

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

New York City  
Asbestos Litigation

(NYCAL)

FREDERICK CRONIN,

Index #: 190131/2014

PLAINTIFF,

-AGAINST-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, F/K/A MINNESOTA MINING  
& MANUFACTURING CO., ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.

WHEREFORE, defendant TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING, U.S.A., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING, U.S.A., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING, U.S.A., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 3, 2014



NICHOLAS E. NOVACK, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
FREDERICK CRONIN  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP.  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022




HOWARD F. STRONGIN, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TOYOTA MATERIAL HANDLING, U.S.A., INC.  
5 Hanover Square, Suite 401  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 931-8300

**FILED**

- OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

So ordered:



OCT 30 2014

Fls: Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190058/14

-----  
This Document Relates to:


LOUIS ZBAR  
----- X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: October 1, 2014

  
-----  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.

  
-----  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**LOUIS ZBAR**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**CATERPILLAR, INC.**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 1028.97484

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 112064/99

-----  
This Document Relates to:


DOMINICK CIPRIANI  
----- X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/14, 2014

  
-----  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

  
-----  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**DOMINICK CIPRIANI**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**CATERPILLAR, INC.**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 1028-92178

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

Index No: 190287/14

-----  
This Document Relates to:

JOHN B. CONKLIN AND NANCY ODELL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 8, 2014

  
-----  
Peter Tambini, Esq.

  
-----  
Frank D. Lombardi Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**JOHN B. CONKLIN AND  
NANCY ODELL**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**CATERPILLAR, INC.**,  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 1028.98115

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190131/14

This Document Relates to:


FREDERICK CRONIN  
----- X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas E. Novack, Esq.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**FREDERICK CRONIN**  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**CATERPILLAR, INC.**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 1028.97653

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190092/09

This Document Relates to:  
  
JAMES V. LYNCH and MARY LYNCH  
----- X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/18, 2014

  
-----  
Adam Drexler, Esq.  
John Richmond

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs'  
**JAMES V. LYNCH AND  
MARY LYNCH**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
-----  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**CATERPILLAR, INC.**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 1028.96482

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CLARICE BIENZ, Individually and as Administratrix  
For the Estate of WILLIAM P. BIENZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 126172/93

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

10/15/14  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ANNE COGHLAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD COGHLAN, and ANNE COGHLAN,  
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.B. BOYD, et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 118952/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 10/15/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
VINCENT BOYLE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 111219/01 & 116426/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 10/15/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550



Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**WINSTON SARGEANT and TAMELA  
SARGEANT**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190147/2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lightolier, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lightolier, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lightolier, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/16, 2014



David A. Chandler, Esq.  
**KARST & von OISTE**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420  
Houston, TX 77070  
(281) 970-9988



Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Lightolier, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190017/2014

Rudolph T. Surace and Marie Surace


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell;  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 2008/190054

**JOSEPH DECRESCENZO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/17, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Handwritten scribbles or marks, possibly initials or a signature, located in the lower right quadrant of the page.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 2010/190056

**JAMES W. ELMORE**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/17, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190017/2014

Rudolph T. Surace and Marie Surace

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Savell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 127574/2002

**WILLIAM J. McRAE**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/17, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**JOHN CLIFFORD**


Index No: 121913/97

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/17/2014  


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

LC

1000


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  Rudolph T. Surace and Marie Surace	Index No: 190017/2014  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/11, 2014

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

DAVID SO

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  <b>MARIO CARFAGNO</b>	Index No: 2010/190256 <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/17, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OCT 30 2014  
NEW YORK

LC

1000

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 2009/190368

**FREDERICK A. GREIS. SR.**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/17, 2014

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

10/29/14  
CLERK  
DIST 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ANNE COGHLAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD COGHLAN, and ANNE COGHLAN,  
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.B. BOYD, et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 118952/00


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 10/15/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 311-2600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 23 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ANNE COGHLAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWARD COGHLAN, and ANNE COGHLAN,  
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.B. BOYD, et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 118952/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ATLAS TURNER, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ATLAS TURNER, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ATLAS TURNER, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 10/15/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for Atlas Turner, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190039/2014

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH G. MILLER and CAROL MILLER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014



Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 22 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190039/2014

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH G. MILLER and CAROL MILLER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER, ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 22 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190037/2014

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM TANZINI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER, ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

INDEXED

OCT 29 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190037/2014

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM TANZINI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
10/21, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

12:30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190097/2014

This Document Relates to:

**RONALD NAPPE and MARY JEAN NAPPE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Hopeman Brothers Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190097/2014

This Document Relates to:


**RONALD NAPPE and MARY JEAN NAPPE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014  


Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



OCT 29 2014



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190157/13,

ANTHONY DEMAIO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lennox Industries Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Lennox Industries Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Lennox Industries Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/20, 2014



Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
Attorney for Lennox Industries Inc.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190161/2014

This Document Relates to:

**ALLEN E. WATTS and LOLA ESTELLE  
WATTS**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190161/2014

This Document Relates to:

**ALLEN E. WATTS and LOLA ESTELLE  
WATTS**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Hopeman Brothers Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190140/2014

This Document Relates to:

**SERGIO HRVATIN and MAGDA HRVATIN**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190040/2014

This Document Relates to:

**GENE MILLER and SYLVIA MILLER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Hopeman Brothers Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190040/2014

This Document Relates to:

**GENE MILLER and SYLVIA MILLER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ORIGINAL

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
**SANTO LANZA and RITA LANZA**

Index No. 14-190014

**against-**


**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**


**AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC.; ET AL**  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; be and the same hereby dismissed without prejudice and each party to bear their own costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 , 2014

  
**BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
Santo Lanza and Rita Lanza  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Fax (212) 986-2255

  
**Don Pugliese, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Honeywell International, Inc.  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY, LLP  
340 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10173  
Fax (212) 547-5444

SO ORDERED:   
**Hon. Sherry Heitler J.S.**

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
**KENNETH E. DURDEN**

Index No. 11-190227

**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**

against-

**ACME ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING  
CORP.; ET AL**  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; be and the same hereby dismissed without prejudice and each party to bear their own costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct 8, 2014

  
**BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
Kenneth E. Durden  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Fax (212) 986-2255

  
**Don Pugliese, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Honeywell International, Inc.  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY, LLP  
340 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10173  
Fax (212) 547-5444

SO ORDERED:   
**Hon. Sherry Heitler J.S.C.**

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 22 2014

FILED

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
**EUGENE SANTORE**

Index No. 13-190297

**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**

**against-**


**CRANE COMPANY; ET AL**  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; be and the same hereby dismissed without prejudice and each party to bear their own costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 8, 2014  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
Eugene Santore  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Fax (212) 986-2255

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Don Pugliese, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Honeywell International, Inc.  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY, LLP  
340 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10173  
Fax (212) 547-5444

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Hon. Sherry Heitler J.S.C.**

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 30 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190038/2014

This Document Relates to:

**RAYMOND J. TURNER and ROSE TURNER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/21, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

10/29/14

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190141/2014

This Document Relates to:

**LARRY WILLIAMS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/29, 2014

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ANNIBALE S. PERTICONE  
AND  
CARMELA PERTICONE

Index No.: 190427/10,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Annibale S. Perticone and Carmela Perticone  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-23492

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105643/08

DAVID KELLY, Executor of the Estate of DAVID  
L. KELLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Bradley Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Bradley Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Bradley Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14/2014

  
Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Bradley Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NICHOLAS NOVACK, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
David L. Kelly  
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Fl  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
374-0004  
OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARNOLD GORDON  
AND  
RHODA GORDON


Index No.: 190165/11,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 12, 2014



David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Arnold Gordon and Rhoda Gordon  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 30 2014

963-295

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X


IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. PART 30
-----X	(Heitler, J.)
ROBERT WALSH and JOAN WALSH,	:
Plaintiff(s),	: INDEX NO. 190501/2013
-against-	: (Levy Konigsberg LLP/October 2014 In
3M COMPANY, et al.,	: Extremis Trial Cluster)
Defendants.	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	:
	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation (incorrectly sued as Parker-Hannifin Stratoflex Products Division) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Parker-Hannifin Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 21<sup>st</sup>, 2014

  
 Amber R. Long  
~~Holly C. Peterson, Esq.~~  
 Levy Konigsberg LLP  
 800 Third Avenue  
 New York, NY 10022  
 212-605-6200  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 Robert Walsh and Joan Walsh

  
 Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
 Troutman Sanders, LLP  
 The Chrysler Building  
 405 Lexington Avenue  
 New York, NY 10174-0700  
 212-704-6000  
 Attorneys for Defendant  
 Parker-Hannifin Corporation

SO ORDERED,   
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
 OCT 29 2014  
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
 NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MORRIS GOLDMINTZ  
AND  
MURIEL GOLDMINTZ

Index No.: 190024/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Morris Goldmintz and Muriel Goldmintz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2383-27894

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MORRIS GOLDMINTZ  
AND  
MURIEL GOLDMINTZ

Index No.: 190024/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14/, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Morris Goldmintz and Muriel Goldmintz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Kerlynn M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1235-22750

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

MORRIS GOLDMINTZ  
AND  
MURIEL GOLDMINTZ

Index No.: 190024/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Morris Goldmintz and Muriel Goldmintz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

1122-23134

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES CAMPBELL  
and  
PATRICIA CAMPBELL

Index No.: 190310/<sup>13</sup>~~10~~

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Zurn Industries, LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Zurn Industries LLC**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Zurn Industries LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/2, 2014

  
John Richmond, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charles and Patricia Campbell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
~~Alysa A. Kelomo, Esq.~~ Kerryann H. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Zurn Industries, LLC  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

MARY AMENTAS,

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC. n/k/a RHONE POULENC  
AG COMPANY, n/k/a, BAYER CROPSCIENCE, INC.,  
et al.,

Defendants,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J)

Index No. 190047/2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

October 2014 *In Extremis*

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

-----X  
WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY sued herein as U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By Samuel Meiorowitz 10/14/14  
Samuel Meiorowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 509-3456  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED:

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By John S. Rand  
John S. Rand, Esq.

99 Court Street  
White Plains, New York 10601  
(914) 946-8900  
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL  
PAPER COMPANY

OCT 29 2014  
Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111226/01,

THOMAS GEORGE TRAVERS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14/, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Thomas George Travers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

2383-23448AS  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111226/01,

THOMAS GEORGE TRAVERS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014




Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Thomas George Travers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

1235-9532

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111226/01,

THOMAS GEORGE TRAVERS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014



Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
Thomas George Travers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014 1122-10046

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GEORGE R. STUMME  
AND  
DAWN L. STUMME

Index No.: 106012/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, New York Protective Coverings, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, New York Protective Coverings, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
New York Protective Coverings  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruth D. Marcus, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George R. Stumme and Dawn L. Stumme  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10038-3901  
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2177-0222

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

RONALD NAPPE and MARY JEAN NAPPE,

Index No.: 190197/2014

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota  
Mining and Manufacturing Company et al.,  
including DEZURIK,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant DeZurik (improperly sued herein as "DEZURIK, INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DeZurik with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DeZurik be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/22, 2014

**BELLUCK & FOX LLP**

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
**Ronald Nappe, et al.**  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**

Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**DeZurik**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 23 2014

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
ADOLPH LAINO and ELLEN LAINO,

Index No. 190422/2012

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,  
including SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

WHEREFORE, defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. (hereinafter "Sears") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Sears with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sears be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/22 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Adolph Laino, et al*  
(212) 421-2800

\_\_\_\_\_  
Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Sears, Roebuck and Co.*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
ADOLPH LAINO AND ELLEN LAINO,

Index No. 190422/2012

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including  
J.A. SEXAUER, INC.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, defendant, J.A. Sexauer, Inc. (hereinafter "J.A. Sexauer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant J.A. Sexauer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant J.A. Sexauer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_ 10/29/2014

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
**Adolph Laino, et al.**  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**J.A. Sexauer, Inc.**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

OCT 29 2014

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

**RAYMOND FINERTY AND MARY FINERTY**


Index No: 190187/10  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant TRW AUTOMATIVE HOLDINGS. ("TRW") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant TRW with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRW, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 29 2014  
New York, New York

  
Brendan Tully, Esquire  
LEVY KONINGSBERG  
800 Third Avenue - 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

  
John S. Howarth, Esquire  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TRW AUTOMATIVE HOLDINGS.

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190488/12  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**BENEDETTO BERARDI**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~10/22~~ 10/29/2014  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esquire  
The Williams Law Firm, P.C.  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10167  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Howarth, Esquire  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Air & Liquid Systems Corp., as successor  
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:


Index No: 190376/12  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


**WILLIAM BROGLIO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 10/25 2014  
New York, New York  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esquire  
The Williams Law Firm, P.C.  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10167  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Howarth, Esquire  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Air & Liquid Systems Corp., as successor  
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014  
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190438/12  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**ROBERT SMITH**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/15 2014

New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Williams, Esquire  
The Williams Law Firm, P.C.  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10167  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Howarth, Esquire  
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA  
140 Broadway, 46th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Air & Liquid Systems Corp., as successor  
by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 23 2014

P. 10/30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190219/11

ROBERT BURTON and LORETTA BURTON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 29, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Robert Burton and Loretta Burton*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

MARY R. CROW, as Executrix for the Estate of  
MILTON F. CROW, and MARY R. CROW,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 111883/07

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including,  
MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
*September 29*, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Barry, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Mary R. Crow, as Executrix for the Estate of*  
*Milton F. Crow, and Mary R. Crow,*  
*Individually*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:   
\_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 190028/10**

MARSHALL TYSON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
      10/02, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Marshal Tyson*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry-Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_X

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_X

ROBERT LOUIS CAMPAGNA and VIVIAN C.  
CAMPAGNA,

**Index No. 190043/2014**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to  
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, *et al.*,  
including MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/21 2014

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 29 2014



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_x

IN RE           NEW YORK CITY  
                  ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_x

JAMES J. BASLI AND LAURIE A. BASLI,

**Index No. 190080/2014**

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, ET AL., including, THERMO-TEC  
HIGH PERFORMANCE AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

Defendants,

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Thermo-Tec High Performance Automotive, Inc. (Sued herein as "THERMO-TEC AUTOMOTIVE PRODUCTS") (hereinafter "Thermo-Tec"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Thermo-Tec, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Thermo-Tec, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 15, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amber Long, Esq.  
**LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*James J. Basli and Laurie A. Basli*  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Thermo-Tec High Performance Automotive, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

PETER COLETTI, as Administrator for the Estate of  
WILLIAM H. COLETTI, and JENNIE COLETTI,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**Index No. 190306/13**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including,  
MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants,

---

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/16, 2014



Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Peter Coletti, as Administrator for the Estate of*  
*William H. Coletti, and Jennie Coletti,*  
*Individually*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 28 2014



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE           NEW YORK CITY  
                  ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 127034/02**


ROBERT J. MORROW

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
      10 - 9, 2014



\_\_\_\_\_  
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Robert J. Morrow*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 101139/07, 107737/99**

DAVID R. HIGGINS and VERONICA HIGGINS


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_x


WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10-9, 2014




Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*David R. Higgins and Veronica Higgins*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:   
OCT 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 115276/00, 108383/00

JOHN Q. ZERO and MARY ZERO


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 10, 2014

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*John Q. Zero and Mary Zero*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 28 2014

FILED 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 190415/11**

JOSEPH MUNNELLY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 29, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Joseph Munnelly*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 114779/04, 112741/02  
106578/02**


DAVID JOSEPH BARNES and TERRY L. BARNES

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York

10/10, 2014  


\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 30 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 110338/98**


CALVIN W. ANDREASSI and IDA A. ANDREASSI

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/10, 2014




  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:   
  
  


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**Index No. 100316/07**


JAMES O'BRIEN and KATHLEEN O'BRIEN

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 2, 2014

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*James O'Brien and Kathleen O'Brien*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                         ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 101344/07**


\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY MONTANTE, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARY L. MONTANTE, and LOUIS MONTANTE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse.

Dated:    New York, New York  
          ~~October~~ 2, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
*Mary L. Montante and Louis Montante*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 28 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 125210/02**


Estate of JOHN FOLEY


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 27 2014

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

PAR 100

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                      ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190228/10**


VINCENT A. DITULLIO and EILEEN DITULLIO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
                      1010, 2014




\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 28 2014

PAR 30

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190040/09**


ANTHONY DELPERCIO and REGINA DELPERCIO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
                    10/10, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

190040/09

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 110338/98**


JAMES A. D'ALATRI and CHRISTINE D'ALATRI


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
"Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
                    10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 28 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190113/10**


GERALD CAMPBELL and RACHEL CAMPBELL


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 28 2014

PARSONS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                      ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190093/09**


Estate of IRVING BERGSOHN


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
              10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113153/02, 107221/02


EDWARD S. BARRIO and FRANCIS A. BARRIO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/10, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

OCT 28 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190174/10**

ROBERT F. GRAMLICH and CAMILLE GRAMLICH


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 28 2014  
11:30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190082/09**


IRWIN MORRIS and SANDRA MORRIS


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
OCT 27 2014

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
RECEIVED  
OCT 27 2014  
PART 30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 102380/03, 127888/02**


Estate of GLENN LIMBURG


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
             10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                      ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 108282/99**


Estate of FREDRICK P. KELLY JR.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 113488/03**


ROBERT GRAVES and MARGARET GRAVES


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
           10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 28 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 104204/99**


Estate of JOHN RICHARD SAWYER

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York

10/10, 2014  


\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 22 2014

**PART 30**

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113781/02


JAMES R. REYNOLDS and JEAN REYNOLDS

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/10, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:



**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 22 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 190181/09**


DOMENIC NASSO and ROSE MARIE NASSO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
                     10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 107184/00**


Estate of WILLIAM J. MUTRYN


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
             10/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
OCT 29 2014  
PART 30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Tynan, William S. & Gerlinde S.,

Index No.: 102033/01

Plaintiff,

- against -


A. C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: <sup>9/24/14</sup> New York, New York  
  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2014

*Frank A. Weitz*, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
William S. Tynan  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Cur. File No.: 6754-5525

SO ORDERED:

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 30 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK **PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Vultaggio, Carl & Catherine Vultaggio,

Index No.: 117231/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated <sup>9/24</sup> New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Carl & Catherine Vultaggio  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-10212

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Wallack, Doreen, As Personal  
Representative for the Estate of  
Peter D. Wallack & Doreen Wallack,  
Individually,

Index No.: 190064/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


AMERICAN STANDARD INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~  
*12/24*, 2014




*Frank* Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Peter D. Wallack  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Our File No. 6754-10601

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 30 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
RICHARD WARREN,

Index No.:190281/2014

Plaintiffs,

**SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC. N/k/a RHONE  
POULENC AG COMPANY, n/k/a BAYER  
CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
                     2014

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
RICHARD WARREN  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Kristen L. Morrissy  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-4135  
OCT 29 2014

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
GEORGE DEVINE and JUNE DEVINE,

Index No.: 190410/10

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH CORP., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC. ("MARIO & DIBONO"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO DIBONO be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 17, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_


  
\_\_\_\_\_

Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer,  
P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
George and June Devine  
110 William St., 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 267-3091

Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.,  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 332-2000  
Our File No.: 10924/6410

**FILED**

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 22 2014

Exposure of  
Mammals

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

MILTON FORDHAM,

Index No.: 190414/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC.  
("MARIO & DIBONO"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-  
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
§3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO &  
DIBONO, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO, be dismissed  
with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/10, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruth D. Marcus  
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer,  
P.A.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Milton Fordham  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY 10038-3927  
(212) 267-3091

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ryan J. Byrnes, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.,  
Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
10924-06581  
OCT 29 2014  
11:50

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ANDREW CATON,

Index No.: 114078/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/8th, 2014

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Andrew Caton  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Out File No.: 6754-13202

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
CONRAD J. FASSETT,

Index No.: 114784/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/8th, 2014




Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Conrad Fassett  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-7392

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
**Index No:** 107099/02  
117570/02

This Document Relates to:  
  
FLOYD C. BOWDISH and DOROTHY BOWDISH  
----- X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/18, 2014

  
-----  
John E. Richmond, Esq.

  
-----  
James M. Shelly, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
**FLOYD C. BOWDISH AND  
DOROTHY BOWDISH**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**,  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.95701

SO ORDERED,   
-----  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
10007

**INSYNC**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190128/14

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

PATRICK DEMARTINO and JOY DEMARTINO,  
Individually and as Husband and Wife

WHEREFORE, defendant KOMORI AMERICA CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against this defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant KOMORI AMERICA CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Date: New York, New York  
September 18, 2014.

  
By: Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.  
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2140  
New York, New York 10170  
*Attorneys for the Plaintiffs*

  
By: Andrew Sapon, Esq.  
LITCHFIELD CAVO LLP  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2104  
New York, New York 10170  
*Attorney for the Defendant*  
KOMORI AMERICA CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 23 2014 NEW YORK  
PART 30

**INSYNC**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Jean M. Donnay,

Index No.: 190118/13

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Spence Engineering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Spence Engineering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Spence Engineering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jean M. Donnay  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Austin D. O'Malley, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Spence Engineering Co. Inc.  
44 Wall Street  
New York, New York 10005  
212-732-2000  
Our File No.: 11040-195

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Dolinoy, Elizabeth, As Personal  
Representative for the Estate of  
Howard L. Berry

Index No.: 190278/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

ANCHOR PACKING, CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated <sup>9/24</sup> New York, New York  
2014

  
-----  
frank o-hara, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Howard L. Berry  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
-----

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

Out. File No.: 6754-10759

SO ORDERED:

  
-----

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 28 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Handwritten scribbles and faint markings on the left side of the page.

Handwritten scribbles and faint markings on the right side of the page.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Cornish, Emma, As Personal Representative for the Estate of  
George J. Cornish Jr. And Emma Cornish, Individually

Index No.: 190278/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products, CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/27 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O-Weitz Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
George J. Cornish, Jr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Reghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-10740

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Knudsen, Walter & Adeleine Knudsen,

Index No.: 119701/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A. C. & S. INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~  
*9/24*, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hara, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Walter Knudsen  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ragu Bandlamudi, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-6200

**FILED**

OCT 20 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 22 2014

119701/01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Kowalski, Stephanie, As Executrix for  
the Estate of Thomas J. Kowalski &  
Stephanie Kowalski, Individually,

Index No.: 190337/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/11/14 New York, New York  
2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Weitz Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Thomas J. Kowalski  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-10812

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 29 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDITH KUTKO, as Executrix  
for the Estate of ANDREW KUTKO JR,  
and EDITH KUTKO,

Index No.: 190020/10

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/24 2014

  
frank o-hara Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Andrew kutko, Jr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-10883

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler



OCT 29 2014

CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
BRUNA MAZZELLA, Individually and  
NANCY A. MAZZELLA as Administratrix  
for the Estate of ANTHONY R. MAZZELLA

Index No.: 190040/08

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/24/14 New York, New York  
2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Weitz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Anthony R. Mazzella  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.

44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-10459

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 29 2014

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
MECKEL, SYLVIA, As Proposed Executrix  
for the Estate of MELVYN MECKEL &  
SYLVIA MECKEL, Individually,

Index No.: 190411/09

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: <sup>9/24/14</sup> New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank O'Hara, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Melvyn Meckel  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000  
Our File No.: 6754-10852

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 29 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Plank, Randy, As Personal Representative Index No.: 116167/07  
for the Estate of Gene Francis Plank Sr.  
& Randy Plank, As Personal Representative for  
the Estate of Lorraine A. Plank,

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil  
Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice,  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2014

  
Frank O. Luxenberg, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Gene F. Plank, Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Goulds Pumps, Inc.  
44 Wall Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 732-2000

File No.: 6754-10199

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry E. Heitler  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 29 2014

PART 30



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
128019/02 and 05918/03

RICHARD ZINGARO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*October 6, 2014*

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John Richmond*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Timothy M. McCann*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 28 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 105708/06

JOSEPH RODIE,

against

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** 10-9-14 New York, NY



JASON S. RIEMER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Johnston Boiler  
48 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005



NICHOLAS HOVICK, ESQ.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
Joseph Rodie  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

**SO ORDERED:**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(NEW YORK)



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



CJ-APRIL-22

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 110058/06

THOMAS SIGNORINO and MAE SIGNORINO,  
against

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

AMERICAN INSULATED WIRE CORP., et al.,

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

10-9-14  
**DATED:** New York, NY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JASON S. BIEMER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Johnston Boiler  
48 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicholas Noutch, ESQ.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
Thomas Signorino and Mae Signorino  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



CJ-APRIL-19

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

MARY RAISH, as Executrix for the Estate of THOMAS M.  
RAISH and MARY RAISH, Individually,

Index No.: 126736/02

-against- Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. and S., INC. et. al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 29, 2014*

  
Michael Panelli, Esq. ~~DAVID J. CARP, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Andrew W. Dean, Esq.  
SEGAL MECAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

LEGAL NOTICE UNDER & MATHOMAY

OCT 13 2006

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190239/2010

MARGARETE P. MAROLDO and Executrix of the  
Estate of ANTHONY MAROLDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION, individually, as successor to and doing business as CURTISS-WRIGHT FLOW CONTROL CORPORATION (hereinafter "CURTISS-WRIGHT") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant CURTISS-WRIGHT with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, CURTISS-WRIGHT be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: October 8, 2014  
New York, New York

**WILENTZ GOLDMAN &  
SPITZER, P.A.**

**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**

*Ruth D. Marcus*  
*Ruth D. Marcus*  
~~Kush Shukla, Esq.~~  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
110 William Street – 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038

*W. Simone Nicholson*  
W/ Simone Nicholson, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Curtiss-Wright Flow Control Corporation  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

10/29/2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x  
DONALD LOBO,

Index No.: 190188/14

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Defendants.  
-----x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

*OCT. 3, 2014*  
  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Nicole G. Markowitz, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x  
JOSEPH GALASSO and RUTH GALASSO,

Index No.: 190156/14

-against-  
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA  
(ALCOA), et al.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
Defendants.

-----x  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

September 23, 2014



Carmen St. George, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Nicole G. Markowitz, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
OCT - 1 2014  
Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd  
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CAROLYN O. BELLE and WILLIAM BELLE,

Index No.: 190282/13

-against-

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,


I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, Defendant CISSELL MANUFACTURING CO. (hereinafter "CISSELL"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CISSELL, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, CISSELL, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

12/6/14  
  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jordan Beltz, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York-10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
OCT -9 2014  
SEGAL MCCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

DONALD NEFSEY AND PHYLLIS NEFSEY,

Index No.: 190051/2014

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC., Individually and Incorrectly  
sued as Successor to Butterworth Jetting Systems, Inc., (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"),  
hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law  
and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER  
DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, NY  
10/8/14, 2014

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

*MR*

~~Brian Early, Esq.~~ *Mark Bibro*  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10017

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Arlene Gharabeigie*  
Arlene Gharabeigie, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York-10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

*Order from [unclear]*

RECEIVED  
OCT - 8 2014  
SEGAL, MCCABRIDGE, SINGER & MAHONEY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: **NEW YORK CITY**  
**ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL**

MACHELLE MONIQUE MORALES as x  
Administratrix of the Estate of MILTON L.  
FORDHAM,  
Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 190414/11

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)**

ASBEKA INDUSTRIES OF NEW YORK, et  
al,  
Defendants. x

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, CLARK-RELIANCE CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant CLARK-RELIANCE CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLARK-RELIANCE CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/15/14  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.

DATED: 10/15/14  
O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER  
VAN LIEU LLC



Ruth Marcus, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038-3927

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Clark-Reliance Corporation, Individually  
60 Pompton Avenue  
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

  
HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
OCT 1 2014  
BY

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
**CLIVE TILLEY NELSON and JANICE NELSON**

Index No. 13-190245

**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**


against-

**ALCOA, INC.; ET AL**  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; be and the same hereby dismissed without prejudice and each party to bear their own costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 , 2014

  
**BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
Clive Tilley Nelson and Janice Nelson  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Fax (212) 986-2255

  
**Don Pugliese, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Honeywell International, Inc.  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY, LLP  
340 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10173  
Fax (212) 547-5444

SO ORDERED:   
**Hon. Sherry Heitler J.S.C.**

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190272/14


Raymond J. Krok Sr. and Susan Krok

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/13/14  
New York, NY



Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CONWED CORPORATION**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No.: 07415.00001

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No:190346/13


William Daniels Borges

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **FIVES MACHINING SYSTEMS, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FIVES MACHINING SYSTEMS, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FIVES MACHINING SYSTEMS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/13/14  
New York, NY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Erik DiMarco, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**FIVES MACHINING SYSTEMS,  
INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 13187.00003

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No:190051/14


Donald Nefsey


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/13/14  
New York, NY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
Early, Lucarelli, Sweeney & Strauss  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Ave 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**CARRIER CORPORATION**,  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 10557.01540

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No:190088/14

Salvatore Scalfani


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/25/14  
New York, NY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10017  
File No. 13139.00449

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED  
OCT 29 2014  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MARY E. HANNA, Individually and as Executrix	: Index No. 190092/12
for the Estate of WILLIAM E. HANNA,	:
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	:
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10-9-2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Dana Northcraft, Esq.

By:   
Daniel Gagliardi, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
AMERICO A. VARI and ADELAIDE F. VARI,	: Index No. 110331/03
	: :
Plaintiff(s),	: :
	: :
-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	: :
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/2/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: [Signature]  
John E. Richmond, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.  
190263/14


JEREMIAH SULLIVAN


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*September 24, 2014*  
  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD QUINN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.  
104442/97

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*October 6, 2014*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Michael Powell*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
DAVID M. SANTORO, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  RICAHRD QUINN	Index No: 190018/2014  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2014

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:  RICHARD QUINN	Index No: 190018/2014  <b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 190018/2014
RICHARD QUINN	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/15, 2014



Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:  
**DONALD NEFSEY and PHYLLIS NEFSEY**

Index No. 14-190051

**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**

against-


**A.O. SMITH CORPORATION; ET AL**  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Honeywell International, Inc.; be and the same hereby dismissed without prejudice and each party to bear their own costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
Donald Nefsey and Phyllis Nefsey  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue  
20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
Fax (212) 986-2255

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Don Pugliese, ESQ.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Honeywell International, Inc.  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY, LLP  
340 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10173  
Fax (212) 547-5444

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Hon. Sherry Heitler J.S.C.**

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

X:CLB/58623./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RONALD W. RHODES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102847/01 101534/05  
113035/96 113281/97 111041/98 &  
106817/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Matthew Macintyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

10/2/14



Shawnette Platt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANKLIN POTTER and BONNIE POTTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 121088/97

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

10/2/14



Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

X:FBW/49780./Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RONALD W. RHODES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 102847/01 101534/05  
113035/96 113281/97 111041/98 &  
106817/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*Matthew MacIntyre*

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

10/2/14

*Charles Neal*

Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOHN BUTURLA JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190349/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 14<sup>th</sup>, 2014




Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190081/14


**VINCENT A. DEGIOIA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Eaton without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation, as  
successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190410/10



**JUNE DEVINE, Individually and as  
Administratrix of the Estate of GEORGE D.  
DEVINE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

 Kevin M. Berry, Esq. Wilentz Goldman & Spitzer 110 William Street 26 <sup>th</sup> floor New York, NY10038	 John C. McGuire, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190377/12

**KARL A. ROBERTSON and JOY  
ROBERTSON**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/5, 2014

*Suzanne Ratchiff*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *Suzanne Ratchiff*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr.*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr., Esq.  
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY  
& CARPENTER, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation,  
as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer,  
Inc.  
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor  
88 Pine Street  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 483-9490

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190220/2013

**RALPH HORVATH and LORETTA  
HORVATH**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Eaton Corp. (individually and as successor to Eaton Electrical Inc., f/k/a Cutler-Hammer Inc.), ("Eaton")), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Sept 24, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jason M. Hodrinsky, Esq.  
The Lanier Law Firm PLLC  
126 E. 56<sup>th</sup> Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert M. Gilmartin, Jr., Esq.  
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-  
interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-  
Hammer, Inc.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

FRANKLIN POTTER and BONNIE POTTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),

-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121088/97

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

10/2/14

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RICHARD J. OTTALAGANO, SR. and SHARON  
OTTALAGANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190018/11

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Dana Northcraft, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

10/13/14




Shawhette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



X:CLB/58913/Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DEAN PULSINELLI and BRENDA PULSINELLI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

3M COMPANY, et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 190412/13

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Amber R. Long, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
10/13/14


  
Shawnette Platt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



10/27/2014 10:27:28 AM

10/27/2014 10:27:28 AM

10/27/2014 10:27:28 AM

X:CLB/59732.Acpel/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WINSTON SARGEANT and TAMELA SARGEANT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

ABB LUMMUS INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 190147/14

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants *CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




~~Douglas Von Oiste, Esq.~~ David A. Chandler  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP  
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420  
Houston, Texas 77070 10/13/14



Shawnette Blitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014



X:FBW/38497/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LORRAINE CLAUSEN, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of ROBERT CLAUSEN,  
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

- against -

Index No.: 113869/99


A.C. & S. INC., et al.;

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

10/13/14



Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

X:FBW/59732./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WINSTON SARGEANT and TAMELA SARGEANT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

ABB LUMMUS INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 190147/14

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Douglas Von Oiste, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP  
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420  
Houston, Texas 77070

10/13/14



Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES E. MCCABE and SHIRLEY A. MCCABE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 113073/02 & 106579/02

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

10/21/14

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOSEPH OLEXA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 106209/01, 119373/00 &  
100781/03

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Dana M. McTiernan, Esq.*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
*10/10/14*

  
Thomas Marafioti, Esq.  
Attorneys for DOMCO Products Texas, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CAROL LATORRE, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
BASIL J. LATORRE, and CAROL LATORRE,  
Individually,

Index No. 190004/14

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/2/14  


Rebekah Lee, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.1190

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**Estate of Basil J. Latorre**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

FILED

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

OCT 14 2014

OCT 06 2014

INSYNC

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

CHARLES ANTHONY MATUK and ELIZABETH B.  
MATUK,

Index No. 190315/2014

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/14/2014

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**Charles Anthony Matuk and Elizabeth Matuk**  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.1088

SO ORDERED   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

10/14/2014  
190315/2014

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

OCT 14 2014

OCT 08 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHRISTINA SAMMARTINO, as Executrix for the  
Estate of PATRICK SAMMARTINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,  
n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,  
n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190002/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/21/14

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.1191



John Richmond, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**Estate of Patrick Sammartino**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 27 2014

STATE OF NEW YORK  
SUPREME COURT : COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

RAYMOND J. KROK and SUSAN KROK,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

AIR & LIQUID CORPORATION; et al.,

Defendants.

---

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Index No.: 14-190272


WHEREFORE, defendant BOSTIK, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BOSTIK, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BOSTIK, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Buffalo, New York  
October 6, 2014

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Christopher Bridge, Esq.  
GIBSON, McASKILL & CROSBY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Bostik, Inc.  
69 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900  
Buffalo, New York 14202  
(716) 856-4200

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

CHARLES ANTHONY MATUK and  
ELIZABETH B. MATUK,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190315-2014

vs.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

---

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Genuine Parts Company, incorrectly sued herein as Genuine Parts Company individually and as successor to NAPA, Rayloc and Colyear Motor Sales, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Genuine Parts Company, incorrectly sued herein as Genuine Parts Company individually and as successor to NAPA, Rayloc and Colyear Motor Sales, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Genuine Parts Company, incorrectly sued herein as Genuine Parts Company individually and as successor to NAPA, Rayloc and Colyear Motor Sales, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 4, 2014


Dated: Buffalo, New York  
October 8, 2014

**FILED**

**THE EARLY LAW FIRM**

OCT 29 2014

**DAMON MOREY LLP**

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Jeffrey J. Leibeck, Esq.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Genuine Parts Company*  
200 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200  
Buffalo, New York 14202

**SO ORDERED:**

  
**Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190241/09, 107794/01  
119375/00

EDWARD W. ZABO JR. and BEVERLY JEAN ZABO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10, 2014

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICIA MARRA, as Executrix for the  
Estate of JAMES MARRA, and PATRICIA  
MARRA, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
: Index No: 108208-03

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/23/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
OCT 23 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARY LOU GILMET, as the Executrix for the  
Estate of FRANCIS T. GILMET,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No: 106738-00

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 9/23/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

  
OCT 22 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LORRAINE CLAUSEN, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of  
ROBERT CLAUSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
: Index No: 113869-99

:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/23/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K & G GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**INDEXED**  
OCT 29 2014  
**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JEAN ANTHONY, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM C.  
ANTHONY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No: 114564-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 9/23/14  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
& L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

  
OCT 29 2014  


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 118317/02**


ROBERT F. TERRY and JANET TERRY


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as  
“Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section  
3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and  
without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
                      10/15  , 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 112973/00**


JAMES MURTAGH


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            10/15, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 111692/00**


Estate of ANTHONY GIORDANO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
                    10/15, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD COLTON and JOANNA COLTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BURNHAM, LLC, Individually and as successor  
to BURNHAM CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No(s): 190012/11


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO., hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/6/14  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Oxamendi, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X


This Document Relates To:

LORETTA HORVATH, individually and as personal : NYCAL  
representative of the Estate of Ralph Horvath, : I.A.S. Part 30  
 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff, :  
 : Index No.: 190220-13  
-against- :  
 : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
ACF INDUSTRIES LLC, et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
 : **ORDER**  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY) ("SQUARE D") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Sept 24, 2014  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jason M. Hodrinsky, Esq.  
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
126 East 56<sup>th</sup> Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 421-2878

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tara L. Rehush, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Schneider Electric USA, Inc.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BARRY SCHUTZBANK and ROBERTA  
SCHUTZBANK, Individually and as Husband  
and Wife,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190269-14

-against-

ABB, INC., Individually, and as successor to  
ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC., et al.


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/23/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.  
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
420 Lexington Avenue  
Suite 2140  
New York, NY 10170  
(212) 804-7400

New York, New York  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014  
[Stamp]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ROBERT GUILLILY and SALLY GUILLILY,  
his wife

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
et al.,

Defendants. :

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 190306-11

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/25/14  
New York, New York



David Chandler, Esq.  
KARST & VON OISTE, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
576 5th Ave, Suite 401  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 764-3900



Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CARL A. KAISER JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No(s): 190394-12  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO., with respect to named defendants CRANE CO. and PACIFIC VALVES, (an unincorporated product line of MCC HOLDINGS, INC. identified incorrectly as a subsidiary of CRANE CO.), (hereinafter "CRANE CO."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/15/14  
New York, New York

  
Suzanne Ratajick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: :  
JOSEPH HEPPA and CAROL HEPPA, : NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
Plaintiff(s), : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
: :  
-against- : Index No(s): 190026-14  
: :  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al, : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
: :


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Sept 18, 2014  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.~~ ANGELA Di GIOIA  
R&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.,  
(f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY)  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

IRWIN SCHIFF, :

Plaintiff(s), :

: NYCAL

: I.A.S. Part 30

: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

-against- :

: Index No(s): 190312/2012

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., et  
al., :

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**


: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants. :

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/19/14  
New York, New York

  
Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
350 5th Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118  
(212) 267-3700

  
David Oxamendi, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.  
(f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY)  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

VINCENT E. PATURZO and JOANNE  
PATURZO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:  
: Index No: 101206-99

:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/23/14  
New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
OCT 29 2014  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROY W. MCELMOYL SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et  
al.,

Defendants.

:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 190098-12

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO., with respect to named defendants Crane Co.


and Weinman Pump & Supply Co. (hereinafter "Crane Co."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/23/14

New York, New York

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG,  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 28 2014

[Stamp]

536.25860/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
IAS PART 30  
(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 190263/2014

JEREMIAH P. SULLIVAN,  
  
Plaintiff,

(April 2015 In Extremis Trial  
Group)

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO.,  
  
Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Crossclaims against Defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/01/14  
East Hanover, New Jersey


  
BENJAMIN DARCHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

IAS PART 30

RECEIVED

OCT 01 2014

Initial: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

BARRY SCHUTZBANK and ROBERTA  
SCHUTZBANK, Individually and as Husband  
and Wife,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190269-14

-against-

ABB, INC., Individually, and as successor to  
ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC., et al.

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x


**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10-2-2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.  
CELLINO & BARNES, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
420 Lexington Avenue  
Suite 2140  
New York, NY 10170  
(212) 804-7400

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

New York, New York  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARGARETE P. MAROLDO, Individually and as  
Executrix of the Estate of ANTHONY J. MAROLDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 39  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 190239-10


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC., improperly sued as “CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC., individually, as successor to and doing business as Decatur Pump Company, Burks Pumps, Inc., Burks Pumps, Prosser Pump, Prosser/Enpo Industries, Inc., Barnes Pumps, Inc., and Pacific Valves and Jenkins Valves,” (hereinafter “CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 9, 2014  
New York, New York

  
Kush Shukla, Esq.  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 267-3091

  
Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants  
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3990

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Rutt  
D  
Mar =

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
5708 SOUTH CAMPUS DRIVE  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

1974  
1975  
1976

1977  
1978  
1979

1980  
1981  
1982

1983  
1984  
1985

1986  
1987  
1988

1989  
1990  
1991

1992  
1993  
1994

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

MARGARETE P. MAROLDO, Individually and as  
Executrix of the Estate of ANTHONY J. MAROLDO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 39  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:  
: Index No.: 125099-94

:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**  
:

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC., improperly sued as “CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC., individually, as successor to and doing business as Decatur Pump Company, Burks Pumps, Inc., Burks Pumps, Prosser Pump, Prosser/Enpo Industries, Inc., Barnes Pumps, Inc., and Pacific Valves and Jenkins Valves,” (hereinafter “CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 9, 2014  
New York, New York

*Ruth D. Masco*

*Ruth D. Masco*  
Kush Shukla, Esq.  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 267-3091

*Angela DiGiglio*

Angela DiGiglio, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants  
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3990

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 23 2014

[Stamp]

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

VINCENT A. DEGIOIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS INC.,  
n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,  
n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


:  
:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No: 190081-14  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**  
:

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SQUARE D without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/3/14

New York, New York

  
Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.  
f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

SALVATORE T. CALANDRINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

Index No. 190439/10


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER AS TO  
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**


INSYNC

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York 10/20/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jesse Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1353  
1863.27393

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
SALVATORE T. CALANDRINO  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 27 2014

PART 30

10/20/14

DESIGNER UNIVERSITY REPORT

OCT 21 2014

OCT 20 2014

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**INSYNC**

**INSYNC**

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
WALTER J. SKALSKI,	
	Plaintiffs,
-against-	
BEAZER EAST, INC., f/k/a KOPPERS COMPANY INC., et al.,	
	Defendants.

Index No.: 190201/11


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT  
NIAGARA INSULATIONS, INC.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NIAGARA INSULATIONS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, NIAGARA INSULATIONS, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NIAGARA INSULATIONS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jesse Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

**NIAGARA INSULATIONS, INC.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 32936-1005

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Dana Northcraft, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**WALTER J. SKALSKI**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
2014 OCT 30

INSTRUC. DE PRODUCCIÓ DE PRODUCTES

UCT 20CT014 2014

INSYNC

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

VINCENT MARSI and JOSEPHINE MARSI,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190365/2014

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,  
  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10-14-14

  
Stephanie L. Coleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.01

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**Vincent Marsi and Josephine Marsi**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INSYNC

Index No. 190523/12

This Document relates to:

JEANETTE A. BRULE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

10/13/2014

Dated: New York, New York



Erin S. Zimmerman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Jeanette A. Brule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
232.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

DENNIS F. MADDALONE and PATRICIA  
MADDALONE,

Index No. 190234/2014

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA),  
et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

Dated: New York, New York  
10/19/2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jesse D. Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.1293

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Ratchiffe, Esq. *Carmen D. Joyce, Esq.*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**Dennis Maddalone and Patricia Maddalone**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

536.24175/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
IAS PART 30  
(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:

CAROL BRADY-ROSE and VINCENT  
ROSE,

Index No.: 190420/10  
(September 2014 FIFO Trial Group)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,  
et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/02/14  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
MICHAEL COHAN, ESQ.  
NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK  
& ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
The Empire State Building  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190371/10

BARBARA L. BIRDSALL, ESQ., as Administrator for  
the Estate of CHUNG SOON O'DWYER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


84 LUMBER COMPANY., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Nasco Holdings, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Nasco Holdings, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Nasco Holdings, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


10-10-14  


Stephen M. Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Nasco Holdings, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 50013.1600



~~Dereck D. Wilson, Esq.~~ Brian Early  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
BARBARA L. BIRDSALL, ESQ., as  
Administrator for the Estate of CHUNG SOON  
O'DWYER  
THE EARLY LAW FIRM, LLC  
306 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
212.986.2233

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kleitman Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

INSYNC

**INSYNC**

▲ SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190413/11

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O.SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/8/14  
  
Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.28098

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5800

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 113609/02  
107222/02

JANE DiSALVO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWIN C. WILSON, and ANNE WILSON,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S.,INC., (AMSTRONG CONTRACTING &  
SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

INSYNC


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 9, 2014  


Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.23429



Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
JANE DiSALVO, as Executrix for the Estate of  
EDWIN C. WILSON, and ANNE WILSON,  
Individually  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5800

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,  
NEW YORK

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBRARY

OCT 21 2014

OCT 16 2014

INSYNC

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT and IRENE JOYCE GERHARDT,	
	Plaintiffs,
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
	Defendants.

Index No.: 108708/01, 117214/06,  
118879/98


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT  
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/15, 2014




Stephen M. Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863-12460-757



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT and IRENE  
JOYCE GERHARDT**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 29 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**INSYNC**

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190347/10

JUDI NELSON, as the Proposed Executrix for the Estate  
of ROSARIA D. MUSCHTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A.O.SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~October 9, 2014~~  


Heather Janis Gay, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.27247



Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
JUDI NELSON, as the Proposed Executrix for the  
Estate of ROSARIA D. MUSCHTER  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5800

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

(11/11/14)

**INSYNC**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

INSYNC

INSYNC

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190145/10

FRANCES ROZANSKY, and JOYCE WHALEN, and  
JOAN BAKER, as co-Executrixs for the Estate of  
FRANK PACE,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York 10-10-14

Jesse Rodgers, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1353  
1863.26940

Frank Ortiz, Esq. DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
FRANCES ROZANSKY, and JOYCE  
WHALEN, and JOAN BAKER, as co-  
Executrixs for the Estate of FRANK PACE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190049/14

JOHN W. THOMAS AND ROBERTA BITTNER

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** *10-20-14* New York, New York

JASON RIEMER, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10005

*[Signature]*  
BENJAMIN DARCHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBURG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
John W. Thomas and Roberta Bittner,  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

*[Signature]*  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014  
[Stamp]

HOAGLAND, LONGO,  
MORAN, DUNST  
& DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street  
Suite 1100  
New York, NY



CJ-ROOT-28

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

WINSTON SARGEANT and TAMELA  
SARGEANT, his wife,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABB, INC., as successor in interest to  
ITE Circuit, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190147/2014


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT GRAYBAR  
ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.**

INSYNC

WHEREFORE, defendant, GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Graybar Electric Company, Inc..  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
File No. 33249-8272

  
David Chandler, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Winston Sargeant and Tamela Sargeant, his wife  
KARST & VON OISTE, LLP  
576 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401  
New York, NY 10013

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

4335-3024-1310.1

OCT 29 2014

OCT 22 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

**INSYNC**

**INSYNC**

MICHAEL CULLEN, as Executor for the Estate of  
ARTHUR CULLEN, and ALMA CULLEN,  
Individually,

Index No. 190075/12

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10-3-14

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Steven T. Corbin*

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.682

*Patti Burshtyn*  
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**Estate of Arthur Cullen**  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECORDED  
OCT 29 2014  
FILED

RECEIVED BY  
LEWIS BRISBOIS  
BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

OCT - 6 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

INSYNC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 101812/08

EUGENE E. SCHNETTLER, as Executor for the Estate  
of ROY F. SCHNETTLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.


INSYNC

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 9, 2014  
  
Heather Janis Gaw, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.9201

  
Peter Tambini, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
EUGENE E. SCHNETTLER, as Executor for the  
Estate of ROY F. SCHNETTLER  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5800

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler  
**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102745

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


THOMAS A. MILLAR


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

10/15, 2014  
By:   
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-105974

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
CRESCENZO GALANO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

10/11, 2014

By:   
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
By: Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 29 2014

[Stamp]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 03-103881


-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
RICHARD METZ

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

10/15 2014  
By:   
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

SUSAN ANDERSON, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LEONARD ANDERSON, and SUSAN ANDERSON,  
Individually,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 114935/07

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including,  
MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants,

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Susan Anderson, as Executrix for the Estate of Leonard Anderson and Susan Anderson, Individually*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

FRANKLIN POTTER and BONNIE POTTER,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No. 121088/97  
102788/00


A.C. and S., INC., including, MORSE DIESEL, INC.,  
  
Defendants,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel Construction Co., Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014

  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Franklin Potter and Bonnie Potter*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUNE R. CLARK, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of THOMAS H. CLARK, and JUNE R. CLARK,  
Individually,

**Index No. 112677/07**  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including,  
MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants,

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*June R. Clark, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Thomas H. Clark, and June R. Clark, Individually*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Morse Diesel, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**INSYNC**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 104272/97

WILLIAM J. NELSON and CAROL NELSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING  
AND SUPPLY), et al.,


Defendants.


**INSYNC**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10-3-14  
  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
212.232.1300  
File No. 1863.11974

  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)  
WILLIAM J. NELSON and CAROL NELSON  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212.558.5800

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

INSYNC LITIGATION SUPPORT

OCT 07 2014  
OCT 06 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190097/2012

This Document Relates to:


**STEPHEN M. DUNPHY and DIANE DUNPHY**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/6, 2014

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP  
Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry C. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----x  
IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

Index No.: 190128/2014

PATRICK DEMARTINO and JOY DEMARTINO,  
Individually and as Husband and Wife,  
Plaintiff,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

v.

AURORA PUMP COMPANY,, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. CORPORATION, Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Signed by Plaintiff: \_\_\_\_\_

*Sep. 22<sup>nd</sup>*, 20 *14*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joe A. Vazquez  
Cellino ft Barnes, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
420 Lexington Avenue  
Suite 2140  
New York, NY 10170  
212-804-7400

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK **PART 30**

INSYNG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

GEORGE PATSCHKE and CAROLINE PATSCHKE,  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,  
Defendants.

Index No. 190401/2013

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS TO DEFENDANT  
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 2, 2014

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

Stephen M. Babinecz, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
**Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.**  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
File No. 29510.1132

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Amber Long, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
**George Patschke and Caroline Patschke**  
LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

INSYNE LITIGATION SUPPORT

OCT 02 2014

INSYNE LITIGATION SUPPORT

OCT 02 2014

OCT 01 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
EDWARD CALENDER,  
  
Plaintiff,

Index No. 127888/02  
102357/03

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including,  
MORSE DIESEL, INC.,  
  
Defendants,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc. (incorrectly named herein as "Morse-Diesel International, Inc."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/2, 2014

  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
Edward Callender  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Rosario Chetta, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
Morse Diesel, Inc.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
IN RE

NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
This Document Relates to:

**Index No. 119614/01, 111229/01**


CASIMIR ROGUS and JEANNETTE ROGUS


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 08 2014

**RECEIVED**  
**PART 30**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
\_\_\_\_\_

NYCAL

GERALD RUSSOMANO, as Executor for the Estate of  
VITO RUSSOMANO,

Index No. 190226/2011

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


AMERICAN BILRITE INC., et al.,  
including SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.,

Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_

WHEREFORE, defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co., improperly sued herein as "Sears-Roebuck Company", hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 3 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
*Vito Russomano, et al.*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Grace DeMario, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Sears, Roebuck and Co.*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

CAROL LATORRE, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
BASIL J. LATORRE, and CAROL LATORRE,  
Individually,

Index No. 190004/2014

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,  
including, VIKING PUMP, INC. ,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/2, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Basil J. Lattore, et al.*

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC



David P. Schaffer, Esq.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant  
Viking Pump, Inc.*

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

PART 30

FILED

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**FREDERICK CRONIN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190131/2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4, 2014




John Guinan, Esq.  
**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200



Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
OCT 29 2014  
**PART 30**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**FREDERICK CRONIN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190131/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/6, 2014

  
John Guinan, Esq.  
**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES SIDNEY GRIFFIN and HELEN  
GRIFFIN**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190086/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4, 2014

  
John Guinan, Esq.  
**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED** RECEIVED  
OCT 29 2014 OCT 08 2014  
PART 30  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**PANAGIOTIS LAMBROS and DIMITRA  
LAMBROS**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190141/2013


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/6, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Guinan, Esq.  
**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JUAN F. TRUEBA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190160/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/10, 2014

  
John Guinan, Esq.  
**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JUAN F. TRUEBA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190160/2014


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/6, 2014

  
John Guman, Esq.

**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.

**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**HUGHLETT A. SMITH and JEAN SMITH**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190149/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/22, 2014




Carmen St. George, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry J. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH GALASSO and RUTH GALASSO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190156/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/29, 2014




Carmen St. George, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500



Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

**FILED** RECEIVED  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 118531/2002
ROBERT J. SHANSTROM and RITA M. SHANSTROM	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/11, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 106695/2002
ROBERT J. SHANSTROM and RITA M. SHANSTROM	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/11, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *M. Anne Farrell*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 118531/2002
ROBERT J. SHANSTROM and RITA M. SHANSTROM	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/11, 2014




~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ (Michael Favello)  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 190389/2013
STANLEY HOBISH and ROSLYN HOBISH	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/1, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Favell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SO ORDERED,

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STANLEY HOBISH and ROSLYN HOBISH

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190389/2013

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/1, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 190389/2013
STANLEY HOBBSH and ROSELYN HOBBSH	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/1, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Fancin  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporatoin  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190314/2012

EILEEN FITZGERALD-SMITH, as  
Administrator for the Estate of THOMAS  
FITZGERALD, and EILEEN FITZGERALD,  
Individually

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/11, 2014



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

**RECEIVED**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 08 2014  
PAR 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JOSEPH GALASSO and RUTH GALASSO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190156/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/1, 2014

  
Charles M. Ferguson, Esq. *Charles M. Ferguson*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
DARGERERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 101029/07

Margarita Cambrelen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

RECEIVED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 190168/2009
DOUGLAS BEGBIE and PATRICIA BEGBIE	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/11, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq. (Michael Smell)~~  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Hader

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 106695/2002
ROBERT J. SHANSTROM and RITA M. SHANSTROM	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/11, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU,  
LLP  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190098/09

Kurt Breitlauch

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/13, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 102508/08

John F. Barbera

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

SO ORDERED,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190337/12

Donald Tobin

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10007  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 101029/07

Margarita Cambrelen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190071/08

Sally Ann LaRose

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190070/08

Frank Ferlito

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190110/09

Bert Ferguson

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190246/09

Francesco Cosentino

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 106193/08

Samuel Zolner


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1013, 2014

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10013  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190193/10

Anthony Siracusa

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190209/09

Herbert Schellhas

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/13, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190075/09

Mary Rowinski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3, 2014



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

JOSEPH OLEXA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),

-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 106209/01,  
119373/00 & 100781/03

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/23/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-0600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOSEPH CURNYN and NORA CURNYN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190462/10

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/23/14




Frank Oritz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Shawnette Flitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 312-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

**RECEIVED**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DONALD IZBICKI and MADELINE IZBICKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ADVANCE AUTO SUPPLY., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190140 /13


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants JOHN CRANE INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants JOHN CRANE INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants JOHN CRANE INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jessica Russell, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

9/30/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Cromer, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
JOHN CRANE INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 318-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**PART 30**

X:CLB/59650/Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
NORMAN F. SALMON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 190116/14

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Carmen St. George, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
9/30/14

  
Shawnette Flitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Cleaver-Brooks, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**PART 30**

CLB/59478/legal/nosjm/  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM TANZINI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190037/14

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

9/30/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas Marafioti, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190341/11

Jaime Munoz

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3, 2014

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5600

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190410/10

George D. Devine and June Devine, his wife,

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Corporation, *et al*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.**, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/26/2014




Stephanie L. Coleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300  
1863-27348



Kevin Berry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
George D. Devine and June Devine, his wife  
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, N.Y. 10038-3901  
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
OCT 08 2014  
FILED  
OCT 29 2014  
PART 30

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


STATE OF NEW YORK     )  
  ) ss.:     **AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK    )


STEPHANIE M. HOLLAND, being sworn says: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside in the State of New Jersey.

On October 3, 2014, I served a true copy of the annexed **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO DEFENDANT PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.** by serving the same via United States Postal Service within the State of New York and via E-mail, as follows:

Kevin Berry, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
George D. Devine and June Devine, his wife  
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, N.Y. 10038-3901  
(212) 267-3091

and all defense counsel of record on attached service rider via united states postal service.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
STEPHANIE M. HOLLAND

Sworn to before me on the  
3<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2014.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
-Notary Public-

Shadena Morant-Daniels  
Notary Public, State of New York  
No. 24-4926342  
Qualified in Kings County  
Commission Expires Sept. 9, 2017

**GEORGE D. DEVINE AND JUNE DEVINE**  
**SERVICE RIDER**

Eliza R. Filipowski, Esq.  
Christopher L. Pawloski, Esq.  
Aaronson Rappaport Feinstein &  
Deutsch, LLP  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10016

John Rand, Esq.  
Clark, Gagliardi & Miller, P.C.  
99 Court Street, Suite 100  
White Plains, NY 10601

John J. Fanning, Esq.  
Cullen & Dykman, LLP  
44 Wall Street, 19th Floor  
New York, NY 10005

Judith Yavitz, Esq.  
Darger Errante Yavitz & Blau, LLP  
116 E. 27th Street  
New York, NY 10016

Marc S. Gaffrey, Esq.  
Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst &  
Doukas, L.L.P.  
40 Paterson Street  
P.O. Box 480  
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Kirsten A. Kneis, Esq.  
K & L Gates, LLP  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

Christopher Hannan, Esq.  
Kelley, Jasons, McGowan,  
Spinelli & Hannan, LLP  
120 Wall Street, 30th Floor  
New York, NY 10005

Joseph Colao, Esq.  
Leader & Berkon, LLP  
630 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10017

Scott R. Emery, Esq.  
Lynch Daskal Emery LLP  
264 West 40th Street  
New York, NY 10018

Robert C. Malaby, Esq.  
Malaby Bradley  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, NY 10038

Richard Marin, Esq.  
Marin Goodman, LLP  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528

Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.  
McDermot, Will, & Emery LLP  
340 Madison Avenue, 17th Floor  
New York, NY 10017

Lawrence McGivney, Esq.  
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.  
80 Broad Street, 23rd Fl.  
New York, NY 10004

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.  
McGuire Woods, LLP  
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor  
New York, NY 10105-0106

Lisa Pascarella, Esq.  
Pascarella, DiVita, Lindenbaum &  
Tomaszewski, PLLC  
2137 Route 35, Suite 290  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

Christian Gannon, Esq.  
Jordan D. Beltz, Esq.  
Segal, McCambridge, Singer &  
Mahoney, Ltd.

850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022

Patrick J. Dwyer, Esq.  
Smith Straton  
2 Research Way  
Princeton, NJ 08540

John Kot, Esq.  
Waters, McPherson & McNeill, LLC  
300 Lighting Way, 7th Fl.  
P.O. Box 1560  
Secaucus, NJ 07096

Edward Wilbraham, Esq.  
Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba  
140 Broadway, 46th Floor  
New York, NY 10005

Julie Evans, Esq.  
Wilson Elser Moskowitz, Edelman &  
Dicker, LLP  
150 E. 42nd Street  
New York, NY 10017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

STEVE S. STETSON , :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiffs, :

**FILED**

INDEX No.:

vs. :

190489/2013

NOV 05 2014

ALCOA, INC., et al.,

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

NEW YORK  
Defendant(s). :

-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY ~~with prejudice~~, and there being no opposition thereto, *without COG*

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed ~~with prejudice~~ and without costs. *without COG*

Dated: New York, New York

*October 29, 2014*  


By: Christopher Gladd, Esq.  
NAPOLI BERN RIPKA & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Empire State Building  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118  
Counsel for: Plaintiff



By: Adam L. Sandler, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**IRWIN SCHIFF,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.;**  
**ARVINMERITOR, INC., successor in**  
**interest to the former automotive products**  
**segment of ROCKWELL**  
**INTERNATIONAL CORP.;**  
**BORG WARNER MORSE TEC INC., f/k/a**  
**BORG-WARNER CORPORATION;**  
**DANA COMPANIES, LLC;**  
**FORD MOTOR COMPANY;**  
**GENUINE PARTS COMPANY;**  
**GOODRICH CORPORATION, f/k/a THE**  
**B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY;**  
**HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,**  
**f/k/a ALLIEDSIGNAL, INC., successor-in-**  
**interest to THE BENDIX**  
**CORPORATION;**  
**LUX CLUTCH SYSTEMS, LLC;**  
**NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS**  
**ASSOCIATION;**  
**PEP BOYS – MANNY, MOE & JACK OF**  
**DELAWARE, INC.;**  
**PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION;**  
**ROBERT BOSCH, LLC;**  
**SEARS ROEBUCK AND CO. (a subsidiary**  
**of**  
**SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION);**  
**SQUARE D. COMPANY;**  
**THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER**  
**COMPANY;**  
**UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION.**

**Defendants.**

**ASBESTOS MASTER**

**Index No. 190312/2012**

**Date filed:**

**NYCAL –**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**FILED**

**OCT 29 2014**


**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert Bosch LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Robert Bosch LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert Bosch LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct, 2014

New York, New York



Robert Gitelman, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik & Associates, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118  
(212) 267-3700



Robert M. Cook, Esq.  
Goldberg Segalla LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Robert Bosch LLC  
902 Carnegie Center, Suite 100  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540  
609-986-1300

SO ORDERED:

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

190132/12

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

# INSYNC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

GLORIA SETTECASE, AS PROPOSED NYCAL  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF I.A.S. Part 30  
ANTHONY SETTECASE, AND GLORIA (Heitler, J.)  
SETTECASE, INDIVIDUALLY

Index No. 191058-09

Plaintiff,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

DENTSPLY AUSTENAL  
DENTSPLY CERAMCO  
EMPIRE-ACE INSULATION MGF. CORP.  
J.F. JELENKO & CO., INC.  
J.F. JELENKO AND COMPANY, INC.  
KEER CORPORATION D/B/A KERR DENTAL  
CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO KERR  
MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
NOBILIUM COMPANY DIVISION OF CMP  
INDUSTRIES LLC  
SYBRON DENTAL SPECIALTIES, INC.,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR TO,  
AND F/K/A SYBRON INTERNATIONAL CORP.,  
AND AS SUCCESSOR TO KERR DENTAL  
CORPORATION, AND AS SUCCESSOR BY  
MERGER TO KERR MANUFACTURING COMPANY  
THE J.M. NEY COMPANY  
WHIP MIX CORPORATION

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, The J.M. Ney Company, and its  
affiliates, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors in interest,  
agents, heirs and assigns, hereby request Summary Judgment in  
the above-captioned case, pursuant to CPLR § 3212, dismissing  
Plaintiff, Gloria Settecase, as Proposed Administratrix for the

FILED  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014  
[ ]


Estate of Anthony Settecase and Gloria Settlecase, individually's, complaint against Defendant, The J.M. Ney Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *October 15 2014*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
212-558-5500

HARDIN, KUNDLA, MCKEON &  
POLETTI, P.A.  
Attorneys for Defendant, The  
J.M. Ney Company  
110 William Street, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 571-0111

By: 


John Richman, Esq.

*John Richman*

SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

By: 

Stephen Donahue, Esq.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

FILED

OCT 29 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190337/12

Donald Tobin

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3, 2014




Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CHRISTIAN MERRILL and JUNE MERROLL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 113102/98

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 7/23/14

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Fitler

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOSEPH OLEXA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 106209/01, 119373/00 &  
100781/03

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

2/23/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550



Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED RECEIVED**

OCT 29 2014

OCT 08 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANK DUFFY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 107994/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. <sup>2/23/14</sup>

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitle

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANK DUFFY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 107994/99

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/23/14



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
HENRY D. HOLKA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 107404/02 &  
114300/02


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/23/14

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Shawnette Flutt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED** RECEIVED  
OCT 29 2014 OCT 08 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CHRISTIAN MERRILL and JUNE MERRILL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 131102/98

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/23/14

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
**RECEIVED**  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OCT 08 2014  
NEW YORK PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ALBERT STORM,

Index No.: 190283/12

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as  
Successor to Sarco Company, et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as  
successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "**SPIRAX SARCO**") hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice  
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,  
**SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York

9/29, 2014

PETER TIMBINS

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Ind. and as  
successor to Sarco Company  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered: 

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014 OCT 08 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

HENRY D. HOLKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 107404/02 & 114300/02

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 9/23/14

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

  
Charles Neal, Esq.  
Attorneys for Fulton Boiler Works, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD WARREN,

x  
Index No. 190281/2014

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.


\_\_\_\_\_ x

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/2/14  


Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003



Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
GAIL HERLIHY, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ARTHUR HERLIHY, Index No. 190149/2011


Plaintiff,  
-against-  
A.F. SUPPLY CORP., et al.,  
Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
AS AGAINST  
CHEMTURA CORPORATION

WHEREFORE, defendant CHEMTURA CORPORATION hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CHEMTURA CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CHEMTURA CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/23/14

  
Kush Shukla, Esq.  
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer P.A.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
110 William Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10038

  
Mark Wasef, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for CHEMTURA CORPORATION  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JEREMIAH P. SULLIVAN,

Index No.: 190263/14

Plaintiff,

- against -


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to TAPPAN and  
COPEES-VULCAN, et al.  
Defendants.

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.**,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter  
"ELECTROLUX") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there  
being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
10/2, 2014

  
Ben Darce, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moronek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Individually and as successor to Tappan  
and Copes-Vulcan  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JEREMIAH P. SULLIVAN,

Index No.: 190263/14

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as successor  
To Sarco Company, et al.


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as  
**successor to Sarco Company (hereinafter "SPIRAX SARCO")** hereby  
requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice  
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant,  
**SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross  
claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby  
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
10/2, 2014

  
Ben Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer &  
Moroknek LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as  
successor to Sarco Company  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RITA LEWIS, Individually and as Executrix of the  
Estate of GEORGE P. LEWIS,

Index No.: 190191/13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: White Plains, New York  
10-1-, 2014



Nicholas Novack, Esq.  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200



CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Messemer  
& Moroknek, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
(914) 385-6000

So Ordered: 

J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**VINCENT A. DEGIOIA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190081/14

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER  
(WITHOUT PREJUDICE)**

WHEREFORE, defendant Gould Electronics Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed <sup>without</sup> ~~with~~ prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/3, 2014



Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Morae Kim, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP**  
Attorneys for Gould Electronics Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JUAN F. TRUEBA**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190160/2014

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Lightolier, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lightolier, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lightolier, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/6, 2014


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Guinan, Esq.

**LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.

**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for Lightolier, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry C. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190410/10



**JUNE DEVINE, Individually and as  
Administratrix of the Estate of GEORGE D.  
DEVINE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Burnham LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10 / 27, 2014

 Kevin M. Berry, Esq. Wilentz Goldman & Spitzer 110 William Street 26 <sup>th</sup> floor New York, NY10038	 John C. McGuire, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Burnham LLC 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

RECEIVED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 01 2014  
BY: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
JOSEPH THAIR BUFF,

x  
Index No. 190231/2014

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,


Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER LLC (sued herein as "FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/2/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
Early & Strauss, L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew R. Straus, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD WARREN,

x  
Index No. 190281/2014

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, et al., including  
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger  
to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC  
CORPORATION,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/2/14

Adam Dreksler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

-----x  
**HARRY MOORE and MARY MOORE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC.,  
et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**  
-----x


**Index No.: 190288/2013**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/5/14  
New York, New York

  
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.  
The Williams Law Firm, PC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
245 Park Ave, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
(212) 681-1122

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS  
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
FELIX M. DEJESUS RAMOS, as Personal	: Index No. 190244/13
Representative for the Estate of FELIX DEJESUS	:
RAMOS and CONFESORA DEJESUS,	:
	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	:
	: <b>Plaintiff(s),</b>
	:
	: <b>-against-</b>
	:
	: AMCHEM PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>
	:
	: <b>Defendants.</b>
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/2/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Michael Panelli, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
RECEIVED  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
JEREMIAH P. SULLIVAN,	: Index No. 190263/14
	: :
Plaintiff(s),	: :
	: :
-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	: :
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	: :
	: :
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/2/14

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
By: [Signature]  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.  
By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No: 107598-00**

**KENNETH W. MAXWELL and  
CONSTANCE MAXWELL,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**


**A.C. and S., Inc., et al.,**


**Defendants.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/12/14  
New York, New York

  
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ  
& TARDY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, NJ 07701  
(732) 851-4400

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klem Hertler

**RECEIVED  
FILED  
OCT 29 2014  
PART 30**

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

-----x  
**ROLAND QUASHIE and SABIE QUASHIE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**  
-----x

**Index No.: 190159/2014**


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

8/5/14  
New York, New York


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.  
The Williams Law Firm, PC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
245 Park Ave, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10167  
(212) 681-1122

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS  
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----x

**ADOLPH LAINO and ELLEN LAINO,**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

**Defendant(s).**  
-----x

**Index No.: 190422/2012**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN VALVE CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: August 7, 2014  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS  
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190261-14**

**FRED J. HECKLINGER and MARGARET  
HECKLINGER,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**


**AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.  
n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,  
n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE, INC., et al.**

**Defendant(s).**

WHEREFORE, defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Sept. 19, 2014  
New York, New York

  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Matthew G. Broderson, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ  
& TARDY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, NJ 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190263/2014**

**JEREMIAH P. SULLIVAN,**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,  
et al.,**


**Defendants.**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, VELAN VALVE CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN VALVE CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *October 6, 2014*  
New York, New York

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ  
& TARDY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, NJ 07701  
(732) 852-4400

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry-Ann Heitler

**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

FREDERICK JOSEPH CICIO and  
JAQUELINE A. CICIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 190207/2014


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, VELAN STEAM TRAP CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, VELAN STEAM TRAP CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant VELAN STEAM TRAP CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: September 4, 2014  
New York, New York


FILED  
OCT 29 2014

  
Matthew Park, Esq. Brian Early  
The Early Law Firm, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Timothy Coughlan, Esq.  
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS  
KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
VELAN VALVE CORP.  
328 Newman Springs Road  
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701  
(732) 852-4400

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 103881/03

-----X  
RICHARD METZ,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

-against-

**MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

September 12, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &  
MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR INC.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

DENNIS W. ABSHER as Executor of the Estate of  
CECIL W. ABSHER,

Index No.: 190252/10

-against-  
Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et. al.  
Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/6/14  
*Matthew M. Deitz*  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

*Andrew W. Dean*  
Andrew W. Dean, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry K. Hejler

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
CAROLYN MCCARTHY,

x  
Index No. 190417-2013

Plaintiffs,  
  
-against-  
  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including  
ROPER PUMP COMPANY,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


\_\_\_\_\_ x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Roper Pump Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Roper Pump Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Roper Pump Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 1 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
*Attorneys for Viking Pump, Inc.*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30  
FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:  
RICHARD METZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,  
Defendants.

Index No.: 103881/03

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant CUMMINS INC., (incorrectly named as CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.) (hereinafter "CUMMINS"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CUMMINS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CUMMINS be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

September 12, 2014

  
David J. Barry, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER  
& MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for CUMMINS INC.  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**



**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**FRANCIS COPERTINO, and LORRAINE  
COPERTINO,**

**Index No.: 190474/2013**

**Plaintiffs,**

**- against -**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**AKZO NOBEL PAINTS LLC, F/K/A THE GLIDDEN  
COMPANY, et al.,**


**Defendants.**  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/23/14

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK &  
ASSOCIATES, LLP**

  
Kardon A. Stolzman, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118

**LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.**

  
Christopher S. Kozak, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc.  
120 Broadway, 27th floor  
New York, NY 10271-0079

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED BY MAIL  
CCT 17 2014  
LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190296/2012

STRATIS SCARLATOS, as Personal  
Representative of the Estate of ROSEMARY  
SCARLATOS, and STRATIS SCARLATOS,  
Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 6, 2014

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK


  
Joanna L. Drozd  
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
600 Lexington Avenue, 8th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
Michael Cohan  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik & Associates  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Stratis Scarlatos  
350 Fifth Ave, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190159/2014

ROLAND QUASHIE AND SABIE QUASHIE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

~~August~~ ~~2014~~ 10/14/14



Peter D. Polchinski  
Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
90 Broad Street, 9th Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 897-9655



Joseph P. Williams, Esq.  
The Williams Law Firm, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Roland Quashie and Sabie Quashie  
245 Park Avenue, 39<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10167  
(212) 668-1122

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

OCT 30 2014

PAID 100

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
VERONICA MIRANTI and JOSEPH MIRANTI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190004/13

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Fort Kent Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Dunham-Bush, Inc.) ("Fort Kent") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 and the NYCAL Amended Case Management Order dated September 20, 1996, Amended as of February 19, 2003, dismissing Plaintiffs' Verified Complaint against Defendant Fort Kent with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to Plaintiffs and all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Fort Kent, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 9, 2014

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

By:   
David J. Barry, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
*Veronica Miranti and Joseph Miranti*

By:   
Peter C. Langenus, Esq.  
Matthew S. Tamasco, Esq.  
140 Broadway, 31st Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
Attorneys for Defendant  
*Fort Kent Holdings, Inc.*  
*(f/k/a Dunham-Bush, Inc.)*

SO ORDERED,   
Hon Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ROSEMARY SCARLATOS  
AND  
STRATIS SCARLATOS

Index No.: 190296/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 8<sup>th</sup>, 2014




Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Rosemary Scarlatos and Stratis Scarlatos  
NAPOLI, BERN, RIPKA,  
SHKOLNIK & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Empire State Building  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118  
(212) 267-3700



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

2572-0121

OCT 29 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
Index Nos.: 190090/14

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

LOUIS GALLIANO and ANTOINETTE GALLIANO,  
Plaintiffs,  
vs.

A.O. SMITH COMPANY, et al.,  
Defendants.


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant Anderson & Vreeland, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Anderson & Vreeland, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Anderson & Vreeland, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/14/14

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
Christopher S. Kozak  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Anderson & Vreeland, Inc.  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, NY 1071-0079

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 22 2014

PART 30

RECEIVED BY MAIL

CCT 10 2014

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

BETTYANN VOLPE  
and  
FRANK L. VOLPE

Index No.: 190226/12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2014



Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
BettyAnn Volpe and Frank L. Volpe  
NAPOLI, BERN, RIPKA, SHKOLNIK & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
Empire State Building  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118  
(212) 267-3700



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Zurn Industries, LLC  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014 535-1145

FILED 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190420/10

This Document Relates to:


CAROL BRADY-ROSE and VINCENT ROSE,  
----- X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Cohan, Esq.

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA  
& ASSOCIATES LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**CAROL BRADY-ROSE AND  
VINCENT ROSE**  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7530  
New York, New York  
(212) 267-3700

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS O'NEILL, O'BRIEN,  
DOHERTY & KELLY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**PREMIER REFRACTORIES, INC.**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.94648

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**MAURICE STEVENS**


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 190445/13

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant The Pep Boys – Manny, Moe & Jack of Delaware, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant The Pep Boys – Manny, Moe & Jack of Delaware, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The Pep Boys – Manny, Moe & Jack of Delaware, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*October 7<sup>th</sup>*, 2014



Gary Pustell, Esq.  
**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK &  
ASSOCIATES, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118  
(212) 267-3700



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
**DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP**  
Attorneys for The Pep Boys – Manny, Moe &  
Jack of Delaware, Inc.  
116 East 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 22 2014

11/11/14

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

This Document Applies to:  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NICHOLAS SEWAK,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**NOSJM  
AS TO  
DEFENDANTS GENUINE PARTS  
COMPANY and NATIONAL  
AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ASSOCIATION**

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, NATIONAL  
AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Index No: 190491-2013


Defendants.

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for plaintiff and defendants, GENUINE PARTS COMPANY and NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION, to the above-captioned action, that whereas no party hereto is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the litigation, the above-entitled action be, and the same hereby is discontinued against the defendants GENUINE PARTS COMPANY and NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION, only, with prejudice, and without costs to any party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

DATED: New York, New York  
October 6, 2014


DATED: Buffalo, New York  
October 7, 2014

**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA SHKOLNIK  
& ASSOCIATES, LLP**

  
Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, New York 10118

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**DAMON MOREY LLP**

  
Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Genuine Parts Company and  
National Automotive Parts Association  
The Avant Building  
200 Delaware Avenue-Suite 1200  
Buffalo, New York 14202

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Doc #1956660:14

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WINSTON SARGEANT AND TAMELA SARGEANT,  
HIS WIFE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE  
Circuit, ET AL.,

Defendants.  
-----X


Index No.: 190147/2014


**NO OPPOSITION**  
**SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
**MOTION AND ORDER**


~~WHEREFORE~~, defendant, OSRAM-SYLVANIA INC. (hereinafter "OSRAM"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, OSRAM, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, OSRAM, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York October 14, 2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Chandler, Esq.  
KARST & von OISTE, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
19500 State Hwy 249, Suite 420  
Houston, TX 77070  
281-970-9988

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.  
LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE &  
DISIPIO  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Osram Sylvania Inc.  
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335  
New York, New York 10170  
(212) 319-6898  
Our File #: 09066-1402753

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT WALSH AND JOAN  
WALSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M Company, et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


Index No.: 19050112013

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**THIS IS AN ASBESTOS  
MATTER.**

WHEREFORE, the improperly named defendant, Struthers Wells Corporation, through Thermal Engineering International, Inc. ("TEi"), as owner of the name "Struthers" and other intellectual property purchased from Struthers Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TEi, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant TEi be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Amber R. Long, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 605-6200  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Robert Walsh and Joan Walsh

  
John P. Falcone  
MENDES & MOUNT, LLP  
750 Seventh Ave.  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 261-8225  
Facsimile: (212) 261-8750  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Thermal Engineering International, Inc.

10/16/14

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S  
OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

CARLETON JOHNSON and DORIS JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION and  
PROGRESS LIGHTING INC. et al.

Defendants.


Index No.: 190125/14

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant PROGRESS LIGHTING INCORPORATED, by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PROGRESS LIGHTING INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PROGRESS LIGHTING INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct 29, 2014  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John Ryan, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue-4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
K. Rizvi, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys For Defendant  
PROGRESS LIGHTING  
INCORPORATED  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 29 2014

[Stamp]

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190443/13

CHARLES DIONYSIUS, III AND KATHLEEN  
DIONYSIUS

against

BORGWARNER MORSE TEC., INC., AS  
SUCCESSOR-BY-MERGER TO BORG-  
WARNER CORPORATION, ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Whittaker Clark & Daniels**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,

dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Whittaker Clark & Daniels**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Whittaker Clark & Daniels**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: <sup>10/23/14</sup>  
New York, New York

  
CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Whittaker Clark & Daniels  
48 Wall Street, Suite 1100  
New York, New York 10005

  
MICHAEL COHAN, ESQ., ESQ.  
NAPOLI BERN RIPKA, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
CHARLES DIONYSIUS, III and KATHLEEN  
DIONYSIUS  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118

SO ORDERED:

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

HOAGLAND, LONGO,  
MORAN, DUNST  
& DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

48 Wall Street  
Suite 1100  
New York, NY

OCT 29 2014

190443

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**GEORGE STRYCHALSKI and MARY  
STRYCHALSKI,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**- against -**

**GEORGIA-PACIFIC LLC, et al.,**

**Defendants.**  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**Index No.: 190155/2013**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant Brenntag, as successor to Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Brenntag, as successor to Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Brenntag, as successor to Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/23/14


LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP

  
James M. Kramer, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

  
Christopher S. Kozak, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
120 Broadway, 27th Floor  
New York, NY 10271-0079

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

OCT 29 2014

**RECEIVED BY MAIL**

OCT 14 2014

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. PART 30
-----X	X (Heitler, J.)
JANET MOLCZYK, individually and as :	INDEX NO. 123568/2001
Executrix for the Estate of TIMOTHY A. :	
MOLCZYK, deceased, :	(Weitz & Luxenberg PC/ August 2014 FIFO
Plaintiff(s), :	Cluster)
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.C and S, INC., et al., :	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants. :	
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 23, 2014

  
 Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.**  
 700 Broadway  
 New York, NY 10003-9536  
 212-558-5500  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
*Estate of Timothy A. Molczyk*

  
 Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
**Trotman Sanders LLP**  
 The Chrysler Building  
 405 Lexington Avenue  
 New York, NY 10174-0700  
 212-704-6000  
 Attorneys for Defendant  
*Standard Motor Products, Inc.*

**FILED**  
 OCT 29 2014  
 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
 NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,   
 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**  
 OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

-----X

STEPHEN M. DUNPHY and DIANNE  
DUNPHY,

(Heitler, J.)

: INDEX NO. 108174/2006

Plaintiff(s),

: (Weitz & Luxenberg PC/ September 2014  
: FIFO Cluster)

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
: JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2014

*Matthew MacIntyre*  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003-9536  
212-558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Stephen M. Dunphy

*Richard P. O'Leary*  
Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.  
Troutman Sanders LLP  
The Chrysler Building  
405 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10174-0700  
212-704-6000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Standard Motor Products, Inc.

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

412

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To: : Index No.: 190075-14  
:  
JUNE A. END and GEORGE END, : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**

Plaintiffs,


- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. and  
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.  
Defendants.

----- X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/29/2014  
New York, New York

  
Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

  
Robert A. Schaefer, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys For Defendant  
Armstrong International, Inc.  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_ x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL  
\_\_\_\_\_ x

This Document Relates to:

CAROL BRADY-ROSE and VINCENT ROSE,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
-against-

Index No. 190420-2010  
  
September 2014 FIFO Cluster  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP


3M COMPANY et al., including  
CBS CORPORATION,  
  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/6/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William T. Miedel, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for CBS Corporation  
1085 Raymond Boulevard  
One Newark Center, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**RECEIVED**

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 08 2014  
**PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL  
\_\_\_\_\_

This Document Relates to:

CAROL BRADY-ROSE and VINCENT ROSE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No. 190420-2010


September 2014 FIFO Cluster  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY ("General Electric") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Electric with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*10/6/14*  


Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK



William T. Miedel, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
1085 Raymond Boulevard  
One Newark Center, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherryl Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014  
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL  
\_\_\_\_\_

This Document Relates to:

CAROL BRADY-ROSE and VINCENT ROSE,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
-against-

Index No. 190420-2010  
  
September 2014 FIFO Cluster  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP


3M COMPANY et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,  
  
Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC, survivor to a merger with Foster Wheeler Corporation ("Foster Wheeler"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/6/14  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Cohan, Esq.  
Napoli Bern Ripka Shkolnik LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William T. Miedel, Esq.  
Sedgwick LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
1085 Raymond Boulevard  
One Newark Center, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED RECEIVED**  
OCT 29 2014 OCT 08 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK **PART 30**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
PASQUALE SCARPITTI, as Executor for the Estate of  
RAFFAELE E. SCARPITTI, and GIOVANNINA  
SCARPITTI, Individually,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190056/2014

- against -


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC. (AHM), et al.,  
Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC ("MBUSA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant MBUSA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant MBUSA, be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 11, 2014

  
By: Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Tel: (212) 558-5500  
Fax: (212) 344-5461  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

  
By: Michael B. Sena, Esq.  
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.  
125 Broad Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
Tel: (212) 471-8500  
Fax: (212) 344-3333  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK  
**RECEIVED**  
OCT 08 2014

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190296-12

STRATIS SCARLATOS, as Personal  
Representative of the Estate of ROSEMARY  
SCARLATOS, and STRATIS SCARLATOS,  
Individually,

I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.

---

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/6/14, 2014

  
Michael Cohan, Esq.  
**NAPOLI BERN RIPKA &  
ASSOCIATES, LLP**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7530  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 267-3700

  
Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
H.B. FULLER COMPANY  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
OCT 29 2014

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

**RECEIVED**

OCT 08 2014

**PART 30**

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler