

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
CHARLES P. CALKA (Dec.),		: Index Nos. 105167/02
		: 133963/93
		: 034774/92
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: :
	-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
CHARLES P. CALKA (Dec.),		: Index Nos. 105167/02
		: <u>133963/93</u>
		: 034774/92
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: :
	-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,		: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
	ALFRED H. ROSSOW JR. (Dec.),	: Index Nos. 126227/93
		: 126168/93
	Plaintiff(s),	: 100780/03
		:
	-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
ALFRED H. ROSSOW JR. (Dec.),	:	Index Nos. <u>126227/93</u>
	:	126168/93
Plaintiff(s),	:	100780/03
	:	
-against-	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-----X	:	IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

RALPH GESAULDI,

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),

-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100300/94

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NYCAL

VICTORIA INDICK, as Executrix for the Estate :
of HERMAN INDICK, :

Index Nos. 104848/00
122907/97
122907/98

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 121924/98
108713/01

JOHN LAFFERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Nov. 7, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
VICTORIA INDICK, as Executrix for the Estate	:	Index Nos. 104848/00
of HERMAN INDICK,	:	122907/97
	:	122907/98
	:	
	Plaintiff(s),	
	-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	
-----X		


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GIUSEPPE SEIDITA AND JOSEPHINE
SEIDITA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190464/10
105629/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 / 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Giuseppe Seidita and Josephine Seidita
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1003-0450
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107817/99; 102114/07



JAMES D. LANIGAN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/4, 2011

 Joe Vasquez, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
BARDLEY MARMON, as Executor for the Estate	:	Index No. 109077/99
of BETTY MARMON,	:	
	:	
	Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	-against-	: :
		: :
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff


LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WARREN H. MCNALLY,

FILED
-against-
Plaintiff(s),
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 120250/99

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WARREN H. MCNALLY

Index No.: 120250/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Warren H. McNally
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Cullen, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2747

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DOMINIC MICKEL M. LATTUCA,

FILED
NOV 30 2011
-against-
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiff(s),

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 120652/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 121986/99
105756/00

JAMES FITZPATRICK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/2, 2011
New York, New York


Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

ISABEL FITZPATRICK, as Administratrix for the : Index No. 105756/00
Estate of JAMES FITZPATRICK, and ISABEL : 121986/09
FITZPATRICK, Individually, :
: **NO OPPOSITION**
Plaintiffs, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
-against- :
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants. : IAS Part 30
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Phan Alvarado

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105774/00, 121986/99

EDWARD J. FERGUSON AND JEAN
FERGUSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward J. Ferguson and Jean Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-26070AB

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108603/00; 122205/99


JOSEPH QUINN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-9, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
MARIA KING, as Executrix for the Estate of	:	Index Nos. <u>105455/00</u>
JOSEPH KING SR., and MARIA KING,	:	<u>125767/99</u>
Individually,	:	
	:	
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION
		SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	-against-	
		Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	IAS Part 30
	:	
	Defendants.	
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/13/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

DEBORAH MARIE WARREN, as Executrix for : Index Nos. 125770/99
the Estate of CLYDE WARREN, and DEBORAH : 107120/00
MARIE WARREN, Individually, :

Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 : IAS Part 30


Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 125771/99

Robert J. Schmidt

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 125771/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT SCHMIDT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/2, 2011
New York, New York


Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT SCHMIDT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 125771/99 & 104150/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


11/9/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X
RICHARD H. BRADLEY (Dec.), :
 :
Plaintiff(s), :
 :
-against- :
 :
A. C. & S. INC., et al., :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

NYCAL

Index Nos (125797/99)
(103895/00)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ALFRED PAPANTONIO,

FILED
-against-
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiff(s),
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 125871/99

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 03 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
EUGENE R. DENAGEL and		: Index No. 100577/00
NANCY DENAGEL,		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	-against-	: :
	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
JACK TOLOMEO and DOROTHY TOLOMEO,	:	Index No. 100587/00
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100587/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

TOLOMEO, JACK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11-7, 2011
New York, New York


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JACK TOLOMEO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 100587/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550
11/9/11


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Steven Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JACK TOLOMEO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100587/00


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

11/9/11


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

Index No. 100587/00

This Document Relates To: :

JACK TOLOMEO and DOROTHY TOLOMEO, :

Plaintiffs, :

-against- :

A.C.&S., Inc., et al., Including, MAREMONT :
CORPORATION, :

Defendants. :
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

FILED


NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011



David M. Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
RICHARD H. BRADLEY (Dec.), :

Index Nos. 125797/99

103895/00

Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A. C. & S. INC., et al., :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank Ortiz, Esq.


By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT SCHMIDT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 125771/99 & 104150/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

11/9/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 413-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 104150/00

Robert J. Schmidt

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/3, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DONALD A. CRIPPS, JR.,

FILED NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Plaintiff(s),

-against- NOV 30 2011 Index No.: 104292/00

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. NEW YORK NYCAL
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

VICTORIA INDICK, as Executrix for the Estate : Index Nos. 104848/00
of HERMAN INDICK, : 122907/97
: 122907/98

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ELMO DEDON,

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

Index No.: 105416/00

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendant(s),

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

MARIA KING, as Executrix for the Estate of : Index Nos. 105455/00
JOSEPH KING SR., and MARIA KING, : 125767/99
Individually, :

Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
-against- : **MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 : IAS Part 30

Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/13/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: ~~121986/99~~
105756/00

JAMES FITZPATRICK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/2, 2011
New York, New York


Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
ISABEL FITZPATRICK, as Administratrix for the : Index No. 105756/00
Estate of JAMES FITZPATRICK, and ISABEL : 121986/99
FITZPATRICK, Individually, :

Plaintiffs,

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Phan Alvarado

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105774/00, 121986/99

EDWARD J. FERGUSON AND JEAN
FERGUSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward J. Ferguson and Jean Ferguson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-26070AB

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
DEBORAH MARIE WARREN, as Executrix for :
the Estate of CLYDE WARREN, and DEBORAH :
MARIE WARREN, Individually, :

Index Nos. 125770/99
107120/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 108856/00

This Document Relates To:

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS III and DONNA
RICHARDS,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.&S., Inc., et al., Including, MAREMONT
CORPORATION,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 8, 2011

FILED
NOV 8 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michael Farrell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108856/00

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS, III and DONNA
RICHARDS,

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/8, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benjamin F. Richards, III and Donna Richards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS, III and DONNA
RICHARDS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108856/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/18, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerlynn M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benjamin F. Richards, III and Donna Richards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS, III and DONNA
RICHARDS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108856/00


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/8, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benjamin F. Richards, III and Donna Richards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERKS OFFICE
2383-29665
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD J. POTTER

Index No.: 108610/00

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard J. Potter
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 108610-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MICHAEL SUSINO and VALERIE SUSINO,	: Index No. 117863/00
	: 110180/00
Plaintiffs,	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-9-11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Dana Northcraft

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110180/00, 117863/00


MICHAEL SUSINO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11-9-11, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

ROY R. HAMMARSTROM (Dec.), :
Index Nos. 110181/00
117058/00

FILED
Plaintiff(s)

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. C. & S. INC., et al.,

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendants

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	ROBERT J. BARKER (Dec.),	: Index Nos. 110183/00
		: 117416/00
	Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108603/00, 122205/99

JOSEPH QUINN

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 00/13938

This Document Relates To:

SALVATORE J. VASTA and PATRICIA VASTA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including,
MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2, 2011



, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

CLB55374/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SALVATORE VASTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113938/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

11/9/11


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SALVATORE VASTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113938/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

11/9/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113938/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

SALVATORE VASTA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 11/2, 2011
New York, New York



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
 IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
 -----X
 SALVATORE J. VASTA and PATRICIA VASTA, : Index No. 113938/00
 :
 Plaintiffs, :
 :
 -against- : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**
 :
 A.C. & S., INC., et al., :
 : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 Defendants, : IAS Part 30
 -----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Phan Alvarado

By: 
Jennifer T. Childs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115220/00


GERALD H. RABIDEAU, SR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	---

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 28 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
ROY R. HAMMARSTROM (Dec.), :

Index Nos. 110181/00
117058/00

FILED
Plaintiff(s)

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. C. & S. INC., et al.,

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK :
Defendants. CLERK'S OFFICE

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
ROBERT J. BARKER (Dec.), :
 :
Plaintiff(s), :
 :
-against- :
 :
A. C. & S. INC., et al., :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

Index Nos. 110183/00
117416/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____

Lawrence G. Lee, Est.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
	MICHAEL SUSINO and VALERIE SUSINO,	: Index No. 117863/00
	Plaintiffs,	: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4-11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: 
Dana Northcraft

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011
FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
MICHAEL SUSINO and VALERIE SUSINO,	: Index No. 117863/00
	: 110180/00
	: Plaintiffs,
	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	: -against-
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: Defendants. IAS Part 30
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4-11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Dana Northcraft

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110180/00, 117863/00


MICHAEL SUSINO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4-11, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JAMES E. WILSON,		: Index No. 119376/00
		: 107190/01
		: 100770/03
	Plaintiff(s),	:
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.		:
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/13/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: David J. Barry
David J. Barry, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-119379

This Document Relates to:

ROBERTA HARSHBERGER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CASIMIR SIBIGA AND
WANDA HARSHBERGER, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-18-11 New York, New York


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Roberta Harshberger, as Administratrix for
the Estate of Casimir Sibiga and Wanda
Harshberger, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BX-SUGAR-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
FRANK SERRAVALLO and	:	Index Nos. 107088/01
VIVIAN SERRAVALLO,	:	119379700
	:	100779703
	:	
	Plaintiff(s),	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119384/00, 107575/01


RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. <u>Joe Vazquez, Esq.</u> Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119826/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FRED G. CORDES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/2, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
FRED G. CORDES and CATHERINE CORDES,	: Index No. 119826/00
	: NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiffs,	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Phan Alvarado

By: 
Jennifer T. Childs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
THOMAS J. WALSH

Index No.: 103811/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas J. Walsh
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 895-9000
Out-File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS J. WALSH

FILED

NOV 3 9 2011
Plaintiff(s),

-against- NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 103811/01

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-107083

This Document Relates to:

ROBERTA HARSHBERGER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF CASIMIR SIBIGA AND
WANDA HARSHBERGER, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-2-11 New York, New York



WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Roberta Harshberger, as Administratrix for
the Estate of Casimir Sibiga and Wanda
Harshberger, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BX-FRUIT-30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

FRANK SERRAVALLO and : Index Nos. 107088/01
VIVIAN SERRAVALLO, : 119379/00
: 100779/03

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 19 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JAMES E. WILSON, : Index No. 119376/00
 : 107190/01
 : 100770/03
 :
Plaintiff(s), :
 :
 :
-against- :
 :
 :
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :
 :
 :
Defendants. :
 :
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: David J. Barry
David J. Barry, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119384/00; 107575/01



RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Doris M. Ryskowski, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of Raymond J.
Ryskowski,

Index No.: 107575/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/9, 2011


Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Doris M. Ryskowski,
Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of Raymond J.
Ryskowski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-343

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN LAFFERTY and BARBERA
LAFFERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 108713-01

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/2/11
New York, New York

Suzanne Raschiffi
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

David Oxamendi
David Oxamendi, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 336-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 121924/98
108713/01

JOHN LAFFERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Nov. 7, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JAMES DUNFORD and CATHERINE DUNFORD,	:	Index Nos. 116261/01
	:	111221/01
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
A. C. & S. INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


LYNCH DASKALOWSKI & EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
ARTHUR W. MEDNICK (Dec.),	:	Index Nos. 121423/01
	:	111228/01
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
GERTRUDE KRALJIC, as Executrix for the Estate : Index No. 123078/01
of JERRY CHIERCHIA, and JOAN CHIERCHIA, : 111230/01
Individually, :
Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**


-against- :
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants. : IAS Part 30
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 
Joe Vazquez

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK OF COURT OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111231/01, 120462/01



VINCENT COSTA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. <u>Joe Varquez, Esq.</u> Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No: 111232/01
119756/01

HARRY DOCKWEILER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/11, 2011
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 111232/01


Harry Dockweiler

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
HARRY J. DOCKWEILER,	: Index No. 111232/01
	: 119756/01
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Govind Shoaib Naini
Govind Shoaib Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X
JAMES DUNFORD and CATHERINE DUNFORD, :
 :
 : Plaintiff(s), :
 :
 : -against- :
 :
 : A. C. & S. INC., et al., :
 :
 : Defendants. :
-----X

NYCAL

Index Nos. 116261/01
111221/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKALOWICZ & COMPANY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

[Signature]
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 111232/01

119756/01

HARRY DOCKWEILER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/11, 2011
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

HARRY J. DOCKWEILER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

: Index No. 111232/01
: 119756/01

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendants.

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**
: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Govind Shoaib Naini
Govind Shoaib Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES R. SKENE

Index No.: 120388/01
106277/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/2 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James R. Skene
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11056

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
L.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120430/01, 100293/02

MICHELE J. VINESKI, Individually and
Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD VINESKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/19/11, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Vineski, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2383-24589AU

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 120430/01; 100157/02



JOSEPH D. FESTA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111231/01; 120462/01


VINCENT COSTA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Vazquez, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X
ARTHUR W. MEDNICK (Dec.), : Index Nos. 121423/01
 : 111228/01
 :
Plaintiff(s), :
 :
-against- :
 :
A.C. & S., INC., et al., :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GERALDINE A. FAGGIONE, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of DAVID M.
FAGGIONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
:
: Index No: 122307-01

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/15/11
New York, New York

Samuel Meirowitz
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Louis Monteleone and Irene Monteleone,

Index No.: 122427/01

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


FILED

NOV 30 2011


~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Louis Monteleone and Irene
Monteleone
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


~~Justin M. Tafe, Esq.~~
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-2031

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X	
GERTRUDE KRALJIC, as Executrix for the Estate	: Index No. 123078/01
of JERRY CHIERCHIA, and JOAN CHIERCHIA,	: 111230/01
Individually,	: :
	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	: :
Plaintiff(s),	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-against-	: :
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	: :
	: :
Defendants.	: :
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Joe Vazquez

By: 
Lawrence Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011
CLERK OF COURT'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2011
PART 30

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: :
MICHAEL TAORMINA, as Executor for the Estate : NYCAL
of JOHN A. CAMBONI, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
: Index No: 123131-01
-against- :
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.C. and S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
: :
Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice and there being no
opposition thereto,


FILED
NOV 8 2011

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: Nov. 8, 2011
New York, New York


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Marion Smith, as Executrix for the
Estate of William J. Smith,

Index No.: 124120/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/9, 2011


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Marion Smith, as Executrix for
the Estate of William J. Smith
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

Justin M. Ware, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

~~Our file No.: 10924-3891~~

So Ordered: 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120430/01; 100157/02



JOSEPH D. FESTA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York, 10005
--	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHELE J. VINESKI, Individually and
Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD VINESKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 120430/01, (100293/02)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Vineski, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2383-24589AU


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: NYCAL
CHARLES CARUSO and LUCILLE CARUSO, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
-against- : Index No.: 100461-02
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/8/11
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Patricia Lynch, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of David L.
Lynch,

Index No.: 100580/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/19, 2011


Peter Tambini, Esq. & Joe Varquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Patricia Lynch, Individually
and as Executrix for the Estate
of David L. Lynch
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4290

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:
JAMES C. KLEIN

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
November 10, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James C. Klein
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-9999

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 100821/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
:
:
EVELYN GUIDO, as Executrix for the Estate of :
VINCENT J. GUIDO, and EVELYN GUIDO, : NYCAL
Individually, : I.A.S. Part 30
:
:
Plaintiff(s), : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
:
-against- : Index No: 101057-02
:
:
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/15/11
New York, New York
Peter Tambini, Esq. Joe Vazquez, Esq.
~~WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.~~
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
 :
 :
DIANE COVERT, as Administrator of the Estate of : NYCAL
ROBERT COVERT (Decedent), and Individually, : I.A.S. Part 30
 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
 :
Plaintiff(s), :
 : Index No: 101424-02
 :
-against- :
 : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.C. and S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
 :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/15/11
New York, New York
Samuel Meirowitz 11/10/2011
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102478/02, 127886/02

WILLIAM F. HASSLER AND MARGARET P.
HASSLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/4, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William F. Hassler and Margaret Hassler
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

324-72377
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102508/02

ROSELIA OJEDA AND MICHELLE CAPO, AS
CO-ADMINISTRATORS FOR THE ESTATE OF
FELIX OJEDA AND ROSELIA OJEDA,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Nov. 1, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Felix Ojeda
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2383-23197

{N0072355-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSELIA OJEDA AND MICHELLE CAPO, AS
CO-ADMINISTRATORS FOR THE ESTATE OF
FELIX OJEDA AND ROSELIA OJEDA,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 102508/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/19/11, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Felix Ojeda
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1235-20145

{N0042173-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Carol Pringle, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of James J.
Pringle,

Index No.: 102509/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/9, 2011


Samuel M. Neirowitz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carol Pringle, Individually and
as Executrix for the Estate of
James J. Pringle
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 8 0 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-3409

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 102837-02

This Document Relates To:

JOHN J. O'BRIEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including
MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**


FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 8, 2011



Michael Farrell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICIA I. SINGLEY, as Executrix for the
Estate of JAMES J. CARR and IRENE A. CARR,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 102946-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Nov. 8, 2011
New York, New York

Supanne Radcliffe
Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROLINE GALISNKY, Individually and as
Personal Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM
J. GALINSKY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 103056-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/15/11

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.

599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6930
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 103058/02

Richard A. Wood

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
October 21, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Ralph Vincent and Linda Vincent,

Index No.: 103443/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011


~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ ~~Jose Varquez, Esq.~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Ralph Vincent and Linda Vincent
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1698

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Steven M. Sherlock and Adam D. Sherlock,
as Co-Administrators for the Estate of
Millard D. Sherlock,

Index No.: 103869/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/2/11, 2011




Danny R. Kraft Jr., P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Steven M. Sherlock and Adam D.
Sherlock, as Co-Administrators
for the Estate of Millard D.
Sherlock
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

So Ordered:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Our File No.: 10924-3715

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 104304-02

This Document Relates To:

MICHAEL O. FLYNN and MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including
MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

FILED


NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
November 8, 2011



Michael Farrell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Hentler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GRACE LEWANDOSKI as Administratrix for the
Estate of ROBERT F. LEWANDOSKI, and
GRACE LEWANDOSKI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 105166-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/15/11

New York, New York

Peter Tambini, Esq. Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	CHARLES P. CALKA (Dec.),	: Index Nos. <u>105167/02</u>
		: 133963/93
	Plaintiff(s),	: 034774/92
		: :
	-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN REINA

Index No.: 105559/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John Reina
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11034-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN REINA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.P. GREEN INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 105559/02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-9-11
New York, New York



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN REINA,

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105559/02

NOV 30 2011

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendant(s),


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EDWARD C. GOEBEL,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),
against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105623/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR.

Index No.: 105625/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Rocco L. Peluso, Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sheri K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR.,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),
-against-
NOV 30 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105625/02


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendant(s),


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT E. KERNS,

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),

-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105711/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
CARL P. MANGONA

Index No.: 105712/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carl P. Mangona
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-2938

SO ORDERED.



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-105715

This Document Relates to:

LUCY WALDRON, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF WESLIE WALDRON AND LUCY
WALDRON, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-1-11 NEW YORK, New York


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
KOHLER CO.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
LUCY WALDRON, as Executrix for the Estate
of WESLIE WALDRON and LUCY
WALDRON, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ


536.12070/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
DAVID WEAVER, Deceased, : Index No.: 105871/02
: 108982/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (July 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
: :
vs. : :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
East Hanover, New Jersey


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

536.12071/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to:	:	
	:	
PHILLIP COX, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 105872/02
	:	114082/05
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	(July 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
East Hanover, New Jersey


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 8 0 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. SALSANO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ANTHONY J. FIOCCO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No: 105876-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-4-11
New York, New York



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
IRVING SPITZ

Index No.: 106266/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Irving Spitz
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11082011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Steven K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IRVING SPITZ,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

-against- NOV 30 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106266/02

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES R. SKENE

Index No.: 120388/01
106277/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/2 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Joseph M. Angiolillo

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James R. Skene
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 675411056

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

VINCENT J. AULETTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106509/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluff, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
EDWARD G. SAMSON, as Administrator for the :
Estate of EDWARD SAMSON, and STELLA :
SAMSON, Individually, :
Plaintiffs, :
-against- :
A.C. & S., INC., et al., :
Defendants. :

Index No. 106510/02
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/16/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
By: [Signature]
~~Peter Tambini~~
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
EDWARD SAMSON

Index No.: 106510/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward Samson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 106510-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RALPH J. DEGEORGIA,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s)
FILED
-against-

Index No.: 106574/02


NOV 30 2011
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendant(s),

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

X:/cases/FBW45717/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CARL CUCCO, JR.,

Index No. 106578/02 &
112736/02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

10/31/11

Suzanne M. Halbardier
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3500

FILED
NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

X:/FBW45717/legal/DECEMBER 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: (106578/02 & 112711/02)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/8/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
CARL CUCCO JR.,	: Index No. 106578/02
	: 112736/02
	: :
Plaintiff(s),	: :
	: :
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: :
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal :
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES :
SNECKENBURG, and CATHERINE :
SNECKENBURG Individually, :

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al., :
Defendants. :

Index No. 106578/02
112709/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/21, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2571-1213

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2383-25546

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011




Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

1235-8012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011




Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Suzanne Ratcheffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/31, 2011




Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011



Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.


MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2082-10798

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EMMA ROKITKA, as Executrix of the Estate of
FRANCIS ROKITKA, and EMMA ROKITKA,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 106578-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 27, 2011
New York, New York


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

 **FILED**
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT W. SCHAETZER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106693/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

11/9/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERT W. SCHAEZTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 106693/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

11/9/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: NYCAL
DONALD J. KRENTZ and IRENE G. KRENTZ, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
: Index No: 106693-02
-against- :
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
: **Defendants.** :
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York



Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 115510/02
106709/02

WARREN WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/4, 2011
New York, New York


John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Ann Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE E. MEIROSE.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 106914-02


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

536.12214/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL, Deceased, : Index No.: 106915/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV 30 2011

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRIGY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
77 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106915-02

LAWRENCE W. LUTTRELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	--

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115157/02, 107004/02



ROBERT LYDECKER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank O. Fox, Esq. Michael F. Fox Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-107007

This Document Relates to:

HARVEY KESSLER and CAROL KESSLER,

against

ACANDS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

11/2/11


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


~~ADAM KESSLER, ESQ.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Harvey Kessler and Carol Kessler
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW JERSEY
COUNTY CLERK



BW-FAMOUS-18

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN SCULL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:


: Index No: 107101 -02
:

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6930
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD K. GEARY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 107167-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York



Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

536.12265/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 107183/02
MATTHEW J. DEGAETANO, Deceased,	:	
	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

NOV 30 2011


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107183-02



MATTHEW J. DEGAETANO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/1, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Meisowitz Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10003
--	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

William Matice

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107401/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV 30 2011


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

SUSAN P. MATICE, Individually and as : Index No. 113480/02
Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM A. : 107401/02
MATICE, :

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Peter Tambini, Esq.
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 107401/02

William A. Matice

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

11/8, 2011

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
JOAN M. FAGAN as Executrix of the Estate of :
JOHN J. FAGAN and JOAN M. FAGAN, :
Individually, :

Index No. 107403/02
114119/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Joe Vazquez

By: [Signature]
Jennifer Childs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JOHN S. HAWKINS SR. (Dec.),		: Index No. 107514/02
	Plaintiff(s),	:
	-against-	:
		: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S. INC., <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

536.12490/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 107761/02
ROSE P. DEAN, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11

East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM M. KOPCHO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,


Defendants.

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 108105-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108105-02


WILLIAM M. KOPCHO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-9, 2011

 Frank Quinn, Esq. Michael Farrell Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

536.12538/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	Index No.: 108105/02
WILLIAM M. KOPCHO, Deceased,	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
vs.	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	:	AND ORDER
<hr/>		

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

536.12532/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 108356/02


ROBERT E. HOULIHAN, SR., :
Deceased, : (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
: Plaintiff, :
: vs. : **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **AND ORDER**
et al., :
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOSEPH LAVERIO,

FILED
NOV 08 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiff(s),

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 108750/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

536.12827/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 108870/02

DONALD F. HOGAN, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)

vs. :
: **NO OPPOSITION**

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
et al., : **AND ORDER**

Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN E. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

536.12853/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 108872/02
ALFRED J. KOPERA, Deceased,	:	
	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED

NOV 30 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamoc
STEPHEN F. BALSAMOC, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011


536.12070/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
DAVID WEAVER, Deceased, : Index No.: 105871/02
: 108982/02
: (July 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
Plaintiff, :
: :
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
East Hanover, New Jersey


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
DAVID WEAVER

Index No.: 108982/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
David Weaver
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-2286

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 108985/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER

Index No.: 108985/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/19, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas Michael Wimmer
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 1084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109064-02


SIDNEY S. LOEB

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

536.12866/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 109064/02
SIDNEY S. LOEB, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRIPPY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109339/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH DEMARCO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/4, 2011
New York, New York


John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM M. ALMOND,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109434/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 513-3600
FILED

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherryl Klein-Heitler

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

HENRY DARMSTEDTER as Proposed
Administrator for the Estate of LEONARD
DARMSTEDTER,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

: Index No.: 109641-02

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Nov. 7, 2011
New York, New York


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

536.12833/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 109642/02
MAURICE J. HOURIHAN, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN P. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JANE C. HOURIHAN, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of MAURICE J.
HOURIHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S.. INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 109642-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Nov. 7, 2011
New York, New York


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-9030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 5 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DONALD CARRIERO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109689/02


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FRANK J. COSTELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109943/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


Index No.: 110269/02 & 126765/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 11-9-11


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 333-5600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011


536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
WILLIS GAVIGAN, Deceased, : Index No.: 110269/02
: 126765/02
: (August 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
Plaintiff, :
: :
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : **NO OPPOSITION**
et al., : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**
: **AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
East Hanover, New Jersey


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ANTHONY FLAMIO and ROSE FLAMIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No: 110270 -02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-9-11
New York, New York


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 110269/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIS GAVIGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11-9, 2011
New York, New York


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Dora M. Weitz*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Jennifer N. Budner, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES O. HUGHES,

Plaintiff(s),

FILED
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

-----X
Defendant(s),

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 110296/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.



_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN H. JAKWAY,

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 110297/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11


_____, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
KENNETH G. MILLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 110305/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,

dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,


and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RICHARD DAY,

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

Index No.: 110478/02

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
L.A.S. Part 30

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

STANLEY J. COLLESANO, as Administrator for the ESTATE of JOSEPH S. COLLESANO, Index No. 110717-2002

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-

A.C. and S. INC. et al., including
FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

FILED

Defendants, NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
WHEREFORE, defendant ~~Foster Wheeler LLC~~ hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Foster Wheeler LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Foster Wheeler LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/21/11




Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
FARO P. VITALE

Index No.: 110776/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Faro P. Vitale
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry E. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FARO P. VITALE,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NOV 30 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 110776/02


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



_____, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2011
PART 30

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOHN C. BUCKLEY and KATHLEEN M.
BUCKLEY, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. and S. INC., et al., :


Defendants. :


: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 110848/02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 26, 2011
New York, New York


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/July2011
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN C. BUCKLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 110848/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 10/28/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

ANTHONY CELESTE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 110850/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11


_____, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
LEONARD POMPOSELLO

Index No.: 110859/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/14, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Leonard Pomposello
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2832

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X	
BONNIE J. DAILEY, as Proposed Executrix for	: Index Nos. 111688/02
the Estate of FRANCIS H. DAILEY and	: 101828/05
BONNIE J. DAILEY, Individually,	: :
	: NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s),	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	: :
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	: :
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.12423/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to:	:	
	:	
RONALD J. DEON,	:	Index No.: 111994/02
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011

STEPHEN R. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
CATHERINE SNECKENBURG, as Personal :
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES :
SNECKENBURG, and CATHERINE :
SNECKENBURG Individually, :

Plaintiffs, :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendants. :

Index No. 106578/02
112709/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre

By: Lawrence G. Lee
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES SNECKENBURG,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),
NOV 30 2011

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 112709/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011


Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2082-10798

X/FBW45717/legal/DECEMBER 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 106578/02 & 112711/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/8/11


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011




Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Suzanne Raskliffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

1235-8012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011




Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Suzanne Ratcheffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-8509

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Suzanne Rascliffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106578/02 (112711/02)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/29, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2571-1213

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EMMA ROKITKA, Individually and as Executrix
for the Estate of FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106578/02, 112711/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/31, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Suzanne Buckleffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Emma Rokitka and Francis Rokitka
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

2383-25546

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X


This Document Relates To: :
:
EMMA ROKITKA, as Executrix of the Estate of : NYCAL
FRANCIS ROKITKA, and EMMA ROKITKA, : I.A.S. Part 30
Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
Plaintiff(s), : Index No: 112711-02
:
-against- :
:
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
:
Defendants. : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Oct. 27, 2011
New York, New York


Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

X:\cases\FBW45717\legal\NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CARL CUCCO, JR.,

Index No. 106578/02 &
112736/02

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-against-


A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 10/31/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
CARL CUCCO JR.,	: Index No. 106578/02
	: 112736/02
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	:
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

536.12437/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 112800/02
RICHARD K. GEARY, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

RICHARD K. GEARY, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No: 112800 -02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York



Michael Facelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

GERALD E. ALLEN and SHIRLEY L. ALLEN, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., :

Defendants. :
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 113276-02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-4-11
New York, New York


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 113276/02

This Document Relates To:

GERALD E. ALLEN and SHIRLEY L. ALLEN,
Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,
Including MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11-9, 2011


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5754

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2011
PART 30

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROGER J. BALDUCCI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 113277-02


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/4/11
New York, New York


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John E. Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


~~Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.~~
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COURT OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CAROLE BALDUCCI, individually and for the
Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, deceased,

Index No.: 02-113277

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

ACand S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/7, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John Richmond
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500



Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 113480/02

William Matice


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/8, 2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

SUSAN P. MATICE, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM A.
MATICE,

: Index No. 113480/02
: 107401/02

Plaintiff(s),

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~
Joe Vazquez, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

536.12416/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 113480/02
WILLIAM A. MATICE, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 10 2011

536.12416/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
STEPHEN A. MANDELL, : Index No.: 113483/02
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

NOV 30 2011

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
DEBORAH VANROO as Executrix for the :
Estate of THOMAS L. GERACE, :

Index Nos. 126187/02
113566/02

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSE MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 113566 -02


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and counterclaims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-9-11
New York, New York


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6130
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRANCIS TOMEO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 113566-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11-9-11
New York, New York



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
WILLIAM C. BOYLE (Dec.),	:	Index Nos. 127186/02
	:	113567/02
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIAM L. CARPENTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113809/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/July2011
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
AARON O'BRIEN,

FILED
NOV 08 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiff(s),

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 113939/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
AARON O'BRIEN

Index No.: 113939/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Aaron O'Brien
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-114013

This Document Relates to:

ELLEN CRESPO, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF ELMER ALBERICO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 11-2-11 New York, New York


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Ellen Crespo, As Administratrix for the Estate
of Elmer Alberico
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BW-SHAPE-15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 114013-02

ELMER ALBERICO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10-16-2011
New York, New York


Dana M. Northrup, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Keith M. O'Connell, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 3 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JOHN R. CARR and SAMUEL J. CARR, as Co- : Index No. 114016/02
Personal Representatives for the Estate of JOSEPH :
E. CARR, :

Plaintiff(s),

: NO OPPOSITION
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
: MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al.


: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

By: 
Golriz Shoa-Naini, Esq.


700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011

536.12430/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
ALTON A. HAKES,	:	Index No.: 114072/02
Plaintiff,	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED

NOV 30 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JOAN M. FAGAN as Executrix of the Estate of :
JOHN J. FAGAN and JOAN M. FAGAN, :
Individually, :

Index No. 107403/02
114119/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Joe Vazquez

By: 
Jennifer Childs

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK OF COURT OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOAN M. FAGAN, as Executrix for JOHN M.
FAGAN, and JOAN M. FAGAN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 114119-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11
New York, New York



Joe Vasquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

536.12411/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 114119/02
JOHN J. FAGAN, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
STEPHEN P. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 114296-02; 116798-07


ANDREW S. NEER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/July2011
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
EDWARD M. MCINERNEY, JR.,

FILED
against-
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Plaintiff(s),
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 114873/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11


_____, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
NORMAN JAMES REINARD,

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 114650/02

NOV 30 2011

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Defendant(s),

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11


_____, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherris Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
NORMAN JAMES REINARD

Index No.: 114650/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Norman James Reinard
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiofillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Cheryl K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
DONALD L. STERNER

Index No.: 114859/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
July, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald L. Sterner
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2886

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
EDWARD M. MCINERNEY, JR.

Index No.: 114873/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
NOV 30 2011

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____ 11/14, 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward M. McInerney, Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

CLB55045/legal/nosjm/July2011
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
DONALD J. KRENTZ,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),
-against- NOV 30 2011

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 115012/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115157/02, 107004/02


ROBERT LYDECKER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank Orszag, Esq. Michael Powell Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011


536.12408/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 115342/02
HARVEY KESSLER, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 115510/02
106709/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

WARREN WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/4, 2011
New York, New York


John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
RALPH CESTARE, as Executor for the Estate of	: Index No. 116052/02
AGNES CESTARE,	:
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A. C. & S. INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

NOV 30 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
RICHARD ANTHONY CHIMERA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 116076/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
10/28/11


_____, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAMES P. CAHILL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 116079/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

PAULINE BURTON, as Executrix for the Estate of : Index No. K17571/02
RICHARD BURTON, : 107099/02

Plaintiff(s), :
-against- : **NO OPPOSITION**
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**
 :
A. C. & S. INC., et al. :
Defendants. : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
 : IAS Part 30
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
By: [Signature]
~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~
Joe Varquez, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 18 2011
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

536.12672/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
ROBERT H. LaGOE, Deceased, : Index No.: 117995/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

536.12672/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)


This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 117996/02

WILLIAM F. LAW, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK

STEPHEN F. RANSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
HENRY H. WILLIS,

FILED

NOV 30 2011
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 118528/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
HENRY H. WILLIS

Index No.: 118528/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Henry H. Willis
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 18 2011


536.12417/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 119102/02
RANDALL A. KACER, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK

STEPHEN M. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LAWRENCE STERN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No: 119111-02


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 11/9/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119646-02


JOHN A. SCULL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
--	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the
Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, and
CAROLE BALDUCCI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No(s): 119783-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2011
PART 30

FILED

NOV 30 2011


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the

above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/4/11
New York, New York


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6650
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CAROLE BALDUCCI, individually and for the
Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, deceased,

Index No.: 02-119783

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

ACand S, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/7, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003-9536
212.558.5500


Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Standard Motor Products, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MONIQUE PELLETIER, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of GIRARD PELLETIER

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 02/120337


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants BURNHAM CORPORATION, individually and as
successor-in-interest to Federal Boiler and Radiator Co. ("Burnham Corporation"), hereby
request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BURNHAM CORPORATION
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants BURNHAM CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice
and without costs.


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff


John Fanning, Esq.
Cullen & Dykman LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Counsel for Defendant

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MONIQUE PELLETIER, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of GIRARD PELLETIER

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/120337

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Paytner's Ridge Office Park
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, NJ 08736
Counsel for Defendant

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GIRARD PELLETIER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 120337/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
11/9/11


Nicole Pitti, Esq.
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a
UTICA BOILER
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 312-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NOV 4 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:ATT46086.Agnl/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GIRARD PELLETIER,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 120337/02

A.W. CHESTERTON CO INC., et al.;

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants *ATLAS TURNER INC.* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *ATLAS TURNER INC.* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *ATLAS TURNER INC.* be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

NOV 30 2011


Brenda J. Tully, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

11/9/11


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for ATLAS TURNER INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Peitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120337/02

**MONIQUE PELLETIER, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of GIRARD
PELLETIER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Stewart Warner Corporation ("Stewart Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Stewart Warner with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Stewart Warner be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11 / 9, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips, & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Stewart Warner
Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MONIQUE PELLETIER, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of GIRARD PELLETIER

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/120337

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants CERTAINTEED CORPORATION hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CERTAINTEED CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CERTAINTEED CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff


Judith Yavitz, Esq.
Darger Errante Yavitz & Blau
116 East 27th St, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10016
Counsel for Defendant

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
VITO A. ALONGI and HILKKA ALONGI,		: Index No. 120615/02
		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		: :
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,		: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Index Nos.: 121477-02;
105917-04

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. including
PERKINS ENGINES, INC.,

FILED

Defendants. NOV 30 2011


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 9, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Perkins Engines, Inc.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
CHARLES JOHNSON,	: Index No. 121477/02
	: 105917/04
	:
Plaintiff(s),	:
	: NO OPPOSITION
-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 121477/02

Charles Johnson

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: :
CYNTHIA M. LAZZARO, as Administratrix for : NYCAL
the Estate of JOSEPH J. LUNGA and VERONICA : I.A.S. Part 30
K. LUNGA, Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
: :
Plaintiff(s), : Index No: 123165-02
: :
-against- : :
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
: :
Defendants. : :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/10/11
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

324-7207AB
NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124339/02

Jack Nielsen


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Farrell.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 124339/02


Jack Nielsen

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq. M. Israel Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
GARRY NEWMAN (Dec.),		: Index Nos. 103217/03
		: 124441/02
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,		: :
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-----X		: IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-124444

This Document Relates to:

LYNN R. PARISI, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE
ESTATE OF JOHANNES DEVALK

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-2-11 New York, New York



WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN,
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Lynn F. Parisi, as Executrix for the Estate of
Johannes DeValk
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

NICHOLAS C. LATZER and THELMA LATZER, : Index No. 125211/02

Plaintiffs,

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/24/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz
John Richmond

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED: [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
John Murray and Sharon Murray,

Index No.: 125213/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/9, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ ~~Joe Varquez, Esq.~~
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
John Murray and Sharon Murray
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

~~Justin M. Tafe, Esq.~~
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2794

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
DEBORAH VANROO as Executrix for the	:	Index Nos. 126187/02
Estate of THOMAS L. GERACE,	:	113566/02
	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s),	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
-against-	:	
	:	
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011
NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

324-7207AB
NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011




Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

505-489
NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11

126681/02

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a
The Duriron Company Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

951-0904

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.


Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/30, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1003-0458

NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.


Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1235-23527

NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

COUNTY CLERK
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/16, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Chris Duth
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

2383-25975AB
NOV 30 2011

{N0042173-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/Q2

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/6, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco
Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson
Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0083640-1}

NOV 30 2011
1505-650 YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

FREDERICK A. PORTA AND ROSE PORTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 126686/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 27, 2011


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frederick Porta and Rosa Porta
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
324-7254AH

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 126686/02

FREDERICK A. PORTA AND ROSE PORTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


 2011

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frederick Porta and Rosa Porta
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-0248AH

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 126686/02

FREDERICK A. PORTA AND ROSE PORTA,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/10, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frederick Porta and Rosa Porta
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011


536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
WILLIS GAVIGAN, Deceased, : Index No.: 110269/02
: 126765/02
: (August 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
Plaintiff, :
: :
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
East Hanover, New Jersey


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILLIS R. GAVIGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 110269/02 & 126765/02


A.C. & S, Inc., et al.


Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 11-9-11


Dana Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011


536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 126791/02
PAUL W. KRUG,	:	
	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

STEPHEN COUZALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JAMES A. ROBINETT,		: Index No. 126812/02
	Plaintiff,	:
	-against-	: NO OPPOSITION
		: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,		:
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUKENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:



~~Frank M. Ortiz~~
Joe Vazquez

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:


Jennifer Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X
WILLIAM C. BOYLE (Dec.), :

Index Nos. 127186/02
T13567/02

Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A. C. & S. INC., et al., :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FRANK MONASTERO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 127406/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
11/8/11


Shawnette Pratt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Meitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 127406-02


**FRANK MONASTERO and
CAROLINE MONASTERO**

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/13, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LORETO PAPALIA,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

WEIL-MCLAIN, A DIVISION OF THE MARLEY
COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/127449

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants WEIL-MCLAIN, A DIVISION OF THE MARLEY COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants WEIL-MCLAIN, A DIVISION OF THE MARLEY COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants WEIL-MCLAIN, A DIVISION OF THE MARLEY COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Brendan Tully, Esq.

Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Dated: 11/16, 2011

New York, New York

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler



Steven R. Rosenblatt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain, a division of
The Marley Company
Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LORETO PAPALIA,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

THE MARLEY-WYLAIN COMPANY, AN
AFFILIATE OF WEIL MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/127449

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants THE MARLEY-WYLAIN COMPANY, AN AFFILIATE OF WEIL MCLAIN hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants THE MARLEY-WYLAIN COMPANY, AN AFFILIATE OF WEIL MCLAIN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants THE MARLEY-WYLAIN COMPANY, AN AFFILIATE OF WEIL MCLAIN be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Dated: 11/16, 2011
New York, New York

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler


Steven R. Rosenblatt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
The Marley-Wylain Company, an Affiliate
of Weil Mclain
Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 691-7300

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LORETO PAPALIA,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

CRANE CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/127449

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CRANE CO. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CRANE CO. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*Dated: November 9, 2011
New York, NY*

Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
K&L Gates LLP.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
LORETO PAPALIA,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 02/127449

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs



Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
American Standard, Inc.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Paytner's Ridge Office Park
Manasquan, NJ 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102478/02, 127886/02

WILLIAM F. HASSLER AND MARGARET P.
HASSLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/4, 2011



Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William F. Hassler and Margaret Hassler
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
324-7237

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

JAMES E. WILSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

: Index No. 119376/00
: 107190/01
: 100770/03

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/18/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: David J. Barry, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
FRANK SERRAVALLO and	:	Index Nos. 107088/01
VIVIAN SERRAVALLO,	:	119379/00
	:	100779/03
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	NO OPPOSITION
	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	:	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
ALFRED H. ROSSOW JR. (Dec.),		: Index Nos. 126227/93
		: 126168/93
		: <u>100780/03</u>
	Plaintiff(s),	:
		:
	-against-	:
		:
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
		:
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
-----X		

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/ATT46566/Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
SANDRA ADAMS, Individually and as Administratrix
To the Estate of WAYNE ADAMS,
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

- against -

Index No.: 100959/03


A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.;

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants *ATLAS TURNER INC.* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *ATLAS TURNER INC.* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *ATLAS TURNER INC.*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg 11/9/11
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for ATLAS TURNER INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-8600

FILED

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

JOHANNES DEVALK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 103181/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

11/9/11



Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
GARRY NEWMAN (Dec.),		: Index Nos. <u>103217/03</u>
		: 124441/02
	Plaintiff(s),	:
		: NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
		:
A. C. & S. INC., et al.,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: 
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED

Dated: New York, New York



NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. COUNTY CLERK OF NEW YORK

NOV 18 2011

ATT 46967
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
TRUDI ROSENBERG, Individually and as the
Executrix of the Estate of JOSEPH ROSENBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO INC., et al.;

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 109000/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant, ATLAS TURNER INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, ATLAS TURNER INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ATLAS TURNER INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/9, 2011


Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY McTERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
ATLAS TURNER, INC.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10006

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Index Nos.: 121477-02;
105917-04

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. including
PERKINS ENGINES, INC.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

FILED

NOV 30 2011

-----X
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


WHEREFORE, defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PERKINS ENGINES, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 9, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Perkins Engines, Inc.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

CHARLES JOHNSON,

: Index No. 121477/02
: 105917/04

Plaintiff(s),

: **NO OPPOSITION**
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
Defendants.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
: IAS Part 30
: X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105917-04

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation, improperly pled as Lipe-Automation Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Lipe Automation Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
10/15, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY
& CARPENTER, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Lipe Automation Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 105917/04

Charles Johnson


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/2, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq./Craig Blau, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
BARBARA A. HAYES, Individually and as
Administratrix of the Estate of JOSEPH T. HAYES,

Index No.: 107394/05

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

ACF Industries, et al.,


Defendants,
-----x

WHEREFORE, Defendants WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP. and FOREST ELECTRIC CORP. hereby request Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendants WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP. and FOREST ELECTRIC CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendants WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP. and FOREST ELECTRIC CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 1, 2011

LONDON FISCHER LLP


By: 
John E. Sparling, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants
WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP.
and FOREST ELECTRIC CORP.
59 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 972-1000

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

By: 
Erica Cesaro, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 606-6200

FILED

NOV 30 2011

So Ordered: 
J.S.C.

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Rose Ventry, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of Albert
Ventry,

Index No.: 110031/05

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/19, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ Joe Varquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Rose Ventry, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of
Albert Ventry
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

~~Justin M. Tafe, Esq.~~
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-5065

So Ordered:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011


536.12071/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
PHILLIP COX, Deceased, : Index No.: 105872/02
: 114082/05
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (July 2011 FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
East Hanover, New Jersey


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN E. JOUBALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
PHILIP R. COX,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 114082/05

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
10/28/11
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


_____, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
JOHN R. FREDERICK,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

-against-
NOV 30 2011

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 109589/06

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
10/28/11



Shawnette Fluit, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107817/99; 102114/07


JAMES D. LANIGAN


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/4, 2011


Joe Vasquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Robert Laurance Maydich and Helen
Maydich,

Index No.: 102115/07

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/9, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ Joe Varquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert Laurance Maydich and
Helen Maydich
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

~~Justin M. Tafe, Esq.~~
Culken and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5464

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

CLB55213/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP as Executrix for the Estate of
DAVID BLENKENSOPP and ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 104633/2007

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Joe Vasquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003



Shawnette Flutt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
CHARLES F. RYBACKI,

FILED
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NOV 30 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 116797/07

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., INC. et al.,
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11


_____, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
CHARLES F. RYBACKI

Index No.: 116797/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles F. Rybacki
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Anzitolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 11084-1411

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114296-02; 116798-07

ANDREW S. NEER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 th Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
GERARDO RUBINO

Index No.: 109704/08

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gerardo Rubino
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 109704-1599

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
GERARDO RUBINO,

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

Index No.: 109704/08

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


_____, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

10/28/11



Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190125/09

LOUIS G. D'ADDIO

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Milton Roy Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Milton Roy Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Milton Roy Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/11, 2011


Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant, Milton Roy Company
McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street - 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 483-9490


~~Louis G. D'Addio, Esq.~~ Audrey P. Radtke
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 805-5200

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

1670086_1

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Klein-Heitler)

-----X
This Document Relates to:
ANDREW J. VENIER and PATRICIA VENIER, Index No.: 190145/09

Plaintiffs,

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION**

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DiBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against MARIO & DiBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DiBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
October 21, 2011


KUSH, Shukla, Esq.
~~Levy Phillips & Konigsberg,~~
~~LLP Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer P.A.~~
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Andrew J. Venier and
Patricia Venier
~~800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor~~
~~New York, NY 10022~~
K5 110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, N.Y. 10038-3901


Jeffrey Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.,
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6270

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Joseph Mirabile
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190176/09


WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

October 17, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.


Erik Jacobs
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.


Janine E. Brown
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0079
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

:::ODMA/PCDOCS/DOCSNY/525166/1

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NOV 18 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190168/10

**WILLIAM RAFFAELE and MARIA
RAFFAELE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor in interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly sued as Eaton Electrical, Inc., f/k/a Cutler Hammer), ("Cutler-Hammer"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Cutler-Hammer with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Cutler-Hammer be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/9, 2011


Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022


Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor in
interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-
Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILE
NOV 9 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 190262/10

This Document Relates To:

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

MICHAEL V. MORENO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABEX CORPORATION, *et al.*, Including,
ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., formerly known as Rockwell International Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 8, 2011


Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200


Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

MICHAEL V. MORENO,

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

ABEX CORPORATION, et.al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190262/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

I.A.S. Part 30


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler


-----x
WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (herein referred to as "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, NY

11-14, 2011


Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200


Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Gardner Denver, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

53106-322949
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM MENDEZ

~against~

SUR-SEAL GASKET & PACKING, INC., et al.

-----X

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
~~JUSTICE MADDEN~~


INDEX NO.: 190318/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/2/2011


Joseph Belluck, Esquire
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) William Mendez

FILED
NOV 30 2011


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
DICKIE, MCCAMEY & CHILCOTE, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
(856) 354-0192
Attorneys for Defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket
& Packing, Inc.

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Joan Madden

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 190332/10

JANET GOGEL, AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE
OF WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL, DECEASED AND
CATHERINE JOSEPHINE GOGEL, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **York International Corp.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **York International Corp.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **York International Corp.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-2-11 New York, New York


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
York International Corp.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Janet Gogel, as Executrix of the Estate of
Wilfred Joseph Gogel, deceased, and
Catherine Josephine Gogel, Individually
546 Fifth Avenue Fourth Floor New York, NY
10036

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER



BX-CITY-26

NOV 18 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

52816-323161
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

~~JUSTICE VADEN~~
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL

INDEX NO.: 190332/2010

~against~

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SAGER CORPORATION F/K/A SAGER GLOVE
CORPORATION N/K/A SAGER CORPORATION,
A DISSOLVED ILLINOIS CORPORATION, ET AL.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sager Corporation, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to civil practice law and rules Section 3212 dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Sager Corporation, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sager Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/2/2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esquire
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Ave. 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Wilfred Gogel

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

Rob C. Tonogbanua, Esquire
Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C.
41 South Haddon Avenue, Suite 5
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
Attorneys for Defendant, Sager Corporation

NOV 18 2011

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Jean Madden

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190368/10

JAMES GUCK and JOAN GUCK


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc., (improperly pled as Eaton Electrical, Inc., f/k/a Cutler Hammer ("Eaton")), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/9, 2011




Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022



Gabriel G. Ferstendig, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-
interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-
Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
JOHN CHILARSKI and HELEN CHILARSKI,

Plaintiffs,

Index No. 10-190391

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant ATK LAUNCH SYSTEMS INC., incorrectly sued herein as ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS, INC. and THIOKOL ATK, successor to certain commercial business obligations of former Morton Thiokol, Inc. and former Thiokol Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "ATK") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant ATK, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERD, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant ATK, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Valhalla, New York
December 24, 2010

NAPOLI BERN RIPKA LLP

KAUFMAN BORGEST & RYAN LLP


Lawrence W. Burnett
Attorney for Plaintiffs
350 5th Avenue, Suite 7413
New York, NY 10018
(212) 267-3700


Steven D. Weiner
Attorney for Defendant
ATK Launch Systems, Inc.
200 Summit Lake Drive
Valhalla, NY 10595
(914) 449-1000

SO ORDERED: 

11-16-11

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 10/190391

JOHN CHILARSKI AND HELEN CHILARSKI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 November 7, 2011



Richard Thomas, Esq.
Napoli Bern Ripka & Associates, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
3500 Sunrise Highway, Suite T-207
Great River, NY 11739
(212) 267-3700



Rula Alzaden Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
*Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a
Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc.,
successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a
Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse
Electric Corporation*
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 190398-10

This Document Relates To:

:
:
**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

JOSEPH GIANGRANDE and ROSE
GIANGRANDE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, n/k/a
BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES
CORPORATION, *et al.*, Including,
ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.,

Defendants.
-----X

FILED


NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 8, 2011




Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190410/10

GEORGE D. DEVINE AND JUNE DEVINE,
HIS WIFE

FILED
Plaintiff(s)
vs
NOV 30 2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER FOR WASHINGTON
GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
F/K/A RAYTHEON ENGINEERS &
CONSTRUCTORS, INC. ONLY


A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al
Defendant(s)


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

WHEREFORE, defendant, WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/ka Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/k/a Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against, WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., f/k/a Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-3, 2011


Stephen S. Davie, Esq.
MACKENZIE HUGHES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
101 South Salina Street
Syracuse, NY 13202


Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
110 William Street 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 08 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190464/10
105629/99

GIUSEPPE SEIDITA AND JOSEPHINE
SEIDITA,
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15/2011, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Giuseppe Seidita and Josephine Seidita
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1003-0450
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
Our File No. 35218

IN RE: New York City Asbestos Litigation

JOSEPH SAULS

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY, et
al.,

Defendants(s).

Index No.: 190006/11


**DEFENDANT THE WILLIAM
POWELL COMPANY'S NO
OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

BY:

11/4, 2011

Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.
Early, Lucarelli, Sweeney & Strauss
(An Association of Professional LLCs)
Early, Lucarelli, Sweeney & Meisenkothen, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
265 Church Street, 11th Floor
New Haven, CT. 06510
203.777.7799

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 30 2011

Matthew H. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/19/, 2011


Kerry Ann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

875-0078

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1296-156

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1573

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2082-10856

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,

Index No.: 190008-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.,
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and
Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter
"ELECTROLUX"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, ELECTROLUX, with prejudice, and there
being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, ELECTROLUX, be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

November 10, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060
Our File No.: 089-589 GSH

So Ordered: _____

J.S.C.

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Duro Dyne Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
25-5495 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

{N0044016-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
454-12195

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190008/11

ISAAC RUBIN AND SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AIR LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011


Kerriann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Isaac Rubin and Sheila Rubin
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
535-1033

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,

Index No.: 190008-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.,
CLARK-RELIANCE CORPORATION
Individually and as successor to
JERGUSON, et al.,
Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, Defendant, CLARK-RELIANCE CORPORATION solely as
successor to JERGUSON, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation solely as
successor to Jerguson, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross
claims against defendant, **Clark-Reliance Corporation, Solely as successor to
Jerguson**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
November 10, 2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CLARK-RELIANCE CORPORATION,
Solely as successor to JERGUSON
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060
Our File No.: 089-588 GBH

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

-----x
ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE,

Index No.: 190028/11

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York


_____, 2011

Chris Romanelli Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV 30 2011


Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Gardner Denver, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190028/11


ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (improperly pled as "Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company") ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

NOV 30 2011


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,
Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley
Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE,

Index No.: 190028/11

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

11/10, 2011


Chris Romanelli Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Gardner Denver, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 1 8 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011


Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

324-7207AA
NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681702

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a The Duriron Company Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Flowserve Corporation, f/k/a
The Duriron Company Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

951-0904

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.


Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/0, 2011




Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1003-0458

NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/10, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1235-23527

NOV 30 2011

{N0083640-1}

COUNTY CLERK
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 190028/11
126681/02

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/16, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Chris Dutt
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

2383-259754-B
NOV 30 2011

(N0042173-1)

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

NOV 18 2011
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.
POLOTAYE,

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190028/11
126681/02

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/02, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
RCH Newco II, LLC, f/k/a Robertson Ceco
Corporation an alleged successor to HH Robertson
Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Polotaye and Sandra L. Polotaye
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

(N0083640-1)

FILED
NOV 30 2011
1505-650
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Heitler, S.)
: INDEX NO.: 190043/11

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: :
: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
JOSEPH MARTIN and DORIS MARTIN : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**
: :
: :
: :

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 , 2011



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036



Helen A. McGowan, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water
Products Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

JOSEPH CLARKE,

Index No. 2011-190047

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries, Inc. ("Lennox") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lennox with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 9, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP


NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ &
BLAU LLP


By:


Lori A. Benavides, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

By:


Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:


Justice Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL

JOSEPH CLARKE,

Index No. 2011-190047

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-v-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. ("Hopeman") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Hopeman with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 9, 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011


LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ &
BLAU LLP

By:


Lori A. Benavides, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

By:


Jennifer W. Darger., Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
116 E. 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:


Justice Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190061/11

ROGER H. CRIEGO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls Usa, Inc. hereinafter known as Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Daniel Blouin
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Roger H. Criego
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

963-0264

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RONALD SZAMATULSKI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190079/11


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pfaudler, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pfaudler, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pfaudler, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 8, 2011


Brian Early, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233


Judith Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Ronald Szamatulski
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

Index No.: 190079-11

WHEREFORE, defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and
Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES
INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant SEQUOIA VENTURES INC. f/k/a BECHTEL CORP., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11

EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.

Mark G. Strauss
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

LANDMAN CORSI BALLAINE & FORD P.C.

Christopher S. Kozak
Attorneys for Defendant
SEQUOIA VENTURES INC.
f/k/a BECHTEL CORP.
120 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10271-0679
(212) 238-4800

So Ordered: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
Our File No. 35224

RONALD SZAMATULSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY, et
al.,

Defendants(s).

Index No.: 190079/11

**DEFENDANT THE WILLIAM
POWELL COMPANY'S NO
OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

Nov 8, 2011

BY:


Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
Early & Strauss
Attorneys For Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
212-986-2233

BY:


Matthew H. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190082/11

PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Gerosa Incorporated, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/19/, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Gerosa Incorporated
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011
346-0497 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190082/11

PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a Wolff & Munier Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Fay Spofford & Thorndike of New York, f/k/a
Wolff & Munier Inc.,
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

530-0081

{N0084445-1}

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190082/11

PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED


NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerrann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-1043

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190082/11

PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, George A. Fuller Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, George A. Fuller Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, George A. Fuller Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
George A. Fuller Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

1224-0363

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190082/11

PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/19, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1235-23537

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190082/11

PAUL CRANE AND ARLENE CRANE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll Reynolds Engineering Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll Reynolds Engineering Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll Reynolds Engineering Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Croll Reynolds Engineering Co., Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Paul Crane and Arlene Crane
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
1273-0037

{N0044016-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RYLAND L. HOLMES and CARRIE HOLMES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No.: 190109-11

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/4/2011
New York, New York



Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Eric R.I. Cottle, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)
Index No. 40000/88

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

Index No. 190114/2011

Plaintiffs,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.


Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, the Defendant, INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY by its' attorneys, CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011



10-28-2011
JORDAN FOX, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue
4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
1-212-681-1574



Date
John S. Rand, Esq.
CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900

SO ORDERED, 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)
Index No. 40000/88

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,
Plaintiffs,

Index No. 190114/2011

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY by its' attorneys, CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

JESSICA RUSSELL, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue
4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
1-212-681-1574



John S. Rand, Esq.
CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900

Date 7-31

SO ORDERED, 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190114/11

WALTER D. GUTHRIE AND LONA GUTHRIE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/19/, 2011



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walter D. Guthrie and Lona Guthrie
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2082-11397

{N0044016-1}

NOV 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190114/11

WALTER D. GUTHRIE AND LONA GUTHRIE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/2011


Kerrann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walter D. Guthrie and Lona Guthrie
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
935-1053

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190114/11

WALTER D. GUTHRIE AND LONA GUTHRIE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Attorney for Plaintiffs
Walter D. Guthrie and Lona Guthrie
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
875-0082

{N0044016-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

HERMAN SKOLNICK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. WATER SMITH PRODUCTS CO, et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:


: Index No: 190122/11
:

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/3/11
New York, New York


Joseph Williams, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6020
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190140/11

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O.SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Duro Dyne Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Duro Dyne Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
25-5619

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190140/11

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
535-1036

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190140/11

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DAP, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, DAP, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DAP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
DAP, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190140/11

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

FILED

NOV 30 2011
722-2758
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190140/11

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, O.C. Keckley Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, O.C. Keckley Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, O.C. Keckley Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
O.C. Keckley Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011
804-0026
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

{N0044016-1}

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190140/11

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0084445-1}

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011

875-79

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190140/11

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/, 2011



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Superior Lidgerwood Mundy Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0044016-1}

NOV 18 2011

1296-0172

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GEORGE ZACHMANN AND ARLENE
ZACHMANN,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,
Defendants.


Index No.: 190140/11

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011



Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
George Zachmann and Arlene Zachmann
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011

2082-11477
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X NYCAL - IAS Part 30

RONALD MARSHBURN and DIANE MARIE
MARSHBURN,

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

-against-

Index No.: 190142-11

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

Defendants.


**No Opposition Summary
Judgment Motion and Order**

-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Nov 10, 2011
Dated: New York, New York


Joseph Williams
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway Wright & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
116 John Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(212) 619-4444

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190156/11

HENRY E. APFELBAUM AND JUDY
APFELBAUM,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Attorney for Plaintiffs
Henry E. Apfelbaum and Judy Apfelbaum
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-1057

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HENRY E. APFELBAUM AND JUDY
APFELBAUM,
Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 190156/11

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/19/, 2011



Okwedeo N. Okoh, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Henry E. Apfelbaum and Judy Apfelbaum
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

542-1431 NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

JOHN DELLARATTA and MADALENA DELLARATTA, :

Plaintiffs, :

- against - :

A.B. DICK COMPANY, et al., :

Defendants. :

Index No. 190248-2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER AS TO
HARRIS CORPORATION**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Harris Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Harris Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Harris Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 10, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____

Chris Romanelli

700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

O'HARE PARNAGIAN LLP

By: _____

Richard A. Menchini

82 Wall St., Suite 300
New York, NY 10005
(212) 425-1401
Attorneys for defendant Harris Corporation

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler, J.S.C.

FILED

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190261/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MAXWELL DEER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Nov 15, 2011
New York, New York



Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190293/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CHARLES CHIDESTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/10, 2011
New York, New York


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHARLES L. CHIDESTER,

Plaintiff,

-vs.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Index No. 190293-11

WHEREFORE, defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. (f/k/a Norton Company), by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 10, 2011


Chris Romanelli Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michael Masino, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
Saint-Gobain Abrasives, Inc.
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York Y 14534

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

089-653

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

MARK ROCK and SONDR A ROCK,

NOV 11 2011

Index No.: 190319/11

Plaintiffs,

against -

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor
To SARCO COMPANY, et al

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
November 9, 2011

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and
as successor to Spirax Sarco
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-8000
Fax: 914-385-6080

So Ordered:

J.S.C.

NOV 30 2011

NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Donald M. Taylor,

Index No.: 190336/11

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Burnham LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Burnham LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burnham LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/9, 2011


Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald M. Taylor
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafel, Esq.
Cullen and Dikman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No. 1084-2885

So Ordered: 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190376-11

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA
MARCHESONA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: **NOV 16 2011**
New York, New York


~~Jordan Fox, Esq.~~
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,


Judge Sherry Klein Heitler


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite 478
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-6888

NOV 18 2011

FILED
NOV 30 2011
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE