JOAN  
M. FAGAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 107403/02  
114119/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John J. Fagan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-25555R

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 116798/07

114296/02

107404/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/6, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND  
MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 106705/02  
114859/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Donald L. Sterner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Harry Klein Heitler

2571-0653AS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 114999/02  
109589/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011


**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6548Q

{N0080939-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Index No. 114999/02  
109589/06

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2011


OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. Matusz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. S. Klein Heitler

1122-4202

{N0080939-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Index No.: 114999/02  
109589/06

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Michele J. Mittleman*

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Matthew T. Mordetzky*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3730

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No. 114999/02  
109589/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25660C

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

HARVEY KESSLER,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 115342/02  
107007/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulós, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

**OCT 26 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ARNOLD BENEDETTO,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 115368/02  
107007/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RICHARD BURTON,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 117571/02  
107099/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

<sup>10/5</sup>, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ROBERT H. LA GOE,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 117995/02  
107006/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 117995-02

This Document Relates to:

MARY LOU LA GOE, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of ROBERT H. LA GOE, and MARY LOU LA GOE,  
Individually,

against


ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New Brunswick, NJ

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

 9/20/2011  
SAMUEL MEIROWITZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Mary Lou La Goe, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Robert H. La Goe, and Mary Lou La  
Goe, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

WILLIAM F. LAW,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X


Index No. 117996/02  
107006/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY AND PATRICIA A.  
ROOKEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 107006/02  
118004/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lawrence D. Rookey and Patricia A. Rookey  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)-558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6550AI

{N0090166-1}

OCT 07 2011

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY,

Plaintiffs,

-- against --

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 118004/02  
107006/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10012  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 118980/02  
106695/02

Eric Dreimiller


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.0001

**FILED**

26 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
RANDALL A. KACER,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 119102/02  
107102/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
<sup>10/5</sup>, 2011.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

LAWRENCE STERN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 119111/02  
107102/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:  
ANDREAS KALLEBERG and LISA KALLEBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119370-02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a IIT INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT CO.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and  
subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns  
("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against  
Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims  
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: June 1, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: September 21, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher P. Browne, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

WILLIAM J. BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 119810/02  
113277/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES J. SEBASTIANI,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 120081/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
:  
MATTHEW D. ZUREK and STELLA ZUREK, : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
:  
Plaintiff(s), : **JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO**  
:  
-against- : **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**  
:  
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : **Index No.: 122434/02**  
:  
Defendant(s). :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15, 2011

  
By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: Matthew D. Zurek and Stella Zurek

  
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 123970/02

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
10/4/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

CLB55245/legal/nosjm  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DAMINAO QUATTROCCHI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123970/02


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
John Richmond, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

10/4/11


  
Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No: 123970-02  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
:

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/16/11  
New York, New York

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **John E. Richmond, Esq.**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-124444

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES R. COSBEY, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOAN M. COSBEY AND  
CHARLES R. COSBEY, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New York, New York

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MATTHEW PARK, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Charles R. Cosbey, as Administrator for the  
Estate of Joan M. Cosbey and Charles R.  
Cosbey, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

OCT 07 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 125556/02  
110268/02

Allen Chisley

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Sept. 13, 2011*



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00007

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sheryl K. Heitler

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

WILLIAM J. SWANSON,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 125907/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126186/02  
113566/02

Ralph Colon

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 17, 2011*



~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John E. Richmond, Esq.*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Nancy K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RALPH COLON,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 126186/02  
113566/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CLINTON A. McCLOUD,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 126733/02  
110269/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

-----X

Index No. 126765/02  
110269/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulou, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110269/02  
126765/02

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011



Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Weitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Willis R. Gavigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6638V

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 110269/02  
126765/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dana M. Weitz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Willis R. Gavigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25692U

(N0042173-1)

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES A. ROBINETT,

Plaintiffs,

— against —

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 110830/02

126812/02

110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES A. ROBINETT,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Index No: 126812/02  
110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James A. Robinett  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6638AN

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

PAUL W. KRUG,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 126791/02  
110269/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulou, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler      OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-127345

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**DONALD W. RIDDELL**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
9-27, 2011

By:   
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DONALD W. RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 127345/02  
113565/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHIRLEY RIDDELL, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD RIDDELL,  
AND SHIRLEY RIDDELL, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No.: 113565/02  
127345/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


OCT 26 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Donald Riddell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0652B

{N0042173-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 127406/2002

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRANK MONASTERO and CAROLINE  
MONASTERO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/5/11  
New York, New York

  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CUMMINS, INC., s/h/a CUMMINS  
ENGINE COMPANY, INC.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2456442

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 127406/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

FRANK MONASTERO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

---


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/3, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105177/02  
100780/03


William D. Pulvermacher and Carol Pulvermacher,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a  
"CARRIER CORPORATION as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING &  
COOLING SYSTEMS" (hereinafter "CARRIER CORPORATION") hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
Carrier Corporation  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 10557.00379

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105177/02  
100780/03

William D. Pulvermacher and Carol Pulvermacher,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **S.W. ANDERSON SALES CORP.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
S.W. Anderson Sales Corp.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 11227.0000

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Index No. 03/100782  
01/106190  
00/119373

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/30, 2011

  
John E. Burbidge, Esq.  
Culler and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Phan  
Awarado

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 03-101129

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES R. COSBEY, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JOAN M. COSBEY AND  
CHARLES R. COSBEY, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-5-11 New York, New York

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
MATTHEW PARK, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Charles R. Cosbey, as Administrator for the  
Estate of Joan M. Cosbey and Charles R.  
Cosbey, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

OCT 07 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116324/03  
121905/98

Michael Laing

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates To:

Frank Fraccalvieri

Plaintiffs,

-against-

FOSTER WHEELER, LLC

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No. 105233-04  
112444-00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant, Foster Wheeler, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 28, 2011

  
Dennis Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick Detert  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Newark, New Jersey 07102

  
Frank M. Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RONALD G. PEPPERDAY,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 111254/04  
106999/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111343/02  
111254/04

Ronald G. Pepperday


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
9/1/2011  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.01158

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111343/02  
111254/04

Ronald G. Pepperday

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ ~~Schmel Meirowitz, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335-00001

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 07 2011  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 111957/04

JAMES G. KUTKUHN and BARBARA L.  
KUTKUHN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.W. CHESTERTON, et. al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

September 29, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 07736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ALFRED E. SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X


Index No. 113616/04

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10017  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MARILYN PLOECKELMANN, Individually  
and as Administratrix to the Estate of SIDNEY  
WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 29, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113276/02  
115178/05

Gerald Elmont Allen


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **MCCORD CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **A.E. CLEVITE, INC.** and **J.P. INDUSTRIES** (herein after "**MCCORD CORPORATION**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against **MCCORD CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **MCCORD CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9-27-2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**MCCORD CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No.: 06507.01162

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 115803/05  
104742/00  
125779/99

Raymond J. Farrell

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/15/11



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON/ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.00001

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Raymond J. Farrell and Carole A.  
Farrell,

Index No.: 115803/05

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/13, 2011

OCT 26 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Raymond J. Farrell and Carole  
A. Farrell  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-2210

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MERLE G. BURGIN,

Plaintiffs,

– against –

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 116958/05  
107221/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

<sup>10/5</sup>, 2011.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114999702  
109589/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212; dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2011


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-4202

{N0080939-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114999/02

109589/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2011

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Michele J. Mittleman*

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Matthew T. Murlitz*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(312) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3730

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 114999702  
109589/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/9/, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROLINE K. FREDERICK, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JOHN RONALD FREDERICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114999/02  
109589/06

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2011


**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John Ronald Frederick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6548Q

{N0080939-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Donna Marie Michalski, Individually and  
as Executrix for the Estate of Martin  
Michalski Sr.,

Index No.: 100021/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
*Sept 7*, 2011

OCT 26 2011

  
~~Danny R. Kraft Jr., Esq.~~ *Phan Alvarado*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Donna Marie Michalski,  
Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of Martin  
Michalski Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-6327

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

Index No. 116798/07  
114296/02  
107404/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION & ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: New York, New York

1015, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Dino Haloulos, Esq.  
McCullough Ginsberg Montano & Partners  
Attorneys for Defendant  
320 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 100  
New York, New York 10022  
(646) 435-0300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANDREW J. VENIER AND PATRICIA VENIER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

GENEERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 190145/09


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, New York Protective Coverings, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Sept 27, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
New York Protective Coverings  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Darren F. Berquist  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Andrew J. Venier and Patricia Venier  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10038-3901  
(212) 267-3091

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2177-0209

{N0088499-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190145/09

ANDREW J. VENIER AND PATRICIA VENIER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, New York Protective Coverings, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept 27, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
New York Protective Coverings  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Daron F. Bergquist  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Andrew J. Venier and Patricia Venier  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10038-3901  
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2177-0209

{N0088499-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THOMAS WILLIAMS

Index No: 190350/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


WHEREFORE, defendant, Pass & Seymour, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Pass & Seymour, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Pass & Seymour, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice AND without costs.

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER


By:   
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
110 William Street - 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038-3901  
Telephone: (212) 267-3091

HANCOCK ESTABROOK, LLP

By:   
Janet D. Callahan, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant,*  
*Pass & Seymour, Inc.*  
1500 AXA Tower I - 100 Madison Street  
Syracuse, New York 13202  
Telephone: (315) 565-4500

9.30-11

SO ORDERED:

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190363/09

GERALD MOORS AND JOAN MOORS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4/, 2011

  
Michelle D. Grady, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald Moors and Joan Moors  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WILLIAM E. TURNER,

Index No.: 190349/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WILLIAM E. TURNER  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kristen Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-10794

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DOMINICK SANTOPIETRO & BEVERLY  
SANTOPIETRO

Plaintiffs,

vs.

AMERICAN ART CLAY COMPANY,, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


Index No.: 190010-10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant **BASF CONSTRUCTION CHEMICALS, LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **BASF CONSTRUCTION CHEMICALS, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **BASF CONSTRUCTION CHEMICALS, LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 22, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mark G. Strauss, Esq.  
Early Ludwick Sweeney & Strauss  
360 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Tel. No.: (212)-986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert J. Kelly, Esq.  
LITTLETON JOYCE UGHETTA PARK & KELLY LLP  
39 Broadway, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BASF CONSTRUCTION CHEMICALS, LLC  
Tel. No.: (212)-404-5777

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**NATHANIEL MURRAY,  
Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.;  
Defendants.**

**NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)  
INDEX NO.: 190036/10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Elliott Turbomachinery Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

*Jordan Fox*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

*Giovanni Regina*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Giovanni Regina Esq.  
WATERS McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.  
Attorneys for Elliott Turbomachinery Co.  
233 Broadway  
New York, New York 10279  
(212) 227-7878

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SEP 19 2011

53106-320476  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
JUSTICE HEITLER

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190062/10

CARLOS E. SIMMONS

~against~

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., et al.  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., and there being no opposition thereto;

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**Dated:**

8/24/11

SEP 16 2011

  
Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Carlos Simmons

  
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc.

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

52816-320477  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

2011 OCT 24

NOTICE OF THE CLERK  
NYC SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
JUSTICE HEITLER

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190062/10

CARLOS E. SIMMONS

~against~


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

SAGER CORPORATION F/K/A SAGER GLOVE  
CORPORATION N/K/A SAGER CORPORATION, A  
DISSOLVED ILLINOIS CORPORATION, ET AL.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Sager Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sager Corporation, and there being no opposition thereto;

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/24/11

  
Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Carlos Simmons


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant, Sager Corporation  
f/ k/ a Sager Glove Corporation

SO ORDERED:

  
-----  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

Dup

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED SEP 30 2011

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190073/10

KEIL STERN AND SCOTT STERN AS CO-  
EXECUTORS OF THE ESTATE OF ARNOLD  
STERN, DECEASED AND SHIRLEE STERN,  
LIVING SPOUSE

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ABS POWER BRAKE, INC., *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sterling Decor Concept, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Sterling Decor Concept, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sterling Decor Concept, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/30/11, 2011

*Michele J. Mittleman*

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Sterling Decor Concept, Inc.  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of Arnold Stern  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2706-0002

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190082/10

Paul Crane and Arlene Crane


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 10557.0095

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:  
DONALD CONROY and BARBARA CONROY,

Index No.: 190138/10

Plaintiffs,

**NO-OPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

PFIZER, INC.,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

-against-

CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD, INC.,

Third-Party Defendant.  
-----X

CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD, INC.,

Index No.: 590035/11

Fourth-Party Plaintiff,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Fourth-Party Defendants.  
-----X


**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

WHEREFORE, fourth-party defendant Spence Engineering Co., Inc.,  
s/h/a Spence Engineering Co., Inc., Individually and as Successor  
to Nicholson Steam Trap ("Spence"), hereby requests summary  
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law  
and Rules Section §3212, dismissing fourth-party plaintiff's  
Fourth-Party Complaint against Spence, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto,


CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ORDERED, that upon notice to all parties, all fourth-party claims and fourth-party cross-claims against Spence be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
September 15, 2011



FRANCES B. STELLA, Esq.  
Brach Eichler, LLC  
Attorneys For Third-Party  
Defendant/Fourth-Party  
Plaintiff  
Cushman & Wakefield, Inc.  
101 Eisenhower Parkway  
Roseland, NJ 07068



Jeffrey C. Fegan, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Fourth-Party  
Defendant  
Spence Engineering Co., Inc.,  
s/h/a Spence Engineering Co.,  
Inc. (Individually and as  
Successor to Nicholson Steam  
Trap)  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11040-125



So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SEP 19 2011

54765-321579  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
JUSTICE HEITLER

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190196/10

RONALD W. DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

JAY INSTRUMENTS & SPECIALTY, INC., et al.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Jay Instruments & Specialty, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Jay Instruments & Specialty, Inc., and there being no opposition thereto;

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Jay Instruments & Specialty, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/24/11

SEP 16 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Ronald Dummitt  
and Doris Kay Dummitt

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Jay Instruments & Specialty, Inc.

**SO ORDERED:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SEP 19 2011

53691-321443  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
JUSTICE HEITLER

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190196/10

RONALD W. DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


TASCO INSULATIONS F/K/A ASBESTOS  
SERVICE COMPANY, et al.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, *Tasco Insulations f/k/a Asbestos Service Company*, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant *Tasco Insulations f/k/a Asbestos Service Company*, and there being no opposition thereto;

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant *Tasco Insulations f/k/a Asbestos Service Company*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

8/24/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Ronald Dummitt  
and Doris Kay Dummitt


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
*Tasco Insulations*

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SEP 19 2011

53106-321445  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
-----X JUSTICE HEITLER

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190196/10

RONALD W. DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT

-against-


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., et al.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., and there being no opposition thereto;

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/24/11

  
Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Ronald Dummitt  
and Doris Kay Dummitt

  
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc.

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SEP 19 2011

52816-321447  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
JUSTICE HEITLER

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190196/10

RONALD W. DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


SAGER CORPORATION F/K/A SAGER GLOVE  
CORPORATION, et al.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Sager Corporation f/k/a Sager Glove Corporation n/k/a The Sager Corporation, a dissolved Illinois Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sager Corporation f/k/a Sager Glove Corporation n/k/a The Sager Corporation, a dissolved Illinois Corporation, and there being no opposition thereto;

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sager Corporation f/k/a Sager Glove Corporation n/k/a The Sager Corporation, a dissolved Illinois Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

8/24/11



Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Ronald Dummitt  
and Doris Kay Dummitt

SEP 13 2011

 **FILED**

Rob C. Tonogbarua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant, Sager Corporation

OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
-----  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

15277-321581  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30  
JUSTICE HEITLER

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.: 190196/10

RONALD W. DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT

~against~

NAGLE, PUMPS, INC., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Nagle Pumps, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Nagle Pumps, Inc., and there being no opposition thereto;


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Nagle Pumps, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/24/11

  
Bryan Belasky, Esquire  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Ronald Dummitt  
and Doris Kay Dummitt


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

  
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire  
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.  
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033  
(856) 354-0192  
Attorneys for Defendant, Nagle Pumps, Inc.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD T. GOOD

Index No.: 190263/10

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward T. Good  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No. 11084-2315

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Index No.: 10/190263  
02/103357  
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL  
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a  
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/3, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Burbidge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank M. Oltin~~, Esq. Samuel Meisowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA  
MARQUSEE,

Index No.: 190286/10

-----X  
-against- Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.,  
et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5/11

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

~~Jordan C. Fox, Esq.~~  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
*Bryan Belaslay*

*Ted Eder*  
Ted Eder, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**CHARLES MARQUSEE**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2010/190286

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/30, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU  
LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**Index No.: 190286-10**

This Document Relates to:

**CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA  
MARQUSEE,**

Plaintiff(s),

v.


**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et  
al.,**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *October 3, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Rheem Manufacturing Company  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**OCT 26 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA MARQUSEE,

Index No.: 190286-10

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
Successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and  
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
September 30, 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan  
Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 11  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000  
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_ J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----x

This Document Relates to:

**Index No.: 190286-10**

**CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA  
MARQUSEE,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),


v.

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et  
al.,**

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 4, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08731  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190286-10

CHARLES MARQUEE and HELGA  
MARQUEE,

Plaintiff(s),

v.


AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et  
al.,


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 3, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

FILED  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

DUPLICATE ORIGINAL

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	NO OPPOSITION
This Document Applies To:	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
AARON RICHMAN.	:	
-----X	:	Index No.: 190288/10

WHEREFORE, defendant Eastman Kodak Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Eastman Kodak Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eastman Kodak Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 17, 2011

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, P.C.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

GREGG J. BORRI LAW OFFICES

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Aaron Richman  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Gregg J. Borri, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Eastman Kodak Company  
61 Broadway, Suite 2820  
New York, NY 10006  
(212) 980-8866

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

*Gregg J. Borri*

10.7.11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190318/10

-----X  
WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ,

-against-  
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Ave., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Theodore Eder, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:  
MANUEL A. CHINEA and JANET M. CHINEA,  
Plaintiff(s),

Index No.: 190323/10

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, et al  
Defendants  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, LOWER FIFTH REAL ESTATE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, LOWER FIFTH REAL ESTATE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant LOWER FIFTH REAL ESTATE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 9-30-11


Dated:

New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Yael Frieda Bronner, Esq.  
Law Office of Leonard Eli Bronner  
Attorney for Defendant  
Lower Fifth Realty Corp.  
111 East Jericho Tpke., 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 11501  
(516) 414-4354

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

This Document Relates To:  
JANET SASONI, as Personal Representative for the Estate  
of ISRAEL SASONI, and JANET SASONI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.  
Defendant.  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190327-10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, sued herein  
as "WEYERHAEUSER CO.", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries  
and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns  
("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against  
Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without  
costs.

Signed by Defendant: September 16, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: September 26, 2011

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Loree Shelko, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100  
212 548-2150

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 190332/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

WILFRED GOGEL,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/29, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

✓ ANTHONY CORINO  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No  
190351/10

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: APRIL 2011 IN  
EXTREMIS CLUSTER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: <sup>NY</sup> ~~Albertson~~, New York  
9/7, 2011

  
DANNY KRAFT JR.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMENUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK: I.A.S. PART 39

-----X  
JOHN CHILARSKI and HELEN CHILARSKI,

Plaintiffs,

v.

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

: New York City Asbestos Litigation  
: (NYCAL)

: Index No. 190391/10

: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant AEROSPACE PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC. (incorrectly sued herein as "Aerospace Products Intl.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant AEROSPACE PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant AEROSPACE PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 8, 2011  
New York, New York

**BRYAN CAVE LLP**

By: Daniel P. Waxman

Daniel P. Waxman  
Jonathan E. Ginsberg  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10104  
(212) 541-2000  
*Attorneys for Defendant Aerospace Products International, Inc. (incorrectly sued herein as "Aerospace Products Intl.")*

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA LLP**

By: Kardon Stolzman

Kardon Stolzman  
Richard Thomas  
350 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118  
(212) 267-3700  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: [Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190400/10

FRANK T. SKRABACZ AND MARTHA  
SKRABACZ,  
  
Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9-27, 2011


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Michelle D. Grady, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Aurora Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Frank T. Skrabacz and Martha Skrabacz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-0426

{N0080939-1}

OCT 07 2011

20679

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PEDRO REGALDO RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK, INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


Index No.: 190451-10


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/26, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
William T. Miedel, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys For Defendant  
ARMSTRONG INTL, INC.  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ISAAC RUBIN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2011/~~19008~~ *19008*

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*9/30*, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU  
LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 29, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

84201

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L.  
POLOTAYE,

Index No.: 190028-11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 9/26, 2011  
New York, New York

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK




Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
**WETZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



William T. Miedel, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys For Defendant  
ARMSTRONG INTL, INC.  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190034/11

UTA ENDRESS AND ROLF ENDRESS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*September 22*, 2011



Okowedeo N. Okoh, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
The Fairbanks Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Uta Endress and Rolf Endress  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504-0706

{N0083640-1}

OCT 07 2011

SEP 26 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
 COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
 IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
 -----X

Index No.: 11-190041

This Document Relates To:

PAUL VICKERS  
 -----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
 MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendants, American Premier Underwriters, Inc., Penn Central Corporation and Consolidated Rail Corporation hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR § 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendants, American Premier Underwriters, Inc., Penn Central Corporation and Consolidated Rail Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon Notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-claims against Defendants, American Premier Underwriters, Inc., Penn Central Corporation and Consolidated Rail Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York  
 August 19 2011

EARLY &amp; STRAUSS LLC

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mark Strauss, Esquire  
 Derell D. Wilson, Esquire

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 New York, New York 10017

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN &amp; MELLOTT

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Thomas M. Smith, Esquire  
 Attorneys for Defendants  
 Consolidated Rail Corporation  
 American Premier Underwriters, Inc.  
 Penn Central Corporation

10 Bank Street, Suite 1061  
 White Plains, New York 10606

**FILED**  
 OCT 26 2011  
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
 NEW YORK

IT IS SO ORDERED.

GRANTED:

  
 \_\_\_\_\_ J.

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH MARTIN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2011/190043

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/30, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU  
LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH MARTIN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2011/190043

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/30, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU  
LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

JOSEPH MARTIN and DORIS MARTIN,

Index No. 2011-190043

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant AMR Corporation ("AMR") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AMR Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 28*, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ &  
BLAU LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

By: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Vincent A. Errante, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10014  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190043/11

JOSEPH MARTIN AND DORIS MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, **THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/11



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**THE NASH ENGINEERING COMPANY**

80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**JOSEPH MARTIN**

546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

505-464

OCT 07 2011

RECEIVED SEP 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190043/11

JOSEPH MARTIN AND DORIS MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KENTILE FLOORS, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KENTILE FLOORS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **KENTILE FLOORS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/4/11



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**KENTILE FLOORS, INC.**

80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**JOSEPH MARTIN**

546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-11048

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190043/11


Joseph Martin and Doris Martin


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendants "AVCO CORPORATION," "CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY" and "TEXTRON, INC." hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants "AVCO CORPORATION," "CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY" and "TEXTRON, INC." with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants "AVCO CORPORATION," "CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY" and "TEXTRON, INC." be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/28/11

  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
AVCO CORPORATION  
CESSNA AIRCRAFT COMPANY  
TEXTRON, INC.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 00363.0016

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190043/11


Joseph Martin and Doris Martin


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ROCKER SOLENOID COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ROCKER SOLENOID COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ROCKER SOLENOID COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/28/11

  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
ROCKER SOLENOID COMPANY  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 09310.00023

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:


Index No: 190043/11

Joseph Martin and Doris Martin


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/29/11  


Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 10557-00939

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X NYCAL  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY I.A.S. Part 30  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Klein-Heitler)  
-----X

This Document Relates to:  
JULIAN ALFONSO and THERESA ALFONSO, Index No.: 190046/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as Successor by Merger to BUFFALO  
PUMPS, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Howden North America, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Howden North America, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Howden North America, Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
September 12, 2011

  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Julian Alfonso and Theresa  
Alfonso  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Jeffrey Fegan, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Howden North America, Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11231-112

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190049/11

Joseph Delle Cave


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a  
“**AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors**” (herein  
after “**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’  
complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/6/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ben Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
American Biltrite Inc.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 13139.00030

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

PETER GIANGASPRO and ELIZABETH GIANGASPRO,

Index No. 11/190052  
02/105762  
01/120394

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
9/6/11, 2011

  
John A. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718)855-9000

  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *BEN DARRIN*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190052/11

Peter Giangaspro and Elizabeth Giangaspro

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **CARRIER CORPORATION**, Individually and as successor in interest to **BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS** (hereinafter "**CARRIER CORPORATION**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/16/11



Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 10557.00936

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190069/11


Leighton Lewis


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a  
“**AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors**” (herein  
after “**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**”) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’  
complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/21/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
American Biltrite Inc.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 13139.00018

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FAAC

OCT 10 2011

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190081/11

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY  
GORHAM,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
August 18, 2011



BONNIE STEINWOLF  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendants  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433



SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
PAUL CRANE and ARLENE CRANE,	:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS
	:	LITIGATION (NYCAL)
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	ASBESTOS MATTER
	:	INDEX NO.: 190082/11
-against-	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY</b>
CRANE CO., et al.,	:	<b>JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>
	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----	X	

**WHEREFORE**, defendant **AMEC Construction Management, Inc.** ("ACMI") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, ACMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendants ACMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
October 3, 2011


**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

GANGEMI LAW FIRM, P.C.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

By:   
Bryan Belasky  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Salvatore G. Gangemi  
700 White Plains Road, Suite 338  
Scarsdale, New York 10583  
(914) 725-5800  
Attorneys for Defendants AMEC  
Construction Management, Inc.

**SO ORDERED:**   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
PAUL CRANE and ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CRANE CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----

: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS  
: LITIGATION (NYCAL)

: ASBESTOS MATTER  
: INDEX NO.: 190082/11

: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant **Morse Diesel International, Inc. ("MDII")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant, MDII, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendants MDII, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 3, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

GANGEMI LAW FIRM, P.C.

By:   
Bryan Belasky  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Salvatore G. Gangemi  
700 White Plains Road, Suite 338  
Scarsdale, New York 10583  
(914) 725-5800  
Attorneys for Defendants Morse Diesel  
International, Inc.

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
PAUL CRANE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION UMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 190082/2011


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

10/4/11

  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for BLACKMAN PLUMBING  
SUPPLY  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190109/11

RYLAND L. HOLMES AND CARRIE HOLMES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Karnak Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ryland L. Holmes and Carrie Holmes  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

781-0048

{N0083640-1}

OCT 07 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190109/11

RYLAND L. HOLMES AND CARRIE HOLMES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ryland L. Holmes and Carrie Holmes  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-0276

{N0083640-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190114/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WALTER D. GUTHRIE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 9/29, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bonnie Steingolf, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR  
New York, NY 10036  
(888) 808-0428

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

Index No.: 190114-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND  
NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and  
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

09/21, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as Successor to Tappan  
Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000  
Fax: 914-385-6060  
Our File No.: 089-606 GSH

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So Ordered:  \_\_\_\_\_

J.S.C.

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

Index No. 11-190114

Plaintiffs,  
- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,  
Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and as Successor in Interest to Goodrich – Gulf Chemical, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Sept. 26, 2011

BY:   
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212)681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.

BY:   
Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED   
Hon. Sherry Heitler

OCT 07 2011 **FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**Index No.: 190114/11**

This Document Relates to:

**WALTER GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

v.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: September 29, 2011  
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Rheem Manufacturing Company  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190114/11

WALTER GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 29, 2011

New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN &  
PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190114/11

WALTER GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 29, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 190115/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

RICHARD G. SADLOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


---


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 8/11/, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Talene Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190118/11

Joseph Adams and Barbara Adams


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/7/11



Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
American Biltrite Inc.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 13139.00019

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

Index No.: 190140-11

This Document Relates to:

**GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE  
ZACHMANN,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**


**Defendants.**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *October 3, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Rheem Manufacturing Company  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE  
ZACHMANN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----


Index No.: 190140-11

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 3, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Bryan  
Belansky

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**GEORGE ZACHMANN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2011/190140

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/30, 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU  
LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN

Index No.: 190140/11

-against- Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, Defendant GREENE, TWEED & CO., ("Greene Tweed") incorrectly named herein as "Greene, Tweed & Co., Individually and as Successor to Palmetto Packings, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GREENE TWEED, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GREENE TWEED, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

9/30, 2011



~~Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.~~  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212)681-1575

*Bryan Balasky*



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190140/11

-----X  
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE  
ZACHMANN,

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

9/29/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Ave., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Theodore Eder, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE  
ZACHMANN,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190140-11**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely for Murray Turbine ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant TUTHILL CORPORATION solely for Murray Turbine with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 3, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Jordan Fox, Esq.~~  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

*Bryan Belasly*



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Tuthill Corporation solely for  
Murray Turbine**  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190142/11

Ronald Marshburn and Diane Marie Marshburn


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS (hereinafter "CARRIER CORPORATION")** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*9/21/11*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
File No. 10557.00981

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM,

Index No. 2011-190156

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodall Rubber Company ("Goodall") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Goodall with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goodall Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 23*, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ &  
BLAU LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

By: \_\_\_\_\_

*Jennifer W. Darger*  
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

Index No.: 190156/11

HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY  
APFELBAUM,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

9/29/11  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Ave., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ted Eder, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document Relates to:  
HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY  
APFELBAUM

Index No. 190156/2011

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant VWR International, LLC (individually and on behalf of a  
erroneously named and nonexistent entity, Will Scientific) ("VWR") hereby requests summary  
judgment in this action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs'  
Complaint against VWR International, LLC and Will Scientific with prejudice, and there being  
no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
VWR International, LLC and Will Scientific be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York  
September 7, 2011

  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Henry E. Apfelbaum and Judy Apfelbaum  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Vincent J. Montalto  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
VWR International, LLC  
1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190156/11


Henry E. Apfelbaum and Judy Apfelbaum


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/3/11

  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No.: 10557.0-91

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

**OCT 26 2011**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190156/11**

**HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM,**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al,**

**Defendants.**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 29, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 07 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190156-11

HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 29, 2011

New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190160/11

STEPHEN A. MARTIN AND BRIGID MARTIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2011

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Stephen A. Martin and Brigid Martin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-0293

{N0083640-1}

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. PATANE

*Plaintiffs*

New York Asbestos  
Litigation (NYCAL)

- against -

Index No. 190161-11

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, ET AL.,

*Defendants*


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.) ("Navistar") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, Navistar, along with all cross-claims against it, with prejudice, there being no opposition hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of name change only known as Navistar, Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: 9/22/11

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: 

Daniel Wasserberg, Esquire  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC

By: 

Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
International Truck and Engine  
Corporation, (now by operation of name  
change only known as Navistar, Inc.)  
50 S. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Two Liberty Place  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 26 2011

**FILED**

OCT 07 2011

*MAE*

*001177*

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190221-2011

-----X  
GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;


Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a REZNOR hereby request summary judgement in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a REZNOR, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a REZNOR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York  
*September 30*, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN RYAN, ESQ.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THOMAS A. MONTIGLIO, ESQ  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
REZNOR CORPORATION i/s/h/a REZNOR  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 535-5433  
Our File No.: TBZ 0001W TAM

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190221/11


Gerald Beers and Jane Beers

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3/11  
  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
CARRIER CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 10557.00881

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 07 2011 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190234/11


Gloria F. Cassano, Individually and as Executrix of the  
Estate of Richard M. Cassano, deceased


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION**, incorrectly  
s/h/a "GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor in Interest to  
Electric Boat Corp." (hereinafter "GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION") hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL DYNAMICS  
CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant **GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed  
with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/26/11  
  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Erik DiMarco, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
GENERAL DYNAMICS  
CORPORATION  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File Number: 07411.00077

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 26 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190234/11

Gloria F. Cassano, Individually and as Executrix of the  
Estate of Richard M. Cassano, Deceased.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

9/16/11

  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 053357000

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 26 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**OCT 07 2011**