

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ALBERT MAYCE and MARIANNE MAYCE,

Index No.: 11/190117
87/17081
87 CV 4459

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/22, 2011


John U. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 116682/06, 111055/98,
113279/97, 103682/97

SAM F. ANGRISANO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
November 23, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Sam F. Angrisano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 116682/06, 111055/98,
113279/97, 103682/97


SAM F. ANGRISANO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Sam F. Angrisano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENNIS ROTUNNO

INDEX NO.

104453/97

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 27, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
~~FRANK ORTIZ, Esq.~~ *Joe Vazquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

[Signature]
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP MULE


INDEX NO.
122078/97
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Nov 7 2011


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 115563/05, 121762/97

JOSEPH OWENS

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

X
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph Owens
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/23, 2011


John G. Barbridge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~
WELTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Joe Varquez, Esq.

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Culler and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LLOYD HARDEN

INDEX NO.

121810/97 and 100755/04

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 7, 2011


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105714
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/27, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011




John C. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 103005/04, 122111/97

ROBERT PYANOWSKI


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

FILED

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John J. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


John S. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/22, 2011


John C. Curbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN,

Index No.: 97/123219
05/102347

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN,

Index No.: 97/123219
05/102347

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Gillen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,



Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John C. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

FILED

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Farbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

DEC 12 2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105231
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/27, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

~~John J. Burrbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000~~

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500~~

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John A. Barbridge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHILIP MULE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/27, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Bykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 116682/06, 111055/98,
113279/97, 103682/97

SAM F. ANGRISANO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Sam F. Angrisano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011




John C. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *Jose Vazquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

FILED

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

DEC 12 2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Harbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


11/22, 2011


John G. Harbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Joe Varquez, Esq.

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118174/98, 125131/00

ED CODAIR


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Ed Codair
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500
11/4/2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Shefry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

2571-1188

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Weitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/14/2011

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

2082-10462

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-2970

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meitowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

12/14/2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1122-3450

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meitowitz 11/14/2011
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

NOV 17 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 108083/06, 121906/98

ANTHONY E. LAMANO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Anthony E. Lamano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112048/06, 108713/01
121919/98

JOSEPH C. LANG

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph C. Lang
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS J. MC CANN,

FILED

DEC 12 2011

County Clerk's Office
NEW YORK

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 99/100489

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John A. Curbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS J. MC CANN,

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Plaintiff(s),

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 99/100489

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14/11, 2011



John P. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 101192/99, 124397/02

EMILIO PAOLINI


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Emilio Paolini
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES D. LANIGAN

INDEX NO.

107817/99 and 102114/07

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 4 2011

Joseph Vasquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 110031/05, 108599/99

ALBERT P. VENTRY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Albert P. Ventry
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112046/06, 112622/99

JAY C. TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Jay C. Taylor
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102423/02, 116634/99

MORRIS CLEMONS


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Morris Clemons
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED


DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK**



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120250/99, 108714/01

WARREN H. MCNALLY AND CLAIRE
MCNALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warren H. McNally and Claire McNally
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WARREN MCNALLY

INDEX NO.
120250/99
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 27, 2011

[Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120250/99, 108714/01

WARREN H. MCNALLY AND CLAIRE
MCNALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warren H. McNally and Claire McNally
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

REGINA ACKERMAN, AS EXECUTRIX OF
THE ESTATE OF FRANK J. COPPOLA,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ACandS, INC., *et al.*,


Index No.: 99-121144

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC CORPORATION ("Central Hudson") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Central Hudson be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-9-11



Dana Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-568

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES FITZPATRICK

INDEX NO.

121986/99 and 105756/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


FILED
11/22, 2011

DEC 12 2011


John C. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John S. Burbridge, Esq.
Curien and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/22, 2011


John J. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
17 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

 
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011




John C. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

FILED

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Farbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/27, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. SCHMIDT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 104150/00 &
125771/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88149

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL

Index No.
125779/99
104742/00
115803/05


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York

~~October 26~~, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 125779/99
104742/00

RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A. FARRELL

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


A O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300



Joe Vasquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Raymond J. Farrell and Carole A. Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-15731

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John C. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


~~Frank D. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Joe Marquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Culler and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ROBERT V. OLMSTEAD,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 100555/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JACK TOLOMEO


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100587/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88461

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM D. BUXTON,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 103590/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 12/12/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 00-103895

ROSEMARY BRADLEY, AS ADMINISTRATRIX
FOR THE ESTATE OF RICHARD H. BRADLEY,
AND ROSEMARY BRADLEY, INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
New Brunswick, NJ



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



SAMUEL MEIROWITZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Rosemary Bradley, as Administratrix for the
Estate of Richard H. Bradley, and Rosemary
Bradley, Individually
700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BX-DUTCH-3

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. SCHMIDT

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 104150/00 &
125771/99

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**


Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.88149

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A. FARRELL

Index No. 125779/99
104742/00

Plaintiff,

-against-

A O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Joe Vasquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Raymond J. Farrell and Carole A. Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-15731

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL

Index No
125779/99
104742/00
115803/05


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York

October 26, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
WHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5435

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VICTORIA INDICK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF
HERMAN INDICK

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-104848

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: November 11, 2011
New Brunswick, NJ


STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOE VASQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Victoria Indick, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of Herman Indick
700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011



BX-FILL-11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES FITZPATRICK

INDEX NO. 121986/99 and 105756/00
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 12 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FELIX JUZDOWSKI

INDEX NO.

106891/00 and 116796/07

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 7, 2011


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John J. Dykman, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jose L. Gomez, Esq.

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
07/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

FILED

11/22, 2011


DEC 12 2011


John C. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**GEORGE J. MALICK,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 107269/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10270
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**GEORGE J. MALICK,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 107269/00


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MERRICK MCGRAW,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 107854/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MERRICK MCGRAW,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 107854/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/14/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
NOV 12 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WALTER H. REEVES,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 108083/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated. 11/14/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

EDWARD A. HAYES


Index No
111672/00 & 108352/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
November 4, 2011



JOE VAZQUEZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

V 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MILTON STROBER,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 108551/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCES WEIR, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM
T. WEIR,

Index No.: 00/108553

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. [unclear], Esq.
Culler and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM T. WEIR,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 108553/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-108603

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH QUINN AND NORMA QUINN

against


A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: ¹¹⁻⁹⁻¹¹
New Brunswick, NJ


STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Joseph Quinn and Norma Quinn
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011



BX-CLOVE-20

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH QUINN

INDEX NO.

108603/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 5, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BENJAMIN RICHARDS

INDEX NO.
108856/00
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/11/11


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598



Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BENJAMIN RICHARDS

INDEX NO.
108856/00
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 23 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BENJAMIN F. RICHARDS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108856/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

**improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.88147

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ARTHUR L. TAYLOR,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 108905/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/12/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ARTHUR L. TAYLOR,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 108905/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES NICOLAIDIS,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)


INDEX NO.: 109064/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**LOUIS F. PAONESSA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 109266/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/23/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**LOUIS F. PAONESSA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 109266/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**CORNELIUS F. MCDADE,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 109413/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JESSE MCSHERRY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)


INDEX NO.: 109427/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated, 11/11/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JESSE MCSHERRY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 109427/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**KARL MULLER,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 109465/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (dec.),

Index No.: 00/117873
00/110182

FILED
DEC 12 2011

Plaintiff(s),

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011


John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOROTHY MELNYK, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL A.
MELNYK,

Index No.: 00/119374
00/110182

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Defendants. NEW YORK


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL
GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a
LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and
cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John S. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (dec.),

Index No.: 00/117873
00/110182

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank M. WEITZ & NEW YORK, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM P. ALLOCA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 110564/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANTHONY C. BAGENTT,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 110574/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANTHONY C. BAGNETT,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 110574/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/14


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS/McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RONALD E. KING,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 110960/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/12/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEIL,
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWARD GUNTRIPP,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 111674/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10270
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

EDWARD A. HAYES

Index No
111672/00 & 108352/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: NOVEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED
DEC 12 2011

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 4, 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JOE VAZQUEZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWARD GUNTRIPP,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 111674/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES BALDWIN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 112517/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**PATRICK C. VANCHIERI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 113196/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Date: 11/14



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RAIMONDO PICNIC,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 113778/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

**FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 00113937

This Document Relates To:

FRANK SMITH and ELSIE SMITH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including,
MAREMONT CORPORATION,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/4/11, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SALVATORE VASTA


INDEX NO.
113938/00
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Nov. 3, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10035

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann/Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

SALVATORE J. VASTA and PATRICIA VASTA,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ACandS, INC., *et al.*,

Index No.: 00113938

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CENTRAL HUDSON GAS & ELECTRIC CORPORATION ("Central Hudson") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Central Hudson be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

 11-4-11

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE VASTA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 113938/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88157

SO ORDERED


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM V. STEVENSON,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 114164/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES L. SPENCE,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 114778/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**GERALD H. RABIDEAU, SR.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 115220/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

LE 13 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-115220

This Document Relates to:

GERALD H. RABIDEAU, SR. AND LUCRECIA
RABIDEAU

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
11/4/11


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901


JOSEPH VAZQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gerald H. Rabideau, Sr. and Lucrecia
Rabideau
700 Broadway
New York NY 10003


SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DANIEL RUGGIERO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 115821/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LELAND W. MILLINGTON,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 116847/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES LASS

INDEX NO.

117033/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct 27, 2011

[Signature]
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK
[Signature]
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
117381/00

FRANCIS A. FRASIEUR (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-117863

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL J. SUSINO AND VALERIE A. SUSINO

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-9-11
New Brunswick, NJ


STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Michael J. Susino and Valerie A. Susino
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


Hon. Sherrill Keith Heitler

SO ORDERED **FILED**
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BX-CLOVE-19

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (dec.),

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. : 00/117873
 00/110182

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank M. Weitz & Associates, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ (dec.),

Index No.: 90/117873
00/110182

Plaintiff(s),

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


John P. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**L.C. RICHARDS, SR.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 117885/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOROTHY MELNYK, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL A.
MELNYK,

Index No.: 00/118374
00/110182

-against-

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Defendants. NEW YORK


-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John S. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LESLIE CHARLES PAYNE

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

INDEX NO.: 00-119373

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
November 9, 2011



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

LISA BUSCH, ESQ. Joe Varquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Leslie Charles Payne
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Hon. Sherry Klep Heitler



BX-CLASS-29

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Index No.: 03/100782
01/106190
00/119373

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burkidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-119379

This Document Relates to:

MAURI SAVOLAINEN AND SILJA SAVOLAINEN

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: NEW YORK, New York

11/4/11


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Mauri Savolainen and Silja Savolainen
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST.
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED CORDES

INDEX NO.

119826/00

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY


JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 3, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-124325

This Document Relates to:

MARJORIE I. DECKER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LEWIS G.
DECKER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against


A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-9-11
New Brunswick, NJ



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Marjorie I. Decker, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of Lewis G. Decker
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BX-CLOVE-21

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSARIO MORREALE

INDEX NO.
124525/00

ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 5, 2011

[Handwritten signature]

~~Frank Quinn Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Handwritten signature]

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROSARIO MORREALE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 124525/00

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.




John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88139

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118174/98, 125131/00

ED CODAIR


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

X
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Ed Codair
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Dated: _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**LANE L. BILBY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 125263/00

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Date: 11/12/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT DES CHENES

INDEX NO.

100281/01 and 100755/03

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 28, 2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES M. ECKERT,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 100354/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEEL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES SR., CARUSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
100461/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011




FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**GEORGE GONYO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 101721/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10278
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
NOV 23 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 102693/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**REUBEN W. GOLDMAN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 104560/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**FRANK C. GULLO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 104999/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 105605/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Index No.: 03/100782
~~01/106190~~
00/119373

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burkridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**FLOYD PONZI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 106441/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-106962]

This Document Relates to:

MAURI SAVOLAINEN AND SILJA SAVOLAINEN

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
11/4/11


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Mauri Savolainen and Silja Savolainen
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANGELO TOMASELLO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 107333/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

NOV 12 2011

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RAYMOND RYSKOWSKI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 107575/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, (08708/01)

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011



Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/14/2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

2571-1188

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Weitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/14/2011

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

2082-10462

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

 11/14/2011

Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-2970

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meitowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/14/2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1122-3450

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

NOV 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

11/14/2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118869/98, 108708/01

GARY GLICK AND FRANN GLICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meitowitz 11/14/2011
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary Glick and Frann Glick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-108709

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT AVESATO, ET AL

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
November 10, 2011




STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



LISA BUSCH, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Vincent Avesato, et al
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112048/06, 108713/01
121919/98

JOSEPH C. LANG

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph C. Lang
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120250/99, 108714/01

WARREN H. MCNALLY AND CLAIRE
MCNALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warren H. McNally and Claire McNally
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120250/99, 108714/01

WARREN H. MCNALLY AND CLAIRE
MCNALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warren H. McNally and Claire McNally
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**GERALD MARINGIONE,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 109016/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 11 2011
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER,

Index No.: 01/115831
01/111222

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED
DEC 12 2011

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendant(s)


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/10/11, 2011




John P. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER,

Index No.: 01/115831
01/111222

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
DEC 12 2011

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


John J. Curbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500.

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry W. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 01-111227
01-123457

BERNHARD SCHINLDER AND ANN SCHINDLER

against


A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11 New York, New York


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


~~DANIEL R. KRAFT, ESQ.~~ Phan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Alvarado
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Bernhard Schindler and Ann Schindler
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
121547/01
111228/01

WILLIAM H. WHARRY JR.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


FILED

DEC 12 2011



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY DOCKWEILER

INDEX NO.

111232/01 AND 119765/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 28, 2011

Matthew MacIntyre

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HARRY DOCKWEILER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119756/01 &
111232/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88129

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOSEPH MCCARTHY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 113260/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EVA M. MASI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 115469/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/12/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM P. TANNER,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 115831/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER,

Index No.: 01/115831
01/111222

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED
DEC 12 2011

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/10/11, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

WILLIAM P. TANNER and KATHRYN S. TANNER,

Index No : 01/115831
01/111222

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Surridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOHN J. CULLEN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 118068/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**PETER CONNOLLY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 118687/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOSEPH W. SHRECK,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 118721/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
NOV 23 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**KAREL DVORAK,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 119235/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11




**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRYAN M. PRICE,
Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)


INDEX NO.: 119477/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM TAGLIAFERRI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 119610/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HARRY DOCKWEILER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 119756/01 &
111232/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88129

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY DOCKWEILER

INDEX NO.
111232/01 AND 119765/01
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 28, 2011

Matthew M. DeSteno
Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
DEL 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHRIS BEDNEY LLC, Executor for the Estate of
RONALD PAUL LENAHAN and BARBARA
LENAHAN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120388/01, 106292/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald Paul Lenahan and Barbara Lenahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES R. SKENE

Index No.: 120388/01
106277/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

11/2 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James R. Skene
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11056

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL FLYNN

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER, ET. AL.,


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 120391/01 &
104304/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Michael Fanelli, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Karen M. Lager, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN &
COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Defendant
CATERPILLAR, INC.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.92576

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120391/01, 104304/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Fawell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 120392/01, 104103/02

FRANK CALDERONE AND BERNADETTE
CALDERONE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Calderone and Bernadette Calderone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 1 2 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT NOBLE (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No

~~103681/02~~


120393/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSE TRINIDAD and ELMIRA TRINIDAD,

Index No.: 02/109554
01/120395

-against-

Plaintiffs (1)

FILED
DEC 12 2011

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.


**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/14/11, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOSE TRINIDAD and ELMIRA TRINIDAD,

Index No.: 02/109554
01/120395

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/27, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Index No.: 10/190263
02/103257
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011


John J. Farbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Pykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
& LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120396/01, 103375/02

MARK D. ASHTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mark D. Ashton
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RAYMOND J. HICKEY and TANA HICKEY,

Index No.: 02/103352
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/15/11, 2011


John J. Barbride, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RAYMOND J. HICKEY and TANA HICKEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

FILED
DEC 12 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXEMBERG P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 02/103352
01/120396

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 120430/01, 100100/02

Richard J. Bartolomucci and Mary Bartolomucci,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15 2011



Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Richard J. Bartolomucci and Mary Bartolomucci
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-12472

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100302/02, 120430/01

LOUIS PAVON AND MARGARET PAVON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/29/ 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis Pavon and Margaret Pavon
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120432/01, 101104/02

ROBERT HINES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Hines
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120432/01, 101105/02

ALBERTO HIDALGO AND JUANITA
HIDALGO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Alberto Hidalgo and Juanita Hidalgo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VINCENT COSTA

INDEX NO.
120462/01
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


10/26/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**VINCENT COSTA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 120462/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEEL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RUDOLPH BIBOW,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 120471/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**BERTRAND E. LINDSEY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 120575/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/14/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MICHAEL A. RUZZI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 120583/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

**DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**FRED A. HOFMANN, JR.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 121113/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**NATALE V. GRILLO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 121118/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.


Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWARD F. MARTENS,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 121426/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ALBERT CONTENTO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 121539/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/12/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**

FILED
DEC 12 2011
**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM H. WHARRY JR.


Index No
121547/01
111228/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH OREFICE and MADELINE OREFICE,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 122138-01

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/17/11
New York, New York

Samuel Meirowitz 11/14/2011
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
MILLARD D. SHERLOCK

Index No.: 122391/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

DEC 12 2011


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Millard D. Sherlock
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN F. JR. WALLACE (Deceased)


Index No
122698/01

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011




FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: :
CRAIG PASCOE, as Personal Representative for : NYCAL
the Estate of THOMAS PASCOE, and RITA : I.A.S. Part 30
PASCOE, Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
-against- : Index No: 122792-01
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
: :
Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD R. BIEK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,


Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 122793-01
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York



Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 28 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOHN DOHERTY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 123065/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
CLERK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

GERTRUDE KRALJIC, as Executrix for the Estate of
JERRY CHIERCHIA, and JOAN CHIERCHIA,
Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 123078/01
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11
ST-W
Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Gertrude Kraljic, as Executrix for the Estate of
Jerry Chierchia, and Joan Chierchia, Individually,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-10224

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JERRY CHERCHIA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 123078/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MICHAEL PALAZZO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 123182/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 01-123225

GREGORY SNEED, AS PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF
BRUCE D. SNEED

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: November 10, 2011
New Brunswick, NJ


STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gregory Sneed, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of Bruce D. Sneed
700 Broadway New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011



BX-DUTCH-4

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
FLOYD C. RICHARDSON

Index No.: 123299/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Floyd C. Richardson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ALVIN J. SCHADE

Index No.: 123415/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Alvin J. Schade
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 01-111227
01-123457

BERNHARD SCHINDLER AND ANN SCHINDLER

against


A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11 New York, New York


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


~~DANIEL R. KRAFT, ESQ.~~ Phan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Alvarado
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Bernhard Schindler and Ann Schindler
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST.
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM J. SMITH

Index No.: 124120/01

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/10, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William J. Smith
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EROTIDO LARRINAGA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 124181/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/16/11



Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10070
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100052/02

PETER FINNEGAN AND MARIA FINNEGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Peter Finnegan and Maria Finnegan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Richard J. Bartolomucci and Mary Bartolomucci,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S. Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


Index No. 120430/01, 100100/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 2011


Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Richard J. Bartolomucci and Mary Bartolomucci
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
1863-12472

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RICHARD J. BARTOLOMUCCI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 100100/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOSEPH D. FESTA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 100157/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**




**Giovanni Regina Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 03 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MICHAEL J. AMATO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 100170/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 00302/02, 120430/01

LOUIS PAVON AND MARGARET PAVON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/ 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Louis Pavon and Margaret Pavon
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DAVID L. LYNCH (Deceased)

Index No
100580/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York

October 26 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
DAVID L. LYNCH

Index No.: 100580/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
David L. Lynch
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2983

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 20 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID LYNCH

INDEX NO.

100580/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Dec. 27, 2011

[Signature]
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES C. KLEIN

Index No.: 100821/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James C. Klein
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN ESPOSITO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 101058-02


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WALTER VOSBURGH,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 101070/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10299
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA
ANNE COUGHLIN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 101088/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**FRANK C. PERRY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 101088/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120432/01, 101105/02

ALBERTO HIDALGO AND JUANITA
HIDALGO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Alberto Hidalgo and Juanita Hidalgo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120432/01, 101104/02

ROBERT HINES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Hines
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

-----X
This Document Relates to:
KENNETH F. BAILEY

Index No.: 101245/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Kenneth F. Bailey
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

KENNETH F. BAILEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 101245-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN F. NICHOLAS

Index No.: 101247/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John F. Nicholas
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dylman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

KEITH E. DASH, as Executor for the Estate of
GEORGE E. DASH, and KEITH E. DASH, as
Administrator for the Estate of NORMA DASH,
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 101284-02
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101477/02

ROBERT NOLL as Executor for the Estate of
ANDREW J. NOLL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/ 2011


FILED

NOV 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Andrew J. Noll
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BARBARA EMMA, Individually and Proposed
Executrix for the Estate of EDMUND R. EMMA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 101479/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Barbara Emma and Edmund R. Emma
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X


This Document Relates To: :
: NYCAL
JOHN D. GRAHAM, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
: Index No: 101679-02
-against- :
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

VALERIAN PAWLICZAK and THERESA
PAWLICZAK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:

:
: Index No: 102002-02
:

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/17/11
New York, New York

Samuel Meirowitz 11/14/2011
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ROCCO MATERO

Index No.: 102008/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Rocco Matero
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO MATERO

INDEX NO.
102008/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 27, 2011

[Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Joe Vazquez Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

[Signature]
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
102008/02

ROCCO MATERO (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-2748

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOHN M. ROSH

Index No.: 102168/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John M. Rosh
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2748

SO ORDERED:

NOV 23 2011


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102171/02

PETAR LOURIC AND JANJA LOURIC,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Petar Louric and Janja Louric
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102423/02, 106634/99

MORRIS CLEMONS

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Morris Clemons
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-2648

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
FELIX OJEDA

Index No.: 102508/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Felix Ojeda
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2648

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES J. PRINGLE

Index No.: 102509/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James J. Pringle
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JAMES J. PRINGLE (Deceased)

Index No
102509/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
12/12/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH OREFICE and MADELINE OREFICE,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 102742-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/17/11
New York, New York

Samuel Meirowitz
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq. 11/14/2011
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-2657

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD P. HESLIN

Index No.: 102915/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard P. Heslin
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2657

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Patricia A. Singley, as Executrix for
the Estate of James J. Carr,

Index No.: 102946/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
Nov 7, 2011


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Patricia A. Singley, as
Executrix for the Estate of
James J. Carr
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Our File No.: 10924-4106

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES J. CARR

Index No.: 102946/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/15/2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James J. Carr
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LEWIS C. GILPATRICK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No: 103057-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York
Michael Panelli
Michael Panelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD WOOD


INDEX NO.
103058/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 27, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Soe Valquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RICHARD A. WOOD (Deceased)


Index No
103058/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JUNE 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-2979

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RICHARD A. WOOD

Index No.: 103058/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/11, 2011


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Richard A. Wood
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2979

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RAYMOND J. HICKEY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 103352/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500




Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RAYMOND J. HICKEY and TANA HICKEY,

Index No.: 02/103352
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXEMBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 10/190263
02/103357
01/120396

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011


John J. B. Bridge, Esq.
Cullen and Birkman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
& LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

RAYMOND J. HICKEY and TANA HICKEY,

Index No. : 02/103352
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
**OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/10/11, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120396/01, 103375/02

MARK D. ASHTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mark D. Ashton
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RALPH VINCENT

Index No.: 103443/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DEC 12 2011

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ralph Vincent
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry A. Heitler

DEC 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 103447-02

This Document Relates To:

FRANK WEBER and CATHERINE
COLE-WEBER,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including
MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 17, 2011


Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

Index No. 103454-02

This Document Relates To:

LUELLA V. WASHINGTON, As Administratrix
For the Estate of ASBURY C. WASHINGTON,
And LUELLA WASHINGTON, Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., *et al.*, Including
MAREMONT CORPORATION,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/4/11, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &
FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103681/02
120393/01


ROBERT NOBLE (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
ROSCOE E. ROWELL, JR.

Index No.: 103751/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
_____, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Roscoe E. Rowell, Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Carol Retan, as Executrix for the Estate
of Philip A. Retan,

Index No.: 103869/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


Matthew T. MacIntyre, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Carol Retan, as Executrix for
the Estate of Philip A. Retan
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1543

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
PHILIP A. RETAN

Index No.: 103869/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Philip A. Retan
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

DEC 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD M. TAYLOR

INDEX NO.

103870/02 and 190336/11

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DEC 12 2011

Dated: New York, New York

October 28, 2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Joe Varquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S- 5042-02

NOV 23 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
:
:
GIOVANNI ROTOLO, as Personal Representative :
for the Estate of LUISA ROTOLO, and as Personal : NYCAL
Representative for the Estate of SALVATORE : I.A.S. Part 30
ROTOLO, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
Plaintiff(s), : Index No: 103872-02
:
-against- : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the
above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11
New York, New York

~~Matthew Park, Esq.~~ **John E. Richmond**
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK CALDERONE AND BERNADETTE
CALDERONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120392/01, 104103/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frank Calderone and Bernadette Calderone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 10 2011

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DANIEL C. BLAKE,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 104106/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10270
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-1

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOSEPH M. KETELS

Index No.: 104166/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph M. Ketels
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL FLYNN

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER, ET. AL.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120391/01 &
104304/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CATERPILLAR, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Michael Fanelli, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Karen M. Lager, Esq.


**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN &
COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Defendant
CATERPILLAR, INC.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.92576

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
Attorney for Plaintiffs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Michael Fanelli,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 120391/01, 104304/02

MICHAEL O. FLYNN AND MARIE FLYNN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael O. Flynn and Marie Flynn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JERRY DIAMOND,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 104308/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

**FILED
DEC 12 2011**

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
William D. Pulvermacher and Carol
Pulvermacher,

Index No.: 105177/02

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,


Plaintiffs
FILED
DEC 12 2011
Defendants
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
Nov 7 2011


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
William D. Pulvermacher and
Carol Pulvermacher
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-4428

So Ordered:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-218

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM D. PULVERMACHER

Index No.: 105177/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William D. Pulvermacher
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-218

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

ANTONIA GRILLO, as Executrix for the Estate of
FRANCESCO GRILLO AND ANTONIA GRILLO,
Individually,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Index No.: 105283/02

ACandS, Inc. *et al.*,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants ALCOA STEAMSHIP COMPANY, Inc. (ASC) and ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA) hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against them with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants ASC and ALCOA be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 11-11-11

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Ruthe A. Nepf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendants
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-2672

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES W. MCDONALD

Index No.: 105389/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James W. McDonald
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2672

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN REINA (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
105559/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
105623/02

EDWARD C. GOEBEL (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011



FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 23 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES R. PELUSO, as Administrator for the
Estate of ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR. and JANE M.
PELUSO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105625/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco L. Peluso, Jr. and Jane M. Peluso
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES R. PELUSO, as Administrator for the
Estate of ROCCO L. PELUSO, JR. and JANE M.
PELUSO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105625/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rocco L. Peluso, Jr. and Jane M. Peluso
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-105712

This Document Relates to:

RAE M. MANGONA, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of CARL P. MANGONA,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Rae M. Mangona, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of Carl P.
Mangona,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED **FILED**
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KARL E. NEUBERT

INDEX NO.

105714/02 and 100679/07

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/3/11


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 23 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105716/02, 111684/02

CHARLES E. FRENCH AND BESSIE FRENCH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles E. French and Bessie French
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10013
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES BRENNAN,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 105777/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Date 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105871/02, 108985/02

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER and DONNA
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Michael Wimmer and Donna Wimmer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105871/02, 108985/02

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER and DONNA
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Michael Wimmer and Donna Wimmer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)**

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 105877/02

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
William C. Barthold
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
NOV 23 2011.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 106242/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11



**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500**



**Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10270
(212) 227-7878**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES R. SKENE

Index No.: 120388/01
106277/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/2 2011

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James R. Skene
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11056

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHRIS BEDNEY LLC, Executor for the Estate of
RONALD PAUL LENAHAN and BARBARA
LENAHAN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 120388/01, 106292/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald Paul Lenahan and Barbara Lenahan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**CHARLES H. GEE,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 106304/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/19/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS (McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
NOV 23 2011
CLERK OF THE COURT

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARMELO A. FICALORA,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 106306/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 106310/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK HEITLER
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**I.D. CAPLES,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 106314/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/14/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**STEPHEN BOBROWICH,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 106318/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/1/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 1 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106457/02, 109434/02

WILLIAM M. ALMOND and GLORIA R.
ALMOND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William M. Almond and Gloria R. Almond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106457/02, 109434/02

WILLIAM M. ALMOND and GLORIA R.
ALMOND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William M. Almond and Gloria R. Almond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LA DIEU, RONALD E.

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106508/02 &
110790/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88276

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106508/02, 110843/02

HERBERT L. FRIETSCH AND JOAN
FRIETSCH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Herbert L. Frietsch and Joan Frietsch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LEONARD POMPOSELLO and CATHERINE
POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110859/02, 106508/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/29/ 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leonard Pomposello and Catherine Pomposello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106508/02, 110775/02

SALVATORE TINE AND FRANCES TINE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Salvatore Tine and Frances Tine
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110859/02, 106508/02

LEONARD POMPOSELLO and CATHERINE
POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leonard Pomposello and Catherine Pomposello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VINCENT AULETTA

INDEX NO.
106509/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Dec 27, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOANN ZAITA, as Executrix for the Estate of
VINCENT J. AULETTA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106509/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Vincent J. Auletta
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOANN ZAITA, as Executrix for the Estate of
VINCENT J. AULETTA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106509/02
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Vincent J. Auletta
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EMMA ROKITKA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112711/02 &
106578/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.



John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88292

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----x
FRANK ROKITKA and EMMA ROKITKA,

Index No.: 106578/02
112711/02

-against- Plaintiff(s),

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MOTION AND ORDER


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (incorrectly sued as "PACCAR, INC., Individually and through its division PETERBILT MOTORS CO.") (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 7, 2011


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106578/02, 112697/02

MICHAEL H. WILSON AND MARI L.
WILSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael H. Wilson and Mari L. Wilson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 106578/02
112711/02

FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Nov. 14, 2011
New York, New York

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106693/03, 115012/02

DONALD J. KRENTZ and IRENE G. KRENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald J. Krentz and Irene G. Krentz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT W. SCHAEZTER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106693/02 &
115024/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.8824

SO ORDERED, _____



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT SCHAETZER

INDEX NO.

106693/02 and 115024/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 3, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-106695

This Document Relates to:

ERIC DREIMILLER

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: *November 9, 2011*
New Brunswick, NJ


STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Eric Dreimiller
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



BX-DUTCH-1

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106695/02

HENRY WILLIS and BARBARA M. WILLIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Henry Willis and Barbara M. Willis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1122-5102

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106706/02, 114876/02

SAM R. MOGAVERO AND BETTY
MOGAVERO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sam R. Mogavero and Betty Mogavero
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK 2571-653

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-106706

This Document Relates to:

MARGARET STERNER, as Executrix for the Estate
of DONALD L. STERNER and MARGARET
STERNER, Individually,

against


ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


MATTHEW T. MACTINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Margaret Sterner, as Executrix for the Estate
of Donald L. Sterner and Margaret Sterner,
Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler



BX-SUGAR-2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106706/02, 114898/02

ED K. BO AND JOAN BO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed K. Bo and Joan Bo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106706/02, 114885/02

SALVATORE FIGLIOLIA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Salvatore Figliolia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, Individually and Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F.
RYBACKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 114857/02, 106706/02,
116797/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Charles F. Rybacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, Individually and Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F.
RYBACKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 114857/02, 106706/02,
116797/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/ 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Charles F. Rybacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-106709

This Document Relates to:

WARREN WHITE and CATHERINE MYERS,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/14/11 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Warren White and Catherine Myers
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WARREN WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No : 115510/02 &

106709/02


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


John Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
11/14/11
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Nicole Pitti, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WARREN WHITE

INDEX NO.

106709/02 AND 115510/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 2, 2011



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John E. Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110478/02, 106806/02

RICHARD DAY and LINDA LEE DAY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Day and Linda Lee Day
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-5797

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110478/02, 106806/02

RICHARD DAY and LINDA LEE DAY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Day and Linda Lee Day
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106808/02, 110591/02

WILLIAM A. KAULBACK AND PATTIE
KAULBACK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kaulback, William A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106963/02, 111994/02

RONALD J. DEON AND LINDA M. DEON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Deon, Ronald J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106963/02, D11993/02

ALBERT C. DESHAIES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are **FILED** ~~preby dismissed~~ with prejudice and without costs.

DEC 12 2011


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Deshaies, Albert C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN S. KOSTOROSKI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 106964/02 &
112087/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 8, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88275

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD STRADER

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 106964/02 &
112121/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88975

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH MEIROSE, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE MEIROSE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 106914/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Meirose, George
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

536.12165/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:	:	
	:	
GEORGE E. MEIROSE, Deceased,	:	Index No.: 106914/02
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW JERSEY

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

REC'D OCT 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE W. LUTRELL (Deceased)

Index No
106915/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
October 26, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ - Michael Farwell;
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CATHERINE LUTTRELL, Individually and as
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of LAWRENCE
W. LUTTRELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106915/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


Kerwann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Luttrell, Lawrence W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-1445

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANK J. NAJUCH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106964/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Najuch, Frank J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
NOV 30 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-5252

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-106964

This Document Relates to:

TILLIE KOSTOROSKI, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN S.
KOSTOROSKI,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

11/4/11


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Tillie Kistoroski, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of John S.
Kistoroski
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST.
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

FRANK A. NAJUCH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL

: I.A.S. Part 30

: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

:
: Index No: 106964 -02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
:
:-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 106965/02, 111308/02

GEORGE HENRY BISHOP AND JOAN
BISHOP,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bishop, George Henry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011 235-7908

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106999/02, 111316/02

PATRICK J. CIRBUS AND SHIRLEY CIRBUS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kenyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cirbus, Patrick J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-5198

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

LELAND W. GRANT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 107001 -02

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3700

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107001/02, 111946/02

LELAND W. GRANT AND JEANNETTE L.
GRANT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Grant, Leland W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-4433

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER W. WILSNACK, III

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111909703 &
107001/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 8, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88988

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEL 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107003/02, 110714/02

FREDERICK W. JACOB AND DOROTHY
JACOB,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frederick W. Jacob and Dorothy Jacob
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 107004-02
115157-02

ROBERT J. LYDECKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107005/02, 116252/02

EDWARD H. O'DONNELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
O'Donnell, Edward H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-8289

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES A. FRETTO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 107006/02 &
117983/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88227

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM F. LAW AND PATRICIA Y. LAW,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No. 107006/02, 117996/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Law, William F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7060

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117995/02

ROBERT H. LA GOE AND MARY LOU LA
GOE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
La Goe, Robert H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 2 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-7047

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No. 107006/02, 117983/02

JAMES A. FRETTO AND JENNY L. FRETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 15, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto and Jenny L. Fretto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2383-25641
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117983/02

JAMES A. FRETTO AND JENNY L. FRETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 15, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto and Jenny L. Fretto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1122 7510

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117983/02

JAMES A. FRETTO AND JENNY L. FRETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

Nov 15, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto and Jenny L. Fretto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6885N

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117982/02

GARY L. FARNHAM AND GLORIA
FARNHAM,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary L. Farnham and Gloria Farnham
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107006/02, 118004/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rookey, Lawrence D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-7036

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

PATRICIA A. ROOKEY as Executrix for the
Estate of LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY, and
PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 107006-02

:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-5010
(212) 556-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 23 2011
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
NOV 18 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107006

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES A. FRETTO

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
10/31, 2011

By: *Suzanne Ratchette*
Suzanne Ratchette, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

By: *BR*
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROL RUFFUS, as Administratrix for the Estate of	:	NYCAL
ARNOLD BENEDETTO, JR., and EDNA MAE	:	I.A.S. Part 30
BENEDETTO, Individually,	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	Index No.: 107007-02
	:	
-against-	:	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	:	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	:	ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/28/11
New York, New York


Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 20 2011

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115342/02

HARVEY KESSLER and CAROL KESSLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Harvey Kessler and Carol Kessler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25533AC

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115342/02

HARVEY KESSLER AND CAROL KESSLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kessler, Harvey
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-5479

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (107007/02), 115349/02

KENNETH R. HALLERAN AND BARBARA J.
HALLERAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/ 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kenneth R. Halleran and Barbara J. Halleran
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115360/02

MICHAEL A. CORVINO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael A. Corvino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

2571-683

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115353/02

CONRAD FROMM AND MARY FROMM,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Conrad Fromm and Mary Fromm
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011
FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2571-683

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HARVEY KESSLER

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115342/02 &


107007/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87385

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115368/02, 107007/02

ARNOLD BENEDETTO AND EDNA MAE
BENEDETTO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benedetto, Arnold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115355/02

NORRIS R. ELLINWOOD and DOROTHY
ELLINWOOD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ellinwood, Norris R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107007/02

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

ARNOLD BENEDETTO and ENDA MAE BENEDETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Gary T. Healy, Esq.
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER
55 Washington Street, Suite 720
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED: _____


Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM P. O'MEARA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107098/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87404

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.12353/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 107098/02
WILLIAM P. O'MEARA, Deceased,	:	
	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	FILED
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

DEC 12 2011

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, County Clerk's Office, New York, hereby


requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107099/02, 117571/02

RICHARD BURTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kerlyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Burton, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD BURTON

INDEX NO.

107099/02 and 117571/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Oct. 27, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD BURTON

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J)

Index No: 107099/02 &
117571/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87424

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107101/02, 119674/02

WILLIAM BURRELL, JR. AND MARILYN
BURRELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kearyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Burrell, William Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-3894

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107102/02, 119102/02

RANDALL A. KACER and JOYCE C. KACER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Buschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Randall A. Kacer and Joyce C. Kacer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

2383-25595V

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RANDALL A. KACER (Deceased)

Index No
119102/02 & 107102/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107167/02, 112800/02

RICHARD K. GEARY AND PATRICIA GEARY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Geary, Richard K.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

MATTHEW J. DEGAETANO (Deceased)

Index No
107183/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
_____, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

Sl mf 10/21/2011
~~FRANK ORTIZ~~ Samuel Meilowitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
[Signature]
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, *[Signature]*
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JEANNE DEGAETANO, Individually and as
Executrix for the Estate of MATTHEW J.
DEGAETANO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 107183/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kevin M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
DeGaetano, Matthew J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-18029

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107221/02, 113141/02

MILTON EARL CHERRY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cherry, Milton Earl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EMILIO DI FABIO and JOAN DI FABIO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


:
: Index No: 107222 -02


:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107222/02, 118214/06

JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, Individually and
Executrix for the Estate of EMILIO J. DI FABIO,
JR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Di Fabio, Emilio J. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALTON A. HAKES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107400/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hakes, Alton A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-7540

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107401/02,

STEPHEN A. MANDELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mandell, Stephen A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-4965

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN A. MANDELL

Index No
113483/02 & 107401/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York

November 18, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ *Matthew Park, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN A. MANDELL


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 107401/02 &
113483/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87307

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV-30 2011

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM A. MATICE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 107401/02 &
113480/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 18 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87396

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 18 2011

NOV 30 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANICE WEISENFELD, as Executrix for the
Estate of STANLEY WEISENFELD and JANICE
WEISENFELD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107401/02, 114080/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stanley Weisenfeld and Janice Weisenfeld
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EDWARD J. STUFANO and STELLA
STUFANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


:
:
: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No(s): 107403-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-1030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107403/02, 114119/02

JOHN J. FAGAN AND JOAN M. FAGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12-2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fagan, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-4360

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 107404/02, 114296/02;
116798/07

ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Neer, Andrew S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-5599

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107405/02, 114164/02

JOHN C. HUGHES AND CLARE HUGHES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Ker Yann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hughes, John C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7348

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107405/02, 114175/02

ROBERT F. DANIELS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Daniels, Robert F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-7406

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN S. HAWKINS JR. (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
107514/02


-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL DEAN, as Proposed Executor for the
Estate of ROSE DEAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107761/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dean, Rose Priscilla
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5100

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-19655

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROSE P. DEAN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107761/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.87365

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DEAN P. KOPCHO, as Executor for the Estate of
WILLIAM M. KOPCHO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108105/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kopcho, William M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM M. KOPCHO (Deceased)

Index No
108105/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 20, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


~~FRANK PORTIZ~~ Michael Farrell.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
~~JAMES EDWARDS~~
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO

Index No.: 108136/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph Intrabartolo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2694

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary Heller,

Index No.: 108157/02

- against -

Plaintiffs,

FILED

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

DEC 12 2011


Defendants
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,

hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/4, 2011


John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kenneth H. Heller and Mary
Heller
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-6514

So Ordered:


Hon. Sheri M. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

11084-2757

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
KENNETH H. HELLER

Index No.: 108157/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/12/2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Kenneth H. Heller
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

~~COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE~~
~~NEW YORK~~
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.

Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2757

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT E. HOULIHAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 108356-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 23 2011
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EILEEN O. HOULIHAN, Individually and
Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT E.
HOULIHAN, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108356/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Houlihan, Robert E. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH LAVIERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
108750/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JULY 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willis Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
NOV 23 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALMA MERRY, Individually and as Proposed
Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT MERRY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108868/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerlyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Merry, Robert
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
NOV 2 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108870/02,

DONALD F. HOGAN AND MILDRED HOGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hogan, Donald F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-2045

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108870/02

DONALD F. HOGAN and MILDRED HOGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald F. Hogan and Mildred Hogan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-25602

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DORIS FENNELL KOPERA, as Executrix for the
Estate of ALFRED J. KOPERA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 108872/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kenyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kopera, Alfred J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-1811

NOV 30 2011

536.12887/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 108877/02
DONALD McEVOY, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
:


WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FILED


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN E. BALSAMO, ESQ.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD MCEVOY AND MARILYN
MCEVOY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 108877/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McEvoy, Donald
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-2079

DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-108877

This Document Relates to:

DONALD MCEVOY and MARILYN MCEVOY,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11 New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Donald McEvoy and Marilyn McEvoy
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS WIMMER

INDEX NO.

108985/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 27, 2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105871/02, 108985/02

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER and DONNA
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Michael Wimmer and Donna Wimmer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS MICHAEL WIMMER and DONNA
WIMMER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105871/02, 108985/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Michael Wimmer and Donna Wimmer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROSE B. CHRISTIAN (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
109010/02 & 100135/06


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DE 67 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

SIDNEY S. LOEB (Deceased)

Index No
109064/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
October 28, 2011

DEC 12 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEVERLY J. LOEB, Individually and as Personal
Representative for the Estate of SIDNEY S.
LOEB,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109064/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Loeb, Sidney S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

SIDNEY S. LOEB,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 109064-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 23 2011
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH CIRILLO, as Administratrix for the
Estate of DAVID R. CIRILLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109338/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cirillo, David R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH DEMARCO

INDEX NO.

109339/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/9/11

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John E. Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106457/02, 109434/02

WILLIAM M. ALMOND and GLORIA R.
ALMOND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William M. Almond and Gloria R. Almond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106457/02, 109434/02

WILLIAM M. ALMOND and GLORIA R.
ALMOND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

Dec 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
William M. Almond and Gloria R. Almond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GERALD G. JARVIS (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
109462/02 & 101140/07


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**FRANK CATALANO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 109549/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.

WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSE TRINIDAD and ELMIRA TRINIDAD,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 02/109554
01/120395

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Challen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JOSE TRINIDAD,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 109554/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSE TRINIDAD and ELMIRA TRINIDAD,

Index No.: 02/109554
01/120395

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED
DEC 12 2011

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/16/11, 2011


John V. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
17 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES F. SMITH,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 109561/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANE DARMSTEDTER, Individually and as
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD
DARMSTEDTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109641/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Darmstedter, Leonard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANE C. HOURIHAN, Individually and as
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of MAURICE J.
HOURIHAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109642/02,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hourihan, Maurice J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-17981

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MAURICE J. HOURIHAN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 109642/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87380

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHILIP MULE,

Index No. 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
John A. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

PHILIP MULE,

Index No.: 02/109854
99/123724
98/111036
98/105234
97/122078

Plaintiff(s),

FILED

-against-

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/12, 2011



John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES COSTELLO

INDEX NO.

110088/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED


DEC 12 2011

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 5, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110268/02 125556/02

ALLEN CHISLEY AND BARBARA J.
CHISLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chisley, Allen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-5864

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110269/02, 126791/02

PAUL W. KRUG AND CONNIE KRUG,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Krug, Paul W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-8177

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110269/02, 126795/02

ROBERT W. CLAVIN AND ROBERTA
CLAVIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Clavin, Robert W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-8184

RECEIVED

NOV 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MOTION OF THE CLERK'S OFFICE
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-110269

-----X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINO BORG

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
10/31, 2011

By: Suzanne Radcliffe
Suzanne Radcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: TS-RP
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-110269

This Document Relates to:

WILLIS R. GAVIGAN

against

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11-4-11
New Brunswick, NJ



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08902


DANA M. NORTHCRAFT, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Willis R. Gavigan
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ



BX-CLOVE-23

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126812/02, 110269/02

JAMES A. ROBINETT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robinett, James A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126733/02, 110269/02

CLINTON A. MCCLOUD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McCloud, Clinton A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-8157

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110270/02, 110270/02

ANTHONY R. FLAMIO and ROSE FLAMIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Flamio, Anthony R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110270/02, 126715/02

ALEXANDER W. RETTIE AND ELIZABETH
RETTIE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


DEC 12 2011

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kenyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rettie, Alexander W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110270/02, 110270/02

VICTOR POLLACK Individually and ARIEL
BRENNER, Co-Executors of the Estate of JOAN
POLLACK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pollack, Joan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110270/02, 110270/02

VICTOR POLLACK Individually and ARIEL
BRENNER, Co-Executors of the Estate of JOAN
POLLACK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/ 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pollack, Joan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110270/02, 110270/02

ANTHONY R. FLAMIO and ROSE FLAMIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Flamio, Anthony R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110478/02, 106806/02

RICHARD DAY and LINDA LEE DAY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Day and Linda Lee Day
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-5797

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110478/02 106806/02

RICHARD DAY and LINDA LEE DAY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Day and Linda Lee Day
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM A. KAULBACK AND PATTIE
KAULBACK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106808/02, 110591/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kaulback, William A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FREDERICK W. JACOB AND DOROTHY
JACOB,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 107003/02, 110714/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Frederick W. Jacob and Dorothy Jacob
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH S. COLLESANO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 110717/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Collesano, Joseph S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-18789

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106508/02, 110775/02

SALVATORE TINE AND FRANCES TINE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Salvatore Tine and Frances Tine
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LA DIEU, RONALD E.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106508/02 &
110790/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88276

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 126812/02
JAMES A. ROBINETT, : 110830/02
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
Defendants. :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

DEC 12 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HERBERT L. FRIETSCH AND JOAN
FRIETSCH,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106508/02, 110843/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Herbert L. Frietsch and Joan Frietsch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

NOV 30 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEONARD POMPOSELLO

INDEX NO.
110859/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct 27, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joe Varquez, Esq
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110859/02, 106508/02

LEONARD POMPOSELLO and CATHERINE
POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leonard Pomposello and Catherine Pomposello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110859/02, 106508/02

LEONARD POMPOSELLO and CATHERINE
POMPOSELLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/ 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Leonard Pomposello and Catherine Pomposello
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106965/02, 111308/02

GEORGE HENRY BISHOP AND JOAN
BISHOP,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bishop, George Henry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011 235-7908

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106999/02, 111316/02

PATRICK J. CIRBUS AND SHIRLEY CIRBUS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Ker Yann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cirbus, Patrick J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-5198

NOV 30 2011

536.12282/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: :
RONALD G. PEPPERDAY, : Index No.: 111343/02
: 111254/04
: :
Plaintiff, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs. : Group)
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105716/02, 111684/02

CHARLES E. FRENCH AND BESSIE FRENCH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles E. French and Bessie French
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS H. DAILEY

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
111688/02 & 101828/05


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

KARL E. NEUBERT (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
111823/02 & 100679/07


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WALTER W. WILSNACK, III


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 111909/02 &
107001/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88988

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEL 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107001/02, 111946/02

LELAND W. GRANT AND JEANNETTE L.
GRANT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Grant, Leland W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-4433

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ALBERT C. DESHAIES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106963/02, 111993/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are **FILED** with prejudice and without costs.

DEC 12 2011

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Deshaies, Albert C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106963/02, 111994/02

RONALD J. DEON AND LINDA M. DEON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Deon, Ronald J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 112087-02

This Document Relates to:

TILLIE KOSTOROSKI, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN S.
KOSTOROSKI,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ

11/24/11


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Tillie Kostoroski, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of John S.
Kostoroski
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Sperry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN S. KOSTOROSKI

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106964/02 &
112087/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 18, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88275

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD STRADER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106964/02 &
112121/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 8, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88975

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALFRED E. SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 112123-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MYRA KOVALCHICK, Individually and as
Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH
KOVALCHICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 112374/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kovalchick, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-19812

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MICHAEL GACH,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 112375/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10027
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106578/02 1d2697/02

MICHAEL H. WILSON AND MARI L.
WILSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael H. Wilson and Mari L. Wilson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 106578/02
112711/02

FRANCIS ROKITKA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: Nov. 14, 2011
New York, New York

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
FRANK ROKITKA and EMMA ROKITKA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

Index No.: 106578/02

112711/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MOTION AND ORDER


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (incorrectly sued as "PACCAR, INC., Individually and through its division PETERBILT MOTORS CO.") (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party


Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 7, 2011



Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEL 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EMMA ROKITKA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 112711/02 &
106578/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500



John J. Hepwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

**improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.88292

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107167/02, 112800/02

RICHARD K. GEARY AND PATRICIA GEARY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Geary, Richard K.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

536.13279/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 112954/02
RAYMOND F. WEBER, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: DEFENDANTS.
: DEFENDANTS.

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MILTON EARL CHERRY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107221/02, 113141/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kenyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cherry, Milton Earl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115178/05, 113276/02

JANET LEE MIGNEMI, as the Executrix for the
Estate of GERALD ELMONT ALLEN and
SHIRLEY LOUISE ALLEN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Allen, Gerald Elmont
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER BALDUCCI

INDEX NO.

113277/02 AND 119783/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/4/11

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq. *John E. Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 113277/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROGER BALDUCCI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/14, 2011
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq. John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Benjamin L. Carathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X

This Document Relates To:

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the
Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, and CAROLE
BALDUCCI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**


Index No.: 113277/02


WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Carole Balducci, as Executrix for
the Estate of Roger J. Balducci, and Carole
Balducci, Individually


By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119810/02, 113277/02

WILLIAM J. BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Ker yann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Berton, William J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7697

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN DMOCHOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 113280/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dmochowski, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM A. MATICE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107401/02 &
113480/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87396

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM MATICE

INDEX NO.
113480/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Oct. 27, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler **NOV 23 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN A. MANDELL

Index No
113483/02 & 107401/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 18, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew Park, Esq.
FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JR
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN A. MANDELL

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107401/02 &


113483/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 2, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.87394

FILED

DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV-30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113565/02, 127345/02

DONALD W. RIDDELL and SHIRLEY
RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald W. Riddell and Shirley Riddell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD W. RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 113565/02,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Riddell, Donald W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10001
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-15348

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113566/02, 126047/02

JOSE MARTINEZ and VIRGEN MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Martinez, Jose
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113566/02, 126186/02

RALPH COLON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerry M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Colon, Ralph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-8337

536.12418/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
:
ALFRED E. SMITH, Deceased, : Index No.: 112123/02
: 113616/02
Plaintiff, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
vs. : Group)
:
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

SIDNEY L. BROWN (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
113731/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ELMER ALBERICO (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
114013/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011

DEC 12 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH E. CARR (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
114016/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Agreed Dec 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMAHON
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
114019/02

BERNARD DE JOSEPH (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ALTON A. HAKES

Index No
114072/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: ~~Albertson, New York~~
Nov. 7, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

EDWARD J. STUFANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


: Index No(s): 114090-02

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/22/11
New York, New York


~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew Park, Esq.


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107403/02, 114119/02

JOHN J. FAGAN AND JOAN M. FAGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fagan, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011 1235-4360

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107405/02, 114164/02

JOHN C. HUGHES AND CLARE HUGHES,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerlynn M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hughes, John C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7348

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107405/02, 114175/02

ROBERT F. DANIELS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Daniels, Robert F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-7406

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107404/02, 114296/02;
116798/07

ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Neer, Andrew S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-5599

NOV 30 2011

536.12419/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 114296/02
ANDREW S. NEER,	:	116798/07
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	NO OPPOSITION
et al.,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
Defendants.	:	
	:	


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. MONAGHAN (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
114568/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, Individually and Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F.
RYBACKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 114857/02 106706/02,
116797/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Charles F. Rybacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114857/02, 106706/02,
116797/07

JOAN M. RYBACKI, Individually and Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F.
RYBACKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/ 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Charles F. Rybacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SAM R. MOGAVERO AND BETTY
MOGAVERO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106706/02, 114876/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sam R. Mogavero and Betty Mogavero
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 30 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK 2571-6537

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106706/02, 114885/02

SALVATORE FIGLIOLIA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Salvatore Figliolia
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106706/02, 114898/02

ED K. BO AND JOAN BO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ed K. Bo and Joan Bo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANKLIN DONALD HULL (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
114961/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106693/03, 115012/02

DONALD J. KRENTZ and IRENE G. KRENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald J. Krentz and Irene G. Krentz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT W. SCHAETZER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: ~~106693702~~ &
115024/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: December 18 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88124

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT SCHAETZER

INDEX NO.

106693/02 and 115024/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 3, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 107004-02

115157-02

ROBERT J. LYDECKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HARVEY KESSLER


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 115342/02 &
107007/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87385

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115342/02

HARVEY KESSLER AND CAROL KESSLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kessler, Harvey
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115342/02

HARVEY KESSLER and CAROL KESSLER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Harvey Kessler and Carol Kessler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-25533AC

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH R. HALLERAN AND BARBARA J.
HALLERAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107007/02, 115349/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kenneth R. Halleran and Barbara J. Halleran
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115353/02

CONRAD FROMM AND MARY FROMM,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Conrad Fromm and Mary Fromm
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011
FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2571-683

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :
: NYCAL
HARVEY FIALA and ROBIN FIALA, : I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
Plaintiff(s), :
: Index No: 115354-02
-against- :
: A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants. :
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115355/02

NORRIS R. ELLINWOOD and DOROTHY
ELLINWOOD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ellinwood, Norris R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107007/02, 115360/02

MICHAEL A. CORVINO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/ 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Michael A. Corvino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

2571-683

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115368/02, 107007/02

ARNOLD BENEDETTO AND EDNA MAE
BENEDETTO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Benedetto, Arnold
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WARREN WHITE

INDEX NO.
106709/02 AND 115510/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 2, 2011



~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ *John E. Richmond*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
WARREN WHITE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115510/02 &
106709/02


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


John Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
11/14/11
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Nicole Pitti, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107005/02, 116252/02

EDWARD H. O'DONNELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
O'Donnell, Edward H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-8289

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD BURTON

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107099/02 &


17571/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87424

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107099/02, 117571/02

RICHARD BURTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/ 2011


Kerlynn M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Burton, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD BURTON

INDEX NO.

107099/02 and 117571/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Oct. 27, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. *Joe Varquez, Esq.*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117982/02

GARY L. FARNHAM AND GLORIA
FARNHAM,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011




Robert Darish, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary L. Farnham and Gloria Farnham
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES A. FRETTO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 107006/02 &
117983/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 4 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88227

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117983/02

JAMES A. FRETTO AND JENNY L. FRETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov-15, 2011


Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto and Jenny L. Fretto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6885N

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

JAMES A. FRETTO AND JENNY L. FRETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 107006/02, (117983/02)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 15, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto and Jenny L. Fretto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
1122-7510

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011

SL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117983/02

JAMES A. FRETTO AND JENNY L. FRETTO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 15, 2011

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James A. Fretto and Jenny L. Fretto
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2383-25641
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117995/02

ROBERT H. LA GOE AND MARY LOU LA
GOE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
La Goe, Robert H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 2 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-7047

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02, 117996/02

WILLIAM F. LAW AND PATRICIA Y. LAW,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Law, William F.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107006/02, 118004/02

LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rookey, Lawrence D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7036

NOV 30 2011

536.12672/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: :
LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY, Deceased, : Index No.: 118004/02
: :
Plaintiff, : (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
: Group)
vs. :
: :
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011


STEPHEN FRANK BASAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, CRAMER, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

PATRICIA A. ROOKEY as Executrix for the
Estate of LAWRENCE D. ROOKEY, and
PATRICIA A. ROOKEY, Individually,

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), :

: Index No: 118004-02

-against-

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**


A.C. and S., INC., et al., :


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York


Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

RANDALL A. KACER (Deceased)

Index No
119102/02 & 107102/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: AUGUST 2011 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
RANDALL KACER

Index No.: 119102/02

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


MATTHEW PARK, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RANDALL KACER
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500


Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107102/02, 119102/02

RANDALL A. KACER and JOYCE C. KACER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Buschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Randall A. Kacer and Joyce C. Kacer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

2383-25595V

NOV 30 2011

536.12417/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 119111/02

LAWRENCE STERN, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)

vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : FILED
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
: DEC 12 2011
: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
: NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ANDREAS KALLEBERG and LISA
KALLEBERG,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET
AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 119370/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



**EARLY & STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233**



**James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107101/02, 119674/02

WILLIAM BURRELL, JR. AND MARILYN
BURRELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Burrell, William Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-3894

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER BALDUCCI

INDEX NO.
113277/02 AND 119783/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/4/11

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq. John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

NOV 18 2011

NOTICE OF FILING OFFICE
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-119783

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER J. BALDUCCI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corporation," ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jericho, NY
October 28, 2011

By: [Signature]

John E. Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: [Signature]

Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a Freightliner LLC
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :

CAROLE BALDUCCI, as Executrix for the :
Estate of ROGER J. BALDUCCI, and CAROLE :
BALDUCCI, Individually, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

Index No.: 119783/02

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., et al., :

Defendant(s).
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

FILED

11/16, 2011

DEC 12 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


By: Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Carole Balducci, as Executrix for
the Estate of Roger J. Balducci, and Carole
Balducci, Individually


By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 119810/02, 113277/02

WILLIAM J. BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Berton, William J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-7697

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
James Sebastiani

Index No.: 120081/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/10, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James Sebastiani
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-2754

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

536.13295/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 120081/02
JAMES J. SEBASTIANI, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: Defendants. :
: **FILED** :
: DEC 12 2011 :
: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE :
: NEW YORK :

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GARY E. LAUTENSCHUETZ (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
120088/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
MONIQUE PELLETIER, Individually and as
Executrix of the Estate of GIRARD PELLETIER

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER


Index No.: 02/120337

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants SELBY BATTERSBY & COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants SELBY BATTERSBY & COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants SELBY BATTERSBY & COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Brendan Tully, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff


Stephen A. Manuele, Esq.
Feldman Kieffer, LLP
The Dun Building
110 Pearl Street, Suite 400
Buffalo, New York 14202
Counsel for Defendant

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klem-Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

VITO A. ALONGI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
120615/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ELIZABETH TRIFARI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
120622/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

DEC 12 2011

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES JOHNSON

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
121477/02 & 105917/04

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARD AHMUTY, DEMERS & ASSOCIATES
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York
(516) 294-5433
FILED
DEC 10 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

NOV 18 2011

NOTICE OF SETTING
NYS SUPREME COURT - CIVIL

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 121477/02
105917/04

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES JOHNSON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jericho, NY
November 15, 2010

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: Joseph J. Ortego

Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.


Index No. 105917/04
121477/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 2011



Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles Johnson and Joan Johnson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWARD KANIA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ET
AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 121911/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

11/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GEORGE E. ALLEN (Deceased)

Index No
122052/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT J. McGRAW (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
122682/02


-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ALBERT R. BLACHOWICZ (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
122683/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. LUNGA (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123165/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH LUNGA

INDEX NO.

123165/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/31/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH LUNGA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 123165/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87517

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

EUGENE GELSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
123324/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT C. SIEVERS (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123325/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011


JAMES EDWARDS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
ALMUTY, DEMERS & NEW YORK
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANKLIN E. BAUMAN SR. (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123631/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM G. PEREZ (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123670/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


AMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ANGELO JOHN MARTINEZ (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123672/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
123970/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NOV 21 2011
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 123970/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 16, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87528

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DOMINICK A. NOONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
124338/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JULIAN L. ROBERTS

INDEX NO.
124339/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 28, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

M. DW
Frank O'Neil, Esq. Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DONALD J. RYDER (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
124339/02 & 101968/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 101192/99, 124397/02

EMILIO PAOLINI

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Emilio Paolini
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHANNES DEVALK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 103181/03 &
124444/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87501

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FLORENCE V. COPPOLA (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
124444/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GERTRUDE AGRIFOGLIO (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
124444/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS LATZER

INDEX NO.
125211/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14/11


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ John E. Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED: 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
NICHOLAS C. LATZER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 125211/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


John Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg 11/14/11
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


Shawnette Platt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 02-125211

This Document Relates to:

THELMA LATZER, as Administratrix for the Estate of
NICHOLAS C. LATZER, and THELMA LATZER,
Individually,

against


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: //4// New Brunswick, NJ


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903



JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Thelma Latzer, as Administratrix for the
Estate of Nicholas C. Latzer, and Thelma
Latzer, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN MURRAY

INDEX NO.
125213/02
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Dec 27, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez Esq
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Timothy M. McCann
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN MURRAY (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
125213/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110268/02, 125556/02

ALLEN CHISLEY AND BARBARA J.
CHISLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011


Kervann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chisley, Allen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-5864

536.15185/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 125907/02
WILLIAM J. SWANSON, Deceased, :
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
: vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
: DEC 12 2011
Defendants. :
: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113566/02, 126047/02

JOSE MARTINEZ and VIRGEN MARTINEZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Martinez, Jose
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113566/02, 126186/02

RALPH COLON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Kerry Ann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Colon, Ralph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-8337

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
126187/02


THOMAS L. GERACE (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-3433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE,

Index No.: 11/190028
03/103508
02/126681

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John L. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

12 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED

NOV 14 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

2383-295979AL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011

FILED

NOV 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MC GIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WILLARD E. HARDY (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
126685/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126686/02, 104018/03

VINCENT LEONE and JOANNE LEONE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz 11/14/2011
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Vincent Leone and Joanne Leone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 30 2011

1235-248W

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110270/02, 126715/02

ALEXANDER W. RETTIE AND ELIZABETH
RETTIE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011, 2011


Kerlynn M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rettie, Alexander W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 126733/02
CLINTON A. McCLOUD, Deceased,	:	
	:	(August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff,	:	Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al.,	:	AND ORDER
	:	
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/14/11
East Hanover, New Jersey


FILED

DEC 12 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK
NEW YORK
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126733/02, 110269/02

CLINTON A. MCCLOUD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McCloud, Clinton A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-8157

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111080/05, 126743/02

MARY ANNE REGAN, Individually and
Administratrix for the Estate of MICHEAL
REGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Regan, Michael
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110269/02, 126791/02

PAUL W. KRUG AND CONNIE KRUG,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Krug, Paul W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-8177

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110269/02, 126795/02

ROBERT W. CLAVIN AND ROBERTA
CLAVIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Clavin, Robert W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

1235-8184

536.13040/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 126812/02
JAMES A. ROBINETT, : 110830/02
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
: AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

DEC 12 2011

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126812/02, 110269/02

JAMES A. ROBINETT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robinett, James A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 126937/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAVID WEISSMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 11/14, 2011
New York, New York


FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

BERNARD M. CHAMBERLAND

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127176/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & NEWMAN
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
127186/02


WILLIAM C. BOYLE (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
DEC 12 2011
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113565/02, 127345/02

DONALD W. RIDDELL and SHIRLEY
RIDDELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Donald W. Riddell and Shirley Riddell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

WALTER LOWE (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
127960/02

-----X
**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DOMINIC ELIA (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
100331/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARD DEMERS & MICHAEL M. MOSEANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT DES CHENES

INDEX NO.

100281/01 and 100755/03

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


October 28, 2011


~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN PARDES and EVELYN PARDES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John D. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/100782
01/106190
00/119373

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DONALD MONACO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 100912/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/14/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10270
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**DONALD MONACO,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 100912/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOAN M. COSBEY (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
101129/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JACK NIELSEN

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101958/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 101958/03

JACK NIELSEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

11/15/11 2011


Megan Kriegstein, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


~~John Richard, Esq.~~ Michael Farrell,
Attorney for Plaintiff
Jack Nielsen
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JULIAN L. ROBERTS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
101965/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

DONALD J. RYDER (Deceased)

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
124339/02 & 101968/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHANNES DEVALK


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No. 103181/03 &
124444/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2011


Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


John J. Hopwood, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.87501

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHANNES DE VALK (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103181/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103182/03


MARIO ESPOSITO (Deceased)


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 23 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

MELVIN A. KAHN (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
103184/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11577
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 23 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
103185/03


SOL SHARGEL (Deceased)

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROBERT V. GRAY (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103205/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

JOHN A. KELLY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
103207/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

**FILED
DEC 12 2011**

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GARRY NEWMAN (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103217/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11707
(516) 294-5433


SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

LOUIS SILBERT

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No
103220/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011

JAMES EDWARDS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McNEW YORK
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

HAROLD S. WALLACE SR. (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
103226/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011


~~COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE~~
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARD AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE,

Index No.: 11/190028
03/103508
02/126681

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

2383-295979AL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




Jennifer A. Fischetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/14, 2011


FILED

NOV 18 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 126682/02, 103872/03

LUISA ROTOLO and SALVATORE ROTOLO,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


11/14, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


John E. Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiff
Luisa Rotolo and Salvatore Rotolo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VINCENT LEONE and JOANNE LEONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126686/02, 104018/03

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK



Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meirowitz 11/14/2011
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Vincent Leone and Joanne Leone
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

1235-248W

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/13, 2011


John P. Burbidge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
-----x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John P. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
17 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. 
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, as Executor for the
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 03/111829
99/123724
98/111069
98/105232
97/122879

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

FILED

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John J. Cullen, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

PRISCILLA CLEMENT, as Executrix of the Estate of
GORDON CLEMENT and PRISCILLA CLEMENT
Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,
Defendant.

-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 112936/03


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT
INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES (BELL & GOSSETT)," and
its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors
in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary
Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being
no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
costs.

Signed by Defendant: April 19, 2011


Signed by Plaintiff: 11/19, 2011



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 605-6200




Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**VELPO JOHNSON, JR.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 115839/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**RICHARD W. MITCHELL,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 117873/03

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 118351/03

THOMAS O'MALLEY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Thomas O'Malley
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LLOYD HARDEN

INDEX NO.

121810/97 and 100755/04

ASSIGNED TO:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES H. MACK, JR.,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 102903/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 103005/04, 122111/97

ROBERT PYANOWSKI

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Pyanowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WALTER H. REEVES,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 104917/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11

[Handwritten signature]

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

[Handwritten signature]

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

[Handwritten signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

NOV 18 2011

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK
NYS CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 121477/02
105917/04

CHARLES JOHNSON

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jericho, NY

November 15, 2010

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: Joseph J. Ortego

Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/w/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

CHARLES JOHNSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
121477/02 & 105917/04

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JAMES EDWARD AHMUTY, DEMERS & NEWMAN
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
FILED
DEC 10 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.


Index No. 105917/04
121477/02

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 2011



Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles Johnson and Joan Johnson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALFRED E. SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No: 106964-04

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/24/11
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

536.12282/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: :
RONALD G. PEPPERDAY, : Index No.: 111343/02
: 111254/04
Plaintiff, :
vs. : (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants. : AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ALFRED E. SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 113616-04

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/21/11
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin
Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Index No.: 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

-against-

Plaintiff(s)

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011




John W. Barbridge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank W. Ortiz, Esq. Joe Marquez, Esq.
WELTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No : 04/115493
00/100198
98/111073
98/105235
97/121774

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS H. DAILEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
111688/02 & 101828/05


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**
Re: **SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102192/05

LAWRENCE J. JOHNSON


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Lawrence J. Johnson
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN,

Index No.: 97/123219
05/102347

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/12, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE COUGHLIN,

Index No.: 97/123219-
05/102347

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011



John J. Burbidge, Esq.
Gillen and Dyleman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 110031/05, 108599/99

ALBERT P. VENTRY


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Albert P. Ventry
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111080/05, 126743/02

MARY ANNE REGAN, Individually and
Administratrix for the Estate of MICHEAL
REGAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Regan, Michael
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANICE WEISENFELD, as Executrix for the
Estate of STANLEY WEISENFELD and JANICE
WEISENFELD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107401/02, 114080/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stanley Weisenfeld and Janice Weisenfeld
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**ROBERT G. LORENTZ,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 114496/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

YALE EISENBERG

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 114501/05

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 3, 2011


Erik Jacobs, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories,
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.80878

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

YALE L. EISENBERG and RITA R.
EISENBERG,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.O. and SMITH WATER
PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 114501/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11



ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET LEE MIGNEMI, as the Executrix for the
Estate of GERALD ELMONT ALLEN and
SHIRLEY LOUISE ALLEN, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115178/05, 113276/02


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Allen, Gerald Elmont
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 30 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

X
IN RE **NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)**

X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 115563/05, 121762/97

JOSEPH OWENS

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

X
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph Owens
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-----X
This Document Relates to:

Index No
125779/99
104742/00
115803/05

RAYMOND J. FARRELL

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: MAY 2011 FIFO


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York

October 26, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5435

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 115803-05

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND J. FARRELL AND CAROLE A. FARRELL

against


A. C. AND S., INC., ET AL.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

NEW YORK, New York
DATED: November 11, 2011



STEPHANIE G. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903




JOE VASQUEZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A.
FARRELL
700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 115803/05

RAYMOND J. FARRELL and CAROLE A. FARRELL

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-


A O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/15 2011



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Joe Vasquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Raymond J. Farrell and Carole A. Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1863-15731

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

ROSE B. CHRISTIAN (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
109010/02 & 100135/06


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 7 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

X
**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)**

X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 107014/06

MARTIN F. WHITE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 15, 2011

**FILED
DEC 12 2011**


**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Martin F. White
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Martin F. White and Eileen White,

Index No.: 107014/06

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Nov 1, 2011


Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Martin F. White and Eileen White
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003


Jeffrey C. Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-5290

So Ordered: 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
COEN: NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 108083/06, 121906/98

ANTHONY E. LAMANO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Anthony E. Lamano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112046/06, 112622/99

JAY C. TAYLOR

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Jay C. Taylor
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

X
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112048/06, 108713/01
121919/98

JOSEPH C. LANG

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

X
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph C. Lang
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 116682/06, 111055/98,
113279/97, 103682/97

SAM F. ANGRISANO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Sam F. Angrisano
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN MARIE DI FABIO, Individually and
Executrix for the Estate of EMILIO J. DI FABIO,
JR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 107222/02, 118214/06


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Di Fabio, Emilio J. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 100021/07

MARTIN A. MICHALSKI

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 15, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Martin A. Michalski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KARL E. NEUBERT

INDEX NO.
105714/02 and 100679/07
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/31/11



Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10001-3598

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

NOV 23 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

KARL E. NEUBERT (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
111823/02 & 100679/07


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11501
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**JAMES H. MCELROY,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 100837/07

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/11/11




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NEW YORK, P.C.

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X
This Document Relates to:

GERALD G. JARVIS (Deceased)

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)


Index No
109462/02 & 101140/07


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: SEPTEMBER 2011 FIFO**


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
November 21, 2011


FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003


JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES D. LANIGAN


INDEX NO.
107817/99 and 102114/07
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 4 2011

Joseph Vasquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH AND HELEN
MAYDICH

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL

INDEX NO.: 102115-07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: New York, New York
November 11, 2011



STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Peter Jambini, ESQ.~~ *Joe Varquez, Esq*
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Robert Laurance Maydich and Helen
Maydich,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SO ORDERED:

NOV 23 2011



BX-CLASS-28

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/17, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sheri A. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No.: 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
Garcia, Esq.


John J. Byrne, Esq.
Gillen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA JUZDOWSKI,

Index No. 07/116796
00/106891
99/121988

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

FILED
11/22, 2011

DEC 12 2011

JOHN C. BURBRIDGE, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

FRANK M. ORTIZ, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FELIX JUZDOWSKI

INDEX NO.

106891/00 and 116796/07

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov. 7, 2011



Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, Individually and Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F.
RYBACKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 114857/02, 106706/02,
116797/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Charles F. Rybacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOAN M. RYBACKI, Individually and Personal
Representative for the Estate of CHARLES F.
RYBACKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 114857/02, 106706/02,
116797/07

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29, 2011


Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Joan M. Rybacki and Charles F. Rybacki
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107404/02, 114296/02;
116798/07

ANDREW S. NEER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/2011, 2011


Keeyann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Neer, Andrew S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-5599

NOV 30 2011

536.12419/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to: :
: Index No.: 114296/02
ANDREW S. NEER, : 116798/07
: (August 2011 Monthly FIFO Trial
Plaintiff, : Group)
vs. :
: NO OPPOSITION
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
et al., : AND ORDER
Defendants. :
:


FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11/4/11
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003


STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO
& FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X

KENNETH ROBERT MORRILL and BONNIE
MORRILL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ADVANCE AUTO PARTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

Index No. 09-103352

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., through the respective undersigned attorneys, that the above-captioned action be discontinued without prejudice as to Plaintiffs against the inadvertently named Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., with all parties to bear their own costs.

Date: Oct 13, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022



PATRICK TIMMINS
SALVATORE V. AZZOLINE
Attorney for Plaintiff

HENSON & EFRON, P.A.
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1800
Minneapolis, MN 55402



JENNIE A. CLARKE, NY #2282572
SCOTT A. NEILSON
Attorneys for Pentair Pump Group, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

427329.DOC

FILED

DEC 12 2011 OCT 20 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH MIRABILE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190176/09

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/25/11, 2011


Erik Jacobs, Esq.


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500


James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,

improperly named as Premier Refractories,

Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.84429

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH MIRABILE

INDEX NO.
190176/09
ASSIGNED TO:
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

10/26/11




Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598



SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates to:

HARVEY CROWDER,
Plaintiff,


-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **HATZEL & BUEHLER**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 2, 2011


Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3091
(212) 267-3828

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEWYORK_DOWNTOWN2403113\1 193328.000

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Klein Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190289/2009

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rory G. Sweeney, Esq.

COZEN O'CONNOR
Attorney for Defendant
Hatzel & Buehler
45 Broadway, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 509-9400

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190350/09

THOMAS WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Hoke, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Hoke, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Hoke, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/29/, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Hoke, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas Williams and Kathleen Williams
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

-----X
XAVIER SCARDINO and ANITA SCARDINO,

Index No.: 190184/10

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MINE SAFETY APPLIANCES COMPANY (“MSA”), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action pursuant to CPLR §3212, dismissing the plaintiffs’ complaint against MSA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MSA be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
September 23, 2011

FILED

SEP 23 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

 (EC)
Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
T (212) 558-5500
F (212) 544-5461


Brian P. Sexton, Esq.
SEXTON LAW FIRM
Attorneys for Defendant
MINE SAFETY APPLIANCES CO.
5039 60th Street
New York, New York 11377
T (484) 883-3219
F (484) 204-1451

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWARD T. GOOD,
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190263/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 11/11/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative
for the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Index No.: 10/190263
02/103357
01/120396

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.


-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Rykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
OFFICE & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190267/2010

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CORDELL J. BELLACH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**

- against -


ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CROWN CENTRAL LLC Incorrectly Denominated as Successor to CROWN GAS ("CROWN CENTRAL LLC") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CROWN CENTRAL LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CROWN CENTRAL LLC Incorrectly Denominated as Successor to CROWN GAS be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *November 30, 2011*
New York, New York



Mark G. Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
212-986-2233



Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
CROWN CENTRAL LLC Incorrectly
Denominated as Successor to CROWN
GAS
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K Heitler

NOV 30 2011



BX-GRASS-15

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 190285/10

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA MARQUSEE,

against

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
successor by merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et
al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Frick Company, Improperly Named as York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Frick Company, Improperly Named as York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Frick Company, Improperly Named as York International Corporation, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 11-4-11 New Brunswick, NJ

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Frick Company,
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


BRYAN BELASKY, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Charles Marqusee and Helga Marqusee
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:
CHARLES MARQUSEE and HELGA MARQUSEE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendant(s).
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190286/2010


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: July 28, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: 11/1, 2011



Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, 

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILLIAM MENDEZ,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 190318/10


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant,

DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 11/17/11

BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

NOV 23 2011
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MIKE BENSON,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190320/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
11/11/11

BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**MANUEL CHINEA,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY,
ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**

INDEX NO.: 190323/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11/11




EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY
& STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233



James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK**

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
WILDRED JOSEPH GOGEL and CATHERINE
JOSEPHINE GOGEL

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190332/2010

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett and Grinnell Corporation," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 11, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: 1/11, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Loree Shelko, Esq.
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL,
Plaintiff(s),

vs.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ET AL.
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)

INDEX NO.: 190332/10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

*Dated:
11/11/11*

BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

[Signature]

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**WILFRED JOSEPH GOGEL,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ET AL.
Defendants.**


**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 190332/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 11/11

BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575


Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
NOV 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

**EDWIN PACHECO,
Plaintiff(s),**

vs.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS,
ET AL.
Defendants.**

**NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**


INDEX NO.: 190393/10

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant,

DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated 12/11/11


BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl.
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

GEORGE D. DEVINE,
Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH CORP., et al.,
Defendants.

Index No. 190410/10

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, Defendant REICHHOLD, INC. ("Reichhold"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Reichhold, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, now it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Reichhold, be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 22, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER, PA
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George D. Devine
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
(646) 746-8914


Michael B. Sena, Esq.
HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C.
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (212) 471-8527
Attorneys for Defendant
Reichhold, Inc.

SO ORDERED:


HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

_____X
Index No. 190410/10

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


GEORGE D. DEVINE AND JUNE DEVINE

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Superior Boiler Works, Inc., sued incorrectly as "SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS" (hereinafter "Superior Boiler") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Superior Boiler with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Superior Boiler be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
November 18 2011



Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER, PC
110 William Street, 26th floor
New York, New York 10005
Attorneys for Plaintiff
George D. Devine, et al.
(212) 267-3828

FILED
DEC 12 2011


Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Superior Boiler Works, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 190464/10

GUISEEPE SEIDITA & JOSEPHINE SEIDITA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/15 2011


Peter Venetis
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300


Daniel Wasserberg
Attorney for Plaintiff
Angelo D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ISSAC RUBIN, et al

Index No.: 11/190008

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION**


I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant GREENE, TWEED & Co., (Individually and as Successor to Palmetto Packings) (herein after "Greene, Tweed") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GREENE, TWEED & Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GREENE, TWEED & Co. be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/28 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
New York, New York NEW YORK


Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036


Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Greene Tweed & Co.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA L. POLOTAYE,

Index No. 11/190028
03/103508
02/126681

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,


**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


John J. Surbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

DEC 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

ALFONSO JULIAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

~~Defendant(s),~~
-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190046/11


NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

11/14/11


William A. Cooney, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 311-5600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 11-190063

THOMAS E. PEA and JANICE M. PEA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Individually and as Successor to ARMSTRONG
MACHINE WORKS), et al.,


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *November 28, 2011*

New York, New York


Mark Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN &
PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,


Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190077/11

WILLIAM PISANO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ABEX CORPORATION, f/k/a American Brake Shoe
Company a/k/a American Brake Block, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

-----x
WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *November 16, 2011*
New York, New York


Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____


Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

ALBERT MAYCE and MARIANNE MAYCE,

Index No.: 11/190117
87/17081
87 CV 4459

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011


FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE
NEW YORK



John U. Barbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000



Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

JOSEPH ADAMS and BARBARA ADAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as
successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

: Index No.: 190118-11


: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**
: **ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 11/17/11

New York, New York


Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
WENTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500




~~Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.~~ Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
FRANK S. LA FAUCI and LUCILLE
LA FAUCI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

Index No. 11/190128

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., through the respective undersigned attorneys, that the above-captioned action be discontinued without prejudice as to Plaintiffs against the inadvertently named Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., with all parties to bear their own costs.

Date: Oct 13, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


PATRICK TIMMINS
SALVATORE V. AZZOLINE
Attorney for Plaintiff

HENSON & EFRON, P.A.
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1800
Minneapolis, MN 55402


JENNIE A. CLARKE, NY #2282572
SCOTT A. NEILSON
Attorneys for Pentair Pump Group, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

427349.DOC

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

DONALD CRATER and ROBERTA CRATER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

Index No. 11/190135

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., through the respective undersigned attorneys, that the above-captioned action be discontinued without prejudice as to Plaintiffs against the inadvertently named Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., with all parties to bear their own costs.

Date: Oct 13, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022



PATRICK TIMMINS
SALVATORE V. AZZOLINE
Attorney for Plaintiff

HENSON & EFRON, P.A.
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1800
Minneapolis, MN 55402



JENNIE A. CLARKE, NY #2282572
SCOTT A. NEILSON
Attorneys for Pentair Pump Group, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED:



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

427346.DOC

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- x

This Document Relates To:
GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).
----- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190140/2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: July 28, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: 11/1, 2011

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, [Signature]
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----x
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

EDWARD J. MALLOY and MARILYN MALLOY,

Index No.: 11/190145

Plaintiff(s),
-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a
NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSpan GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York
11/22, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Callen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718) 855-9000


Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----- X

This Document Relates To:
HENRY E. APFELBAUM and JUDY APFELBAUM,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).
----- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190156/2011

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett and Grinnell Corporation," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: July 28, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: 11/1

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
ROBERTO ROMAN and TRUDY ROMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),
-----X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190262/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Ambre Brandis, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X
This Document Relates To: :

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT, : NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
 : JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO
Plaintiff(s), : FORD MOTOR COMPANY

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 190286/11

FILED
DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


-----X
WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29, 2011

By: 
By: Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: Joseph P. McCort and Martha McCort

By: 
By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &
DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES L. CHIDESTER

INDEX NO.

190293/11

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

10/28/11

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035


Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES L. CHIDESTER

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190293/11

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 10/28/11, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelley, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,
improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc
f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88462

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190311/11

JOSEPH MILAZZO, JR.

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
 November 12, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Rufa Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011
Dated: _____

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X

MARTIN MANINGO and
FLORENCE I. MANINGO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X


NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

Index No. 190326/2011

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., through the respective undersigned attorneys, that the above-captioned action be discontinued without prejudice as to Plaintiffs against the inadvertently named Defendant PENTAIR PUMP GROUP, INC., with all parties to bear their own costs.

Date: Oct 13, 2011


LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022


PATRICK TIMMINS
SALVATORE V. AZZOLINE
Attorney for Plaintiff

HENSON & EFRON, P.A.
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1800
Minneapolis, MN 55402


JENNIE A. CLARKE, NY #2282572
SCOTT A. NEILSON
Attorneys for Pentair Pump Group, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED:


Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

433178.DOC

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

OCT 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

JOHN LOWE, JR. and DORA LOWE,

Index No.: 190332/11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,
et al.


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

November 20, 2011


Adam Cooper, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190335-11

THOMAS NEUER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER


AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WESTINGHOUSE AIR BRAKE COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 11/22/11
New York, New York


Daniel Blouin, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Westinghouse Air Brake Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, 
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
-----X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

THOMAS NEUER,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Index No.: 190335/11


Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD ("APL") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant APL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant APL be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/22/11



Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500



Ruthe A. Nopf, Esq.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
Attorney for Defendant
335 Madison Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 344-5680

SO ORDERED, _____


Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD M. TAYLOR

INDEX NO.

103870/02 and 190336/11

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

DEC 12 2011

Dated: New York, New York

October 28, 2011

[Signature]
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Joe Varquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

[Signature]
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S- 5042-02

NOV 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 11-190370


MURRAY BLONDER AND BENITA BLONDER

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11-21 2011


Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Murray Blonder and Benita Blonder
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Grace DeMarco, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

NOV 23 2011

-----X
ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and improperly named as "Successor in Interest to The Cleveland Pneumatic Company, a Division of the Pncumo Abex Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Abex, Inc.", hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/2, 2011

BY: _____

Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212)681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Bryan Belasky, Esq.

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BY: _____

Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &
BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 23 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS
LITIGATION**

Index No.: 190376-11

This Document Relates to:

**ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA
MARCHESONA,**

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *November 28, 2011*
New York, New York


~~Jordan Fox, Esq.~~
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Bryan Belasky


SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

DEC 12 2011


COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190376/11

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA
MARCHESONA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/2011




Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED


DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK




~~Jordan C. Fox, Esq.~~
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Marchesona and Rita Marchesona
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190376/11

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA
MARCHESONA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011




Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Marchesona and Rita Marchesona
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575



SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190376/11

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA
MARCHESONA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

11/29/, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456




Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Marchesona and Rita Marchesona
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler



NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	J. Sherry Klein Heitler
	:	
-----	X	Index No.: 11-190376
This Document Relates to:	:	
	:	NO OPPOSITION
ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA	:	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	:	MOTION AND ORDER
-----	X	


No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that plaintiff Robert Marchesona was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
11/2, 2011

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: 
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173


*Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc.
f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to
The Bendix Corporation*

SO ORDERED



Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Belluck & Fox LLP

By: 
Bryan Belasky, Esq.
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212.681.1575

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

----- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

Index No: 190376/11

This Document Relates to:

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER**

ROBERT MARCHESONA and RITA MARCHESONA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER, et al, including
STROBER ORGANIZATION, INC.,


Defendants.

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **STROBER ORGANIZATION, INC.**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **STROBER ORGANIZATION, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **STROBER ORGANIZATION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 2, 2011



~~Joseph Belluck, Esq.~~
Bryan Belasick
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
**ROBERT MARCHESONA and
RITA MARCHESONA**
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th FL
(212) 681-1575



James M. Skelly, Esq.
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.**
Attorneys for
STROBER ORGANIZATION, INC.
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 569.92500

FILED
DEC 12 2011
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190405/11

JOSEPH NAIMOLI

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 18, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500


Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190413/11

FRANCIS GOES

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
November 18, 2011


FILED

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190427/11

ANTHONY VIVONA

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**


WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


FILED

Dated: New York, New York
 November 18, 2011

DEC 12 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK


Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190430/11

EDWIN BARR

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York
November 18, 2011

DEC 12 2011

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500



Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: NOV 30 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
 ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190429/11

SCOTT DEWITT

**NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
 November 18, 2011

FILED

DEC 12 2011



Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Rula Alzaden Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
*Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a
Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc.,
successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a
Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse
Electric Corporation*
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: **NOV 30 2011**