

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190173/12

Isauro Pantoja

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York **NOV 27 2013**
11/11, 2013

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK 

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 27 2013
PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190173/12

Isauro Pantoja

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/21, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190203/12

John Logan

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 21 2013
PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190203/12

John Logan

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Ismenia Gonzalez	Index No: 190298/09 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/21, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV 21 2013

PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190341/09

Weichi Fan

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/21, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Jack D'acquisto	Index No: 190280/09 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/21, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 21 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190317/10

Robert Cristiano

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190293/11

Charles L. Chidester

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190375/09

Orison Cass

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/21, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas C. Carroll	Index No: 190259/09 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler



RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
PART 50

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190277/09

Nancy Bonelli

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/27, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 27 2013
11:51 AM
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190312/09

Harold Baird

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/27/13, 2013

NOV 27 2013




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107574/08

Jamie Ames

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
BY [unclear]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190046/11

Julian Alfonso

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013 NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190118/11

Joseph Adams

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 28 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190266/09

Desmond O'Mara

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheri K. Heitler

FILED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190414/10

Louis Oddo

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190046/10

Jay Nissinoff

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PAGE 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Doreen Morrison	Index No: 190258/11 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William L. Moritz	Index No: 190294/11 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013



FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 21 2013
PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Edward Kuczvara

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190265/11

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190429/10

Stanley Krameisen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 21 2013
PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank Kofler	Index No: 190014/08 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PAGES 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190122/11

Herman Skolnick

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190312/11

Claudia Sanchez

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz/Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190215/11

Edward Sadowski

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013


FILED

NOV 27 2013




Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 21 2013
PART 25

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Sadlowski	Index No: 190115/11 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 31 2013
PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190269/10

Glenn Ritzel

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 28 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Angel Rijo

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190199/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED

NOV 21 2013

PAGE 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190271/10

Marvin Rich

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190329/10

James Palumbo

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 23 2013
PAGE 3/3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Dominick Palaio

NYCAL

(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190068/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, he and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York **NOV 27 2013**

 11/11, 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 21 2013
190068/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116426/01, 111219/01

VINCENT BOYLE AND ELLEN BOYLE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

11/10, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Boyle and Ellen Boyle
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-12771

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116426/01, 111219/01

VINCENT BOYLE AND ELLEN BOYLE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York **NOV 27 2013**
11/10, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Vincent Boyle and Ellen Boyle
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-13299

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107099/02, 114570/02

FLOYD C. BOWDISH AND DOROTHY
BOWDISH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2013


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Floyd C. Bowdish and Dorothy Bowdish
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6862C

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS R. HELLWIG and CAROL A. HELLWIG,

Index No.: 190509-12

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**SECOND
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS.,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.** (hereinafter "**SPIRAX SARCO**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SPIRAX SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
November 27, 2013

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Ave., 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1576

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer & Moroknek, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2013

190509-12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
HERMAN BRESSEL and FRANCOISE BRESSEL,

Index No.: 190046-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
COPEL VULCAN, INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **COPEL VULCAN, INC.** (hereinafter "**COPEL VULCAN**"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **COPEL VULCAN**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPEL VULCAN**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
11/15, 2013

FILED


Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 681-1575

NOV 27 2013
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
COPEL VULCAN, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JACK P. SCAINETTI and MARGARET SCAINETTI

Index No.: 190278-13

Plaintiffs,

- against -


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO.,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
Successor to SARCO COMPANY, et al.,
Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as
successor to SARCO COMPANY (hereinafter "**SARCO**"), hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SARCO**,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **SARCO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
11/12, 2013



David Chandler, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Messemer &
Moroknek, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as
successor to SARCO COMPANY
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:  J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190299/11

George W. Smith

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013



FILED



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Judith A. Yavitz/Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

JEROME DIMARIA
AND
JOAN DIMARIA

Index No.: 123971/94,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/1, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jerome DiMaria and Joan DiMaria
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

1235-24100

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190237/11

WILLIAM T. CRUGER AND ALEXIS
CRUGER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11 / 10, 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013


Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William T. Cruger and Alexis Cruger
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Karyann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-23663

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190237/11

WILLIAM T. CRUGER AND ALEXIS
CRUGER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

11/16, 2013 NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Favelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William T. Cruger and Alexis Cruger
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-24007
NOV 28 2013
APR 11 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190050/09,

RONALD P. MCDONOUGH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/13, 2013

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
MCDONOUGH, RONALD P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190050/09,

RONALD P. MCDONOUGH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/13, 2013


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
MCDONOUGH, RONALD P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED 1235-22771

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

RONALD P. MCDONOUGH

Index No.: 190050/09,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/13, 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
MCDONOUGH, RONALD P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

1122-23154

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN F. GHOLSON
AND
MARY GHOLSON

Index No.: 104693/00, 125779/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 1, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benjamin F. Gholson and Mary Gholson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 9 2013

2383-26057AQ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN F. GHOLSON
AND
MARY GHOLSON

Index No.: 104693/00, 125779/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York
November 1, 2013

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benjamin F. Gholson and Mary Gholson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Caitlin E. Bell, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

1235-11561

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN F. GHOLSON
AND
MARY GHOLSON

Index No.: 104693/00, 125779/99

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
November 1, 2013

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



DAVID J. CARRY, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benjamin F. Gholson and Mary Gholson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
PART 30

1122-12109

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190283/10

JEANNE GALLETTA as Executrix for the Estate of
JOHN GALLETTA and JEANNE GALLETTA,
Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV 27 2013

Dated: New York, New York

11/19 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190435/10

JOSEPHINE FERNANDEZ, as Executrix for the Estate
of ANTONIO FERNANDEZ, and JOSEPHINE
FERNANDEZ, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York 11/8, 2013

NOV 27 2013

[Handwritten signature of Frank Ortiz]

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature of Michael J. Curtis]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Handwritten signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 21 2013

RECEIVED

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 118547/98

ANNE E. FAMILO, Individually and as Personal
Representative for the Estate of GEORGE T. FAMILO
SR.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/20, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, New York 10038

Attorneys for Defendant

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 101521/05

JAMES C. DEAN as Executor for the Estate of KARIN
R. DEAN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York **NOV 27 2013**
11/3, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190326/10

JOHN CHAMPEN and JUANITA CAMPEN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, he and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV 27 2013

Dated: New York, New York

[Handwritten signature of Frank Ortiz]

2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature of Michael J. Curtis]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 111414/98

RAYMOND BAKER and DORIS BAKER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/27, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 21 2013

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 126682/02

PHILOMENA L. ADAMS, as Executrix for the Estate of
GEORGE W. ADAMS, and PHILOMENA L. ADAMS,
Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/20, 2013

NOV 27 2013


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	x	NYCAL
	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----	:	Index No.: 19028713
	x	
This Document Relates to:	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
ONORATO BALACICH	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	:	WITHOUT PREJUDICE
	x	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff Onorato Balacich was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/6, 2013

FILED


McDermott Will & Emery LLP

NOV 27 2013

The Williams Law Firm, P.C.

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: 
Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
245 park Avenue - 39th Floor
New York, New York 10167

*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113102/98

CHRISTIAN MERRILL and JUNE MERRILL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/8, 2013 NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 21 2013

RECEIVED
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 113073/02, 106579/02

JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA, for the Estate of JAMES E.
McCABE, and SHIRLEY MCCABE, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos
Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant
Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

[Handwritten signature]
11/18
2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, *[Handwritten signature]*
Hon. Sherry Ellen Heitler

Dated: NOV 21 2013

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 100609/99

JOSEPH RICHARD MAYBURY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York **NOV 27 2013**

11/8, 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 103265/03

INGRID MAURER, Individually and as Executrix for
the Estate of KLAUS MAURER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos
Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant
Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 

NOV 21 2013


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
DONALD SPAETH, Individually and as Executor of : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
the Estate of JEAN SPAETH, : **JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

Index No. 190251/10

3M COMPANY f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING &
MANUFACTURING CO., ET AL. :

Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

[Signature]
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: ~~Brendan Tully, Esq.~~ ~~NICHOLAS NOVACK, Esq.~~
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Counsel for PLAINTIFFS

[Signature]
By: Alexandra Y. Bystritskaya, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
NOV 20 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ANNMARIE MAESTRI as Executrix for the
Estate of RALPH D. SCAGLIONE, and
ANNMARIE MAESTRI, as Executrix for the
Estate of PHYLLIS SCAGLIONE,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

INDEX No.: 108694/97

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. and S., INC., ET AL.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/6/13 **FILED**
NOV 27 2013

By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: PLAINTIFFS

By: Peter J. Fazio, Esq.
ARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
MACHINES CORPORATION
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-5458
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
NOV 20 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

INDEX NO.
190418/13

JOSEPH FLOOD

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
CAROLE A. BORSTEIN, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120279/01, 102458/01

XZONNIA YOUNG, as Administrator for the Estate of
WILLIE C. YOUNG

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

11/27, 2013 NOV 27 2013

[Handwritten signature of Frank Ortiz]

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature of Michael J. Curtis]

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

[Handwritten signature of Sherry Klein Heitler]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECORDED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190024/08

ALICE MAY SAMUELS, as Administratrix for the
Estate of SEYMOUR SAMUELS, and ALICE MAY
SAMUELS, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
 11/8, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500


Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 102788/00, 121088/97

FRANKLIN POTTER and BONNIE POTTER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
4/8, 2013

NOV 27 2013





COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: 

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 106209/01, 119373/01

FRANCES OLEXA, Individually and as Personal
Representative for the Estate of JOSEPH P. OLEXA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant Reynolds Metals Company, incorrectly sued herein as
“Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos
Corporation” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant
Reynolds Metals Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant, Reynolds Metals Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/8, 2013

NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
WILLIAM BERENSMANN and MADELYN :
BERENSMANN :

Plaintiff, :

vs. :

3M COMPANY, et al., :

Defendants. :
-----X

Index No. : 190472/2012

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant **BASF CORPORATION** (properly plead as **BASF CATALYSTS LLC**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BASF CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **BASF CORPORATION**, ~~be and the same are~~ hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NOV 27 2013

March 12,
Dated: ~~March 4,~~ 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


James Kramer, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Tel. No.: (212) 605-6200
Attorney for Plaintiffs, William Berensmann
and Madelyn Berensmann


Robert J. Kelly, Esq.
Christine M. Delaney, Esq.
LITTLETON JOYCE UGHETTA PARK & KELLY LLP
141 West Front Street
Red Bank, NJ 07701
Tel. No.: (732) 530-9100
Attorneys for Defendant **BASF Corporation**
(properly plead as **BASF Catalysts LLC**)

SO ORDERED, 

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SCANNED

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES V. TEMPIO, and JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, Individually, Index No. 111679-2008

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,

NOV 27 2013

Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Perkins Engines Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Perkins Engines Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Perkins Engines Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/7/13

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen Novakidis

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Perkins Engines Inc.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klem Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SCANNED

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES V. TEMPIO, and JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, Individually, Index No. 111679-2008

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,

FILED

Defendants. NOV 27 2013

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CBS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/7/13
Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen Novakidis
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____ x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

_____ x
ROSANN CHIARAVALLOTTI, as Administratrix for the Estate of Index No. 118278-1999
SAM CHIARAVALLOTTI and ROSANN CHIARAVALLOTTI and MARK
CHIARAVALLOTTI, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ANN
CHIARAVALLOTTI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

FILED

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NOV 27 2013

A.C. and S. INC. et al.,


Defendants COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS")** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CBS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CBS** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/27/13



Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102



SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190074/12

William Lindsay

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Amchem Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Amchem Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/11, 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Amchem Products, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry B. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190074/12

William Lindsay

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/27, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
110053/99

DANIEL BRUMLEY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
OCTOBER 2013 IN
EXTREMIS**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

FILED

11/4, 2013


NOV 27 2013


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
190389/12

ROBERT H. BODENSTEIN

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
APRIL 2013 IN EXTREMIS**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013

FILED
NOV 27 2013


Charles Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No.
190380/12

ROBERT KASCHERES


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
APRIL 2013 IN EXTREMIS**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013

FILED
NOV 27 2013



Charles Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OFFICE OF JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788



SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

X/11/13

Our File No.: 1R100010JAF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
190119/12

THOMAS HOUSTON and ELLEN HOUSTON,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Sept 10, 2013



JASON M. HODRINSKY
THE LANIER LAW FIRM
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
126 E. 56th Street, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as
Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY
& CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788
Our File No.: TRT00010JAE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

IVAN SWEBERG

Index No.
122197/99 & 114395/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
OCTOBER 2013 IN
EXTREMIS**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

~~FRANK ORTIZ~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH ERCOLE and SUZANNE ERCOLE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 190375-13

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 11/18/13
New York, New York

FILED

NOV 27 2013


Daniel Patrick Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JEROME ROSEN

Index No.
190147/13

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
OCTOBER 2013 IN
EXTREMIS**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
Attorneys for Defendant

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN MURRAY

Index No.
190554/12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
OCTOBER 2013 IN
EXTREMIS**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ *Chew Aug*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

NEW YORK JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JEAN M. DONNAY

Index No.
190118/13

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
OCTOBER 2013 IN
EXTREMIS**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. **FILED** and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013 **NOV 27 2013**

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles Arguson
FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 27 2013
FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

PIERO CRESCENZI

Index No.
190270/12

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
OCTOBER 2013 IN
EXTREMIS**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Albertson, New York
11/4, 2013

NOV 27 2013




COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ *Charles Ferguson*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
JACK P. SCAINETTI and MARGARET SCAINETTI,

Index No.: 190278/2013

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS; et al.;

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant **YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** hereby request summary judgement in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant **YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, DIVISION OF CONNELL-LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

11/8 2013

DAVID CHANDLER, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK A. CECERE, ESQ
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
Yuba Heat Transfer, Division of Connell-
Partnership Limited
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
Our File No.: ESZ 02030 FAC

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

jm

RECEIVED
NOV 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

ERNEST G. SMITH and CLAUDIA SMITH,

Index No.: 190229/13

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

- against -

COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, et al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually, and as Successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "COMPUDYNE CORPORATION"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: November 11, 2013
New York, New York

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
CompuDyne Corporation, Individually,
and as Successor to York-Shipley
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:
STEPHEN D. HORTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190300/13

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant J-M MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant J-M MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant J-M MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

Nov. 1, 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jordan D. Beltz, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for J-M MANUFACTURING
COMPANY, INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 122191/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT S. SABADASZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED

Dated: 11/31, 2013 **NOV 27 2013**
New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 100855-03

ELMONT E. WARDELL,

I.A.S. Part 30

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/17, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

INDEXED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190294-10

DANIEL CURCI,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/5, 2013


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S/OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 124439-02

WILLIAM BELANICH,

Plaintiff,

- against -

H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant H.B. FULLER COMPANY, he and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
11/8, 2013 NOV 27 2013

 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
H.B. FULLER COMPANY
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

BERNARD TARPEY and JOAN L. TARPEY,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.*,

Index No.: 190149-13

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant WATERMAN STEAMSHIP CORPORATION ("Waterman"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint and all amended complaints against defendant Waterman with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Waterman be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Nov. 5, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


Dan Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Ruth A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheryl K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

BERNARD TARPEY and JOAN L. TARPEY,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.*,

Index No.: 190149-13

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CHIQUITA BRANDS INTERNATIONAL, INC., on its own behalf and as successor to defendants United Brands Company and United Fruit Company ("Chiquita") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Chiquita with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Chiquita be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

Nov. 5, 2013


Dan Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Ruth A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Hetiler

FILED
NOV 27 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC.
(ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 104742-00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/27/13
FILED

NOV 27 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES MONARCHIO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 113486-06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Co., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Turner Construction Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York


NOV 27 2013

11/6/13

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Co.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190343/12


**ALLEN A. GOTTLIEB and WENDY
GOTTLIEB**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (misnamed in the complaint as "Rockwell Automation Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Allen-Bradley")), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15, 2013


Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,
Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190486/11

DANIEL T. CARLUCCI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler-Hammer, n/k/a Eaton Corporation) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

11/18, 2013

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


James M. Kramer, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022


Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-
interest to Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190284/12


ROLLAND M. ENGLE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly sued as Eaton Corporation, Individually and now known as Eaton Electrical, Inc. and As Successor to The Vickers Pump Company and Cutler Hammer, Inc.) (“Eaton”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff’s complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York




Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Aileen E. McFiernan, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Eaton Corporation,
as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical,
Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
Wall Street Plaza, 24th Floor
88 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 483-9490

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190436/2012

PHILIP THIBODEAU,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
----- X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION, erroneously sued herein as BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY, a subsidiary of ITT INDUSTRIES, and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: October 14, 2013


Signed by Plaintiff: Oct. 18, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Christopher P. Browne, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10105-0106
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
THOMAS R. HELLWIG and CAROL A.
HELLWIG,

Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO. 190509/12

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al,

----- x
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Clark-Reliance Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Clark-Reliance Corporation** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
646 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

DATED: 11/27/13

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER
VAN LIEU LLC

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Clark-Reliance Corporation
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 105718/02
112282/02

James C. Tomasello

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: 10/24/13

NOV 27 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.26696

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sheri K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 105718/02
112282/02

James C. Tomasello

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: October 21, 2013



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Fl.
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00442

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101177/99

Dennis F. Padula and Lynda A. Padula

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 21, 2013


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Dave Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 23 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122152/98

Fred E. Grome

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: October 21, 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq. DAVID J. BARRY, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.02533

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122152/98

Fred E. Grome

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV 27 2013

Dated: October 21, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ **DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Fl.
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00403

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**GLORIA MILLER and JOSEPH MILLER
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190366-12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

11/15/13

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni/Regina Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**STANLEY LARSEN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A. W. CHESTERTON, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190087-12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

11/15/13


Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 31 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**THOMAS R. HELLWIG and CAROL A. HELLWIG,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190509-12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
on 11/15/13

FILED


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ABRAHAM FREUND
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
3M COMPANY, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190355-12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
on 11/15/13

FILED


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**BENEDETTO BERARDI and ANNA BERARDI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190488-12


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/6/13


Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
JOS. P. WILLIAMS & ASSOC., P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
245 Park Avenue, 39th Fl.
New York, New York 10167
(212) 668-1122


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PAGE 20

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MICHAEL ANTLE and VASHTEE ANTLE
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190360-12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/13

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**NOV 21 2013
PART 30**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**STANLEY LARSEN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A. W. CHESTERTON, ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190087-12

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Riley Power, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Riley Power, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/13

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Riley Power, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190481/12

Michael Volikas

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.01307

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190481/12

Michael Volikas

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** incorrectly s/h/a "UNION PUMP CO." (hereinafter **CLYDE UNION, INC.**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED


10/30/13

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CLYDE UNION, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 07723.00086

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190383/12

Leo Zimmerman

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant, **CARRIER CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


NOV 27 2013

11/4/13

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Michael Roberts, Esq. *Charles Ferguson*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.01258

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190518/11

Michael Lightsy and Barbara Lightsy

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/16/13

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34578

SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry J. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190050/09


Ronald P. McDonough

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 10/24/13


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.34316

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190117/09

Carmine Mongoni

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated:


10/24/13

NOV 27 2013


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.34356

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry L. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111767/08

Adeline Chobot-Spitzer, Executrix of the Estate of Armand
Del Cioppo and Carmela Del Cioppo, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**,
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED


11/6/13

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107329/07

Robert Williams and Norma Williams

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/6/13


FILED

NOV 27 2013



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105624/03
126937/02

Joseph P. Burns

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: 10/28/13


NOV 27 2013



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.29008

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100004/02

Frank Prizzi

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: 10/24/13

NOV 27 2013



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.20934

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry B. Heitler

FILED
NOV 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114079/02

Jordan James Butler

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** incorrectly s/h/a
"AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC." (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.")
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice
Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed
with prejudice and without cost.

FILED

Dated: 11/6/13

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans
Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 13139.00355

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 31 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114079/02

Jordan James Butler

FILED

NOV 27 2013

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
----- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/6/13


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie Eyans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190300/13

Stephen D. Horton

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC.**, as successor in interest to **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC.**, as successor in interest to **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC.**, as successor in interest to **SIEMENS ENERGY AND AUTOMATION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Oct. 24, 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Erik DiMarco, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SIEMENS INDUSTRY INC., as
successor in interest to **SIEMENS
ENERGY AND AUTOMATION,
INC.**
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 00965.00351

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190238/13

Vincent J. Calimano

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/8/13

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00533

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- x
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190105/13

George Birrell

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 11/16/13

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
THE WILLIAMS LAW FIRM
Attorney for Plaintiff
245 Park Ave, 39th Floor
New York, New York 10167


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 14501.00126

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190135/13

Robert Flahive

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, and the sum are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated:


NOV 27 2013

1116113

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00328

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190099/13

Teodosio V. Patino-Bernal and Rosa Patino

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

11/16/13
NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No: 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190215/13

George Purville

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York


11/6/13

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190087/12

Stanley Larsen and Margaret Larsen

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 27 2013

116113 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190008/12

Santos Assenzio and Annatolia Assenzio

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, and in the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: 11/21/13

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Roberts, Esq. *Charles Ferguson*
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 10557.01129

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190026/12

Robert Brunck,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a "CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS" (hereinafter **CARRIER CORPORATION**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 11/4/13

FILED


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Michael Roberts, Esq. *Charles Ferguson*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.01136

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 5 1 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190380/12

Robert W. Kascheres and Lissette Kascheres

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated:

10/23/13

FILED


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSEK, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 10557.01252

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190040/13

James Augustus Proctor and Joy C. Proctor

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **"CONWED CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Wood Conversion Company"** (hereinafter **"CONWED CORPORATION"**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: 11/6/13


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Matthew Park, Esq. Brian Early
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07415.00151

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190100/13

Roger Canty

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: Oct 16, 2013 NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
File No. 10557.01374

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190055/13

William Whelan and Ann Whelan

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**


----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Oct. 16, 2013


FILED

NOV 27 2013


Daniel Wasserberg Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No.: 10557.01356

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190161/13

Wayne R. Bryant and Shannon Bryant

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Oct. 16, 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10003


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
File No. 10557.01395

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190087/12

Stanley Larsen and Margaret Larsen

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____




Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00056

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190366/12


Gloria Miller

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a **“CONWED CORPORATION f/k/a Wood Conversion Company”** (hereinafter **“CONWED CORPORATION”**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs’ complaint against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CONWED CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____



11/16/13

FILED

NOV 27 2013

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joe Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07415.00143

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190284/12

Rolland M. Engle

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: _____

[Handwritten Signature]

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten Signature]

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 10557.01220

SO ORDERED, _____

[Handwritten Signature]

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190380/12

Robert W. Kascheres and Lissette Kascheres

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 13139.00216

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----- X
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190087/12

Stanley Larsen and Margaret Larsen

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- X
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036



Julie R. Evars, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPHINE HARTNETT, as Administratrix for the
Estate of MORTIMER HARTNETT, and JOSEPHINE
HARTNETT, Individually

Index No. 190442/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/21 **FILED** 2013

NOV 27 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO,

Index No. 190500/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Trinity Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Trinity Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Trinity Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DATED: 11-5 NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Levy, Phillips & Königsberg LLP
800 Third Ave., 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

BRENDAN J. TULLY, ESQ


Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Trinity Industries, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BENNY COHEN and ROCHELLE COHEN,

Index No. 190274/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/21, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017



County Clerk's Office
NEW YORK
Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHRISTAKIS K. CHRISTODOULO and AVGI
CHRISTODOULO

Index No. 190019/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: October 2 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

NEW YORK
by *Chris...*

Colleen M. Cronin
Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VINCENT BOYLE and ELLEN BOYLE

Index No. 111219/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11 / 10, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE R. BIRRELL and RAMONA J. BIRRELL

Index No. 190105/2013

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg Warner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


DATED: 10/22, 2013 ^{NOV 27 2013}

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167


Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECORDED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE R. BIRRELL and RAMONA J. BIRRELL

Index No. 190105/2013

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/27 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph R. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167

Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PAGE 10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190287/13

ONORATO BALACICH and INGRID BALACICH,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 10/22 2013

FILED


NOV 27 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 50

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190287/13

ONORATO BALACICH and INGRID BALACICH,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/22


FILED

,2013

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 21 2013
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190135/13

Robert Flahive


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER**

----- x
WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:


11/16/13


Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07415.00159

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH PARKIN,

Index No. 190016/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 10/20/13

FILED
2013


NOV 27 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN MURRAY and MARY MURRAY

Index No. 190554/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg Warner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest Borg Warner Morse TEC, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/21/2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

PLW
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN MURRAY and MARY MURRAY

Index No. 190554/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 10/21, 2013

FILED



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190081/13


SALVATOR MURABITO and GIOVANNA MURABITO,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/22, 2013



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167

FILED
NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Goleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SALVATOR MURABITO and GIOVANNA MURABITO,


Index No. 190081/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/22, 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 107451/00

GERALD L. MIESOWICZ

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: October **FILED** 2013


NOV 27 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
ADAM RAFF, Esq.
NEW YORK


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 113073/02

JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA, as Executrix for the Estate of
JAMES E. MCCABE, and JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA and
STEPHANIE TOBIN, as Co-Executrices for the Estate of
SHIRLEY A. MCCABE,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


DATED: 11/8 NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff John Richmond
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
EAST 28

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE LEVY and MERLE LEVY

Index No. 190326/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc., as Successor-by-Merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10-31, 2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
988 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH HORVATH and LORETTA HORVATH,

Index No. 190220/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Old Orchard Industrial Corp. ("Old Orchard"),, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Old Orchard Industrial Corp. ("Old Orchard"),, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Old Orchard Industrial Corp. ("Old Orchard"),, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/14, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013


Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
The Lanier Law Firm, P.C.
126 East 56th Street
Sixth Floor
New York, NY 10022

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Arthur D. Bromberg
Attorneys for Defendant
Old Orchard Industrial Corp. ("Old Orchard")
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN D. HORTON,

Index No. 190300/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "BorgWarner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV 27 2013

DATED: Oct. 28, 2013


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Daniel
WASSERMAN


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MR. MICHELE SANTORO and MRS. ROSE SANTORO


Index No. 190501/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., without prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/23, 2013




Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167



Anna M. DiLorardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

DUANE ERICKSON and LORETTA
ERICKSON,

INDEX NO. 190333-2011

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL CO., ET AL.

**DEFENDANT THE WILLIAM
POWELL COMPANY'S NO
OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Defendant(s)

WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

By:


Douglas Von Caste, Esq.
Kast and Von Caste
19500 State Hwy 249
Houston, TX 77070
281-970-9988

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By:


Matthew H. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heffler, J.S.C.

Dated:

11/19/13

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190076-13

**LOUISE CHATTERTON, as Administratrix for the
Estate of ROBERT CHATTERTON, and LOUISE
CHATTERTON, Individually,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-

**AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., n/k/a RHODE
POULENC AG COMPNAY, et al.,**

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-8-13

New York, New York

David A. Chandler, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Nicole J. Diesa, Esq.
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ
& TARDY, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, NJ 07701
(732) 524-4400

SO ORDERED,


Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013
RECEIVED
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES WATROS and SARAH WATROS

Index No. 190293/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/10, 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S
NEW YORK


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES WATROS and SARAH WATROS

Index No. 190293/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/12, 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 111679/08

JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, as Executrix for the Estate of
JAMES
V. TEMPIO, and JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, Individually

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation incorrectly named as Burns International Services Corporation ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/21, 2013

Suzanne Rochelle
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN F. STOREY and CANDACE STOREY


Index No. 105673/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Oct. 22, 2013



Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler


Arthur D. Bromberg
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190278/13

JACK P. SCAINETTI and MARGARET SCAINETTI,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/24, 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JACK P. SCAINETTI and MARGARET SCAINETTI,

Index No. 190278/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 9/24, 2013



Attorneys for Plaintiff *David Chodler*
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

FILED
NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MR. MICHELE SANTORO and MRS. ROSE SANTORO


Index No. 190501/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, without prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/22, 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Anna M. DiLorenzo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coteman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH PARKIN,

Index No. 190016/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/22, 2013

FILED

NOV 27 2013

 **FILED**

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams & Associates
245 Park Avenue
39th Floor
New York, NY 10167

NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES SABADASZ, as Administrator for the Estate of
ROBERT S. SABADASZ,

Index No. 109782/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 10/11, 2013


Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003


FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Cohen & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SCANNED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES V. TEMPIO, and JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, Individually, Index No. 111679-2008

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/7/13

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

NOV 27 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Stephen Novakidis
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Sherly Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherly Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x
NYCAL

ROSANN CHIARAVALLOTTI, as Administratrix for the Estate of
SAM CHIARAVALLOTTI and ROSANN CHIARAVALLOTTI and MARK
CHIARAVALLOTTI, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ANN
CHIARAVALLOTTI,

Index No. 118278-1999

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. et al.,

Defendants.
_____ x

WHEREFORE, defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Foster Wheeler, LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York
10/27/13 NOV 27 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen Novakidis

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
NOV 21 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NANCY E. PALMIERI, as Administrator of the Estate of NICHOLAS
LOVAGLIO, decease and DOROTHY LOVAGLIO, Individually ,

Index No.: 190210/2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON CO. et al., including
VIACOM INC., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO CBS CORPORATION F/K/A
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation ~~with~~ ^{without} prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed ~~with~~ ^{without} prejudice and without costs.

without NF

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

10/29/13

NOV 27 2013

Dennis Geier, Esq.
Seeger Weiss LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
77 Water Street
New York, New York 10005

Dennis E. Vega, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation,
f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS
Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
STEPHEN D. HORTON,

Index No.: 190300/2013

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PROD., et al,

Defendants.


**No Opposition Summary
Judgment Motion and Order**


-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York Oct. 28, 2013


Daniel Wasserberg
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

FILED
NOV 27 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 27 2013
FILED