

X.:cases/FBW46207legal/NOSJMaugust2010fif0  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EDWARD KANIA, SR.,

Index No.: 029795/92,  
134010/93 & 121911/02

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

  
10/12/10

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10008  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EDWARD KANIA, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

-----X


Index No.: 029795/92,  
134010/93 & 121911/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

*10/12/10*  


Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED.

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
BERNARD SIKKAS;

Index No.: 121939/97

Plaintiff,

-against-

PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., ET AL.


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010


  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
~~Robert G. Fritz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Bernard Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 23 2010

1863-19701

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOYCE CLEGHORN, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of WALTER L.  
CLEGHORN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100488/98, 117710/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joyce Cleghorn and Walter E. Cleghorn  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED:                     

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122431/99, 103381/98

ALVIE DEGROAT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Alvie Degroat  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 9 2010

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**OCT 25 2010**  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
JOHN PETRONE;

Index No.: 104095/98

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
JOHN PETRONE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Matthew Park, Esq.

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1863-19290

**OCT 25 2010**  
SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ANTHONY CATALINA

Index No.: 106275/98

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

*October 12, 2010*



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Daniel Blouin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
*Anthony Catalina*  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500




Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
*Burnham LLC*  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2213

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111043/98  
110973/99


JOSEPH J. TOMAKA

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM SELMI, JR., Personal Representative  
for the Estate of JULIUS P. NOSEWICZ and  
MAUREEN KONCHAR, Executrix for the Estate  
of HELEN M. NOSEWICZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111486/98, 122602/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010

NOV - 8 2010

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Julius P. Nosewicz and Helen M. Nosewicz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY EBINGER and SHIRLEY F. EBINGER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100918/08, 108708/01,  
122481/99, 118532/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay Ebinger and Shirley F. Ebinger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-22518

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100489/99

THOMAS J. MCCANN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Filed: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
SCHIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
30 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas J. McCann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED:                      722-2214

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100490/99

CAROL ANN MCCARTHY, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of EUGENE G.  
MCCARTHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carol Ann McCarthy and Eugene G. McCarthy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101027/99

IGNATIUS NOTARO and IDA NOTARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ignatius Notaro and Ida Notaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-2297

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
RICHARD A. PAIGE,

Index No.: 101176/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Powell  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Richard A. Paige.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-21598

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
RICHARD A. PAIGE,

Index No.: 101176/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
~~Richard A. Paige, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell,  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Richard A. Paige.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-21598

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL PALUMBO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)


Index No.: 101196/99


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101610/99

DOUGLAS F. QUINN

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
1-17, 2010


**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010


  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 101612/99

JOSEPH SBUTTONI


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010



FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010



JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SIGN - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
ANNE RIGGINS, Individually, and as the Personal	: Index No. 101624/99
Representative for the Estate of JAMES RIGGINS	:
SR.,	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
Plaintiffs,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-against-	:
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:
	:
Defendants.	: -----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/18/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. McIntyre  
Matthew T. McIntyre, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoai-Naini FILED  
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature] OCT 25 2010  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S. SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102317/99

CHARLES W. CLOBRIDGE and BETTY  
CLOBRIDGE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charles W. Clobridge and Betty Clobridge  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NCV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102947/99

ALDO PUGLIESE


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2010

**FILED**

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103285/99

FLOYD WEST

-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/7, 2010

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

00125 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

Index No.: 103362/99

JAMES RAY


-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010


  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

FILED - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

Index No.: ~~103692/99~~

103692/99

ROBERT E. REID


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION  
CORPORATION, as Successor in  
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &  
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103833/99

HENRY R. VERREGO

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*October 5*, 2010

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010


  
~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ *Daniel Blouin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104569/99

LOUIS ZEID

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

NOV - 8 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
LEVIN H. WALTON,		: Index No. 104743/99
	Plaintiff,	:
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,		:
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*October 5, 2010*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: *[Signature]*  
Daniel Blouin

By: *[Signature]*  
Lois Kim

**FILED**

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LEVI H. WALTON,

Index No.: 104743/99

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

*October 12, 2010*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Daniel Blom*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 1003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**OCT 25 2010**

FILED DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 104743/99

LEVI H. WALTON


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: New York, New York

*October 5* 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ *Daniel Blouin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

001 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105620/99

WITOLD SOSNOWSKI


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106763/99  
108914/99


SUSAN G. ROSSBACH


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & DOMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

FILED  
NOV - 3 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index Nos. 107924/99, 125135/00

FRANCES HOLCOMB, INDIVIDUALLY & AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ALYN E.  
HOLCOMB,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Peerless Heater Company, and improperly and allegedly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Company" hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually, and improperly sued as "PEERLESS HEATER COMPANY" with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/8 2010

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
FRANCES HOLCOMB, INDIVIDUALLY & AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ALYN E. HOLCOMB,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106763/99  
108914/99


SUSAN G. ROSSBACH


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & MANNUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

REC-3 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110122/99


CEDRIC P. VOTRA


-----X  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/7, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

**SKH - DATED:** 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111043/98  
110973/99


JOSEPH J. TOMAKA

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AEMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
DONALD H. SAWYER;

Index No.: 11152/99

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C.& S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
~~Paul J. Fawell, Esq.~~ Michael Fawell  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
DONALD H. SAWYER;  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon.  Klein Heitler

1863-15205

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

NOV 3 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112500/99

RICHARD STACHELEK

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/7, 2010

**FILED**

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115487/99


DONALD VINEY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOYCE CLEGHORN, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of WALTER L.  
CLEGHORN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100488/98, 117710/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joyce Cleghorn and Walter L. Cleghorn  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED:

OCT 25 2010

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120247/99

RAYMOND BEERHALTER and VIRGINIA D.  
BEERHALTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Beerhalter and Virginia D. Beerhalter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

1235-7676

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120291/99

JOHN G. MCGRATH, as Executor for the Estate  
of PAMELA C. BRISTOL and ARTHUR  
BRISTOL, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/14/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/14/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Pamela C. Bristol and Arthur Bristol  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Lynn Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

1235-18259

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120328/99

ROSEMARY KING, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of HARRY A. KING,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Rosemary King and Harry A. King  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/4/10  
**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

1235-21049

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120430/99

PATRICE G. EDGERTON, Individually and  
Executrix for the Estate of RONALD G.  
EDGERTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Patrice G. Edgerton and Ronald G. Edgerton  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

1235-4762

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113954/03, 120450/99

JAMES P. KENNEDY and THERESA E.  
DIVINE, Co-Executors for the Estate of JAMES T.  
KENNEDY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/19/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James T. Kennedy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/21/10

FILED

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

1235-17953

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120759/99


NICHOLAS HERNANDEZ


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/4, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

CAROL A. STEELE, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of ANTHONY J. PORTELLO, and  
KRISTA WADDELL, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of ALEXANDRA A. PORTELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York  
10/18/10

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5996

  
Thomas J. Maimone, Esq.  
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mack Trucks, Inc.  
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609  
Mineola, New York 11501  
(516) 390-9595

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED **OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NORMA J. CARTER, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM T.  
CARTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120820/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/9/10


  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/7/10  
  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Norma J. Carter and William T. Carter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

1235-19912

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
JOHN L. MATHIS;

Index No.: 122189/99, 109431/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

*Steven T. Corbin*

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

*Michael F. Weitz*  
~~Frank M. Ordo~~, Esq. *Michael F. Weitz*

Attorney for Plaintiff  
John L. Mathis  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-19714

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THEODORE C. RICHARD and LILLIAN D. RICHARD,

Index No.: 00/108078  
99/122192

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
October 20, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John V. Burbridge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUKENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
RONALD W. KLOPFER;

Index No.: 122341/99, 106483/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
~~Frank J. ...~~ Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Ronald W. Klopfer  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-7666

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122431/99, 103381/98

ALVIE DEGROAT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Alvie Degroat  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500  
NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**FILED**  
OCT 23 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY EBINGER and SHIRLEY F. EBINGER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100918/08, 108708/01,  
122481/99, 118532/98


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay Ebinger and Shirley F. Ebinger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-22518

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111486/98, 122602/99

WILLIAM SELMI, JR., Personal Representative  
for the Estate of JULIUS P. NOSEWICZ and  
MAUREEN KONCHAR, Executrix for the Estate  
of HELEN M. NOSEWICZ,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12, 2010

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Julius P. Nosewicz and Helen M. Nosewicz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: 10/25/2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X			
JAMES R. STANDER and ELIZABETH	:	Index No. 123615/99	
STANDER,	:		
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>	
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>	
	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>	
	:		
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,	
	:	IAS Part 30	
	:		
	:		
-----X			

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Samuel Meirowitz  
Samuel Meirowitz  
10/4/2010

By: Lois Kim  
Lois Kim

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00125 2010

FILE - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105281/00

WALTER SKY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*October 4*, 2010

  
~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ *ERIK JACOBS, Esq.*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
RONALD W. KLOPFER;

Index No.: 122341/99, 106483/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

  
~~David M. Corbin, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Ronald W. Klopfer  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-7666

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x  
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THEODORE C. RICHARD and LILLIAN D. RICHARD,

Index No.: 00/108078  
99/122192

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a  
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
October 20, 2010


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John G. Burbidge, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
(718) 855-9000

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

CASIMIR R. STASZAK AND SYLVIA  
STASZAK, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C.& S., INC., et al., :

Defendant(s). :  
-----X


**DEFENDANT FORD MOTOR  
COMPANY'S NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

**Index No.: 108845/00**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 11, 2010

  
By: Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
T: 212-558-5500  
F: 212-344-5461

  
By: Hilary S. Macklin, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
757 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

# ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

Index No. 00108845


This Document Relates To: :  
CASIMIR R. STASZAK & SYLVIA STASZAK, :  
Plaintiffs, :  
-against- :  
ACandS, INC., et al., Including, :  
MAREMONT CORPORATION, :  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October, 15, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthias Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peggy L. Pan, Esq.  
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &  
FRIEDMAN LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1633 Broadway  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 506-1700

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
JOHN L. MATHIS;

Index No.: 122189/99, 109431/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/12/10, 2010

*Steven T. Corbin*

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300

*Michael F. Farrell*  
~~Michael F. Farrell, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
John L. Mathis  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-19714

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112478/00

GERALD DORAN


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

12/7, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433


FILED

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL MELNYK, SR.

INDEX NO.

118374/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Samuel Metrowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 10/4/2010  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119390/00, 125248/00

ERNEST BELLITTI and MARIE BELLITTI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/19/10

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Michael Farrell,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ernest Bellitti and Marie Bellitti  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 25 2010

2383-0001

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
JAMES M. ECKERT ,	: Index No. 119396/00
	: Plaintiff,
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. AND S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	: :
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*October 5, 2010*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Daniel Blouin

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: 

JAE

OCT 08 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 121295/00

LUIS GARCIA

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 5, 2010

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010


  
FRANK ORTIZ *Daniel Blouin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113956/01, 123817/00

CURTIS EDWARDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Curtis Edwards  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010 1736

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index Nos. 107924/99, 125135/00

FRANCES HOLCOMB, INDIVIDUALLY & AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ALYN E.  
HOLCOMB,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as Successor in Interest to Peerless Heater Company, and improperly and allegedly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Company" hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually, and improperly sued as "PEERLESS HEATER COMPANY" with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/8 2010

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
FRANCES HOLCOMB, INDIVIDUALLY & AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ALYN E. HOLCOMB,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119390/00, 125248/00

ERNEST BELLITTI and MARIE BELLITTI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/19/10

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Michael Farrell,  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ernest Bellitti and Marie Bellitti  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 4 2010

2383-0001

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125331/00


EDWARD J. HAUCK


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10-14, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100208/01


DAVID CURRIE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES ECKERT

INDEX NO.

100354/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/5/10

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Daniel Blochin*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
Index No. 105483-01

TAMI NILSSON, as Executrix for the  
Estate of SVEN ELOF NILSSON, and  
TAMI NILSSON, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

IAS Justice:  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

-against-

MACK TRUCKS, INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York

9/22/2010



~~Frank M. Ortica, Esq.~~ DANNY A. KRUGER JR.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5996




Lance Perez, Esq.  
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mack Trucks, Inc.  
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609  
Mineola, New York 11501  
(516) 390-9595

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S)

-----X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 105915/01

RICHARD CLOUGH

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Re: JULY 2010 FIFO**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 5, 2010

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

  
FRANK ORTIZ *Daniel Blouin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
JAMES EDWARDS  
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant  
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.  
200 I.U. Willets Road  
Albertson, New York 11507  
(516) 294-5433

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CAROL DANN and JAMES HOOKER, as  
Personal Representatives for the Estate of EARL  
HOOKER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106564/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/9/10

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Earl Hooker  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/11/10  
**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
1235-10922  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106928/01

FRANK CARUVANA and ROSE CARUVANA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York/New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Faschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Frank Caruvana and Rose Caruvana  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

10/4/10  
**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-10328

**OCT 25 2010**  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET MARIE BERENGER, Individually  
and Executrix for the Estate of CHARLES  
BERENGER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107025/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Margaret M. Berenger and Charles Berenger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

00125-2010

1235-8834

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107295/01

JOHN C. LOBERTA and GLORIA HAEFELIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John C. Loberta and Gloria Haefelin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-18175

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107296/01

JEROME BROPHY, Individually and as Executor  
for the Estate of MARY BROPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  
  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerome Brophy and Mary Brophy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010


NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1735

OCT 25 2010

864 - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107297/01

ANN HARDOBY, Individually and GREGORY  
HARDOBY and DIANE RABIEJ, as Co-  
Executrices for the Estate of GEORGE  
HARDOBY,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Faschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ann Hardoby and George Harloby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-891

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUTH HOROWITZ, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of ABRAHAM HOROWITZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107298/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/9/10

Jennifer Fuschetto

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ruth Horowitz and Abraham Horowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/7/10

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OC1 25 2010 35-20516

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108007/01

ALBERT DE ROSA, Individually and as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of FRANCES DE  
ROSA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Jennifer A. Fischetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Albert De Rosa and Frances De Rosa  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 551-3500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

1235-655

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIE TROTTA, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of EDWARD J. TROTTA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108011/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/28, 2010

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Marie Trotta and Edward J. Trotta  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY EBINGER and SHIRLEY F. EBINGER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100918/08, 108708/01,  
122481/99, 118532/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay Ebinger and Shirley F. Ebinger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-22518

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109204/01

SALVATORE FRAGAPANE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Salvatore Fragapane  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-21138

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

IRENE HERNANDEZ, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of PHILIP HERNANDEZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 109761/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*10/4/10*

*Jennifer Fuschetto*  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*10/4/10*  
*[Signature]*

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Irene Hernandez and Philip Hernandez  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-2528

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RALPH CESTARE, Executor for the Estate of  
VINCENT CESTARE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110440/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Vincent Cestare  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-20291

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

001252010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MINNIE BAIRD, Individually and Administratrix  
for the Estate of JAMES BAIRD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111218/01, 115594/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/2010



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Minnie Baird and James Baird  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-7786

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MORRIS COHEN and JENNIE COHEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111221/01, 116266/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/11/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Morris Cohen and Jennie Cohen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-5843

OC 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 111222/01

-----X  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
September 16, 2010



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500




Gary J. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER'S OFFICE  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 

Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**OC1 25 2010**

**SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111222/01, 115805/01

JOHN GARREN and JOAN C. GARREN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Garren and Joan C. Garren  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/4/10

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-7244

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TERRENCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE  
CORRIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111225/01, 118070/01,  
115706/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
10/7/10

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrence Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-4792

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100336/04, 111225/01,  
118084/01

JOHN GARBARINO and ELENA GARBARINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/2/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Garbarino and Elena Garbarino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-4658

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111227/01, 123440/01

SHALOM LEVY and MALKA LEVY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*10/14/10*

*Jennifer A. Fischetto*  
Jennifer A. Fischetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*10/14/10*  
*Frank M. Ortiz*  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Shalom Levy and Malka Levy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010 1235-15079  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120582/01, 111231/01

JAMES SCHIAVO and BEATRICE SCHIAVO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/28, 2010

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Schiavo and Beatrice Schiavo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-23616

SKH - DATED OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112236/05, 111231/01,  
120483/01

SHARON EDWARDSSEN, as Administratrix for  
the Estates of GEORGE EDWARDSSEN, and  
TERESA EDWARDSSEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George Edwardsen Teresa Edwardsen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-251

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

KAREL DVORAK,

Index No. 111234/01  
119235/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. (incorrectly plead as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/12/10 2010

  
Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Karel Dvorak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 13 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NICHOLAS DELFINO and LAURA DELFINO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111867/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Nicholas Delfino and Laura Delfino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

10/4/10  
**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-11063

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LILLIAN COLLINS, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD G.  
COLLINS;

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 113728/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lillian Collins and Edward G. Collins  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-1411

NOV 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113956/01, 123817/00

CURTIS EDWARDS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Curtis Edwards  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/4/10  
**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010 1736

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRANKIE VERBLE

Index No.: 113998/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
10/18, 2010

NOV - 8 2010

  
~~Matthew Park~~  
~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frankie Verble  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - DATED: 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114379/01

RICHARD M. GIEGERICH, Administrator for the  
Estate of RICHARD GIEGERICH and CHERYLE  
F. GIEGERICH, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10

Jennifer Fuschetto

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard Giegerich and Cheryle F. Giegerich  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010 1235-1613

SKH - DATED: 10/4/10

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111218/01, 115594/01

MINNIE BAIRD, Individually and Administratrix  
for the Estate of JAMES BAIRD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/2010



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Minnie Baird and James Baird  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-7786

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL W. FLANAGAN and MARGARET  
FLANAGAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115738/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


10/4/10

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  
  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael W. Flanagan and Margaret Flanagan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED  
NOV - 3 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3204

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111222/01, 115805/01

JOHN GARREN and JOAN C. GARREN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  
  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Garren and Joan C. Garren  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-7244

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

X:/cascs/FBW43401/legal/NOSJMjanuary2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM TANNER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

-----X

Index No.: 115831/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

10/18/10

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111221/01, 116266/01

MORRIS COHEN and JENNIE COHEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Morris Cohen and Jennie Cohen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/11/10  
**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-5843

NOV 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN A. CONDENZIO, MICHAEL A.  
CONDENZIO, and LINDA KLIEBER, as Co-  
Administrators for the Estate of MARTIN  
CONDENZIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 117155/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/17/10  
  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Condenzio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-19481

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TERRENCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE  
CORRIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111225/01, 118070/01,  
115706/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/7/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrence Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-4792

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN GARBARINO and ELENA GARBARINO,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 100336/04, 111225/01,  
118084/01

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/7/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Garbarino and Elena Garbarino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-4658

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

KAREL DVORAK,

Index No. 111234/01  
119235/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. (incorrectly plead as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/12/10 2010



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Karel Dvorak  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 13 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MARY LOU PFLIEGLER, as Personal	: Index No. 119620/01
Representative for the Estate RAYMOND	:
PFLIEGLER, and MARY LOU PFLIEGLER,	:
Individually,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
Plaintiffs,	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-against-	: IAS Part 30
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/5/2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank Ortiz


By:   
Diane Pompei

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
CARMELO A. FICALORA and VERONICA FICALORA,	: Index No. 106306/02
	: 120388/01
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A. C. & S. INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
*October 12, 2010*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - DATED:                      **25 2010**

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARMELO FICALORA

INDEX NO.

120388/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


October 5, 2010

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

  
Daniel Patrick Blouin, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHARON EDWARDSSEN, as Administratrix for  
the Estates of GEORGE EDWARDSSEN, and  
TERESA EDWARDSSEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 112236/05, 111231/01,  
120483/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George Edwardsen Teresa Edwardsen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-251

SKH - DATED: **OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120582/01, 111231/01

JAMES SCHIAVO and BEATRICE SCHIAVO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
9/28, 2010

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Schiavo and Beatrice Schiavo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23616

SKH - DATED OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111227/01, 123440/01

SHALOM LEVY and MALKA LEVY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fischetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Shalom Levy and Malka Levy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010 1235-15079  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-103352

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


**RAYMOND HICKEY**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
*October 5* 2010

By:   
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500


By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010** NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CARMELO A. FICALORA and VERONICA : Index No. 106306/02  
FICALORA, : 120388/01

Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
: :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*October 12, 2010*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.


By:   
Lois Kim, Esq. **FILED**

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
  
SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**OCT 25 2010**  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107098/02


WILLIAM P. O'MEARA


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
Dated: **SKH - DATED:** \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116958/05  
107183/02


MERLE G. BURGIN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

Date: **SKH - DATED:**

**25-2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE           NEW YORK CITY  
                  ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107183/02

MATTHEW J. DEGAETANO


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:   New York, New York  
          October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: **OCT 25 2010**  
Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108356/02

ROBERT E. HOULIHAN, SR.


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108870/02

DONALD F. HOGAN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010  
DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108872/02


ALFRED J. KOPERA

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED,  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**  
Dated **SKH - DATED:** \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108877/02


DONALD MC EVOY

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
             October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**SKH - DATED:** \_\_\_\_\_

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109641/02

LEONARD DARMSTEDTER

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
Dated: SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE           NEW YORK CITY  
                  ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109642/02

MAURICE J. HOURIHAN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:   New York, New York  
          October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED:   OCT 25 2010    
Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110591/02


WILLIAM A. KAULBACK

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
              October 7, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

**SKH - DATED:**

OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110717/02

JOSEPH S. COLLESANO


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



Dated:

SKH - DATED:

OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :


-----X  
This Document Relates To: :  
 : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
 : **JUDGMENT MOTION ON**  
MICHAEL COSTANZA, as executor for the : **BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR**  
Estate of AMEDEO COSTANZA, : **COMPANY**  
 :  
Plaintiff(s), :  
 : Index No.: 110839/02  
-against- :  
 :  
A.C. & S., Inc., et al., :  
 :  
Defendant(s). :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 30, 2010

  
By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
T: 212-558-5500  
F: 212-344-5461

  
By: Joshua A. Deutsch, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
757 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112374/02

JOSEPH KOVALCHICK

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
              October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112954/02


RAYMOND F. WEBER

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


\_\_\_\_\_  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
          October 7, 2010



\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115178/05  
                  113276/02


GERALD E. ALLEN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: ~~OCT 25~~ 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114080/05  
                  113467/02


STANLEY WEISENFELD

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
Dated: SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 118214/06  
                  113640/02


EMILIO J. DI FABIO, JR.

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


\_\_\_\_\_  
X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010



\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
OCT 8 2010  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**DATED:** \_\_\_\_\_

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114175/02


ROBERT F. DANIELS

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: ~~NOV 8 2010~~ **OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116798/07  
                  114296/02


ANDREW S. NEER

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
                    Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**  
Dated:  - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALLEN RABINOWITZ AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
STANLEY RABINOWITZ AND PEARL  
RABINOWITZ AS SPOUSE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.F. SUPPLY CORPORATION., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Index No. 02-116543


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/1/10



Mark Strauss, Esq.  
**EARLY & STRAUSS LLC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233



Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ALLEN RABINOWITZ AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
STANLEY RABINOWITZ AND PEARL  
RABINOWITZ AS SPOUSE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.F. SUPPLY CORPORATION., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Index No. 02-116543


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, sued herein as "Dana Corporation (Individually and as Successor to Victor Gaskets and Smith & Kanzler Company)," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/1/10

  
Mark Strauss, Esq.  
**EARLY & STRAUSS LLC**  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

  
Jonathan Kromberg, Esq.  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 117571/02

RICHARD BURTON


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


October 7, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, L.L.C.  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

SKH - DATED ~~OCT 25 2010~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119471/02


JOHN P. SOUZA, JR.

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:                       
**SKH - DATED:**

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EDWARD KANIA, SR.,

Index No.: 029795/92,  
134010/93 & 121911/02

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
10/12/10  
Frank Ortis, Esq. Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-121911

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


**EDWARD KANIA**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
10/19, 2010

By: 

Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
DORENE KANIA, as Executrix for the Estate of	: Index No. 121911/02
EDWARD KANIA, and DORENE KANIA,	:
Individually,	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/18/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
By:   
Matthew Park

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.  
By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

003 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125907/02


WILLIAM J. SWANSON

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OC 25 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126186/02

RALPH COLON

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010

NOV - 8 2010





NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
(212) 558-5500

Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

OCT 25 2010  
DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                     ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126696/02

JOAN POLLACK

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
              October 7, 2010



\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NOV 25 2010

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111080/05  
                  126743/02


MICHAEL REGAN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York  
            October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

FILED  
OCT 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
Date **SKH** - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126765/02

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

**OCT 25 2010**  
**SKH - DATED:**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

JOAN E. BYRNES, as Executor for the Estate of : Index No. 106686/03  
EDWARD J. BYRNES and JOAN E. BYRENS, : 102222/04  
Individually, :

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :

Defendants. :  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/14/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Matthew Park

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Scott Emery


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**OCT 25 2010**

CASE - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113954/03, 120450/99

JAMES P. KENNEDY and THERESA E.  
DIVINE, Co-Executors for the Estate of JAMES T.  
KENNEDY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/29/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James T. Kennedy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


10/21/10

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010

1235-17953

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TERRENCE CORRIGAN and KATHERINE  
CORRIGAN,

Index No.: 111225/01, 118070/01,  
115706/03

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrence Corrigan and Katherine Corrigan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-4792

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN GARBARINO and ELENA GARBARINO,

Index No.: 100336/04, 111225/01,  
118084/01

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/2/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Garbarino and Elena Garbarino  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-4658

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
JOAN E. BYRNES, as Executor for the Estate of	: Index No. 106686/03
EDWARD J. BYRNES and JOAN E. BYRENS,	: 102222/04
Individually,	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/19/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Matthew Park

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Scott Emery

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113616/04  
112123/02

ALFRED E. SMITH

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

FILED

OCT 8 2010

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



Dated:

SKH - DATED:

OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE           NEW YORK CITY  
                  ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111080/05  
126743/02

MICHAEL REGAN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:   New York, New York  
          October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

FILED  
10/7 - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Date: **SKH** - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Sharon Edwardsen, as Administratrix for  
the Estate of George Edwardsen and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of  
Teresa Edwardsen,

Index No.: 112236/05

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
October 12, 2010


NOV - 8 2010

  
Daniel Blum, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Sharon Edwardsen, as  
Administratrix for the Estates  
of George Edwardsen and Teresa  
Edwardsen  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5085

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHARON EDWARDSSEN, as Administratrix for  
the Estates of GEORGE EDWARDSSEN, and  
TERESA EDWARDSSEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 112236/05, 111231/01,  
120483/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~  
10/22/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George Edwardsen Teresa Edwardsen  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

10/19/10  
**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-251

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114080/05  
113467/02


STANLEY WEISENFELD

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for Defendant  
(212) 791-0285

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

SKH - DATED:

OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115178/05  
113276/02


GERALD E. ALLEN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: ~~OCT 25~~ 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE            NEW YORK CITY  
                    ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116958/05  
                  107183/02


MERLE G. BURGIN

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as “Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp.” hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs’ complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:    New York, New York

October 7, 2010  


\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

Date **SKH - DATED:** \_\_\_\_\_

**OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 118214/06  
113640/02


EMILIO J. DI FABIO, JR.

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

FILED - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116798/07  
114296/02


ANDREW S. NEER

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, sued incorrectly as "Reynolds Metals Company, Individually and as successor in interest to Atlantic Asbestos Corp." hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant **Reynolds Metals Company**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Reynolds Metals Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 7, 2010



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
(212) 558-5500



Michael J. Curtis, Esq.  
**MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC**  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**OCT 25 2010**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: KH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAY EBINGER and SHIRLEY F. EBINGER,  
  
Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*  
  
Defendants.

Index No.: 100918/08, 108708/01,  
122481/99, 118532/98


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/4/10



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

10/4/10  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jay Ebinger and Shirley F. Ebinger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-22518

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190090/08

**BEVERLY E. LANE and SHARON A. LANE**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Gestra, Inc. and Vogt Valve Co. (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., individually and as successor to Flowserve Gestra and Vogt Valves), ("Flowserve US") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 6, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Bibro, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Early, Ludwick, Sweeney, Strauss  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely a  
successor to Gestra, Inc. and Vogt Valve Co.  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 25 2010

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NYC ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

JAMES V. LYNCH and MARY LYNCH,

Plaintiffs

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MACK TRUCKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Mineola, New York

 10/14/2010  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5996.

  
Lance Perez, Esq.  
MAIMONE & ASSOCIATES, PLLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mack Trucks, Inc.  
170 Old Country Road, Suite 609  
Mineola, New York 11501  
(516) 390-9595

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LOUIS D'ADDIO,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 09/190125

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant **Lennox Industries, Inc.** ("**Lennox**") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lennox with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
October 17, 2010

**FILED**


LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP  
NOV - 8 2010

By:   
Audrey Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED OCT 25 2010



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In Re First Judicial District  
Asbestos Litigation

This Document Applies to:  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LARRY V. COON and EUNICE COON,

Plaintiffs,

NYCAL -  
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

vs.

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY d/b/a  
NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS  
ASSOCIATION (aka NAPA), et al.

Index No.: 09-190152


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, incorrectly identified as Genuine Parts Company d/b/a National Automotive Parts Association (aka NAPA) (hereinafter "GPC"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against GPC, only, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant GPC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
10/13, 2010

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

  
Brian Early, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

Dated: Buffalo, New York

October 7, 2010

DAMON MOREY-LLP

  
Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant,  
Genuine Parts Company  
The Avant Building, Suite 1200  
200 Delaware Avenue  
Buffalo, New York 14202

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER  
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

SKH - DATED: 10/25/2010

00125 2010

FILED

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190281/09


ROBERT HORN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., ~~as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC~~ ("Rockwell") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Rockwell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/01/10 New York, New York, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation,  
Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley  
Company, LLC  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED, 

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RODNEY THAUT**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190333/09

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~New York, New York~~  
October 1, 2010

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575


Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - DATED: **OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190361/09

CLIFTON BOUCHEE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, ET AL.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 5, 2010



Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
199 Water Street, Suite 2500  
New York, New York 10038-3516  
(212) 232-1300



David A. Chandler, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Clifton Bouchee  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**United Lawyers Service**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 10-190058

-----X  
KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF and  
JOANNE DOLORES ALTUCHOFF,

Plaintiffs,  
- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and improperly named as "Successor in Interest to The Cleveland Pneumatic Company, a Division of the Pneumo Abex Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Abex, Inc.", hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept. 29, 2010

SEP - 8 2010

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212)681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Jordan C. Fox

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

OCT 25 2010

SO ORDERED \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Heitler

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ANGELO MANCINI and  
NICOLINA MANCINI

Plaintiffs,

In Re:  
NYCAL  
Index No: 10-190060

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


ARBURG, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, sued herein as "TELEFLEX INC.," hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, with Prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto it is:

ORDERED, that upon notice to plaintiffs' and co-defendants' counsel the complaint and all claims and cross claims against defendant, TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, be and the same hereby are, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 4, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Early & Strauss, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017

  
Bruce F. Gilpatrick, Esq.  
Heidell, Pittoni, Murphy & Bach, LLP.  
TELEFLEX INCORPORATED  
99 Park Avenue 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10016-1601


**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

  
10.22.10

SO ORDERED: COUNTY CLERK OF NEW YORK

  
J.S.C.

712561.1

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

United Lawyers Service

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 10-190073

-----X  
ARNOLD STERN and SHIRLEE STERN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


BONDEX INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and improperly named as "Successor in Interest to The Cleveland Pneumatic Company, a Division of the Pneumo Abex Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Abex, Inc.", hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Sept. 29, 2010


BY:   
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212)681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jordan C. Fox

BY:   
Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED   
Hon. Sherry Heitler

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

DONALD CONROY AND BARBARA CONROY,

Index No. 10-190138

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, Baltimore Aircoil Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Baltimore Aircoil Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, Baltimore Aircoil Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 19, 2010

EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID L. FERSTENDIG, LLC **FILED**

By: Mark Bibro  
Mark Bibro  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue - 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
212-986-2233

By: David L. Ferstendig  
David L. Ferstendig  
Attorneys for Defendant, Baltimore Aircoil Company  
292 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
212-213-1233  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So ordered:

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Sherry Klein Heitler  
OCT 25 2010  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

ROBERT HOMBERGER and JANICE : Index No. 190162/10  
HOMBERGER, :

Plaintiffs,

-against-

: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30  
: :  
: :  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 5, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Erik Jacobs, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence Lee

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
ROBERT HOMBERGER and JANICE		: Index No. 190162/10
HOMBERGER,		:
	Plaintiffs,	:
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, <u>et al.</u> ,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 5, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  


By: Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC  


By: Lawrence Lee  
264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

SENT - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JOE MARTIN AND G. JAN MARTIN,		: Index No. 190171/10
		:
	Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/24/10

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs



By: \_\_\_\_\_  
David Chandler

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: Lois Kim  
Lois Kim

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED

089-55

-----X  
RICHARD W. DUQUETTE and DORIS H. DUQUETTE

OCT 14 2010

Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190182/10

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor  
To SARCO COMPANY, INC., et al

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
Oct 13, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jason Hadrinsky, Esq.  
Early & Strauss, LLC  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

CO  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC. Individually and as  
successor to SARCO COMPANY INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 21  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000  
Fax: 914-385-6060  
Our File No.: 089-551 GSH

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_ J.S.C.

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

In Re First Judicial District  
Asbestos Litigation

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RAYMOND FINERTY and MARY FINERTY,  
Plaintiffs,

NYCAL - NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER  
Index No.: 190187/10

vs.

GENUINE PARTS COMPANY and NATIONAL  
AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

WHEREFORE, GENUINE PARTS COMPANY and NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against GENUINE PARTS COMPANY and NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION only, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants, GENUINE PARTS COMPANY and NATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ASSOCIATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
10/14, 2010

Dated: Buffalo, New York  
October 7, 2010


LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

DAMON MOREY LLP

  
Brendan J. Tilly, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
747 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017

  
Heidi Brauer Ruchala, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendants, Genuine Parts  
Company and National Automotive Parts  
Association,  
The Avant Building, Suite 1200  
200 Delaware Avenue  
Buffalo, New York 14202-3150

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

#1459646

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER  
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

OCT 25 2010

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.190188/10  
-----X

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

SALVADORE FERRARI

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
September 30, 2010

  
Frank Ortiz Esq. *Charles Ferraro*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klien Heitler

OC 125 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
ANTONIO SIRACUSA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

Index No.: 190193/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
July 30, 2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David H. Kochman, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH PLLC  
Attorneys For Defendant  
BLACKMER  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon.

  
Shrey Klein Hecker

OCT 25 2010  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
THOMAS SMYTH and ANNIE SMYTH

Index No.: 190232/10

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC.,  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC., Individually and as Successor  
To SARCO COMPANY, et al

Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, Individually and as Successor to Sarco Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
October 15, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.  
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.  
55 Church Street, Suite 211  
White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: 914-385-6000  
Fax: 914-385-6060  
Our File No.: 089-558 GS

So Ordered: \_\_\_\_\_ J.S.C.

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/KMA54383/Agree/NOS/MApril2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
MICHAEL V. MORENO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

ABEX CORPORATION, et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 190262/10

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants JOHN CRANE INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants JOHN CRANE INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants JOHN CRANE INC. , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

10/20/10



Carmen St. George, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022



Nicole Pitti, Esq.  
Attorneys for JOHN CRANE INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED:            **OCT 25 2010**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT CRISTIANO AND CONCETTA  
CRISTIANO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190317/2010


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 19, 2010

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Joseph Williams, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert Cristiano and Concetta Cristiano  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
MIKE CHARLES BENSON and VICTORIA  
BENSON,  
Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

- against -

Index No.: 190320/10

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY CO.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY CO.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

10/13/10



Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

*Brian Belasly*



Suzanne Malbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for **BLACKMAN PLUMBING  
SUPPLY CO.**  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

NOV - 8 2010

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MIKE CHARLES BENSON and VICTORIA BENSON,	: Index No. 190320/10
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/7/10

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Bryan Belasky


By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

548 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 661-1574


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

FILED  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MIKE CHARLES BENSON and VICTORIA BENSON,	: Index No. 190320/10
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/7/10

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Bryan Belasky

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

548 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 661-1574


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**


NOV - 8 2010

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
Asbestos matter

GERALD F. GIRTMAN AND LINDA J.  
GIRTMAN,

Index No. 10-190353

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories ("UGL") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant UGL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
October 12, 2010

EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY  
& STRAUSS, L.L.C.

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: 

Brian Early, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 986-2233

By: 

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK



-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
RAYMOND WOOLSEY and EDNA WOOLSEY,	: Index No. 190365/10
	:
Plaintiffs,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
Oct 11, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Daniel Wasserman  
*WASSERMAN*

By:   
Scott Harford

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NOV 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY

NYCAL  
Asbestos matter

Index No. 10-190365

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories ("UGL") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant UGL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant United Gilsonite Laboratories be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 18, 2010

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP


By:   
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:   
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**OCT 25 2010**

SKH - DATED: 

X:/cases/FBW54515/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY,

Index No.: 190365/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.; et al

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

10/19/10

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. ~~David W. Serey 226~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

FILED

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010  
DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND WOOLSEY

INDEX NO.  
190365/10  
ASSIGNED TO:  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/12/10



Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

**FILED**

NOV - 8 2010

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: OCT 25 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190365/10

RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY and EDNA WOOLSEY

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Oct 4* 2010

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY and EDNA WOOLSEY  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-27271

NOV - 8 2010  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 25 2010

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_