SCANNED ON 11/15/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 25658/91;
107014/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

Martin F. White and Eileen White,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
Sept. 26, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Heidi C. Baker, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230
MMG File No.: 558.~~1330~~ 1539

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CLAIRE GESUALDI, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of RALPH GESUALDI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 217638/92 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz

7000 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **RALPH GESUALDI**	Index No.: 217638-92 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ANGELINA FUSCO, as Executrix for the Estate of GERARDO RUBINO,	:	Index Nos. ~~109704/08~~ 101017/94
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GERARDO RUBINO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101017/94 & 109704/08

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
WILLIAM A. WOESSNER,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 39 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No.: 125172-95
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendants CRANE CO. and CRANE ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. ("Defendants") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendants with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 3, 2011
New York, New York

Darron E. Berquist, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3990

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JANICE ROTUNNO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of DENNIS ROTUNNO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 104453/97 113281/97 111150/98 105559/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 1001
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JANICE ROTUNNO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of DENNIS ROTUNNO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~104453/97~~ 113281/97 111150/98 105559/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 102347/05, 123219/97 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/14, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

1235-18186

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
GARY W. MASI, as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM P. MASI and EVA M. MASI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 104941/98 ~~111075/98~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct. 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Ben Darche

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
GARY W. MASI, as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM P. MASI and EVA M. MASI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~104941/98~~ 111075/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct. 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Ben Darche

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JANICE ROTUNNO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of DENNIS ROTUNNO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 104453/97 113281/97 111150/98 105559/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PASQUALE RASILE and MARY RASILE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 117707/98 115624/01 111218/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

CLB55108/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM D. BUXTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121854/99 & 103590/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MICHAEL LAING**	Index No: 121905/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738298.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ANTHONY E. LAMANO	Index No: 121906/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738325.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DONNA L. STEC, Individually and as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT EDWARD STEC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 100557/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
OCT 14 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EMILIO PAOLINI**	Index No: 101192/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738414.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CANDIDO VENUTO**	Index No: 104842/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739891.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos.** 105629/1999
GIUSEPPE SEIDITA and JOSEPHINE SEIDITA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse-Diesel Construction Company, Inc. (a/k/a Morse Diesel, Inc.), with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 12, 2011

~~Lisa Busch, Esq.~~ DANIEL WASSERBERG
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Friedrich Scheuermann and Christen Scheuermann
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: ~~OCT 14 2011~~ NOV - 4 2011

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.107970/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

Peter P. Honan and Catherine Honan,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York 9/14/11

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Heidi C. Baker, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230
MMG File No.: 558.1330

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALBERT P. VENTRY**	Index No: 108599/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738530.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD J. POTTER

Index No.: 108610-99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
IONIA M. SMALLEY, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ROY L. SMALLEY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 110083/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JODENE HUNTLEY and TOMAS P. HUNTLEY, as Executor of the Estate of WAYNE C. HUNTLEY and JODENE HUNTLEY, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 111573/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ALFRED J. COGNETTI and MARY V. COGNETTI, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 99-118951 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-18-11 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW PARK, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Alfred J. Cognetti and Mary V. Cognetti
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WARREN MCNALLY, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~108714/01~~ ~~120250/99~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~
Joe Vazquez

7000 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **WARREN H. MCNALLY**	Index No.: 120250-99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WARREN H. McNALLY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120250/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN J. MAHAR**	Index No: 120296/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738359.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC MICKEY M. LATTUCA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120652/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC LATTUCA

Index No.: 120652-99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
REGINA ACKERMAN, as Executrix of the Estate of FRANK J. COPPOLA,	:	Index No. 121144/99
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:	
Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

REGINA ACKERMAN, As Executrix for the ESTATE OF FRANK J. COPPOLA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 121144/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank Coppola	Index No: 121144/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

CLB55113/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121992/99,
106623/00 & 120250/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 99-121986
00-105744

EDWARD J. FERGUSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 10/6/11
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITHELL ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No. ~~117873-2003~~ 122185-1999 109249-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
CAROL NICOLAIDIS, as Administratrix for the Estate of JAMES NICOLAIDIS, and CAROL NICOLAIDIS, Individually,	:	Index Nos. 122188/99 109064/00
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
OCT 14 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

CLB55125/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WALTER H. REEVES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 104917/04,
108083/00 & 122192/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI, AND ANGELA SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~111116-2000~~ 122193-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~114135-2000~~ 122196-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

MARY JEAN ZITO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO JR., AND MARY JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~114258-2000~~ 122201-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

MARTIN WYSOCKI, AS THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI & FLORENCE WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~114271-2000~~ 122201-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO and, ROSA PAPAPIETRO ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x

Index No. ~~109264-2000~~ 122203-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

NESTORI J. PAKIN et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 109285-2000
122203-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
BARBARA ANN RICKERSON, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD POTTER, and SUSAN POTTER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 108610/00 122205/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Matthew Park

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF SHELDON E. MCMANUS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 107203-2000 125766-1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55117/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102115/07,
125768/99 & 107299/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A. FARRELL, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 125779/99 104712/00 115803/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lois Kim, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

BEATRICE PAPANTONIO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ALFRED L. PAPANTONIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 125871/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

CLB55121/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT V. OLMSTEAD SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100555/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55118/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RICHARD H. MEHLROSE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100582/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
JACK TOLOMEO AND DOROTHY TOLOMEO, Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
-against-	:	**Index No.: 100587/00**
A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5, 2011

By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Jack Tolomeo and Dorothy Tolomeo

By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

{01040244.DOC }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM F. RYAN

Index No.: 103411-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55108/lcgal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM D. BUXTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121854/99 &
103590/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55108/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM D. BUXTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121854/99 & 103590/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM D. BUXTON**	Index No: 103590/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739117.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DONALD A. CRIPPS JR. (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
104292/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 00-104292
FRANCES CRIPPS, as Executrix for the Estate of DONALD A. CRIPPS JR., and FRANCES CRIPPS, Individually, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
9/12/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Frances Cripps, as Executrix for the Estate of Donald A. Cripps Jr., and Frances Cripps, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 29 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A. FARRELL, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~125779/99~~ ~~104712/00~~ 115803/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lois Kim, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Raymond J. Farrell	Index No: 104742/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Jose A. Fernandez	Index No: 104749/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
BRUCE WALDMAN, as Executor for the Estate of SIDNEY WALDMAN, and MYRTLE WALDMAN, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 105260/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

DORIS WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **105261-2000** ~~**108383-2000**~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ELMO DEDON

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105416/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JANICE ROTUNNO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of DENNIS ROTUNNO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 104453/97 113281/97 111150/98 105559/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: ~~99-121986~~ 00-105744

EDWARD J. FERGUSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 10/6/11
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

CLB55113/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121992/99,
106623/00 & 120250/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

HARRY L. REED SR.

Index No.: 106999-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JAMES C. REYNOLDS et al., **Index No. 107003-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF SHELDON E. MCMANUS,

Index No. 107203-2000
125766-1999

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

LUCY A. KALINOWSKI,, INDIVIDUALLY & EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MATTHEW Z. KALINOWSKI,

Index No. 107270-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55117/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102115/07,
125768/99 & 107299/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ESTATE BERNICE T. LOMANTO and JAMES V. LOMANTO, **Index No. 107534-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ESTATE ANTOINETTE STINE and LAWRENCE STINE, **Index No. 107801-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55125/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WALTER H. REEVES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 104917/04,
108083/00 & 122192/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WALTER H. REEVES**	Index No: 108083/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739704.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

ROBERT G. LORENTZ and JULIA A. LORENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCS CO.et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 114496-2005
111109-2000
108351-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD GUNTRIPP, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~111674/00~~ 108352/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/4/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Danny R. Kraft Jr, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOSEPH D. GALANTE et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~112438-2000~~ 108353-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard M. Ferranti, Sr.	Index No: 108353/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
OCT 14 2011 CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

PAUL J. DRANK et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 108353-2000
112464-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

JOSEPH D. GALANTE et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~112438-2000~~ 108353-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

LINDA COSTANZO, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK S. COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110077-2000~~ 108354-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

LINDA COSTANZO, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK S. COSTANZO,

Index No. ~~110077-2000~~ 108354-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

PETER CEFALY et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110548-2000~~ 108355-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

PETER CEFALY et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

Index No. ~~110548-2000~~ 108355-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT, & MARY JANE BAGNETT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

x

Index No. ~~110574-2000~~
108356-2000
~~110571-2000~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

CHARLES S. BANIK, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN F. BANIK, & ANNABELL BANIK, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 110587-2000
~~100750-2003~~
108356-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

GEORGE L. BALL et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110589-2000~~ ~~108356-2000~~

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

EVA JEAN LAWLER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CONRAD G. AUBIN, & EVA JEAN LAWLER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JUANTITA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110577-2000~~ 108356-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR., AND PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF PAULINE M. TRAVIS,

Index No. 108359-2000

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____ OCT 21 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ESTATE OLEN NEAMAND and EMMY NEAMAND, **Index No. 108360-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

DORIS WINTERHALTER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER ,

Index No. ~~105261-2000~~ 108383-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55251/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DANIEL RUGGERIO.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 108386/00 &
115821/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

RONALD C. RHODES and ETHEL RHODES,

Index No. ~~113756-2000~~ 108388-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ESTATE DONALD SHUSTER and JANET SHUSTER, **Index No. 108394-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD J. POTTER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
108610/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

BARBARA ANN RICKERSON, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD POTTER, and SUSAN POTTER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~108610/00~~ 122205/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Matthew Park

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

_____ x

CHARLES R. THURLO et al., **Index No. 108721-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CAROL NICOLAIDIS, as Administratrix for the Estate of JAMES NICOLAIDIS, and CAROL NICOLAIDIS, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. ~~122188/99~~ 109064/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No. 117873-2003
~~122185-1999~~
109249-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ROCCO PAPAPIETRO and, ROSA PAPAPIETRO , **Index No.** **109264-2000** ~~122203-1999~~

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center 12th floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: LOUIS F. PAONESSA and DOREEN PAONESSA, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 00-109266 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
9/29/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DANIEL BLOUIN, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Louis F. Paonessa and Doreen Paonessa
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

BW-NEED-2

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

NESTORI J. PAKIN et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~109285-2000~~
122203-1999

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

LINDA COSTANZO, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK S. COSTANZO,

Index No. 110077-2000
108354-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles A. Lass	Index No: 110181/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
OCT 14 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

FRANCES S. CLEMENT, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF SAMUEL MUDARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~118366-2000~~ 110182-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CLB55250/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

L.C. RICHARDS, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

~~Index No.:~~ 117885/00 &
110182/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

FRANCES S. CLEMENT, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF SAMUEL MUDARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ x

Index No. ~~118366-2000~~ 110182-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ROBIN M. SORRENTINO and MARK SORRENTINO, **Index No. 110306-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

PETER CEFALY et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110548-2000~~
108355-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT, & MARY JANE BAGNETT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No. 110574-2000
~~108356-2000~~
110571-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ANTHONY C. BAGNETT, & MARY JANE BAGNETT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No. **110574-2000**
108356-2000
110571-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

EVA JEAN LAWLER, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF CONRAD G. AUBIN, & EVA JEAN LAWLER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JUANTITA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110577-2000~~ **108356-2000**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

CHARLES S. BANIK, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN F. BANIK, & ANNABELL BANIK, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 110587-2000
100750-2003
108356-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

GEORGE L. BALL et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **110589-2000**
108356-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ESTATE FREDOW REEDER and BETTY REEDER BETTY, **Index No. 110637-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110820/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD M. RILEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil ~~Practice Law~~ and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/17, 2011
New York, New York

Ruth Marcus, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

x

ROBERT G. LORENTZ and JULIA A. LORENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCS CO.et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

x

Index No. ~~114496-2005~~ 111109-2000 108351-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS SCERE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 00-111114

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
10/11, 2011

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

13049003.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ROSARIO SCALICI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF NICOLA SCALICI, AND ANGELA SCALICI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. 111116-2000 ~~122193-1999~~

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
KATHLEEN MCGRINDER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD GUNTRIPP, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 111674/00 108352/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/4/2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Danny R. Kraft Jr, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

VICTOR G. MELILLO et al., **Index No. 112110-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

NANCY J. MAZUROWSKI, INDIVIDUALLY & AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF STEPHEN L. MAZUROWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 112122-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

JOSEPH D. GALANTE et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 112438-2000
108353-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

PAUL J. DRANK et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 108353-2000
112464-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

PAUL J. DRANK et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~108353-2000~~ 112464-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Ferranti, Sr.	Index No: 112457/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard M. Ferranti, Sr.	Index No: 112457/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES BALDWIN**	Index No: 112517/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106736949.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILA, & BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **104634-2007**
115787-2001
112680-2000
122202-2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ESTATE OF PAUL E FAYLOR and REGINA FAYLOR, **Index No. 113384-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011





Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

RONALD C. RHODES and ETHEL RHODES,

Index No. 113756-2000
108388-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

MARY ANN PICNIC and SANDY PICNIC AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF RAIMONDO PICNIC,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No. 113778-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ANNA A. JOHNSON, INDIVIDUALLY & AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF EBER VERNON JOHNSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 114024-2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 114135-2000
122196-1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

MARY JEAN ZITO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES V. ZITO JR., AND MARY JEAN ZITO, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 114258-2000 122201-1999

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI, **Index No. 114264-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

MARTIN WYSOCKI, AS THE EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF LEO WYSOCKI & FLORENCE WYSOCKI, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **114271-2000** ~~122201-1999~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOHN A. SMITH et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 114772-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ANGELO TESTA et al., **Index No. 114808-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

EDWARD W. CURRAN SR. and SUSAN CURRAN, **Index No. 114979-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

CLARK OVERTON et al., **Index No. 115219-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55124/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GERALD H. RABIDEAU, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115220/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

NAKISHA M. HAIRSTON, AS ADMINSTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DAVID R. HAIRSTON,

Index No. 115221-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011





Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOSEPH BRADY et al., **Index No. 115696-2000**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A P GREEN INDUSTRIES INC. et al.

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN, & BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~102693-2001~~ 115696-2000 ~~119380-2000~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **DANIEL RUGGERIO**	Index No: 115821/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739827.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55251/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DANIEL RUGGERIO.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 108386/00 &
115821/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CAROLYN WHYNO**	Index No: 116263/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739916.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55120/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LELAND W. MILLINGTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 116847/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 117033/00
This Document Relates to: Charles A. Lass	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Jack Frasieur	Index No: 117381/00
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Jack L. Frasieur	Index No: 117381/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES GARDNER BERRY**	Index No: 117421/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 10 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738985.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **L.C. RICHARD, SR.**	Index No: 117885/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739719.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55250/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

L.C. RICHARDS, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 117885/00 & 110182/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

FRANCES S. CLEMENT, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF SAMUEL MUDARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **118366-2000**
110182-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MARTIN M. PARDES**	Index No: 119373/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739614.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **NATHAN J. WOODWORTH**	Index No: 119375/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739932.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No: 119376/00
107190/01
100770/03

JAMES E. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

David Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ANGELO TOMASELLO**	Index No: 119377/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739856.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN, & BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 102693-2001
~~115696-2000~~
119380-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **VIRGIL C. MILLER**	Index No: 119380/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739561.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **THOMAS E. MCDERMOTT**	Index No: 119381/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739532.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Francis A. Lesniak	Index No: 119383/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI**	Index No: 119384/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739641.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55249/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FLOYD PONZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100782/03, 106441/01 & 119385/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FLOYD PONZI**	Index No: 119385/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739674.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CHARLES PLUMMER**	Index No: 119385/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739654.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55107/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LANE L. BILBY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100773/03,
119390/00 & 125263/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 10/17/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LANE L. BILBY**	Index No: 119390/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739001.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CHARLES HAZARD**	Index No: 119391/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739386.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55112/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE GONYO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 119392/00 & 101721/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **GEORGE GONYO**	Index No: 119392/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739294.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **VINCENT T. FERRONE, SR.**	Index No: 119394/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739260.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55110/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES M. ECKERT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 119396/00 & 100354/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES M. EXKERT**	Index No: 119396/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739231.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WARREN J. LEONARD**	Index No: 119828/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739475.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55129/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DONALD F. VER HAGUE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 120329/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **DONALD F. VER HAGUE**	Index No: 120329/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739907.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ROBERT F. BOWLEY, SR.**	Index No: 120780/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739070.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN CAPASSO**	Index No: 122143/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739136.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55109/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

PAUL A. DUNPHY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123830/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **PAUL A. DUNPHY**	Index No: 123830/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739145.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANK HERO, JR.**	Index No: 124081/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106737628.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **GEORGE TROESTER**	Index No: 124340/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739875.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANCIS G. CALLAHAN**	Index No: 125131/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/06, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106733015.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ED CODAIR**	Index No: 125131/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106733028.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **SAMUEL ROSENBLATT**	Index No: 125134/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738520.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55107/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LANE L. BILBY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100773/03,
119390/00 & 125263/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 10/17/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55110/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JAMES M. ECKERT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

~~Index No.:~~ 119396/00 &
100354/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55112/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE GONYO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 119392/00 & 101721/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Francis A. Lesniak	Index No: 102174/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 102174/01

This Document Relates to:
Lawrence A. Giermek, as Executor for the Estate of Francis A. Lesniak,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

10/10/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lawrence A. Giermek, as Executor for the Estate of Francis A. Lesniak
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-154

So Ordered: _____ OCT 24 2011
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN, & BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No. **102693-2001**
~~115696-2000~~
119380-2000

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas McCann	Index No: 103116/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas McCann	Index No: 103116/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALICIA J. KUCHARCZAK**	Index No: 103270/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739455.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **THOMAS J. WALSH**	Index No.: 103811-01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

CLB55111/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

REUBEN W. GOLDMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100769/03 &
104560/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **REUBEN W. GOLDMAN**	Index No: 104560/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739280.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM J. ROBINSON**	Index No: 104695/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739732.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **HARVEY MCCAIN**	Index No: 104904/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739525.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANK C . GULLO, JR.**	Index No: 104999/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739367.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55114/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRANK C. GULLO, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 104999/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI**	Index No: 105605/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739546.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY MIGLIOZZI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-105605

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
10/3, 2011

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: B. D.

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ OCT 24 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

13419916.1

CLB55119/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105605/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV -4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55249/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FLOYD PONZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100782/03,
106441/01 & 119385/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RICHARD T. GROGAN**	Index No: 106644/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739334.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John A. Lockwood	Index No: 106933/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 106933/01
This Document Relates to: John A. Lockwood	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 119376/00
107190/01
100770/03

JAMES E. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

David Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **PHILIP F. ANUNDSON**	Index No: 108012/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738944.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSEPH C. LANG**	Index No: 108713/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106736343.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WARREN MCNALLY, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 108714/01 ~~120250/99~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~
Joe Vazquez

7000 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **GERALD MARINGIONE**	Index No: 109016/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739506.1

CLB55116/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GERALD MARINGIONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109016/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55123/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DANIEL MAYNARD PRATT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 110814/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES T. HAGEN**	Index No: 110850/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746284.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES BARRY**	Index No: 111218/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746187.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALPHONSO JACKSON**	Index No: 111218/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746293.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PASQUALE RASILE and MARY RASILE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 117707/98, ~~115624/01~~ 111218/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LAWRENCE HAIGLER**	Index No: 111219/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746285.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **BRYAN PRICE**	Index No: 111220/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746340.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EDWARD P. FRANK**	Index No: 111220/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746274.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANK M. COLABELLA**	Index No: 111221/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746210.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 111222/01, 115831/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co.," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co.,", with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27/ 2011

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
William P. Tanner and Kathryn S. Tanner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

1863-23714

4852-5264-3591.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JOSEPH J. MAIELLO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~115816/01~~ 111222/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM P. TANNER**	Index No: 111222/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746386.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **THOMAS MC CAFFERTY**	Index No: 111222/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746313.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ANTHONY BOIANO**	Index No: 111223/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106739011.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas Sheehan	Index No: 111223/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas Sheehan	Index No: 111223/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
SIDNEY SMOLLER and HARRIET SMOLLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~121132/01~~ 111224/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRED A. HOFMANN, JR.**	Index No: 111224/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746289.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **PETER V. MATTHEWS**	Index No: 111224/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746306.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **NATALE V. GRILLO**	Index No: 111224/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746260.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EZRA CLARK**	Index No: 111224/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746208.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MARION KENNEDY, as Administratrix of the Estate of PHILIP KENNEDY, and MARION KENNEDY, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 118079/01 111225/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN J. CULLEN**	Index No: 111225/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746229.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN A. SING**	Index No: 111225/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746364.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON and MARY KENNINGTON, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 122540/01 111226/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LOUIS MONTELEONE and IRENE MONTELEONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 111226/01 122427/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 111226/01
This Document Relates to: James J. Faulkner	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Peter Di Martino	Index No: 111226/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James J. Faulkner	Index No: 111226/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 111226/01
This Document Relates to: Peter DiMartino	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Louis Monteleone	Index No: 111226/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Louis Monteleone	Index No: 111226/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Bernhard Schindler	Index No: 111227/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RONALD M. KIRK**	Index No: 111228/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746298.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EDWARD F. MARTENS**	Index No: 111228/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746302.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALBERT CONTENTO**	Index No: 111228/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746216.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 111228/01
This Document Relates to: William H. Wharry	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WILLIAM TAGLIFERRI and CHRISTIN TAGLIAFERRI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 111229/01 119610/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer Childs
Jennifer Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LLOYD PATTERSON**	Index No: 111229/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746334.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles Thomas	Index No: 111229/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PASQUALE VUOLO and CARMELA VUOLO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 111230/01 123189/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JERRY CHIERCHIA**	Index No: 111230/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746206.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN DOHERTY**	Index No: 111230/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746235.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MICHAEL PALAZZO**	Index No: 111230/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746331.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
VINCENT COSTA, Individually and as Administrator for the Estate of LUCY COSTA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 111231/01 120462/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vasquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LOUIS ANGELLILO**	Index No: 111231/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106746185.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **BERTRAND E. LINDSEY**	Index No: 111231/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746301.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **VINCENT COSTA**	Index No: 111231/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746227.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RUDOLPH BIBOW**	Index No: 111231/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746190.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MICHAEL A. RUZZI**	Index No: 111231/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746350.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: BERTRAND E. LINDSEY and EILEEN LINDSEY, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 01-111231 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
9/12/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Dana M Northcraft, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Bertrand E. Lindsey and Eileen Lindsey
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 29 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JAMES B. FLEMING and NOREEN FLEMING, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 119781/01 111232/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANCIS P. MC GOWAN**	Index No: 111232/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746322.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM MILETI**	Index No: 111232/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746329.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALFONSO D. RAINES**	Index No: 111232/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746345.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRED G. SHERRON**	Index No: 111232/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746356.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **PETER CONNOLLY**	Index No: 111233/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746214.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSEPH W. SHREK**	Index No: 111233/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746359.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PATRICIA FAGAN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~119237/01~~ 111234/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
NANCY CONTINO, as Administratrix for the Estate of NUNZIANTE CONTINO, and INCORONATA CONTINO, Individually,	:	Index Nos. 111234/01 119252/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **KAREL DVORAK**	Index No: 111234/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746242.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES E. SPEARMAN**	Index No: 111234/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746373.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LINDA WELISCHAR, as Administratrix for the Estate of ALBERT V. WELISCHAR, and LINDA WELISCHAR, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 111236/01 121823/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CARLTON C. BUTLER**	Index No: 111236/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10 105 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739094.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ANTHONY M. IACONO**	Index No: 111866/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746292.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 115469/01
EVA M. MASI	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746304.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PASQUALE RASILE and MARY RASILE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 117707/98, 115624/01, 111218/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 115739/01
This Document Relates to: Joe Jenkins	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joe Jenkins	Index No: 115739/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILA, & BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~104634-2007~~ 115787-2001 ~~112680-2000~~ 122202-2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH J. MAIELLO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 115816/01 111222/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **THOMAS MC CAFFERTY**	Index No: 115817/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746308.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 111222/01, 115831/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co.," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co.,", with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27/ 2011

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
William P. Tanner and Kathryn S. Tanner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

1863-23714

4852-5264-3591.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM P. TANNER**	Index No: 115831/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746385.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANK M. COLABELLA**	Index No: 115845/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746211.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles A. Lass	Index No: 117033/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MARION KENNEDY, as Administratrix of the Estate of PHILIP KENNEDY, and MARION KENNEDY, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 118079/01 111225/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN A. SING**	Index No: 118112/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106746363.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSEPH W. SHREK**	Index No: 118721/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746357.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES E. SPEARMAN**	Index No: 119217/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746372.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PATRICIA FAGAN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 119237/01 111234/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
NANCY CONTINO, as Administratrix for the Estate of NUNZIANTE CONTINO, and INCORONATA CONTINO, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. ~~111234~~/01 119252/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **BRYAN PRICE**	Index No: 119477/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/06, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746339.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LLOYD PATTERSON**	Index No: 119587/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746332.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
WILLIAM TAGLIFERRI and CHRISTIN TAGLIAFERRI,	:	Index Nos. ~~111229/01~~ 119610/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer Childs
Jennifer Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM TAGLIAFERRI**	Index No: 119610/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746360.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANCIS P. MC GOWAN**	Index No: 119767/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746318.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JAMES B. FLEMING and NOREEN FLEMING, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 119781/01 111232/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRED G. SHERRON**	Index No: 119783/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746355.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALFONSO D. RAINES**	Index No: 119788/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746342.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM MILETI**	Index No: 119798/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746326.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DANIEL J. WALSH, as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE M. WALSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 120388/01
106273/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MILDRED R. CAPLES, As Personal Representative for the Estate of I.D. CAPLES and MILDRED R. CAPLES, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~120388/01~~ 106314/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 6, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Joseph Williams~~
John Richmond

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
JAMES R. SKENE and VIVIAN SKENE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 120388/01 106277/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ROBERT F. PORCELLA SR. and GEORGIA PORCELLA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~106283/02~~ 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CHARLES H. GEE**	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106746276.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO**	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746232.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James R. Skene	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **I.D. CAPLES**	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746200.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: George M. Walsh	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM CAHILL**	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746195.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **STEPHEN BOBROWICH**	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746193.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: George M. Walsh	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James R. Skene	Index No: 120388/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ROBERT J. FITZGERALD**	Index No: 120389/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746271.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **BESSIE F. LABARBERS**	Index No: 120389/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746299.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM W. CAHLSTADT**	Index No: 120389/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746198.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSEPH VISCONTI**	Index No: 120389/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106746395.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
THOMAS PEPINO, as Administrator for the Estate of HENRY PEPINO, and THOMAS PEPINO, as Administrator for the Estate of MILLICENT PEPINO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~123935/01~~ 120390/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES J. COUGHLIN**	Index No: 120390/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746228.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CHARLES P. HADFIELD**	Index No: 120390/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746282.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 120390/2001
This Document Relates to: Vincent Cannizzaro	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 120390/01
This Document Relates to: Vincent Cannizzaro	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRED ZEROLNICK**	Index No: 120390/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746405.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **DANIEL C. BLAKE**	Index No: 120392/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746191.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 120392/01
This Document Relates to: William R. Proctor	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **GINO CORBELLINI**	Index No: 120392/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746219.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William R. Proctor	Index No: 120392/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert Noble	Index No: 120393/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert Noble	Index No: 120393/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John B. McDonald	Index No: 120394/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES BRENNAN**	Index No: 120394/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746194.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John B. McDonald	Index No: 120394/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN and JANE MCLOUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 120395/01
~~109539/02~~

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANK CATALANO**	Index No: 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746203.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSE TRINIDAD**	Index No: 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746392.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Henry T. McLoughlin	Index No: 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Donald Perry	Index No: 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES F. SMITH**	Index No: 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746367.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Donald Perry	Index No: 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
CHARLES I. CARPENTER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index No. 120395/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 120395/01
This Document Relates to: Henry T. McLoughlin	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RAYMOND J. HICKEY**	Index No: 120396/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746287.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EDWARD T. GOOD**	Index No: 120396/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746279.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Alex Sparago	Index No: 120396/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles Pellegrino	Index No: 120396/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles Pellegrino	Index No: 120396/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Alex Sparago	Index No: 120396/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Travis M. Younger	Index No: 120397/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sol L. Hitzig	Index No: 120397/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sol L. Hitzig	Index No: 120397/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Travis Younger	Index No: 120397/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ROCCO F. AMATO**	Index No: 120398/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746184.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **HOWARD CORR**	Index No: 120398/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746224.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOHN C. O'MALLEY and EILEEN O'MALLEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 120399/01 104537/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
LISA A ZUYUS, as Personal Representative of the Estate of CHARLES M. DALY,	:	Index Nos. 100622/02 120429/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:	
Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ANNE DI MATTEI, as Personal Representative for the Estate of NICHOLAS FERRANDINO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~100618/02~~ 120429/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **GERALD KAMMERMAN**	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746295.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSEPH D. FESTA**	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746267.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EMIL J. COPPOLA**	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746217.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Vineski	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MICHAEL J. AMATO**	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746183.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RICHARD J. BARTOLOMUCCI**	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746189.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Vineski	Index No: 120430/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **PAT IACOBELLI**	Index No: 120431/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746290.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Edward W. Swanson	Index No: 120431/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Edward D. Swanson	Index No: 120431/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **FRANK C. PERRY**	Index No: 120432/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746338.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WALTER VOSBURGH**	Index No: 120432/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746397.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LAWRENCE W. RYAN**	Index No: 120432/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746353.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
EROTIDO LARRINAGA, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 120433/01 124181/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Ben Darche

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
GEORGE T. BIRNE and ANETTE BIRNE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~124198/01~~ 120433/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EROTIDO LARRINAGA**	Index No: 120433/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746300.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ED ACERRA**	Index No: 120433/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 1 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746182.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 01-120433
EROTIDO LARRINAGA, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
9/16/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Erotido Larrinaga
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 29 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
VINCENT COSTA, Individually and as Administrator for the Estate of LUCY COSTA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~111231/01~~ 120462/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vasquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RUDOLPH BIBOW and JOAN BIBOW, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 120471/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Daniel Blouin~~
Dara M. Mottcraft, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MICHAEL A. RUZZI**	Index No: 120583/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746348.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ROSE MASTROMANO, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE MASTROMANO, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 121004/01 106035/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 121099/01
108535/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 121099/01
This Document Relates to: John J. Owens	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John J. Owens	Index No: 121099/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
SIDNEY SMOLLER and HARRIET SMOLLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~121132/01~~ 111224/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EDWARD F. MARTENS**	Index No: 121426/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106746303.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frederick Bang	Index No: 121732/01
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 121734/01
This Document Relates to: Frank Barbera	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank Barbera	Index No: 121734/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
LINDA WELISCHAR, as Administratrix for the Estate of ALBERT V. WELISCHAR, and LINDA WELISCHAR, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 111236/01 121823/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index Nos. 102769/02 122138/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles F. Rama	Index No: 122138/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Charles F. Rama	Index No: 122138/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Eugene D. Steinhauer	Index No: 122138/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Eugene D. Steinhauer	Index No: 122138/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William J. Dowd	Index No: 122139/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William J. Dowd	Index No: 122139/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Stephen D. Hall	Index No: 122295/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Stephen D. Hall	Index No: 122295/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Stephen D. Hall and Joan Hall,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 122295/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Stephen D. Hall and Joan Hall
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-306

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
LOUIS MONTELEONE and IRENE MONTELEONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~111226/01~~ 122427/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

CLB55248/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ARTHUR PAVANE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122494/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JOSEPH J. KENNINGTON and MARY KENNINGTON,	:	Index Nos. 122540/01 111226/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES R. MCDONALD**	Index No: 122703/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738375.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
DENISE ATAMIAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT J. TUSSI,	:	Index No. 122790/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARGARET J. BIEK, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RIACHRD R. BIEK,	:	Index No. 122793/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PASQUALE VUOLO and CARMELA VUOLO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~111230/01~~ 123189/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
GREGORY SNEED, as Administrator for the Estate of BRUCE D. SNEED,	:	Index No. 123225/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RICHARD J. DIETZ (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 123275/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: BERNHARD SCHINDLER, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 123457/2001 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heaters Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept. 7th, 2011

Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan Alvarado
Attorney for Plaintiff
Bernhard Schindler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

4824-5159-3482.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Edward W. Swanson,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 123593/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/18, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edward W. Swanson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6247

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 123909/01
This Document Relates to: Vincent Cannizzaro	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CHARLES P. HADFIELD**	Index No: 123924/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106746283.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
THOMAS PEPINO, as Administrator for the Estate of HENRY PEPINO, and THOMAS PEPINO, as Administrator for the Estate of MILLICENT PEPINO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 123935/01 120390/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
EROTIDO LARRINAGA, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~120433/01~~ 124181/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Ben Darche

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
GEORGE T. BIRNE and ANETTE BIRNE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~124198/01~~ 120433/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARY BRUNO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN V. BRUNO,	:	Index No. 100054/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Vineski	Index No: 100293/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Vineski	Index No: 100293/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
PATRICIA LYNCH, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of DAVID L. LYNCH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 100580/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vasquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID L. LYNCH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 100580-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
HELEN KURTZ, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of BENJAMIN F. KURTZ,	:	Index No. 100581/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ANNE DI MATTEI, as Personal Representative for the Estate of NICHOLAS FERRANDINO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 100618/02 120429/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
LISA A ZUYUS, as Personal Representative of the Estate of CHARLES M. DALY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 100622/02 120429/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARGARET HICKEY, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of HERBERT P. HICKEY,	:	Index No. 100778/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MILDRED STRAUCH, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of SEYMOUR STRAUCH, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 100820/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARGARET SAMARTANO, as Executrix for the Estate of CHARLES F. HENDRICKSON,	:	Index No. 100822/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ROSWANN ESPOSITO, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN ESPOSITO, and ROSEANN ESPOSITO, Individually,	:	Index No. 101058/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
KEITH DASH, as Executor of the Estate of GEORGE E. DASH, and KEITH E. DASH, as Administrator for the Estate of NORMA DASH, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 101284/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

TRIESTINA SCALI as Administratrix for the Estate of NICODEMO SCALI and TRIESTINA SCALI as Spouse,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., including J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,

Index No.: 101347/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 3, 2011

Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lextingon Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Nicodemo Scali
(212) 986-2233

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY& BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
J.H. France Refractories Company
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ DATED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
TRIESTINA SCALI, as Administratrix for the Estate of NICODEMO SCALI, and TRIETINA SCALI, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, et al., Defendants.	Index No. 101347/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

EARLY & STRAUSS, P.C.

By: _____
Mark Strauss

360 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: _____
Scott Emery

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Attorneys for Defendant
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
TRIESTINA SCALI, as Administratrix for the Estate of NICODEMO SCALI, and TRIETINA SCALI, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, et al., Defendants.	Index No. 101347/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6/11

EARLY & STRAUSS, P.C.

By: _____
Mark Strauss

360 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: _____
Scott Emery

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Attorneys for Defendant
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
DIANE COVERT, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT F. COVERT, and DIANE COVERT, Individually,	:	Index No. 101424/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOHN B. BUTCKOVITZ, as Independent Executor for the Estate of MARION A. BUTCKOVITZ, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 101785/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims, cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6/11

EARLY LUDWICK SWEENEY STRAUSS LLC

By: _____
Mark Strauss

Attorneys for Plaintiff

360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: _____
Scott Emery

Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JOHN B. BUTCKOVITZ, as Independent Executor for the Estate of MARION A. BUTCKOVITZ, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 101785/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims, cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

EARLY LUDWICK SWEENEY STRAUSS LLC

By: _____
Mark Strauss

Attorneys for Plaintiff

360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: _____
Scott Emery

Attorneys for Defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 1001
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CLIFTON ENOCH ROBINSON**	Index No: 101939/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738514.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RUTH E. WHIDDEN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ROBERT M. WHIDDEN, and RUTH E. WHIDDEN, Individually,	:	Index No. 102003/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **ROCCO MATERO**	Index No.: 102008-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
This Document Relates To:	:	Index No. 102008/02
ROCCO MATERO and ELSIE MATERO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WARD EVART HARRIS**	Index No: 102010/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106737621.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **THOMAS J. CZORA, SR.**	Index No: 102384/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106737584.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MORRIS CLEMONS**	Index No: 102423/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106733021.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

FELIX OJEDA

Index No.: 102508-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

CAROL PRINGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES J. PRINGLE, and CAROL PRINGLE, Individually,

Plaintiff,

v.

INSULATION DISTRIBUTORS, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL - NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 102509-02

WHEREFORE, Defendant **INSULATION DISTRIBUTORS, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against INSULATION DISTRIBUTORS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant INSULATION DISTRIBUTORS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

10/12/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Dated: Buffalo, New York
October 17, 2011

DAMON MOREY LLP

Jeffrey J. Leibeck, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Insulation Distributors, Inc.
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
200 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo, New York 14202

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

GRANTED:

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 102733/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Eugene D. Steinhauer and Karen L. Steinhauer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1527

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Charles F. Rama,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 102737/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
October 6, 2011

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles F. Rama
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
CATHERINE TANTILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN E. BEHAN, and CATHERINE BEHAN, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 102769/02 122138/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
PATRICIA I. SINGLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES J. CARR, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 102946/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct. 4, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Ben Darthe

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
LEWIS GILPATRICK (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 103057/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD A. WOOD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 103058-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Charles Pellegrino and Dorothy Pellegrino,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 103336/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/18, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Charles Pellegrino and Dorothy Pellegrino
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6471

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 103336/02

This Document Relates to:
Charles Pellegrino and Dorothy Pellegrino,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Charles Pellegrino and Dorothy Pellegrino
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6471

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

BARBARA NOBLE PAPP, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT J. NOBLE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 103681/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
LEO ROOSEVELT WILSON SR.,	:	Index No. 104030/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	
-against-	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William R. Proctor	Index No: 104070/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William R. Proctor	Index No: 104070/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
William R. Proctor (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 104070/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William R. Proctor (Deceased)
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
William R. Proctor (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 104070/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/18, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William R. Proctor (Deceased)
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOHN C. O'MALLEY and EILEEN O'MALLEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. ~~120399/01~~ 104537/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 105054/02

This Document Relates to:
Mary F. Younger, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Travis M. Younger,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/18, 2011

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mary F. Younger, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Travis M. Younger
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 109[illegible]-5313

OCT 24 2011

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Travis Younger	Index No: 105054/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Travis M. Younger	Index No: 105054/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Gary Steven Hitzig, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Sol L. Hitzig,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 105078/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
OCT 5 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gary Steven Hitzig, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Sol L. Hitzig
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1402

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sol L. Hitzig	Index No: 105078/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sol L. Hitzig	Index No: 105078/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ANTONIA GRILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANCES GRILLO, and ANTONIA GRILLO, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 105283/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-105559
DOLORES REINA, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN REINA, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
9/25/11

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.~~ Dana M. Mrkacheck, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Dolores Reina, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of John Reina
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sheila Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 29 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DOLORES REINA for the Estate of JOHN REINA, deceased, deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

ACandS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02-105559

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

ME1 12347973v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN REINA

Index No.: 105559-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

LOREN J. BROWN

Index No.: 105590-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

LILLIAN S. CZERMINSKI

Index No.: 105592-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ALICE B. GOEBEL, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD C. GOEBEL,	:	Index No. 105623/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
ALICE B. GOEBEL, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD C. GOEBEL, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 105623/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **EDWARD C. GOEBEL**	Index No.: 105623-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Kleinheitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

ROCCO L. PELUSO JR.

Index No.: 105625-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROCCO L. PELUSO JR. (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105625/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JAMES R. PELUSSO, As Administrator for the Estate of ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR., and JANE M. PELUSO, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 105625/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Frank Ortiz~~
Michael Farrell
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 24 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. KERNS (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105711/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ROBERT E. KERNS JR., as Executor for the Estate of ROBERT E. KERNS, and THELMA KERNS, Individually,	:	Index No. 105711/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ROBERT E. KERNS, JR., as Executor for the Estate of ROBERT E. KERNS, and THELMA KERNS, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 105711/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. KERNS

Index No.: 105711-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CARL P. MAGNONA(Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105712/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: RAE M. MANGONA, Individually and Administatrix for the Estate of CARL P. MANGONA, Plaintiffs, -against- A C & S INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 105712/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/7 2011

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
RAE M. MANGONA, Individually and Administatrix for the Estate of CARL P. MANGONA,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

1863-11670

4814-2033-4600.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARL P. MANGONA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 105712-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

KEITH R. JAKWAY, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN H. JAKWAY, and JUNE JAKWAY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~110297/02~~ 105715/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
THOMAS WIMMER AND DONNA WIMMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 105871/02 108985/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~
Joe Vazquez

7000 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------X

PHILLIP R. COX,	:	Index No. 105872/02
	:	108196/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	114082/05
-against-	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID G. MONTEROSSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 105873-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

LEONARD COSTELLO, as the Executor for the Estate of FRANK J. COSTELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 105874/02
109943/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Matthew Park

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK J. COSTELLO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
105874/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

FRANK J. COSTELLO

Index No.: 105874-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
DONALD CARRIERO and ROSEMARIE CARRIERO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 109689/02 105876/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 3, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
John Richmond

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD J. CONKLIN

Index No.: 105876-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD**	Index No: 105877/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106733006.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

DONALD HASKINS

Index No.: 105990-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

LARRY SCHNEIDER

Index No.: 105989-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ROSE MASTROMANO, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT CIRO MASTROMANO, and ROSE MASTROMANO, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. ~~121004/01~~ 106035/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

GARY R. TERWILLIGER

Index No.: 106223-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

CLB55130/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106242/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

9/20/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI**	Index No: 106242/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739965.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Irving Spitz

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.:106266/02

WHEREFORE, Defendant BOISE CASCADE CORPORATION, (hereinafter "DEFENDANT"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant BOISE CASCADE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant BOISE CASCADE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Frank Ortiz
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Christopher S. Kozak
Attorneys for Defendant
BOISE CASCADE CORPORATION
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

.::ODMA/PCDOCS/DocsNY/524581/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

IRVING SPITZ (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106266/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

IRVING SPITZ

Index No.: 106266-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
DAVID SPITZ, as Administrator for the Estate of IRVING SPITZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 106266/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DANIEL J. WALSH, as Executor for the Estate of GEORGE M. WALSH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~120388/01~~ 106273/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: George M. Walsh	Index No: 106273/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
JAMES R. SKENE and VIVIAN SKENE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~120388/01~~ 106277/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ROBERT F. PORCELLA SR. and GEORGIA PORCELLA,	:	Index Nos. 106283/02 120388/01
Plaintiffs,	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MILDRED R. CAPLES, As Personal Representative for the Estate of I.D. CAPLES and MILDRED R. CAPLES, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~120388/01~~ 106314/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 6, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: ~~Joseph Williams~~ John Richmond

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LELAND KIRK WEBSTER JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 106507-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
ANTHONY CELESTE and CONCETTA CELESTE, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~110850/02~~ 106508/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: ~~106508-02~~ 110859-02

LEONARD POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M. BUCKLEY,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No.: 106508/02
A.C. and S. INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/3/11
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NY-915627 v1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT J. AULETTA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106509/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
VINCENT J. AULETTA, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 106509/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~
Joe Vazquez

7000 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **VINCENT J. AULETTA**	Index No.: 106509-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD SAMSON (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106510/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **EDWARD SAMSON**	Index No.: 106510-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
DEAN HARP, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of RALPH J. DE GEORGIA,	:	Index No. 106574/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RALPH J. De GEORGIA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
106574/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

RALPH J. DE GEORGIA

Index No.: 106574-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN K. HUBER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 106577-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of CHARLES SNECKENBURG, and CATHERINE SNECKENBURG Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 106578/02
112709/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

RUTH S. SCIORTINO, as Executrix for the Estate of AARON O'BRIEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~113939/02~~ 106581/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM L. CARPENTER, and BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~113809/02~~ 106690/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM L. CARPENTER, and BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 113809/02 106690/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

EVA REINARD, as Executrix for the Estate of NORMAN REINARD, and EVA REINARD, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~114650/02~~ 106694/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~106706/02~~ 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-25635AS

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 106706/02 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-9135

OCT 14 2011

{N0080939-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 106706/02 ~~114859~~/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-9633

{N0080939-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 106706/02 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6236AS

{N0080939-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

JAMES P. CAHILL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 116079/02
106708/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SHIRLEY G. CHIMERA, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 116076/02 106708/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 10/10/2011
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106914/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE MEIROSE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ELIZABETH MEIROSE, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE MEIROSE,	:	Index No. 106914/02
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106915/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LAWRENCE LUTTRELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106963/02
111994/02

RONALD J. DEON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD J. DEON AND LINDA M. DEON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~106963/02~~ 111994/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald J. Deon and Linda M. Deon
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 100
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6017N

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106963/02

RONALD J. DEON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 10\5\11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heller

OCT 24 2011

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 106964/02
~~112087~~/02

JOHN KOSTOROSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: October 6, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
9/29/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106964/02 and 112087/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN KOSTOROSKI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 7, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

Our File No
S-7483-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~106999/02~~ 111316/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 10/10/2011
Samuel M. Melkowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 106999/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

PATRICK CIRBUS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

Index No.: 106999-02

This Document Relates To:

SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 10\5\11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

Index No.: 106999-02
111316-02

This Document Relates To:

SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WILLIAM F. LAW, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~107006/02~~ 117996/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM F. LAW AND PATRICIA Y. LAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107006/02 117996/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William F. Law and Patricia Y. Law
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0562AA

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM F. LAW AND PATRICIA Y. LAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107006/02 ~~117996/02~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William F. Law and Patricia Y. Law
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6550AA

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MARY LOU LA GOE, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. LA GOE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107006/02 117995/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 10/10/2011
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 107006/02
117995/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT LAGOE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

10/10/2011
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meiowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, ~~Esq.~~
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107006/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LAWRENCE ROOKEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROL RUFFUS, AS ADMINISTRATIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ARNOLD BENEDETTO JR., AND EDNA MAE BENEDETTO, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~115368/02~~ 107007/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

10/10/2011
Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Arnold Benedetto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
~~New York,~~ New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2571-0683C

{N0042173-1}

OCT 24 2011

CLB55058/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HARVEY KESSLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 107007/02 &
115342/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 9/15/11

Michael Fanelli,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Nicole Pitti, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107098/02

WILLIAM P. O'MEARA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/5/11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EILEEN O'MEARA, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM P. O'MEARA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107098/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Wiliam P. O'Meara
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25521

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

CLB55242/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

WILLIAM P. O'MEARA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 107098/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. MacIntyre,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

9/21/11

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
EILEEN O'MEARA, Individually and as Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM P. O'MEARA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107098/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107099-02
~~117571-02~~

PAULINE BURTON as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD BURTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 107102-02, 119102-02
RANDALL KACER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1660468

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107102/02
119102/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RANDALL KACER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: THOMAS J. COYNE, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-107102
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-21-11 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903
732-545-4579

PATTI BURSHTYN, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Thomas J. Coyne
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

OCT 21 2011

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BW-BEING-30

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107167-02
112800-02

RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AO SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA GEARY, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RICHARD K. GEARY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107167/02 112800/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/17, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Richard K. Geary
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25564AG
OCT 24 2011

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RICHARD K. GEARY (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. ~~112800/02~~ 107167/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107167-02

RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AO SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/5/11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107183/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

MATTHEW DEGAETANO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

10/10/2011
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JOAN M. FAGAN as the Executrix for the Estate of JOHN J. FAGAN and JOAN M. FAGAN, Individually, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-107403 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Joan M. Fagan as the Executrix for the Estate of John J. Fagan and Joan M. Fagan, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107404/02 114296/02; 116798/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-11382

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107404/02 114296/02; 116798/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-28636AK

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

WILLIAM RICHARDSON, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF BYRON RICHARDSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 107542-2002

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shelry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOHN HAMILTON and MARILYN HAMILTON, **Index No. 107543-2002**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.& S. INC., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107761/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROSE DEAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
HAROLD J. DEAN, as Executor for the Estate of ROSE PRICILLA DEAN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 107761/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10\10\11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108105/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM KOPCHO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP R. COX

Index No.: 108169-02; 114082-05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP R. COX (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No~~
108196/02 & 114082/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
PHILLIP R. COX, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~105872/02~~ 108196/02 114082/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PHILIP COX Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et. al., Defendants.	Index No.: 108196/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27, 2011

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

4816-0480-4362.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108356/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT E. HOULIHAN, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~121099/01~~ 108535/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Kathleen Owens, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John Owens,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 108535/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Kathleen Owens, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John Owens
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5239

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John J. Owens	Index No: 108535/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John J. Owens	Index No: 108535/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH LAVIERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 108750-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

JOSEPH LAVIERO and NANCY LAVIERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 108750/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
PHYLLIS DOLBERG as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT MERRY, and ALMA MERRY, Individually,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 108868-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S. INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 3, 2011
New York, New York

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108872/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALFRED KOPERA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
DORIS FENNELL KOPERA as Executrix for the Estate of ALFRED J. KOPERA,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	Index No.: 108872-02
A.C. and S. INC., et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 3, 2011
New York, New York

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **DAVID WEAVER**	Index No.: 108982-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DAVID WEAVER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
108982/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
THOMAS WIMMER AND DONNA WIMMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~105871/02~~ 108985/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By: _____
~~Frank M. Ortiz~~
Joe Vazquez

7000 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
108985/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM M. ALMOND

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
109434/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM M. ALMOND

Index No.: 109434-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
HENRY T. MCLOUGHLIN and JANE MCLOUGHLIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. ~~120395/01~~ 109539/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109641/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LEONARD R. DARMSTEDTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109642/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

MAURICE HOURIHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DONALD CARRIERO and ROSEMARIE CARRIERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~109689/02~~ 105876/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 3, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
John Richmond

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD CARRIERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 109689-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/15, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DONALD CARRIERO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
109689/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 3, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY J. FIOCCO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 109710-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LOUIS A. LIPA, SR.**	Index No: 109809/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106739491.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

LEONARD COSTELLO, as the Executor for the Estate of FRANK J. COSTELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~105874/02~~ 109943/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Matthew Park

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
BEATRICE SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIS R. GAVIGAN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~110269/02~~ 126765/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-22-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Goriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-110269
JAMES A. ROBINETT, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
October 7, 2011

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
James A. Robinett
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Shirley Kornreich Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES O. HUGHES

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110296/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES O. HUGHES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110296-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN H. JAKWAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110297-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

KEITH R. JAKWAY, as Executor for the Estate of JOHN H. JAKWAY, and JUNE JAKWAY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 110297/02
~~105715/02~~

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN H. JAKWAY (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110297/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH G. MILLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110305-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JUDY ANN MILLER, as Administratrix for the Estate of KENNETH G. MILLER, and JUDY ANN MILLER, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 110305/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH G. MILLER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110305/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WESLIE WALDRON (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110319/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **WESLIE WALDRON**	Index No.: 110319-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: LUCY WALDRON as Executrix for the Estate of WESLIE M. WALDRON, & LUCY WALDRON, Individually, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. and S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 110319/2002 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heaters Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/7 2011

Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phen Alvarado
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lucy Waldron
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

4815-6829-9530.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD MORSE

Index No.: 110463-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV -4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD DAY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110478-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD DAY (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110478/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FARO P. VITALE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110776/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 3, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN C. BUCKLEY**	Index No.: 110848-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

ANTHONY CELESTE and CONCETTA CELESTE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 110850/02
106508/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY CELESTE SR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110850/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ANTHONY CELESTE SR. and CONCETTA CELESTE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 110850/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY CELESTE SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110850-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: ~~106508-02~~ 110859-02

LEONARD POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LEONARD POMPOSELLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 110859-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LEONARD POMPOSELLO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
110859/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 111308/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE HENRY BISHOP,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

Index No.: ~~106999-02~~ 111316-02

This Document Relates To:

SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

Index No.: 111316-02

This Document Relates To:

SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10\5\11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
9/29/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 111316/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: PATRICK J. CIRBUS	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Our File No
S-5687-02

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)**

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No. 111316/02**
SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 23, 2011

~~Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.~~ Frank Ortiz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Patrick J. Cirbus and Shirley Cirbus
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: ~~OCT 14 2011~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)**

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index No. 111316/02**
SHIRLEY CIRBUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, and SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 23, 2011

~~Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.~~ Frank Ortiz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Patrick J. Cirbus and Shirley Cirbus
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 700
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SHIRLEY CIRBUS, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK J. CIRBUS, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~106999/02~~ 111316/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 10/10/2011
Samuel M. Merowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN M. YEDINAK**	Index No.: 111510-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD J. DEON AND LINDA M. DEON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~106963/02~~ 111994/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald J. Deon and Linda M. Deon
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6017N

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~106963/02~~ 111994/02

RONALD J. DEON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111994/02

RONALD J. DEON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 10/5/11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: ~~106964/02~~ 112087/02

JOHN KOSTOROSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: October 6, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
9/29/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106964/02 and 112087/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN KOSTOROSKI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 7, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

Our File No
S-7483-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **DARRELL C. COVERT**	Index No.: 112258-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

DAVID F. LAMBO

Index No.: 112271-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH KOVALCHICK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 112374/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9-27-2011 Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of CHARLES SNECKENBURG, and CATHERINE SNECKENBURG Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 106578/02
112709/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES SNECKENBURG

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
112709/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 1150
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES SNECKENBURG

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 112709-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112736/02

This Document Relates to:
Carl Cucco Jr. and Gaetana Cucco,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Carl Cucco Jr. and Gaetana Cucco
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2884

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Carl Cucco Jr. and Gaetana Cucco,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112736/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Carl Cucco Jr. and Gaetana Cucco
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-7356

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

This fax was sent with GFI FAXmaker fax server. For more information, visit: http://www.gfi.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **PAUL MICHAELS BUCZEK**	Index No.: 112740-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Carl Cucco Jr. and Gaetana Cucco,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 112736/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Carl Cucco Jr. and Gaetana Cucco
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RICHARD K. GEARY (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 112800/02 107167/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~107167-02~~ 112800-02

RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AO SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heller

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA GEARY, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RICHARD K. GEARY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~107167/02~~ 112800/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/17, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Richard K. Geary
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25564AG
OCT 24 2011

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112800-02

RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AO SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10\5\11
New York, New York

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heller

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

TMc:CC(jpk)
9/29/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 112800/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: RICHARD GEARY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10\5\11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

Our File No
S-7646-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
FAITH E. WEBER, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of RAYMOND F. WEBER,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 112954-02
-against-	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.C. and S. INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 3, 2011
New York, New York

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 112954/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND F. WEBER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **ERNEST R. ROMANOFSKI**	Index No.: 113079-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HARRY W. TRIMM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 113267-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DONALD W. RIDDELL and SHIRLEY RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~127345/02~~ 113565/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Frank Ortiz~~
Dana M. Northcraft Esq
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

536.13357/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DONALD RIDDELL, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~113565/02~~ I27345/02 (August 2011 FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 9-27-2011
East Hanover, New Jersey

DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM L. CARPENTER, and BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 113809/02 ~~106690/02~~ **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM L. CARPENTER, and BERNARDINE A. CARPENTER, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 113809/02
106690/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM L. CARPENTER**	Index No.: 113809-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM L. CARPENTER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113809/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

RUTH S. SCIORTINO, as Executrix for the Estate of AARON O'BRIEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 113939/02
106581/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **AARON O'BRIEN**	Index No.: 113939-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

AARON O'BRIEN (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113939/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

536.13289/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ELMER MARIO ALBERICO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 114013/02 (September 2011 FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 9-27-2011
East Hanover, New Jersey

DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-114119
JOAN M. FAGAN as the Executrix for the Estate of JOHN J. FAGAN and JOAN M. FAGAN, Individually, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-5-11 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Joan M. Fagan as the Executrix for the Estate of John J. Fagan and Joan M. Fagan, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107~~404/02~~ 114296/02, 116798/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-11382

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107404/02 114296/02, 116798/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-25530AK

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
YALE L. EISENBERG and RITA R. EISENBERG, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	:	Index No. 114501/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/26/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Goliriz Shoai-Namni, Esq

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

EVA REINARD, as Executrix for the Estate of NORMAN REINARD, and EVA REINARD, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. ~~114650/02~~ 106694/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NORMAN JAMES REINARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 114650-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

NORMAN JAMES REINARD (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114650/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **LEONARD PRIMEAU**	Index No.: 114761-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES F. RYBACKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 114857-02; 116797-07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES F. RYBACKI (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114857/02 & 116797/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~106706/02~~ 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6236AS

{N0080939-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~106706/02~~ 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-9633

{N0080939-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 10~~6706/02~~ 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-9135

OCT 14 2011

{N0080939-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET STERNER AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DONALD L. STERNER AND MARGARET STERNER, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~106706/02~~ 114859/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Donald L. Sterner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-25635AS

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DONALD L. STERNER (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114859/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DONALD L. STERNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 114859-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED OCT 24 2011

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD M. McINERNEY Jr (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114873/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

BERNADETTE MCINERNEY, as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD M. MCINERNEY, JR., and BERNADETTE MCINERNEY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 114873/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD M. MC INERNEY JR.

Index No.: 114873-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN R. FREDERICK

Index No.: 114999-02; 109589-06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN R. FREDERICK (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
114999/02 & 109589/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DONALD J. KRENTZ (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
115012/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

24 2011

CLB55058/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HARVEY KESSLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

~~Index~~ No.: 107007/02 & 115342/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 9/15/11

Michael Fanelli,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Nicole Pitti, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CAROL RUFFUS, AS ADMINISTRATIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ARNOLD BENEDETTO JR., AND EDNA MAE BENEDETTO, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~115368/02~~ 107007/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

10/10/2011
Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Arnold Benedetto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
~~New York,~~ New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2571-0683C

{N0042173-1}

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In Re First Judicial District
Asbestos Litigation

This Document Applies to:
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

KENNETH LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
Index No.: 02-115650

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Genuine Parts Company**, (hereinafter "Genuine Parts Company") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Genuine Parts Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Genuine Parts Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 17, 2011

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER

Ruth Marcus, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York NY 10038

Dated: Buffalo, New York
October 25, 2011

DAMON MOREY LLP

Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant, Genuine Parts Company
The Avant Building, Suite 1200
200 Delaware Avenue
Buffalo NY 14202-2150

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SHIRLEY G. CHIMERA, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S., INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~116076/02~~ 106708/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 10/10/2011
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA

Index No.: 116076-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
116076/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
JAMES P. CAHILL, Plaintiff, -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 116079/02 106708/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JAMES P. CAHILL

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
116079/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **JAMES P. CAHILL**	Index No.: 116079-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: ~~107099-02~~ 117571-02

PAULINE BURTON as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD BURTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFIC
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
MARY LOU LA GOE, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. LA GOE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. C. & S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~107006/02~~ 117995/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 10/10/2011
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: ~~107006/02~~ 117995/02

ROBERT LAGOE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

10/10/2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meiowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

~~Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.~~
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. ~~Sherry~~ Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 117995/02

This Document Relates to:
Mary Lou La Goe, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Robert H. La Goe,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

10/10/2011

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Mary Lou La Goe, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Robert H. La Goe
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2738

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
WILLIAM F. LAW, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~107006/02~~ 117996/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM F. LAW AND PATRICIA Y. LAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: ~~107006/02~~ 117996/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William F. Law and Patricia Y. Law
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0562AA

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILLIAM F. LAW AND PATRICIA Y. LAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107006/02 117996/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
William F. Law and Patricia Y. Law
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-6550AA

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 117996/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM F. LAW,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

JAMES WATTS

Index No.: 118525-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

HENRY H. WILLIS (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
118528/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

HENRY H. WILLIS

Index No.: 118528-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NICHOLAS M. CIRILLO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 118982-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

OCT 21 2...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107102-02; 119102-02

RANDALL KACER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1660468

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: ~~107102/02~~ 119102/02

RANDALL KACER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119111/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LAWRENCE STERN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD M. PITTSLEY

Index No.: 120615-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120622-02

ELIZABETH TRIFARI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 17, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH DONALD SNYDER

Index No.: 120918-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

MATTHEW DANIEL ZUREK

Index No.: 122434-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

TIMONY, BRIGID Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of HUGH TIMONY, Deceased,

Index No. 123306-2002

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123970/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 09.26.11

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

TMc:CC(jpk)
8/31/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 123970/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/16/11

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

Our File No
S-9125-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 124339/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JULIAN ROBERTS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. M. Jack Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **EMILIO PAOLINI**	Index No: 124397/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738422.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: LOUIS SILBERT and ELAINE SILBERT, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 02-124441 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-12-11 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Louis Silbert and Elaine Silbert
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: SHARON MURRAY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN MURRAY, and SHARON MURRAY, Individually, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) INDEX NO.: 02-125213 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
October 7, 2011

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

~~PETER TAMBINI, ESQ.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Sharon Murray, as Executrix for the Estate of
John Murray, and Sharon Murray, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126186/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RALPH COLON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
BEATRICE SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIS R. GAVIGAN, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. ~~110269/02~~ 126765/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-22-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Goriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

OCT 14 2011

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: David Weissman	Index No: 126937/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 7, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sandra K. Steinman, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: David Weissman	Index No: 126937/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 7, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sandra K. Steinman, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 21 2011

536.13357/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: DONALD RIDDELL, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: ~~113565/02~~ 127345/02 (August 2011 FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 9-27-2011
East Hanover, New Jersey

DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DONALD W. RIDDELL and SHIRLEY RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 127345/02
~~113565/02~~

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Frank Ortiz~~
Dana M. Northcroft Esq
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
FRANK MONASTERO and CAROLINE MONASTERO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.E. CLEVITE, INC., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 127406/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept. 26, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne M. Ratcliffe

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Ian S. Millican

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	
FRANK MONASTERO AND CAROLINE MONASTERO, Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO FORD MOTOR COMPANY**
-against-	:	**Index No.: 127406/02**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7, 2011

By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Frank Monastero and Caroline Monastero

By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: _____
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 27 2011

{01045869.DOC }

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Frank Monastero and Caroline Monastero, Plaintiffs, -against- A O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al., Defendants.	Index No.: 127406/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27, 2011

Florentina Ignat, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Monastero and Caroline Monastero,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1863-17901

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

Index No.: 127406/2002

FRANK MONASTERO and
CAROLINE MONASTERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (incorrectly sued as "PACCAR, INC., Individually and through its division PETERBILT MOTORS CO.") (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
Sept. 27, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Donald Perry and Ann Marie Perry,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190574/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Donald Perry and Ann Marie Perry
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-6647

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

CHARLES S. BANIK, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN F. BANIK, & ANNABELL BANIK, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. ~~110587-2000~~ 100750-2003 ~~108356-2000~~

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55111/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

REUBEN W. GOLDMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100769/03 & 104560/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 119376/00
~~107190/01~~
100770/03

JAMES E. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

David Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

CLB55107/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LANE L. BILBY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No: 100773/03,
119390/00 & 125263/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 10/17/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

CLB55249/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FLOYD PONZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100782/03,
106441/01 & 119385/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONLEY M. KING

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 101779-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 102347/05, 123219/97 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/14, 2011

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

1235-18186

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: LOUIS SILBERT and ELAINE SILBERT, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 103220-03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-12-11 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Louis Silbert and Elaine Silbert
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

CLB55125/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WALTER H. REEVES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 104917/04,
108083/00 & 122192/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

Index No. 108613/03

This Document Relates To:

JOHN R. GONZALEZ and CARIDAD GONZALEZ, his wife,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al.*, Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 7, 2011

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

OCT 14 2011

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
PHILLIP R. COX, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 105872/02 ~~108196/02~~ 114082/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9-27-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP R. COX

Index No.: 108169-02; 114082-05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

PHILIP R. COX (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
108196/02 & 114082/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

ROBERT G. LORENTZ and JULIA A. LORENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCS CO.et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **114496-2005**
~~111109-2000~~
108351-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. ~~190330/11~~ 114501/05

YALE EISENBERG

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 5, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A. FARRELL, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 125779/99 ~~104712/00~~ 115803/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lois Kim, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **VELPO JOHNSON, JR.**	Index No: 115839/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739431.1

OCT 14 2011

CI.B55115/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VELPO JOHNSON, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115839/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **CHARLES W. DOUGLAS**	Index No: 116323/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106737602.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MICHAEL LAING**	Index No: 116324/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738291.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

RICHARD W. MITCHELL and HELGA MITHELL ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No. **117873-2003**
122185-1999
109249-2000

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **THOMAS O'MALLEY**	Index No: 118351/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106738406.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO, DOLORES A. BATTAGLIA, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 120250/03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/28, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Dolores A. Battaglia, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Angiolilo Julius Greico
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Jeffrey C. Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:10924-2774

So Ordered:

OCT 24 2011

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WILLIAM H. GILLIAM**	Index No: 120715/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106737611.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55113/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANGIOLILO JULIUS GREICO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121992/99,
106623/00 & 120250/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

10/17/11

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ROBERT PYANOWSKI**	Index No: 103005/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10 105 , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738469.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

FRANK STUMPF and KATHERINE STUMPF,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 103699-2004

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
OCT 21 2011 NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

FRANCIS E. WRUCK and MONICA WRUCK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 104099-2004

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **WALTER H. REEVES**	Index No: 104917/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739689.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

MICHAEL SUHL, as Administrator of the Estate of SIDNEY SUHL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No. 106467-2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOHN J. WOLTER and JOAN M. WOLTER, **Index No. 106696-2004**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.W. CHESTERTON et al., including
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
395 Madison Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 681-1575

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 106751/04
PAUL JENNINGS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739415.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

RONALD R. WERTS, SR., Index No. 107926-2004

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **RENATO MEZIC**	Index No: 108439/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738384.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

VICTOR SILVERMAN and ELIZABETH SILVERMAN, Index No. 110901-2004

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **HENRY M. NOWAK**	Index No: 111494/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739584.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113616/04

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALFRED E. SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/14, 2011
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---x

KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

A.W., et al., including
J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,

Defendant(s).

---x

Index No.: 114368/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George Robinson
(212) 681-1575

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY& BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
J.H. France Refractories Company
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DATED: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

---x

KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as Successor to BIF PUMPS, GENERAL SIGNAL and DEZURIK/COPES-VULCAN),

Defendants.

---x

Index No.: 114368/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BIF, incorrectly sued herein as, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as successor to BIF Pumps, General Signal and Dezurik/Copes-Vulcan), hereinafter BIF, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BIF with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIF be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants
BIF
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

---x

KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as Successor to BIF PUMPS, GENERAL SIGNAL and DEZURIK/COPES-VULCAN),

Defendants.

Index No.: 114368/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant General Signal, incorrectly sued herein as, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as successor to BIF Pumps, General Signal and Dezurik/Copes-Vulcan), hereinafter General Signal, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Signal with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Signal be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLP

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
General Signal
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

---x

KERMAN KORNWEISER and BEATRICE KORNWEISER,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as Successor to BIF PUMPS, GENERAL SIGNAL and DEZURIK/COPES-VULCAN),

Defendants.

---x

Index No.: 114368/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DeZurik, incorrectly sued herein as, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as successor to BIF Pumps, General Signal and Dezurik/Copes-Vulcan), hereinafter DeZurik, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DeZurik with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DeZurik be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, PLLC

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
DeZurik
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

MARILYN PLOECKELMAN, Individually and as Administratrix to the Estate of SIDNEY WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,

Defendant(s).

---x

Index No.: 114369/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George Robinson
(212) 681-1575

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY& BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
J.H. France Refractories Company
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DATED: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

---x

MARILYN PLOECKELMANN, Individually and as Administratrix to the Estate of SIDNEY WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as Successor to BIF PUMPS, GENERAL SIGNAL and DEZURIK/COPES-VULCAN),

Defendants.

Index No.: 114369/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant General Signal, incorrectly sued herein as, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as successor to BIF Pumps, General Signal and Dezurik/Copes-Vulcan), hereinafter DeZurik, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Signal with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Signal be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Sidney Ploeckelmann, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
General Signal
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

---x

MARILYN PLOECKELMANN, Individually and as Administratrix to the Estate of SIDNEY WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as Successor to BIF PUMPS, GENERAL SIGNAL and DEZURIK/COPES-VULCAN),

Defendants.

Index No.: 114369/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant BIF, incorrectly sued herein as, SPX CORPORATION (Individually and as successor to BIF Pumps, General Signal and Dezurik/Copes-Vulcan), hereinafter BIF, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BIF with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIF be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Sidney William Plockelmann, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
BIF
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

MAE MAUREEN VAN BUREN and JOHN VAN BUREN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 116445-2004

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **NEIL J. DOLAN**	Index No: 117419/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106737596.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON**	Index No: 102192/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738282.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GEORGE H. CLIFF	Index No: 104858/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

US_ACTIVE-106733024.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ALBERT P. VENTRY**	Index No: 110031/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

US_ACTIVE-106738533.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

ESTELLE ANTOIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

Index No. 111779-2005

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

YALE L. EISENBERG and RITA R. EISENBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., including
REYNOLDS METALS COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No. 114501/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 14, 2011

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Yale L. Eisenberg and Rita R. Eisenberg
(212) 558-5500

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Reynolds Metals Company
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

YALE L. EISENBERG and RITA R. EISENBERG

Index No.: 114501/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: YALE L. EISENBERG AND RITA R. EISENBERG Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 114501/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Fl.
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Yale L. Eisenberg and Rita R. Eisenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
324-8502 NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

{N0100892-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: YALE L. EISENBERG AND RITA R. EISENBERG Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 114501/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Fl.
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Yale L. Eisenberg and Rita R. Eisenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0907

OCT 24 2011

{N0100889-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: YALE L. EISENBERG AND RITA R. EISENBERG Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 114501/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Fl.
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Yale L. Eisenberg and Rita R. Eisenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0334

{N0100888-1}

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: YALE L. EISENBERG AND RITA R. EISENBERG Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 114501/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Fl.
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Yale L. Eisenberg and Rita R. Eisenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-27320

OCT 24 2011

{N0100887-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 115803/05
This Document Relates to: Raymond J. Farrell	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Raymond J. Farrell	Index No: 115803/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

_____ x

ROBERT FERST and MARILYN FERST, **Index No. 106597-2006**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

~~Index No. 25658~~/91;
107014/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

Martin F. White and Eileen White,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
Sept. 26, 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Heidi C. Baker, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
~~(212) 747~~-1230
MMG File No.: 558.~~1330~~ 1539

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Travis Younger	Index No: 107624/06
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ANTHONY E. LAMANO**	Index No: 108083/06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738335.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

JOHN R. FREDERICK

Index No.: 114999-02; 109589-06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN R. FREDERICK (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114999/02 & 109589/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

DUANE E. RICHARDSON, as Executor of the Estate of EUGENE E. RICHARDSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No. 110465-2006

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOSEPH C. LANG**	Index No: 112048/06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738351.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

LUCIEN IGRASSIA and CONSTANCE IGRASSIA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. INCLUDING GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 115916-2006

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

NJ/451193v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **SAM F. ANGRISANO**	Index No: 116682/06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106733003.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

MARY WOJCIECHOWSKI, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of JOHN L. WOJCIECHOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 116867-2006

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

FRANK RISO and ROSIE RISO, Index No. 118966-2006

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **MARTIN A. MICHALSKI**	Index No: 100021/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738397.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **ROBERT L. MAYDICH**	Index No: 102115/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106739516.1

OCT 14 2011

CLB55117/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No. 102115/07,
125768/99 & 107299/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff 10/17/11
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILA, & BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. **104634-2007**
115787-2001
112680-2000
122202-2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

SALLY GROSS, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of SEYMOUR GROSS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 115227-2007

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

NUNZIO BRUSCA and MELINDA BRUSCA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

Index No. 115429-2007

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES F. RYBACKI (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114857/02 & 116797/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 3, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES F. RYBACKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No.: 114857-02; 116797-07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107404/02 114296/02; 116798/07 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25650AK

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW S. NEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107404/02 114296/02; 116798/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew S. Neer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

454-11382

{N0090166-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: **JOHN J. MAHAR**	Index No: 116800/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant American Optical Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant American Optical Operation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant American Optical Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/05, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Christopher W. Healy, Esq.
REED SMITH, LLP.
Attorneys for American Optical Corporation
599 Lexington Avenue, 26th FL.
New York, New York 10022
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

US_ACTIVE-106738364.1

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

RICHARD HENN and VIRGINIA HENN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 104802-2008

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 10th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x

DONALD R. LANGSTON and PEGGY LANGSTON, Index No. 106593-2008

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

_____ x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

GERARDO RUBINO

Index No.: 109704-08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GERARDO RUBINO (Deceased)

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101017/94 & 109704/08

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 5, 2011

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ANGELINA FUSCO, as Executrix for the Estate of GERARDO RUBINO,	:	Index Nos. 109704/08 101017/94
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

x

VICTOR A. TODD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

x

Index No. 109984-2008

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

NANCY COOPER, as Executrix of the Estate of HERMAN ZEH, deceased and FRANCES ZEH, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190025-2008

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILA, & BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 104634-2007
115787-2001
112680-2000
122202-2009

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC (sued herein as "Foster Wheeler Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 19, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190027/09

This Document Relates to:
Sandra Thomas, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Charles Thomas,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Anchor Packing Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/18, 2011

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Sandra Thomas, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Charles Thomas
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-2328

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

----------X

JOHN STOLL and MARIA STOLL

Index No.: 19090-09

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant MINE SAFETY APPLIANCES COMPANY ("MSA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint against MSA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MSA be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 22, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane, 17th Floor
New York, New York 10038
T (212) 558-5500
F (212) 544-5461

Brian P. Sexton, Esq.
SEXTON LAW FIRM
Attorneys for Defendant
MINE SAFETY APPLIANCES CO.
5039 60th Street
New York, New York 11377
T (484) 883-3219
F (484) 204-1451

SO ORDERED: _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW J. VENIER AND PATRICIA VENIER, Plaintiffs, -against- GENEERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190145/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew J. Venier and Patricia Venier
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-6568

{N0088499-1}

OCT 14 2011

536.22999/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JOSEPH MIRABILE and ANGELINA MIRABILE, Plaintiffs, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 190176/09 (April 2012 *In Extremis* Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/27/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GARRO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.190176/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Applies to:

Joseph Mirabile and Angelina Mirabile,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Products, Co., et al,
Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/3/11

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Heidi C. Baker, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230
MMG File No.: 558.1463

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH MIRABILE and ANGELINA MIRABILE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190176/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 5, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH MIRABILE and ANGELINA MIRABILE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190176/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 5, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Erik Jacobs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai Naini, Esq

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS C. CARROLL and CHERYL CARROLL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al. Defendants.	Index No.: 190259/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Madsen & Howell, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Madsen & Howell, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Madsen & Howell, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/14, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Madsen & Howell, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas C. Carroll and Cheryl Carroll
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

77-4312

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

RODGER D. McCLELLAN and SHIRLEY L. McCLELLAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190329-2009

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190056/10

JAMES W. ELMORE and HELEN ELMORE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/10/2011

Frank ~~Ortiz, Esq.~~ Patti Bonstyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
212 - 651 - 7999

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
CARLOS SIMMONS, Plaintiff, - against - A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190062/2010 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc. for the purpose of the claims asserted herein, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc. for the purpose of the claims asserted herein, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., formerly known as Lucent Technologies Inc., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric Company Inc. for the purpose of the claims asserted herein, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 5, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By: _____
Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

EDWARDS WILDMAN PALMER LLP

By: _____
George R. Talarico, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
750 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
212-308-4411

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
CARLOS SIMMONS, Plaintiff, - against - A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190062/2010 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Avaya, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Avaya, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Avaya, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 5, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By: _____
Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

EDWARDS WILDMAN PALMER LLP

By: _____
George R. Talarico, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
750 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
212-308-4411

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOHN A. CECI and JEAN CECI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190074-2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT HOMBERGER,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190162/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ROBERT HOMBERGER
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2220

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190166/10
LOUIS DIGILIO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Reliance Electric Company ("Reliance Electric") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Reliance Electric with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Reliance Electric be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/23, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Joseph P. La Sala, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Reliance Electric Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

1547859_1

RECEIVED OCT 0 5 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190196/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, CASHCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, CASHCO, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, CASHCO, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5/, 2011

Laura Hollman, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
CASHCO, INC.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald Dummitt
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1574

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
634-2
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

{N0083683-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PATRICIA POWELL and RONALD E. POWELL, Plaintiff(s), -v- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL Index No. 190198-10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant **Hobart Brothers Company** ("Hobart") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hobart Brothers Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hobart Brothers Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept. 25, 2011

FANNING & McCRORIE, P.C.

By: _____
Douglas Fanning, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Penn Plaza, Suite 5315
New York, NY 10119
(212) 957-0700

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: _____
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PATRICIA POWELL and RONALD E. POWELL, Plaintiff(s), -v- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL Index No. 190198-10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant **Lincoln Electric Company** ("Lincoln") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lincoln Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lincoln Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept 22, 2011

FANNING & McCRORIE, P.C.

By: _____
Douglas Fanning, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Penn Plaza, Suite 5315
New York, NY 10119
(212) 957-0700

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: _____
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011 OCT 14 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

WILHELM PRANGE and ROSEMARY PRANGE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. f/k/a BELL ATLANTIC CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190226/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, VERIZON NEW YORK INC. i/s/h/a VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. f/k/a BELL ATLANTIC CORPORATION by their attorneys, LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DiSIPIO, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, VERIZON NEW YORK INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, VERIZON NEW YORK INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/12/11, 2011

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE & DISIPIO	WEITZ & LUXENBERG
By: _____	By: _____
Timothy J. McHugh, Esq. 420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 235 New York, NY 10170 Attorneys for Defendant Verizon New York Inc.	Chris Romanelli, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED: _____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ALPHONSE MAGLIO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190243/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ALPHONSE MAGLIO
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2292

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
LOWELL COOPER,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190248/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
LOWELL COOPER
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2284

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
EDWARD SCHMID,

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190250/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
EDWARD SCHMID
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2293

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Edward T. Good,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190263/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

10/10/2011

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward T. Good
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6342

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH MULLEN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
190270/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS CLUSTER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, ~~as~~ Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
9/27, 2011

JOSEPH WILLIAMS
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
MARVIN RICH,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AMERICAN BILTRATE CO, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190271/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
MARVIN RICH
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2325

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

ANNE RUTH HELTON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JERRY HELTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190284-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190286/10

CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA MARQUSEE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Flowserve with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
Attorneys for Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

1643814_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CHARLES MARQUSEE AND HELGA MARQUSEE against AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 190286/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
10/10/11

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corporation, improperly named as York International Corporation, individually and as successor to Frick Company
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BRYAN BELASKY, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Charles Marquesee and Helga Marquesee
546 Fifth Avenue
Fourth Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

BW-WRITER-23

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

----------X

CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA MARQUSEE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc; et al.

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190286/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct 3, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:_____

546 5th Avenue – 4th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 681-1575

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD AND SMITH LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company Inc.

By:_____

George Catlett, Esq.
77 Water Street, Suite 2000
New York, New York, 10038
(212) 232-1300

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

4827-8595-0470.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

----------X

AARON RICHMAN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORPORATION, Individually and as successor to Bestwall Gypsum Company, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190288/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3/11

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:_____

540 5th Avenue – 4th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 681-1575

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD AND SMITH LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company Inc.

By:_____
George Catlett, Esq.
77 Water Street, Suite 2000
New York, New York, 10038
(212) 232-1300

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4827-8595-0470.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
Index No. 190288/2010

AARON RICHMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against –

GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORPORATION, individually and as successor to Bestwall Gypsum Company, et. al :

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO MANROLAND, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant, manroland, Inc., s/h/a MANROLAND NORTH AMERICA, INC. (hereinafter defendant "MANROLAND"), by its attorneys, Morrison Mahoney LLP, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled matter, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, manroland, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, manroland, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 1, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:_____
Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MORRISON MAHONEY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
manroland, Inc.

By:_____
Julia D'Agostino, Esq.
17 State Street, Suite 1110
New York, New York 10004
(212) 825-1212

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

968576v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190305/10

WILLIAM SPIER and JOSEPHINE SPIER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
10/17, 2010

Jane Walsh, Esq.
LOCKS LAW FIRM PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
747 Third Avenue,
New York, New York 10017

Toma Acholonu, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: EMMANUEL PAPOULIAS and POPH PAPOULIAS, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler) Index No.: 190316-10 **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/27/11
New York, New York

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 10-190317**
ROBERT CRISTIANO and CONCETTA CRISTIANO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 26, 2011

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Cristiano and Concetta Cristiano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Maryellen Connor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190318-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITHE WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 190318/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/14/11

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Jordan Fox

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*, including KINNEY VACUUM COMPANY,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190318/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Kinney Vacuum Company (improperly sued herein as "TUTHILL CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Kinney Vacuum Pump Company, Kinney Pump Company and Murray Turbine"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Kinney Vacuum Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kinney Vacuum Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 24, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
William Mendez, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Kinney Pump Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

---x

WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*, including DEZURIK,

Defendants.

Index No. 190318/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant DeZurik, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DeZurik with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DeZurik be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 24, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
William Mendez, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
DeZurik
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: JUL 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET MENDEZ

Index No.: 190318/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

1570452

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190332/10
WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL and CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

1563636

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
Asbestos Matter

JANET GOGEL, as Executrix of the Estate of WILFRED GOGEL, deceased and CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, Individually

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190332/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodall Rubber Company ("Goodall") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Goodall with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goodall be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 12, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.

By: _____
Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: Jennifer Darger
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Goodall Rubber Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10016
(212) 482-1319

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ROSALIE GENNOSA,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190338/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ROSALIE GENNOSA
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2434

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
JOSEPH GENNOSA,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190340/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JOSEPH GENNOSA
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2435

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD HANZE AND CAROL HANZE, Plaintiffs, -against- BYRON JACKSON PUMPS, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190344/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Dap, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Dap, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Dap, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct 5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
Dap, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ronald Hanze and Carol Hanze
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

604-0403

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

{N0081415-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNICE SEIFF

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190350

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Eos Partners, L.P. (hereafter "Eos"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Eos with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Eos, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
October 11, 2011

By: _____
Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

By: _____
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Eos Partners, L.P.
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 21 2011

13413361.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
ANTHONY CORINO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190351/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ANTHONY CORINO
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2420

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to: HAROLD J. HUNT, Plaintiff(s) -against- AMERICAN ART CLAY COMPANY, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190356/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Homasote Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Homasote Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Homasote Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 30, 2011

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Homasote Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Derell D. Wilson
EARLY & STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harold J. Hunt
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

116-0265

{N0100832-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

Harold J. Hunt

Index No. 10-190356

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 26, 2011

Derell D. Wilson, Esq.
EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY&STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Grace L. De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190357/10
GIULIO LOCCISANO and LINDA FEGGANS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler-Hammer, Inc.) ("Cutler-Hammer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Cutler-Hammer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cutler-Hammer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, LLP
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1546487

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190357/10
GIULIO LOCCISANO and LINDA FEGGANS	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler-Hammer, Inc.) ("Cutler-Hammer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Cutler-Hammer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cutler-Hammer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/3, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, LLP
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

1546487

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

GIULIO LOCCISANO and LINDA FEGGANS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190357-10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendants, Reading Pretzel Machinery Corporation (d/b/a Reading Bakery Systems, Inc.) incorrectly sued as an alleged successor in interest to Thomas L. Green Company (hereinafter "Reading Bakery") and Thomas L. Green, LLC, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendants Reading Bakery and Thomas L. Green, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants Reading Bakery and Thomas L. Green, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 28 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Christopher P. Hannan, Esq.
KELLEY JASONS MCGOWAN
SPINELLI & HANNA, LLP
120 Wall Street, 30th Floor
New York, New York 10005
Attorneys for Defendants

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

OCT 03 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GIULIO LOCCISANO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190357/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
GIULIO LOCCISANO
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2445

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE ANDRUCKI,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ALCOA., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190377/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
GEORGE ANDRUCKI
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2459

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTOPHER T. TANSOSCH and GEORGIANA TANSOSCH

Index No.: 190382/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

1570472

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOHN CHILARSKI and HELEN CHILARSKI, Plaintiff(s), - *against* - 3M COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	NYCAL J. Sherry Klein Heitler Index No.: 190391-2010 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Rapid-American Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Rapid-American Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Rapid-American Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NAPOLI BERN RIPKA LLP

By: _____
Richard Thomas, Esq.
The Empire State Building
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, New York 10118

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: 9/15/11

SNR DENTON US LLP

By: _____
Amiel Gross, Esq.
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020

Attorneys for Defendant Rapid- American Corporation

Dated: 9/7/11

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190393/2010

EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

1563636

JDL | AG FILE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS; et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190393/2010

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, **THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE** and **MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR §3212 and New York State Workers' Compensation Law §11, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants, **THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE** and **MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition hereto; it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants, **THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE** and **MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE**, are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 3, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By:_____
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

GORDON & SILBER, P.C.

By:_____
Jon D. Lichtenstein, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants
THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE and MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE
355 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 834-0600

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____

OCT 14 2011

(G0071474)

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 190413/10**
CORNELIUS BYL and NINA YANG BYL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 9, 2011

Joseph R. Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Cornelius Byl and Nina Yang Byl
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Maryellen Connor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: OCT 21 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Cornelius Byl,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190413/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CORNELIUS BYL
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2467

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 10-190414**
LUIS ODDO	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 26, 2011

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Luis Oddo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Maryellen Connor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 60
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
RAYMOND CARELLA,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190416/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Raymond Carella
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-24469

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 190440/10**
FRIEDRICH SCHEUERMANN and CHRISTEN SCHEUERMANN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse-Diesel Construction Company, Inc. (a/k/a Morse Diesel, Inc.), with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 9, 2011

Joseph Williams

Lisa Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Friedrich Scheuermann and Christen Scheuermann
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Maryellen Connor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: SEP 29 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: PEDRO RODRIGUEZ, Plaintiff, - against - A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK, INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Index No: 190451/10

WHEREFORE, defendant SL Service, Inc. ("Sea-Land") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sea-Land with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Sea-Land be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 14, 2011

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendant
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

204312.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

LAWRENCE GRAZIOSE and MARIE E. GRAZIOSE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190456-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

GUISEPPE TORRE and AGATHA TORRE

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al,.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190461/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27, 2011

Joseph P. Williams, Esq
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Tomachukwu N. Acholonu, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1400
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

GIUSEPPE TORRE and AGATHA TORRE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190461-2010

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS AGAINST DEFENDANT FOSTER WHEELER LLC

WHEREFORE, defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27/11

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 190463/10**
ROBERT FOGLIETTA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 12, 2011

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Foglietta
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **GIUSEPPE SEIDITA**	INDEX NO.: 190464/10 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1641729_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

KATHLEEN KEARNEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF DANIEL KEARNEY a/k/a DANIEL T. KEARNEY, DECEASED,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190004-2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH SAULS, Plaintiff, - against - A.W. CHESTERTON, CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190006/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PERMATEX CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PERMATEX CO, INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, PERMATEX CO, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

EARLY & STRAUSS

By: _____
JASON HODRINSKY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff
JOSEPH SAULS
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
212-986-2233

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By: _____
PHILIP J. O'ROURKE, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
PERMATEX CO. INC.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, NY 10005
(212) 232-1300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

4819-7099-7258.1

53691-324537
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ISAAC RUBIN

~against~

TASCO INSULATIONS, et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
JUSTICE HEITLER

INDEX NO.: 190008/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TASCO INSULATIONS, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant TASCO INSULATIONS, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TASCO INSULATIONS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/12/11

~~Joseph Belluck, Esquire~~
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Isaac Rubin

Bryan Belasly

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, TASCO INSULATIONS

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

53106-324538
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ISAAC RUBIN

~against~

SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
JUSTICE HEITLER

INDEX NO.: 190008/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/12/11

~~Joseph Belluck, Esquire~~ Bryan Belasky
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Isaac Rubin

Bryan Belasky

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ROBERT SEARING and ANN SEARING,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ALCATEL-LUCENT USA, Individually and as Successor in Interest to Western Electric, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190016/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
10/14, 2011

_____, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert Searing and Ann Searing
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Jeffrey C. Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:10924-6469

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos. 190016/11

ROBERT SEARING and ANN SEARING

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 12, 2011

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Searing and Ann Searing
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: OCT 14 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **UTA ENDRES and ROLF ENDRES,** **Plaintiff(s),** **-against-** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,** **Defendants.** Defendant(s).	**Index No.: 190034/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: October 4, 2011
New York, New York

Michael Roberts, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
NOV - 4
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

JOSEPH MARTIN and DORIS MARTIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190043-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 27 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JOSEPH MARTIN and DORIS MARTIN, Plaintiffs -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190043/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5/11

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
John Ryan

546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

WILLIAM T. SCHAEFER and THERESA SCHAEFER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. including, GATES CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190057-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION**, sued herein as GATES CORPORATION hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GATES with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **THE GATES CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 12, 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for The Gates Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NJ/451850v1

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
LEIGHTON LEWIS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AMERICAN BILTRITE, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190069/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
September 9, 2011

_____, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Leighton Lewis
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Jeffrey C. Regan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co., Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:10924-6476

So Ordered: _____ OCT 24 2011
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

LEWIS LEIGHTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALLIED SIGNAL INC. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190069-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS AGAINST DEFENDANT FOSTER WHEELER LLC

WHEREFORE, defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 9/27/11

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM PISANO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABEX CORPORATION., *et al.*, Including, ARVINMERITOR, INC.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190077/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October, 11, 2011

Audrey Raphael, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190077/2011

WILLIAM PISANO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABEX CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant FLOWSERVE CORPORATION SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO DURAMETALLIC CORPORATION, (hereinafter "Durametallic"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Durametallic without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Durametallic be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs to either party

Dated: New York, New York
October 11, 2011

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
Levy Philips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 3rd Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Madina Axelrod, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY
----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This document Relates to
RONALD SZAMATULSKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRANSCO, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 11-190079

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, defendant TRANSCO INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant TRANSCO INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition therein.

ORDERED, that upon notice of all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant TRANSCO INC. be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 16, 2011

Derell Wilson, Esq.
Early & Strauss, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Kurt L. Weinmann, Esq.
Garbarini & Scher, P.C.
Attorneys for Deft. Transco Inc.
432 Park Avenue South, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 689-1113

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 2 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
----------X

This Document Relates To:

William Joseph Gorham
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190081/2011

WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7/2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph W. Belluck
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Janine E. Brown
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

.::ODMA/PCDOCS/DOCSNY/523024/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190081/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/18, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1659433_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190081/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodall Rubber Company ("Goodall") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Goodall with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goodall Rubber Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 12, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

By: _____
Jordan Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP

By: _____
Vincent A. Errante, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 21 2011

53106-325603
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL CRANE

~against~

SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
JUSTICE HEITLER

INDEX NO.: 190082/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/12/11

~~Joseph Belluck, Esquire~~
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Paul Crane

Bryan Belasky

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFIC
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
----------X

This Document Relates To:

Paul Crane
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190082/2011

WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/7/2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Bryan Belasky
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Janine E. Brown
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

.::ODMA/PCDOCS/DOCSNY/523024/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190082/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **PAUL CRANE and ARLENE CRANE**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1641635_1

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE against CRANE CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 190082/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corp.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corp.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corp.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
10/10/11

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corp.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BRYAN BELASKY, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
PAUL CRANE and ARLENE CRANE
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

BW-WRITER-24

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

---------- x

DOMINICK JOHN CELENTANO and CATHERINE ANNE CELENTANO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.*, including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No. 190083-2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED OCT 21 2011

NOV - 4 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

In Re: New York City Asbestos Litigation

This Document Relates to:
MILA MACEK and DEROO MACEK,

Plaintiffs,

v.

L. P. BUTTS, INC., et al,

Defendants.

Index No. 11-190085

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, L. P. BUTTS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against L. P. BUTTS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, L. P. BUTTS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

Brian Early, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Dated: Oneonta, New York
September 29, 2011

GETMAN LAW FIRM, LLC

Michael F. Getman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant L. P. Butts, Inc.
16 Dietz Street
Oneonta, New York 13820

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 07 2011

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler
Supreme Court Justice

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190092/2011

ARTHUR MUISE & JOANNE MUISE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant Continental Automotive Systems, Inc., f/k/a Continental Teves, Inc., a subsidiary of Continental AG, (hereinafter "CAS"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CAS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CAS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5/2011

Douglas D. von Oiste, Esq.
Karst & von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19500 State Hwy 249, Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070

Kevin Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant CAS
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
----------X

This Document Relates To:

Floyd L. Rastelli
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190107/11

WHEREFORE, defendant NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct 7, 2011

WITT & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Chris Romanelli
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Christopher S. Kozak
Attorneys for Defendant
NORMAN D. LIFTON & CO., INC.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

...ODMA/PCDOCS/DOCSNY/523051/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190109/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **RYLAND L. HOLMES and CARRIE HOLMES**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1641679_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RYLAND L. HOLMES AND CARRIE HOLMES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190109/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6, 2011

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ryland L. Holmes and Carrie Holmes
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-0477

{N0083640-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RYLAND L. HOLMES AND CARRIE HOLMES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190109/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/16, 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ryland L. Holmes and Carrie Holmes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

454-12287

{N0083640-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RYLAND L. HOLMES AND CARRIE HOLMES, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190109/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/6, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Columbia Boiler Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ryland L. Holmes and Carrie Holmes
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2572-0044

{N0042173-1}

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

FRANK BARBIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190113-2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190114/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/18, 2011

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1641665_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---------- x

WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No. 190114-2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
OCTOBER 17, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 21 2011

53691-325915
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER GUTHRIE

~against~

TASCO INSULATIONS f/k/a ASBESTOS SERVICE COMPANY, et al.
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
JUSTICE HEITLER

INDEX NO.: 190114/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TASCO INSULATIONS, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant TASCO INSULATIONS, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TASCO INSULATIONS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/14/11

Joseph Belluck, Esquire
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Walter Guthrie

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, Tasco Insulations

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
OCT 21 2011

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	INDEX NO.: 190115/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **RICHARD SADLOWSKI and MARY JOAN SADLOWSKI**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10-7, 2011

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1641721_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD L. NOCELLA,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., Individually and as Successor to Amtico Floors, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

Index No.: 190121-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/14/11
New York, New York

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

X:/FBW54895/legal/OCTOBER 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HERMAN SKOLNICK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190122/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

9/20/11

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SEP 29 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190122/11

HERMAN SKOLNICK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27/11

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190123/11

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

EARL ERIKSON and ELIZABETH ERIKSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCT CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY INC., be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Aug. 23, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Peter Tamburi, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP

By: _____
Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Justice of the Supreme Court
of the State of New York

OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4835-3305-5753.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190123/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **EARL ERIKSON and ELIZABETH ERIKSON**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
October 4, 2011

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1641647_1

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GEORGE W. HISCHE AND MARTINA L. HISCHE, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190125/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Dap, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Dap, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Dap, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Oct. 5, 2011

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorney for Defendants
Dap, Inc.
80 Broad Street-23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C
Geroge W. Hische and Martina L. Hische
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
604-441
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 14 2011

{N0085206-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 190126/11**
ELEANOR S. BAKER	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 12, 2011

~~David Chandler, Esq.~~ A. BRANDIS, ESQ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Eleanor S. Baker
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index Nos. 190129/11

SALVATORE FINAZZO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 12, 2011

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Salvatore Finazzo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

53106-326620
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE ZACHMANN

~against~

SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
JUSTICE HEITLER

INDEX NO.: 190140/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/12/11

~~Joseph Belluck, Esquire~~
Bryan Belluck
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) George Zachmann

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

OCT 24 2011

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

53691-326621
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE ZACHMANN

~against~

TASCO INSULATIONS, et al.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
JUSTICE HEITLER

INDEX NO.: 190140/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TASCO INSULATIONS, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant TASCO INSULATIONS, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TASCO INSULATIONS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/12/11

~~Joseph Belluck, Esquire~~ Bryan Belasky
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) George Zachmann

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, JAY INSTRUMENTS & SPECIALTY, INC.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

OCT 24 2011

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 11-190140

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and as Successor in Interest to Goodrich – Gulf Chemical, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 12, 2011

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BY: _____
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212)681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Bryan Belasky, Esq.

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index No. 190140/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5/11

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Bryan Belasky, Esq.

548 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 661-1574

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190140/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

~~Jordan C. Fox, Esq.~~
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Bryan Belasky

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1659429_1

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X NYCAL

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN,

Index No.: 190140/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Spence Engineering Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Spence Engineering Co., Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Spence Engineering Co., Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
August 18, 2011

Bryan Belasday
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Jeffrey Regan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Spence Engineering Co., Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11040-143

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	INDEX NO.: 190140/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corp.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corp.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corp.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ,
10/18/11

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corp.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BRYAN BELASKY, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th fl.
New York, NY 10036

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

BW-WRITER-25

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190142/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RONALD MARSHBURN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 10/13, 2011
New York, New York

Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: RONALD MARSHBURN AND DIANE MARIE MARSHBURN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.C. and S. INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190142/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.,with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/27/2011

Megan Kreigstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald Marshburn and Diane Marie Marshburn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4830-0159-1306.1

OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190142/11
RONALD MARSHBURN and DIANE MARIE MARSHBURN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (improperly pled as "Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company") ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/27, 2011

Joseph Williams, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1633578_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
----------X

This Document Relates To:

Edward J. Malloy
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190145/11

WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
9/22/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

~~Erik Jacob~~s Daniel Blown
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Janine E. Brown
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

::ODMA/PCDOCS/DOCSNY/522690/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:	NYCAL
FRANK DeROGATIS and EMILY DeROGATIS,	I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s),	Index No.: 190150-11
-against-	
3M COMPANY, *f/k/a/ Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co.*, et al., Defendants.	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. (f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY) be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 9/21/11
New York, New York

Patrick Timmins, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Angela DiGiglio, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.
f/k/a SQUARE D COMPANY)
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.
HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM, Plaintiffs, -against- AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al., Defendants.	INDEX NO. 190156/2011 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendants Lucent Technologies Inc., now known as Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc., individually, and for purposes of the litigation herein as successor in interest to the claims asserted against Western Electric Company, Inc. (hereinafter Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc. and Western Electric Company, Inc. are collectively referred to as "Lucent"), and Nassau Metals Corp., incorrectly sued as "Nassau Smelting" (hereinafter "Nassau Metals"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendants Lucent and Nassau Metals, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendants Lucent and Nassau Metals, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: October 5, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By: _____
Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

EDWARDS WILDMAN PALMER LLP

By: _____
George R. Talarico, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants
750 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
212-308-4411

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 07 2011

536.24570 /AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM, Plaintiffs, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 190156/11 (October 2011 *In Extremis* Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/17/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK
----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
----------X

This Document Relates To:

John J. Barrett
----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190157/11

WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/10/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Daniel Wasserberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Janine E. Brown
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

.::ODMA/PCDOCS/DOCSNY/522690/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190157/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOHN J. BARRETT**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 24 2011

1641618_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

EDWARD SADWOSKI AND ALBERTA SADOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including ALLWOOD DOOR CO.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190215/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Allwood Door Co. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Allwood Door Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Allwood Door Co. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept. 28, 2011

Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert C. Malaby, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Allwood Door Co.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

ROBERT HOPE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---------- x

Index No.: 190250/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PHELPS DODGE INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint and all amendments to the complaint against Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
10/5/11

By: _____
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091

By: _____
Benjamin E. Haglund, Esq.
DAY PITNEY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc.
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036-7311
(212) 297-5800

SO ORDERED:

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN FRANKENBERG, JR., Plaintiff, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190266/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John Frankenberg, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504-0808

OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN FRANKENBERG, JR., Plaintiff, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190266/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, improperly plead as COLUMBIA BOILER, CO., herein after referred to as Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11, 2011

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John Frankenberg, Jr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

2572-0062

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN FRANKENBERG, JR., Plaintiff, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 190266/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Improperly Plead As Weir Valve And Controls USA, INC., herein after referred to as Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/11/, 2011

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
John Frankenberg, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 14 2011

963-0739

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---------- x

HERBERT LaPOINTE and ANN LaPOINTE, his wife, — Index No.: 190278/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

ASBEKA INDUSTRIES OF NEW YORK, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

---------- x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PHELPS DODGE INDUSTRIES, INC.

WHEREFORE, defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint and all amendments to the complaint against Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc. be, and the same are, hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/5/11

By: _____
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091

By: _____
Benjamin E. Haglund, Esq.
DAY PITNEY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc.
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036-7311
(212) 297-5800

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

MARK ROCK and SONDRA ROCK,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. and BLACKMER, et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190319/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/19/, 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

William T. Miedel, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OCT 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190330/11
114501/05

YALE EISENBERG

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 5, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Index No. 190332/11
JOHN KENNETH LOWE	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 10, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew R. Straus, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190338/11

SAM ANGELO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 10, 2011

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew R. Straus, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: OCT 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.) INDEX NO.: 190444/11
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **THOMAS STRINGER and MARCIA STRINGER**	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10-7, 2011

Benjamin Darché, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
NOV - 4 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1641733_1

OCT 24 2011