

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
JOHN A. MEEHAN(Dec.),	:	Index Nos. 0888/94; 115696/00;
	:	119380/00; 102693/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	:	
Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-----X	:	IAS Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
  
SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 127007/02, 100118/94

ROSE CALLAHAN and JOHN SIGNORELLI, as  
Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH V.  
SIGNORELLI and MARY SIGNORELLI,  
Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/14, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael F. Weitz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph V. Signorelli and Mary Signorelli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2082-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102706/07, 100681/94

GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS, as  
Executor for the Estate of ANN TRESS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*January 26, 2011*



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Daniel Blouin  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gunars Tress and Ann Tress  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JACK DONALDSON, SR. and MARY  
DONALDSON,

Index No.: 105280/02, 116634/99,  
103649/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C.& S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michael Favelli  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jack Donaldson, Sr. and Mary Donaldson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2082-7017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, Individually and  
Personal Representative for the Estate of  
RICHARD DANSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 102335/06, 112962/99,  
109600/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
07/31, 2010



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Samuel Meiraditz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Hazel Mildred Danson and Richard Danson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

1/28/2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2082-7023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS J. CRUGER and BARBARA  
CRUGER,

Index No.: 111243/02, 102783/00,  
121743/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas J. Cruger and Barbara Cruger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)-558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011 FEB 04

FILED

2011 FEB 1

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-1374

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/12, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011 454-10330

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-1857

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 121774/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100755/04/121810/97

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
212, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-4366

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100755/04, 121810/97

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-9921

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 121810/97, 100755/04

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100755/04, 121810/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

1235-6533

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100755/04, 121810/97

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 24 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No: 121810/1997  
105233/1998  
111068/1998  
100755/2004

LLOYD HARDEN (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/3/11

Dated, Harrison, NY

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite-500  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

FEB - 1 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
(121829/97)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

03 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

1122-2533

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

454-10804

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-3090X

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Ker yann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7233

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,

121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-1732

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

722-619

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011 04 03

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122078/97, 123724/99,  
109854/02

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 109854/02, 123724/99,  
(122078/97)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109854/02, 123724/99,  
122078/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR -1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-25679

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109854/02, 123724/99,  
122078/97

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-20747

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4 2011

1235-2259

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110506/03, ~~12372497~~  
122313/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-2739

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-2784

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE  
COUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102347/05, 123219/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102347/05, 23219/97

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE  
COUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

FILED


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102347/05, 123219/97

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE  
COUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-18725

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102347/05, 123219/97

JOHN COUGHLIN and BARBARA ANNE  
COUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Coughlin and Barbara Anne Coughlin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011 14

2383-27169

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104095/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Giovanni Petrone and Mary Petrone  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104095/98

GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Giovanni Petrone and Mary Petrone  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 104095/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
      11  , 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Giovanni Petrone and Mary Petrone  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104095/98

GIOVANNI PETRONE and MARY PETRONE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Giovanni Petrone and Mary Petrone  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

1235-3216

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104095/98

John Petrone


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/19/11

  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.01235

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104237/98

JOHN MOORE AND LUCY MOORE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC, et al.,


Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sid Harvey Industries, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Sid Harvey Industries, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Janet Walsh, Esq.  
LOCKS LAW FIRM PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Moore and Lucy Moore  
747 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 838-3735

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

066-0033

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104237/98

JOHN MOORE AND LUCY MOORE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC, et al.,

Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Janet Walsh, Esq.  
LOCKS LAW FIRM PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Moore and Lucy Moore  
747 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 838-3735

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-0001

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
L.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104237/98

JOHN MOORE AND LUCY MOORE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC, et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Treadwell Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Janet Walsh, Esq.  
LOCKS LAW FIRM PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Moore and Lucy Moore  
747 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 838-3735

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-23165

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This document relates to:

LLOYD HARDEN (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 121810/1997  
105233/1998  
111068/1998  
100755/2004


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/3/11

Dated: Harrison, NY

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite-500  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

FEB - 1 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111049/98  
112534/98  
110669/00


Michael Delvecchio

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07360331

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This document relates to:

LLOYD HARDEN (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No.: 121810/1997  
105233/1998  
111068/1998  
100755/2004

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/3/11

Dated Harrison, NY

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Ileszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite-500  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112521/98, 113350/00

RAYMOND WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-7038

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 112521/98 113350/00

RAYMOND WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-6542

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112521/98, 113350/00

RAYMOND WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Michael Delvecchio


Index No: 111049/98  
112534/98  
110669/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 075360331

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110669/00, 112534/98

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110669/00, 112534/98


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-3082C

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 110669/00, 112534/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110669/00, 112534/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-6995

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 124527/00, 112919/98

BRIAN J. MCGUIRE and SARAH MCGUIRE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Brian J. McGuire and Sarah McGuire  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-22350H

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 124527/00, 112919/98

BRIAN J. MCGUIRE and SARAH MCGUIRE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Brian J. McGuire and Sarah McGuire  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-6514

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (113121/98), 22297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-22020A

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98/122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

722-1122

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98, 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  1/2  , 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

1235-6536

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98) 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-7032

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113121/98, 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113121/98

James Murphy


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/19/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. *Matthew Park*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.01680

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

1235-21161

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-21659

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1026

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kleih Heitler

2383-26276

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 113177/98, 103413/00

WILLIAM A. WAGNER and ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1179

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113177/98, 103413/00

WILLIAM A. WAGNER and ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Keryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-8793

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
211, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

304 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,  


Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-22177C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011


**FILED**

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117768/98  
125131/00


Francis G. Callahan

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**


150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02916

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01, 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

14 2011

2383-22958

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01, 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-7023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01, 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (118509/98) 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

~~MAR~~ 1 2011

~~NEW YORK~~  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-0286AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98, 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 24 2011

1122-6979

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (118509/98) 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-22509AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98, 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1778

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102902/04, 119470/98

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix  
for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-2424

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix  
for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102902/04, 119470/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

03 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102902/04/119470/98

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix  
for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

14 2011

2383-26731

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102902/04, 19470/98

DERNA COSTANZO, Individually and Executrix  
for the Estate of EZIO COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Derna Costanzo and Ezio Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101422/07 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101422/07, 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-11185

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101422/07, 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101422/07, 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

722-1963

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101422/07 119840/98

CHRISTOPHER KANE and BARBARA KANE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Christopher Kane and Barbara Kane  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-2130

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-22509AO

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-0281AO

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
v 11, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1971

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Steven Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122176/98 104845/00

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)-558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-19986

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122176/98, 104845/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122176/98/104845/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122176/98, 104845/00

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01, 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-19099

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01, 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

04 2011

1235-18563

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 108713/01, 100050/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant

Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

722-2201

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01, (100050/99)

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 100050/99  
108713/01


Joseph A. Massaro Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/19/11  
  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.02687

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110893/99, 101035/99

GLADYS E. MONAHAN, Individually and as  
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT J.  
MONAHAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 26, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dan Blouin, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gladys E. Monahan and Robert J. Monahan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0888

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011 ~~2010~~

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Patterson Pump Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
ERIK JACOBS, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-001

SKH - DATED: FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011

  
Ker Yann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23251AD

SKH - DATED: **FEB 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011

  
Keryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Treadwell Corporation  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1806

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 101158/99 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-0001

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
ERIKA JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 3, 2011 *Carol*

*Carol Tempesta*

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



*ERIK JACOBS*, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2571-0001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANKO ORBANIC


Index No. 101158/99  
108713/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 22, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANKO ORBANIC


Index No. 101158/99  
108713/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 22, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11786

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 102317/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

CHARLES CLOBRIDGE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 104743/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

LEVI H. WALTON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2-14, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106083/99  
100754/03  
114255/04


Gerald C. Carpenter

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 053 23 101

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,  
FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114255/04, 106083/99

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and  
LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114255/04, 106083/99

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and  
LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011


  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26978

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114255/04, 06083/99

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and  
LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)-558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114255/04 106083/99

GERALD CLAYTON CARPENTER and  
LILLIAN P. CARPENTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gerald C. Carpenter and Lillian P. Carpenter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

1122-3595

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICOLA SCALICI and ANGELA SCALICI

Index No. 141116/00  
108196/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICOLA SCALICI and ANGELA SCALICI


Index No. 111116/00  
108196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 108299/99

**DUANE KNAPP**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2-14, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 109513/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

MICHAEL DEBRO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 2/4, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110893/99, 101035/99

GLADYS E. MONAHAN, Individually and as  
Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT J.  
MONAHAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*January 26, 2011*


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Dan Blouin, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Gladys E. Monahan and Robert J. Monahan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0888

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 112128/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

ROBERT E. GARY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/4, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102335/06 112962/99,  
109600/97

HAZEL MILDRED DANSON, Individually and  
Personal Representative for the Estate of  
RICHARD DANSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
07/31, 2010



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Samuel Meiraditz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Hazel Mildred Danson and Richard Danson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

1/28/2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2082-7023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105280/02, 116634/99,  
103649/97

JACK DONALDSON, SR. and MARY  
DONALDSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C.& S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Michael Farrell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jack Donaldson, Sr. and Mary Donaldson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2082-7017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 117282/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

JOHN GARY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/4, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Tajene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1709  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOHN GARY,

Index No.:117282/99

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
January 28, 2010

Samuel Meirowitz  
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Gary  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Kristen Loughren  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Out File No.:6754-3623

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
PATRICK J. O'NEILL and FRANCES O'NEILL,	: Index No. 118787/99
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S. INC., et al.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~  
Matthew Park  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.  
264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:


WILLIAM D. BUXTON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 121854/99 &  
103590/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/1/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86115

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 121855/1999  
105103/2000

ALBERT CASARIO SR., and ROSIE CASARIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/14/11

  
Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 301  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No. 121856/1999  
105597/2000

FRANCIS G. CONNELLY, Individually and as Executor  
for the Estate of VERONICA B. CONNELLY,

Plaintiff,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11

*Matthew M. Weitz*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500

*Rodrigo Armand, Jr.*

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*

Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 16 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121854/99, 103590/00

KATHERINE BUXTON, EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WILLIAM D. BUXTON AND  
KATHERINE BUXTON, INDIVIDUALLY

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011



Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of William D. Buxton  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-5035Q

FEB 14 2011

N0029723-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 121854/99 103590/00

KATHERINE BUXTON, EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WILLIAM D. BUXTON AND  
KATHERINE BUXTON, INDIVIDUALLY

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARK  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of William D. Buxton  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26169Q

N0029729-1

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 121979/1999  
109001/2000

JOHN MARKEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York

*Saul Muf* 2/14/2011  
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
*Sam Meirowitz*  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

*Rodrigo Armand*  
**FILED**  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED

*Sherry Klein-Heitler*  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105205/05, 121983/99

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH  
LOBUS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1235-13611

MAR 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105205/05, (121983/99)

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH  
LOBUS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011


  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105205/05, 121983/99

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH  
LOBUS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105205/05, 121983/99

RAYMOND LOBUS and JOAN CHURCH  
LOBUS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**



Kerlynn M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Lobus and Joan Church Lobus  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-27203

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107492/00, 121984/99

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerry E. Malaney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

1235-13752

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107492/00, 121984/99

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerry E. Malaney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-14342

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107492/00, (121984/99)

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerry E. Malaney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. **FILED**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 121985/99 112375/02

PHYLLIS GACH, Individually and Executrix for  
the Estate of MICHAEL GACH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/15, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Phyllis Gach and Michael Gach  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)-558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105774/00, 121986/99

EDWARD J. FERGUSON and JEAN  
FERGUSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward J. Ferguson and Jean Ferguson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD J. FERGUSON and JEAN  
FERGUSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105774/00, 121986/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward J. Ferguson and Jean Ferguson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-12194

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121987/99

RONALD W. KLOPFER and BARBARA  
KLOPFER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald W. Klopfer and Barbara Klopfer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121987/99

RONALD W. KLOPFER and BARBARA  
KLOPFER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/12, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald W. Klopfer and Barbara Klopfer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121987/99

RONALD W. KLOPFER and BARBARA  
KLOPFER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald W. Klopfer and Barbara Klopfer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Kleih Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100678/07, 121987/99

JOHN M. KING and ANGELINE KING,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John M. King and Angeline King  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-22771

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116796/07 121988/99

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA  
JUZDOWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Felix M. Juzdowski and Patricia Juzdowski  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-11401

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 117873/03; 122185/99


**SAM MUDARO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 122185/99 109318/00



**KARL MULLER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/19, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122187/99 109465/00


**JAMES NICOLAIDIS**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122188/99-109064/00


**CLARK OVERTON**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122188/99:109052/00



**FRANCESCO ORILIA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SCOTT McGRAW as Executor for the Estate of  
MERRICK McGRAW


Index No. 107854/00  
122189/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 18 2011

~~JAN 11 2011~~

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 122189/99

SCOTT MCGRAW, as Executor for the Estate of  
MERRICK MCGRAW,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/26/11  
New York, New York

  
~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ SOZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109431/00, 122189/99

JOHN L. MATHIS and JUDITH MATHIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John L. Mathis and Judith Mathis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-5582

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: (122189/99) 107854/00



-----  
**SHELDON E. MCMANUS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
217, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122190/99, 109491/00

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerrvann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122190/99 109491/00

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122190/99 109427/00

**VICTOR G. MELILLO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122190/99, 109491/00

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-4009T

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (122190/99) 109491/00

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122190/99-109413/00


JAMES H. MCELROY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122190/99-109408/00


MERRICK MCGRAW


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 122191/99, 109778/00


**DONALD SCHUSTER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111114/00, 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122193/99 111116/00



-----  
**JOHN A. SMITH**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111114/00, 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-22072

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 11114/00, 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
210, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111114/00, 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26030AH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122196/99; 114135/00


JAMES L. SPENCE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
214, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,


Index No. 114135/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,


Index No. 114135/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,


Index No. 144135/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: <sup>1/20</sup> \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, Individually and WILLIAM H.  
STEVENSON as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM V.  
STEVENSON,

Index No. 114167/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, Individually and WILLIAM H.  
STEVENSON as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM V.  
STEVENSON,

Index No. 114167/00  
122196/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122198/99, 108721/00


**PATRICK C. VANCHIERI**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108905/00, 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

*Jennifer A. Fuschetto*

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Benjamin Dayche*  
Benjamin Dayche, Esq. Matthew Park  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1145

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122198/99 108905/00


CHARLES R. THURLO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108905/00, 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York


2/7, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Benjamin Darche, Esq. Matthew Park  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1122-1632

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108905/00, 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq. *Matthew Park*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

324-5087D

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108905/00, 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq. *Matthew Park*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26066D

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122199/99, 113196/00


**CLIFFORD F. WINTERHALTER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-18051

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122201/99 114257/00,  
125333/00

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 122201/99 114257/00,  
125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2383-26035D

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122201/99 114257/00,  
125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kevin M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
CAROL BAKER, as Executrix for the Estate of	: Index No. 122201/99
MARK WITTER, and BEVERLY WITTER,	: 114286/00
Individually,	: 103645/06
Plaintiffs,	:
-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. and S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/9/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Matthew Park

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103645/2006  
114286/2000  
122201/1999

MARK WITTER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.14.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 122201/99 114257/00,  
125333/00

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122201/99, 114271/00


JAMES V. ZITO, JR.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103645/2006  
114286/2000  
122201/1999

MARK WITTER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park  
WELTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.09.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No. 122203/99: 109266/00


**FREDOW REEDER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122203/99: 109264/00



JAMES C. REYNOLDS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
47, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 122203/99/109285/00


ROCCO PAPAPIETRO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4 2011

1122-2533

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

2011

1235-2051

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 104363/06 122599/99,  
121829/97

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

454-10804

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DONALD FLOWERS and BARBARA  
FLOWERS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 104363/06, 122599/99,  
121829/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Donald Flowers and Barbara Flowers  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 123615/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

JAMES R. STANDER,  
Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,  
  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-2784

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4 2011

1235-2259

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122078/97, 123724/99,  
109854/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 109854/02, 123724/99,  
122078/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03 123724/99  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-2739

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 109854/02, 123724/99,  
122078/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-25679

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No.: 111829/03 (123724/99),  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerjann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryan M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109854/02, 123724/99,  
122078/97

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10001  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-20747

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. BROOKS and JUDITH HASELEY  
BROOKS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 125074/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard W. Brooks and Judith Haseley Brooks  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-19395

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET W. FITZGERALD, as Executrix for the  
Estate of ADOLF V. WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

Index No.: 125761/99  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Adolf V. Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JANET W. FITZGERALD, as Executrix for the  
Estate of ADOLF V. WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125761/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Adolf V. Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANET W. FITZGERALD, as Executrix for the Estate of  
ADOLF V. WEBER


Index No. 125761/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2010

 12/20/2010  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meitowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of SHELDON E. MCMANUS


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 125766/99 &  
107203/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/1, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEIL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85778

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125766/99 107203/00


JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, Individually and as :  
Executrix for the Estate of SHELDON E. :  
MCMANUS, :

Index Nos. 125766/99  
107203/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-26048AQ

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125767/99, 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26048X

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10007  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-13356

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7835

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (125767/99) 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-5532

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125767/99 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125768/99, 107321/00

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125768/99 107297/00

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (125768/99) 107321/00

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99 107297/00

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-14262

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99, 107297/00

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99, 107297/00

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26054AK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: (125768/99), 107321/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7304

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125768/99 107321/00

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26054P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (125769/99) 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE]

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26170AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99

NORMA HUFF and LOVELL HUFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Norma Huff and Lovell Huff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26170AG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99

NORMA HUFF and LOVELL HUFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Norma Huff and Lovell Huff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99, 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-6186

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125769/99 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-6988

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99

NORMA HUFF and LOVELL HUFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Norma Huff and Lovell Huff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-20424

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99, 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-6492

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125771/99 104181/00

HERBERT L. STENGEL, JR. and FLORENCE  
STENGEL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Herbert L. Stengel, Jr. and Florence Stengel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. MAR - 1 2011  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-16557

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125771/99 104164/00

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99 104164/00

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HERBERT L. STENGEL, JR. and FLORENCE  
STENGEL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: (125771/99) 104181/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Herbert L. Stengel, Jr. and Florence Stengel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 125771/99 104164/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99, 104164/00

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-260730

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125771/99 104181/00

HERBERT L. STENGEL, JR. and FLORENCE  
STENGEL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

  
Kervann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Herbert L. Stengel, Jr. and Florence Stengel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 125774/99, 107003/00


-----  
**DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES C.  
REYNOLDS


Index No. 107003/00  
125774/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES C.  
REYNOLDS


Index No. 107003/00  
125774/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES C.  
REYNOLDS


Index No. 107003/00  
125774/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110978/06, 125775/99

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN  
HARCROW,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26074AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110978/06, 125775/99

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN  
HARCROW,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110978/06, 125775/99

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN  
HARCROW,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Shery Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-22702

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH M. HARCROW, SR. and EVELYN  
HARCROW,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110978/06, (25775/99)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph M. Harcrow, Sr. and Evelyn Harcrow  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125779/99, 104670/00

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125779/99, 104670/00

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	JOSEPH J. GALDYS and SUZANNE SEILER-	: Index Nos. 104670/00
	GALDYS,	: (125779/99)
		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
		: :
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/9/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Matthew Park

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  


SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 125779/99, 104670/00

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125779/99 104670/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/12, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Index No.: 111253/04, 125793/99,  
113040/98

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111253/04, (125793/99)  
113040/98

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN and THELMA  
ZUCKERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin Zuckerman and Thelma Zuckerman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

NAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-1857

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-10330

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CARL LUNDERMAN and ISABELLA  
LUNDERMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 115493/04, 100198/00,  
121774/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Carl Lunderman and Isabella Lunderman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011 FEB 04 2011

FILED

FEB - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-1374

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100550/00

HAROLD RINEHART and MARILYN C.  
RINEHART,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Harold Rinehart and Marilyn C. Rinehart  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

FEB 04 2011

1235-19431

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAROLD RINEHART and MARILYN C.  
RINEHART,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100550/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/12, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Harold Rinehart and Marilyn C. Rinehart  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HAROLD RINEHART and MARILYN C.  
RINEHART,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100550/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Harold Rinehart and Marilyn C. Rinehart  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100552/00

MARIE H. BRANN, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of ROBERT E. BRANN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Marie H. Brann and Robert E. Brann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-19936

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIE H. BRANN, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of ROBERT E. BRANN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100552/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Marie H. Brann and Robert E. Brann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARIE H. BRANN, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of ROBERT E. BRANN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100552/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Marie H. Brann and Robert E. Brann  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-1772

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100552/00

Robert E. Brann

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

*Frank M. Ortiz* 1/28/2011  
*Samuel Meirowitz*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

*Julie Evans*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.10649

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
*Sherry K. Neitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Neitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS L. BALLING, JR. Executor for the  
Estate of THOMAS L. BALLING and JO ANNE  
RADER BALLING, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 100553/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas L. Balling and Jo Anne Rader Balling  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100553/00

Thomas L. Balling


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/27/11

  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

Matthew Paris

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.10648

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS L. BALLING, JR. Executor for the  
Estate of THOMAS L. BALLING and JO ANNE  
RADER BALLING, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100553/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cool, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas L. Balling and Jo Anne Rader Balling  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

14 2011

454-1741

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS L. BALLING, JR. Executor for the  
Estate of THOMAS L. BALLING and JO ANNE  
RADER BALLING, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100553/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas L. Balling and Jo Anne Rader Balling  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100553/00

THOMAS L. BALLING, JR. Executor for the  
Estate of THOMAS L. BALLING and JO ANNE  
RADER BALLING, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas L. Balling and Jo Anne Rader Balling  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2011

2383-26007

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100557/00


SIMMONE DESSUREAULT, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of ROGER R. DESSUREAULT


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.12194

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
FEB 04 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SIMONNE DESSUREAULT, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of ROGER R.  
DESSUREAULT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100557/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Simonne Dessureault and Roger R. Dessureault  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SIMONNE DESSUREAULT, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of ROGER R.  
DESSUREAULT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100557/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Simonne Dessureault and Roger R. Dessureault  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-21777

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SIMONNE DESSUREAULT, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of ROGER R.  
DESSUREAULT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100557/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Simonne Dessureault and Roger R. Dessureault  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4 2011

1235-21282

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WILLIAM T. FRANKLIN and ANNE L.  
FRANKLIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100592/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William T. Franklin and Anne L. Franklin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-6998

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100592/00

WILLIAM T. FRANKLIN and ANNE L.  
FRANKLIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William T. Franklin and Anne L. Franklin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-6502

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119394/00, 100621/00

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ AND ARLENE  
FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jose F. Fernandez and Arlene Fernandez  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-5660W  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119394/00, 100621/00

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ AND ARLENE  
FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/11, 2011



Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE RATCLIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jose F. Fernandez and Arlene Fernandez  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-26057L

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANNA BLOCKER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of CARY BLOCKER,  
SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 101032/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Anna Blocker and Cary Blocker, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANNA BLOCKER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of CARY BLOCKER,  
SR.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 101032/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Anna Blocker and Cary Blocker, Sr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101455/00

CHARLIE CAMPBELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charlie Campbell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

14 2011

1122-10732

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 101455/2000

CHARLIE CAMPBELL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/3/11

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 601  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

*SO ORDERED:*

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101455/00

CHARLIE CAMPBELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charlie Campbell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101455/00

CHARLIE CAMPBELL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Charlie Campbell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES A. VITALE, as Executor for the Estate of  
WESLEY A. SCHWARTZ, and EVELYN J. SCHWARTZ,  
Individually,


Index No. 101760/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EVELYN J. SCHWARTZ and WESLEY A.  
SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 101760/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Evelyn J. Schwartz and Wesley A. Schwartz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101760/00

EVELYN J. SCHWARTZ and WESLEY A.  
SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Evelyn J. Schwartz and Wesley A. Schwartz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101760/00

EVELYN J. SCHWARTZ and WESLEY A.  
SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Evelyn J. Schwartz and Wesley A. Schwartz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

FEB 14 2011

1122-3078

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101760/00

EVELYN J. SCHWARTZ and WESLEY A.  
SCHWARTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Evelyn J. Schwartz and Wesley A. Schwartz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS BROOKS and GENEVIEVE  
BROOKS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102466/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis Brooks and Genevieve Brooks  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-25949

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS BROOKS and GENEVIEVE  
BROOKS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102466/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis Brooks and Genevieve Brooks  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-2963

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102466/00

FRANCIS BROOKS and GENEVIEVE  
BROOKS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis Brooks and Genevieve Brooks  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-3473

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS BROOKS and GENEVIEVE  
BROOKS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102466/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


**FILED**

MAR. - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis Brooks and Genevieve Brooks  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102468/00

JOHN PALASZYNSKI and MAUREEN  
PALASZYNSKI,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Palaszynski and Maureen Palaszynski  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-18962

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN PALASZYNSKI and MAUREEN  
PALASZYNSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102468/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Palaszynski and Maureen Palaszynski  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN PALASZYNSKI and MAUREEN  
PALASZYNSKI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 102468/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

nr, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Palaszynski and Maureen Palaszynski  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-18424

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS J. CRUGER and BARBARA  
CRUGER,

Index No.: 111243/02, 102783/00,  
121743/97

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

**FILED**

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas J. Cruger and Barbara Cruger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WAYNE WALLACE as Executor for the Estate of  
WARREN E. BURNHAM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103412/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Warren E. Burnham  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-25939

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WAYNE WALLACE as Executor for the Estate of  
WARREN E. BURNHAM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103412/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Warren E. Burnham  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WAYNE WALLACE as Executor for the Estate of  
WARREN E. BURNHAM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103412/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Warren E. Burnham  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

WAYNE WALLACE as Executor for the Estate of  
WARREN E. BURNHAM,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103412/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Warren E. Burnham  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113177/98, (103413/00)

WILLIAM A. WAGNER and ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

  
Kervann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113177/98, 103413/00

WILLIAM A. WAGNER and ALICE WAGNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
William A. Wagner and Alice Wagner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1179

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS X. HOYLES, JR., as Administrator for  
the Estate of FRANCIS X. HOYLES and ANNA  
HOYLES, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103416/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis X. Hoyles and Anna Hoyles  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

FRANCIS X. HOYLES, JR., as Administrator for  
the Estate of FRANCIS X. HOYLES and ANNA  
HOYLES, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 103416/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis X. Hoyles and Anna Hoyles  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103416/00

FRANCIS X. HOYLES, JR., as Administrator for  
the Estate of FRANCIS X. HOYLES and ANNA  
HOYLES, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerry Ann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Francis X. Hoyles and Anna Hoyles  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEVERLY B. ELTER, Individually, and as  
Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH J. ELTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103500/00  
**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Beverly B. Elter and Joseph J. Elter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26160

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEVERLY B. ELTER, Individually, and as  
Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH J. ELTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103500/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Beverly B. Elter and Joseph J. Elter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-1760

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103500/00

BEVERLY B. ELTER, Individually, and as  
Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH J. ELTER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Beverly B. Elter and Joseph J. Elter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM D. BUXTON

NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121854/99 &  
103590/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/4 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier  
Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a  
BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86115

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121854/99, 103590/00

KATHERINE BUXTON, EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WILLIAM D. BUXTON AND  
KATHERINE BUXTON, INDIVIDUALLY

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011



Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of William D. Buxton  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-5055Q

FEB 14 2011

N0029723-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121854/99, (103590/00)

KATHERINE BUXTON, EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF WILLIAM D. BUXTON AND  
KATHERINE BUXTON, INDIVIDUALLY

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARK  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of William D. Buxton  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26169Q

N0029729-1

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99, 104164/00

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99, 104164/00

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

23 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125771/99, (104164/00)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99-104164/00

TIAN M. CHAPKO, Executrix for the Estate of  
RAYMOND ST. GELAIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond St. Gelais  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-260730

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99, 104181/00

HERBERT L. STENGEL, JR. and FLORENCE  
STENGEL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Herbert L. Stengel, Jr. and Florence Stengel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. MAR - 1 2011  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-16557

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99, 104181/00

HERBERT L. STENGEL, JR. and FLORENCE  
STENGEL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011


  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Herbert L. Stengel, Jr. and Florence Stengel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125771/99 104181/00

HERBERT L. STENGEL, JR. and FLORENCE  
STENGEL,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**




Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Herbert L. Stengel, Jr. and Florence Stengel  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 114151/05, 125799/00,  
104449/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114151/05, 125799/00,  
104449/00

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-27316

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 114151/05, 125799/00,  
104449/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

1122-10509

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114151/05, 125799/00,  
104449/00

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-9971

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125779/99, 104670/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125779/99, 104670/00

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125779/99, 104670/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125779/99, 104670/00

JOSEPH J. GALDYS, JR. and SUZANNE  
SEILER-GALDYS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph J. Galdys, Jr. and Suzanne Seiler-Galdys  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26057AI

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
JOSEPH J. GALDYS and SUZANNE SEILER-		: Index Nos. 104670/09
GALDYS,		: 125779/99
		:
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>		: IAS Part 30
		:
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/9/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Matthew Park

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122176/98, 104845/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-19986

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122176/98, 104845/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-19462

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122176/98, 104845/00

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
211, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122176/98, (04845/00)

MARK KAUFMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
MARTIN S. KAUFMAN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Martin S. Kaufman  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

B 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
ROBERT B. GAGLIARDO,		: Index No. 104982/00
	Plaintiff(s),	:
	-against-	:
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116794/07, 104983/00

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of  
NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Nathan Glowatz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2011

2383-27763

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of  
NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 116794/07, 104983/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Nathan Glowatz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

04 2011

1235-19834

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of  
NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 116794/07, 104983/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Nathan Glowatz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-20352

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ANN SHAW, as Executrix for the Estate of  
NATHAN GLOWATZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 116794/07, (104983/00)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Nathan Glowatz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10001  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This document relates to:

ALBERT CASARIO SR., and ROSIE CASARIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121855/1999


105103/2000


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York 2/14/11

  
Michael Favell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:

  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105288/00

JOHN TORCHICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Torchick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-19045

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN TORCHICK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 105288/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kevin M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Torchick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-2720

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105288/00

JOHN TORCHICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Torchick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

**MAR - 1 2011**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 105288/00

JOHN TORCHICK,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Torchick  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-18510

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123166/02, 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/12, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kenyan M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123166/02, 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/12, 2011


  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-25787

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This document relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123166/2002  
105292/2000

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C. DOYLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY

2/3/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123166/02, 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123166/02, 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-21202

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-6988

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99, 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-6186

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99, 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26170AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125769/99, 105342/00

RONALD R. KENT and KRISTINA KENT,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald R. Kent and Kristina Kent  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

1235-6492

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011


  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-5532

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1235-13356

FEB 04 2011

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99 105475/00

JOHN D. LARKIN and VIRGINIA A. LARKIN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John D. Larkin and Virginia A. Larkin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26048X

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

1122-18334

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7835

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
1255-1906

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125767/99, 105494/00

JAMES E. LEWIS and MILDRED LEWIS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Ker Yann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James E. Lewis and Mildred Lewis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-26048AQ

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 121856/1999  
105597/2000

FRANCIS G. CONNELLY, Individually and as Executor  
for the Estate of VERONICA B. CONNELLY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11

*Matthew M. Luxenberg*

WETZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500

*Rodrigo Armand, Jr.*

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

*Sherry Klein-Heitler*  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105774/00 121986/99

EDWARD J. FERGUSON and JEAN  
FERGUSON,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward J. Ferguson and Jean Ferguson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 105774/00, 121986/99

EDWARD J. FERGUSON and JEAN  
FERGUSON,  
  
Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward J. Ferguson and Jean Ferguson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106483/00

RONALD W. KLOPFER and BARBARA  
KLOPFER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald W. Klopfer and Barbara Klopfer  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-1941

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

WILLIAM WARD, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., :

Defendant(s). :

**DEFENDANT FORD MOTOR  
COMPANY'S NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION**

Index No.: 106666/00


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 7, 2011



By: Michael Croce, Esq.  
LAW OFFICES OF JOHN C. DEARIE &  
ASSOCIATES  
Attorneys for PLAINTIFF(S)  
515 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
T: 212-980-0404  
F: 212-980-9889



By: Justin M. Kasmir  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FRIEDMAN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

HON. SPERRY KLEIN HEITLER

{00375107.DOC}  
958086v.1

SKH - DATED: **FEB 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125774/99, 107003/00



**DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES C.  
REYNOLDS


Index No. 107003/00  
125774/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES C.  
REYNOLDS


Index No. 107003/00  
125774/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MACIE REYNOLDS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES C.  
REYNOLDS


Index No. 107003/00  
125774/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Peitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CELESTINE SHEDLOW as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW and CHRISTINE  
KLIMEK as Personal Representative for the Estate of  
VERONICA SHEDLOW

Index No. 107086/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of SHELDON E. MCMANUS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 125766/99 &  
107203/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/1, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEIL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85778

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125766/99 107203/00


**JOHN ANTHONY MEEHAN**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
FLORENCE D. MCMANUS, Individually and as :  
Executrix for the Estate of SHELDON E. :  
MCMANUS, :

Index Nos. 125766/99

107203/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/4/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:

  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107269/00

STEFAN L. MAZUROWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X  
MATTHEW Z. KALINOWSKI (Dec.), : Index No. 107270/00  
 :  
Plaintiff(s), : **NO OPPOSITION**  
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**  
-against- :  
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
 : IAS Part 30  
Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/1/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 107270/00



MATTHEW Z. KALINOWSKI

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99, 107297/00

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-14262

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99, 107297/00

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125768/99, 107297/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99 (107297/00)

DOUGLAS F. MATHEWS and JENNIE  
MATHEWS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Douglas F. Mathews and Jennie Mathews  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26054AK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 125768/99, 107321/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99, 107321/00

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 125768/99, 107321/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011


  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7304

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 125768/99, (07321/00)

RONALD E. MANGOLD and PATRICIA  
MANGOLD,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Ronald E. Mangold and Patricia Mangold  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-26054P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107492/00 121984/99

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerry E. Malaney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-14342

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 107492/00, 121984/99

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerry E. Malaney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

1235-13752

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 107492/00, 121984/99

JERRY E. MALANEY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jerry E. Malaney  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JAMES V. LOMATO, Individually and as Executor	:	Index No. 107534/00
for the Estate of BERNICE T. LOMANTO,	:	
	:	
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	: :
		: :
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	:	
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 107801/00



ARTHUR L. TAYLOR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAWRENCE STINE, Individually and as Proposed  
Executor for the Estate of ANTOINETTE STINE

Index No. 107801/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAWRENCE STINE, Individually and as Proposed  
Executor for the Estate of ANTOINETTE STINE

Index No. 107801/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAWRENCE STINE, Individually and as Proposed  
Executor for the Estate of ANTOINETTE STINE

Index No. 107801/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 107854/00

SCOTT MCGRAW, as Executor for the Estate of  
MERRICK MCGRAW,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 1/26/11  
New York, New York

  
~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

FILED

  
MAR - 1 2011

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq. NEW YORK  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SCOTT McGRAW as Executor for the Estate of  
MERRICK McGRAW

Index No. 107854/00  
122189/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLorenzo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 18 2011

~~JAN 11 2011~~

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122189/99, 107854/00


**SHELDON E. MCMANUS**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
214, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 108351/00 111109/00;  
114496/05

JAMES H. MACK, JR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108352/00 111674/00

-----  
**EDWARD GUNTRIPP**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PAUL J. DRANK

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112462/00 &  
108353/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85735

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK S. COSTANZO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 110077/00 &  
108354/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 2/4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85734

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 108354/00; 110077/00


**FRANK S. COSTANZO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110548/00; 108355/00



**PETER CEFALY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108356/00 110564/00



WILLIAM PHILIP ALLOCA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA PAPADINO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
WILLIAM PHILIP ALLOCA


Index No. 110564/00  
108356/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No. 108356/00; 110577/00


-----  
CONRAD G. AUBIN


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 7 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110587/00, 108356/00



JOHN F. BANIK

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 108356/00 110589/00


GEORGE L. BALL


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 108356/00 110574/00


ANTHONY C. BAGNETT


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
MAR 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONRAD G. AUBIN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 110577/00 &  
108356/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER.**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85728

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR., and  
PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of PAULINE M. TRAVIS


Index No. 108359/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY, 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR., and  
PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of PAULINE M. TRAVIS


Index No. 108359/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
JAN 21 2011  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR., and  
PAMELA BLUMENTHAL, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of PAULINE M. TRAVIS


Index No. 108359/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 108359/00



**WILLIAM T. WEIR**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108382/00 114778/00


**MILTON STROBER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108382/00 114808/00


**ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR.**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES L. SPENCE AND HAZEL SPENCE

Index No. 114778/00  
108382/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES L. SPENCE AND HAZEL SPENCE

Index No. 114778/00  
108382/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH as Proposed Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN A. SMITH and GENEVIEVE A.  
SMITH Individually,


Index No. 114772/00  
108382/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH as Proposed Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN A. SMITH and GENEVIEVE A.  
SMITH Individually,


Index No. 114772/00  
108382/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH as Proposed Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN A. SMITH and GENEVIEVE A.  
SMITH Individually,


Index No. 114772/00  
108382/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108382/00; 14772/00


-----  
**ROBIN M. SORRENTINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 108383/00, 115261/00



**THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES H. MACK, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 102903/04 &  
112123/00 & 108387/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85752

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JAMES H. MACK, JR.(Dec.),		: Index Nos. 108387/00
		: 112123/00
	Plaintiff(s),	: 102903/04
		: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S., INC., et al.		: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108387/00 112110/00


**DONALD MONACO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
NANCY J. MAZUROWSKI, Individually and as :  
Executrix for the Estate of STEFAN L. :  
MAZUROWSKI, :

Index Nos. 108387/00  
TI2122/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108388/00 113756/00


CHARLES MURPHY RUMFOLA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No. 108388/00: 113757/00


NICOLA SCALICI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD C. RHODES SR. and ETHEL RHODES


Index No. 113756/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

AN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD C. RHODES SR. and ETHEL RHODES

Index No. 113756/00  
108388/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4 20 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD C. RHODES SR. and ETHEL RHODES


Index No. 113756/00  
108388/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Neitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI and ANN RICCIARDI

Index No. 113757/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI and ANN RICCIARDI


Index No. 113757/00  
108388/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI and ANN RICCIARDI


Index No. 113757/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANET SHUSTER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of DONALD SHUSTER


Index No. 108394/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANET SHUSTER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of DONALD SHUSTER


Index No. 108394/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 31 2011

JAN 31 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANET SHUSTER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of DONALD SHUSTER

Index No. 108394/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler

MAR - 1 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
JAN 31 2011 CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 108394/00



**LELSIE J. SMITH, SR.**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108551/00



ANGELO TESTA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NANCY G. SOLURI as Administratrix for the Estate  
of MILTON STROBER

Index No. 108551/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NANCY G. SOLURI as Administratrix for the Estate  
of MILTON STROBER

Index No. 108551/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108553/00


LEO A. WYSOCKI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCES WEIR, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WILLIAM T. WEIR


Index No. 108553/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
Noriel Sta. M... COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCES WEIR, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WILLIAM T. WEIR

Index No. 108553/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: 1/20, 2011


MAR - 1 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCES WEIR, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WILLIAM T. WEIR

Index No. 108553/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
FRANCES WEIR, Individually and as Administratrix :  
for the Estate of WILLIAM T. WEIR, :

Index No. 108553/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. 2/4/2011

Samuel Meitowitz  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By: Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA PINO as Executrix for the Estate of  
CHARLES R. THURLO


Index No. 108721/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:  2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA PINO as Executrix for the Estate of  
CHARLES R. THURLO

Index No. 108721/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA PINO as Executrix for the Estate of  
CHARLES R. THURLO


Index No. 108721/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122198/99; 108721/00


**PATRICK C. VANCHIERI**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

MAR 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CASIMIR R. STASZAK & SYLVIA STASZAK,

Index No. 00-108845

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


Jan. 28, 2011

BY: 

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Matthew Park

BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108905/00 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/7, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

*Jennifer A. Fuschetto*

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Benjamin Day, Esq. Matthew Park*

Benjamin Day, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1145

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 122198/99-108905/00


CHARLES R. THURLO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108905/00/122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

2/7, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

*Jennifer A. Fuschetto*

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Benjamin Darche*  
Benjamin Darche, Esq. *Matthew Park*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1122-1632

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108905/00, 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/11, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq. *Matthew Park*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

324-5087D

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 108905/00, 122198/99

ARTHUR L. TAYLOR and RETA M. TAYLOR,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S. INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq. Matthew Park  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur L. Taylor and Reta M. Taylor  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26066D

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This document relates to:

JOHN MARKEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 121979/1999  
109001/2000

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York

*Saul M. F.* 2/4/2011  
*Sam Meisowitz*  
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
**Attorneys for Plaintiff**

*Rodrigo Armand, Jr.*  
**FILED**  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
NEW YORK  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue - Suite 301  
Harrison, NY 10528  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED

*[Signature]*  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110182/00, 1118366/00

109052/2000


ANTHONY NASCA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122188/99; 109052/00



FRANCESCO ORILIA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB 14 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122188/99; 109064/00


CLARK OVERTON


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES NICOLAIDIS and CAROL NICOLAIDIS, et. al.

Index No. 109064/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122190/99 109491/00

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122190/99, (109491/00)

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122190/99 (109491/00)

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-4009T

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES NICOLAIDIS and CAROL NICOLAIDIS, et. al.

Index No. 109064/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122203/99, 109264/00



JAMES C. REYNOLDS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
44, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122203/99, 109266/00


**FREDOW REEDER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122203/99, 109285/00


**ROCCO PAPAPIETRO**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
214, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122185/99, 109318/00



**KARL MULLER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122190/99; 109408/00



MERRICK MCGRAW

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122190/99 (109413/00)


**JAMES H. MCELROY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
27, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherfy Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122190/99; 109427/00

VICTOR G. MELILLO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109431/00 122189/99

JOHN L. MATHIS and JUDITH MATHIS,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kevin M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John L. Mathis and Judith Mathis  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122187/99; 109465/00



JAMES NICOLAIDIS

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122190/99, 109491/00

GEORGE P. MCGOFF and MARGARET  
MCGOFF,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kervann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
George P. McGoff and Margaret McGoff  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 17 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122191/99; 109778/00


**DONALD SCHUSTER**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108354/00; 110077/00


FRANK S. COSTANZO


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK S. COSTANZO


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 110077/00 &  
108354/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85734

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110180/00 110306/00



ANTOINETTE STINE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**LED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, and MARK  
SORRENTINO, Individually


Index No. 110306/00  
110180/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, and MARK  
SORRENTINO, Individually


Index No. 110306/00  
110180/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, as Personal Representative for  
The Estate of ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, and MARK  
SORRENTINO, Individually


Index No. 110306/00  
110180/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110181/00:117036/00

SALVATORE J. LIBERATO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
SALVATORE J. LIBERATO (Dec.), :

Index Nos. 110181/00  
117036/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ


Index No. 110182/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ


Index No. 110182/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 110182/00 1118366/00


ANTHONY NASCA


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS S. CLEMENTE, as Proposed Executrix for the  
Estate of SAM MUDARO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 118366/00 &  
110182/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473-85787

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
SAM MUDARO,		: Index Nos. 110182/00
		: 118366/00
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	A.C. & S., INC., et al.	: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110180/00; 110306/00



ANTOINETTE STINE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**LED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, and MARK  
SORRENTINO, Individually


Index No. 110306/00  
110180/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, and MARK  
SORRENTINO, Individually

Index No. 110306/00  
110180/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARK SORRENTINO, as Personal Representative for  
The Estate of ROBIN M. SORRENTINO, and MARK  
SORRENTINO, Individually


Index No. 110306/00  
110180/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

1122-1732

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Ker yann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7233

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 118207/02, (110522/00,  
121939/97)


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-3090X

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

EB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 110548/00 108355/00


**PETER CEFALY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PATRICIA PALADINO, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of WILLIAM PHILIP ALLOCA


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 110564/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.,  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85727

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108356/00; 110564/00


**WILLIAM PHILIP ALLOCA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA PAPADINO as Administratrix for the Estate of  
WILLIAM PHILIP ALLOCA

Index No. 110564/00  
108356/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108356/00, 110574/00


ANTHONY C. BAGNETT

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108356/00; 110577/00



CONRAD G. AUBIN

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CONRAD G. AUBIN


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 110577/00 &  
108356/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85728

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110587/00; 108356/00


-----  
**JOHN F. BANIK**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108356/00; 110589/00



**GEORGE L. BALL**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
FEB 14 2011 CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110637/00


**RONALD C. RHODES, SR.**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Michael Delvecchio


Index No: 111049/98  
112534/98  
110669/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 075360331

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110669/00, 112534/98

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 110669/00, 112534/98

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110669/00, 112534/98

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-6995

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30.  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 110669/00 112534/98

MICHAEL DELVECCHIO and ROSA  
DELVECCHIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael Delvecchio and Rosa Delvecchio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108351/00; 111109/00;  
114496/05

JAMES H. MACK, JR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111114/00, 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-22072

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 111114/00 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111114/00 122193/99

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011


  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS SCERE and ROSE M. SCERE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111114/00, 122193/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kevin M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas Scere and Rose M. Scere  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-26030AH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122193/99; 1/11116/00



JOHN A. SMITH

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICOLA SCALICI and ANGELA SCALICI


Index No. 111116/00  
108196/99


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICOLA SCALICI and ANGELA SCALICI


Index No. 111116/00  
108196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108352/00, 111674/00

**EDWARD GUNTRIPP**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
VICTOR G. MELILLO (Dec.), :

Index No. 112110/00

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al. :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108387/00; 112110/00



**DONALD MONACO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
NANCY J. MAZUROWSKI, Individually and as :  
Executrix for the Estate of STEFAN L. :  
MAZUROWSKI, :

Index Nos. 108387/00  
112122/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES H. MACK, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 102903/04 &  
112123/00 & 108387/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85752

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	JAMES H. MACK, JR.(Dec.),	: Index Nos. 108387/00
		: <u>112123/00</u>
	Plaintiff(s),	: T02903/04
		: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	A.C. & S., INC., et al.	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
		: :
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102903/04; 112123/00

GEORGE J. MALICK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.



ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112438/00

JOSEPH D. GALANTE

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**



WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112462/00

PAUL J. DRANK



**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 MAR - 1 2011 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PAUL J. DRANK

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112462/00 &  
108353/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85735

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 112517/2000  
100750/2003

JAMES BALDWIN and HELEN A. BALDWIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY 02.15.11

*Math T. Weitz*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

*Russell S. Jamison*

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 401  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

~~SO ORDERED~~

*Sherry Klein-Heitler*  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FEB 18 2011

FEB 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100750/03  
112517/00


James Baldwin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10011

212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02604

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


Index No. 104634/07  
115787/01 (112680/00)

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


Index No. 104634/07  
115787/01 112680/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Meyer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


Index No. 104634/07  
115787/01 (112680/00)


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

MICHAEL SOLAZZO and CONCHETTA  
SOLAZZO, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., :

Defendant(s). :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

**Index No.: 112972/00**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 28, 2011



By: Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: MICHAEL SOLAZZO and  
CONCHETTA SOLAZZO



By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICK C. VACHIERI and MARGARET VACHIERI

Index No. 113196/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICK C. VACHIERI and MARGARET VACHIERI

Index No. 113196/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/20 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122199/99 113196/00



**CLIFFORD F. WINTERHALTER**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112521/98, 113350/00

RAYMOND WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-7038

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112521/98, 113350/00

RAYMOND WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-6542

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 112521/98, 113350/00

RAYMOND WEBER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Raymond Weber  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113384/00

PAUL E. FAYLOR

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD C. RHODES SR. and ETHEL RHODES


Index No. 113756/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108388/00; 113756/00



**CHARLES MURPHY RUMFOLA**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD C. RHODES SR. and ETHEL RHODES


Index No. 113756/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Neitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD C. RHODES SR. and ETHEL RHODES

Index No. 113756/00  
108388/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108388/00; 113757/00


NICOLA SCALICI


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI and ANN RICCIARDI


Index No. 113757/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI and ANN RICCIARDI


Index No. 113757/00  
108388/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINICK J. RICCIARDI and ANN RICCIARDI


Index No. 113757/00  
108388/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

JAN - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 114024/00

**EBER VERNON JOHNSON**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
ANNA A. JOHNSON, Individually and as	:	Index No. 114024/00
Personal Representative for the Estate of EBER	:	
VERNON JOHNSON,	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	
-against-	:	
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]  
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122196/99; 14135/00


**JAMES L. SPENCE**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,


Index No. 114135/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,


Index No. 114135/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA ANN SMITH, as Executrix for the Estate of  
LESLIE J. SMITH SR.,


Index No. 114135/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, Individually and WILLIAM H.  
STEVENSON as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM V.  
STEVENSON,

Index No. 114167/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHYLLIS STEVENSON, Individually and WILLIAM H.  
STEVENSON as Executor for the Estate of WILLIAM V.  
STEVENSON,


Index Not 114167/00  
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, (114257/00),  
125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
125333/00

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kervann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO, as Executrix for JAMES V. ZITO JR.,  
and MARY JEAN ZITO, Individually

Index No. 114258/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO, as Executrix for JAMES V. ZITO JR.,  
and MARY JEAN ZITO, Individually

Index No. 114258/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003


  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY JEAN ZITO, as Executrix for JAMES V. ZITO JR.,  
and MARY JEAN ZITO, Individually


Index No. 114258/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI and HELEN  
ZAKRZEWSKI


Index No. 114264/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI and HELEN  
ZAKRZEWSKI


Index No. 114264/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI and HELEN  
ZAKRZEWSKI


Index No. 114264/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011 YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI as the Executor for the Estate of  
LEO WYSOCKI and FLORENCE WYSOCKI,  
Individually,


Index No. 114271/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI as the Executor for the Estate of  
LEO WYSOCKI and FLORENCE WYSOCKI,  
Individually,


Index No. 114271/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
  
MAR - 1 2011  
Noriei Sta. Maria NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788  
DEPUTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN WYSOCKI as the Executor for the Estate of  
LEO WYSOCKI and FLORENCE WYSOCKI,  
Individually,


Index No. 114271/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 122201/90; 114271/00



JAMES V. ZITO, JR.

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103645/2006

114286/2000  
122201/1999

MARK WITTER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park  
WELTZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.09.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103645/2006  
114286/2000  
122201/1999

MARK WITTER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.14.11  
**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret J. Leskiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
**Attorneys for Defendant**  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mifflin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR 1 2011

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-18051

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,  
  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerlyann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE!  
2383-26035D

FEB 14 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARK WITTER and BEVERLY WITTER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 103645/06, 122201/99,  
114286/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mark Witter and Beverly Witter  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH as Proposed Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN A. SMITH and GENEVIEVE A.  
SMITH Individually,

Index No. 114772/00  
108382/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Norie! Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH as Proposed Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN A. SMITH and GENEVIEVE A.  
SMITH Individually,


Index No. 114772/00  
108382/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in  
the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and  
there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims  
against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GENEVIEVE A. SMITH as Proposed Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOHN A. SMITH and GENEVIEVE A.  
SMITH Individually,


Index No. 114772/00  
108382/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108382/00; 114772/00


**ROBIN M. SORRENTINO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114778/00



MILTON STROBER

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES L. SPENCE AND HAZEL SPENCE

Index No. 114778/00  
108382/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES L. SPENCE AND HAZEL SPENCE

Index No. 114778/00  
108382/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ACHILLE TESTA as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO  
TESTA and ACHILLE TESTA as Executor for the Estate  
of MARIA TESTA,


Index No. 114808/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ACHILLE TESTA as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO TESTA and ACHILLE TESTA as Executor for the Estate of MARIA TESTA,

Index No. 114808/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ACHILLE TESTA as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO TESTA and ACHILLE TESTA as Executor for the Estate of MARIA TESTA,

Index No. 114808/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108382/00, 114808/00


ROBERT L. TRAVIS, SR.


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN ERICKSON, as Administrator for the Estate of  
CLARK OVERTON


Index No. 115219/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN ERICKSON, as Administrator for the Estate of  
CLARK OVERTON


Index No. 115219/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 115219/00



LOUIS F. PAONESSA

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 115221/00

**DAVID R. HAIRSTON**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DORIS WINTERHALTER, as Executrix for the  
Estate of CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER


Index No. 115261/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 4/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003


  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DORIS WINTERHALTER, as Executrix for the  
Estate of CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER

Index No. 115261/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DORIS WINTERHALTER, as Executrix for the  
Estate of CLIFFORD WINTERHALTER

Index No. 115261/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

 **FILED**  
Noriel Sta Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 108383/00: 115261/00



**THEODORE R. ZAKRZEWSKI**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
24, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10075
---	--

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JOHN A. MEEHAN(Dec.),		: Index Nos. 0888/94/115696/00;
		: 119380/00; 102693/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN A. MEEHAN, and BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN,  
Individually


Index No. 119380/00  
115696/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb 4, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI


Index No. 106242/02  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Nohel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

Index No. 106242/02  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

 1/28/2011  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg Samuel Meisowitz  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Nofiel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI


Index No. 106242/02  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
	ANTOINETTE M. KOELLER, as Executrix for	: Index No. 115972/00
	the Estate of MAGDALENA AGRICOLA,	:
		:
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	:
		:
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
CAROL D'AMORE, as Executrix for the Estate of		: Index No. 116672/00
GERALD J. D'AMORE,		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	: :
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 110181/00 117036/00

**SALVATORE J. LIBERATO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
SALVATORE J. LIBERATO (Dec.),		: Index Nos. 110181/00
		: 117036/00
	Plaintiff(s),	:
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	A.C. & S., INC., et al.	:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
EDWARD GOICHMAN and PHYLLIS		: Index No. 117700/00
GOICHMAN,		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	-against-	: :
	A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, <u>et al.</u>	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, JR., As Executor for the Estate  
of LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, SR.


Index No. 117855/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, JR., As Executor for the Estate  
of LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, SR.


Index No. 117855/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
JAN 31 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, JR., As Executor for the Estate  
of LAFAYETTE D. YOUNG, SR.


Index No. 117855/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANCIS S. CLEMENTE, as Proposed Executrix for the  
Estate of SAM MUDARO


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 118366/00 &  
110182/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85787

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
SAM MUDARO,		: Index Nos. 110182/00
		: 118366/00
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	: :
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

ELVIRE M. DU BRITZ, INDIVIDUALLY and as  
the Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL DU  
BRITZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 118679-00

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing  
plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: February 14, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

 **FILED**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
ELVIRA M. DU BRITZ, Individually and as	:	Index No. 118679/00
Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL DU BRITZ,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/7/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRIDGET JONES, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
CHARLES E. WILLIAMS

Index No. 119159/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRIDGET JONES, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
CHARLES E. WILLIAMS

Index No. 119159/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



NEW YORK  
County Clerk's Office,  
Norie St. Mar  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRIDGET JONES, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
CHARLES E. WILLIAMS

Index No. 119159/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/24, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
NEW YORK  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119375/00


Nathan Jack Filbert Woodworth


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01918

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
FEB 04 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAURI SAVOLAINEN and SILJA SAVOLAINEN

Index No. 119379/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAURI SAVOLAINEN and SILJA SAVOLAINEN

Index No. 119379/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11786

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAURI SAVOLAINEN and SILJA SAVOLAINEN


Index No. 119379/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
JOHN A. MEEHAN(Dec.),	:	Index Nos. 0888/94: 115696/00;
	:	119380/00; 102693/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, as Executrix for the Estate  
of JOHN A. MEEHAN, and BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN,  
Individually


Index No. 119380/00  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Feb 4, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 119380/00-102693/01



**RICHARD W. MITCHELL**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate of DAVID  
BLENKENSOPP, and ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP,  
Individually,

Index Nos. 104633/07  
100773/03  
119388/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear  
Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and  
Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

RECEIVED FEB 08 2011

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119393/00

GRACE FOWLER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN T.  
FOWLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of John T. Fowler  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

2082-8750

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119393/00

GRACE FOWLER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN T.  
FOWLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

RECEIVED FEB 0 8 2011

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




SUZANNE RATCHIFF, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Estate of John T. Fowler  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2571-0616A

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 119393/2000

GRACE FOWLER, Individually and as Executrix for  
the Estate of JOHN T. FOWLER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY

  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 62.14.11  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
40 Wall Street, 57<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119394/00, 100621/00

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ AND ARLENE  
FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
SUZANNE RATCHIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jose F. Fernandez and Arlene Fernandez  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-5660W  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (119394/00) 100621/00

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ AND ARLENE  
FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/1, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
SUZANNE RATCHIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Jose F. Fernandez and Arlene Fernandez  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-26057L

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


VERA ZABLOSKI, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of ALEXANDER ZABLOSKI

Index No. 119673/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:  2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hottler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERA ZABLOSKI, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of ALEXANDER ZABLOSKI


Index No. 119673/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/2, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119673/00


Alexander Zablocki

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.16988

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
BEVERLY J. BENNETT, as Personal Representative :  
for the Estate of RICHARD FLOYD BENNETT, and :  
BEVERLY J. BENNETT, Individually, :

Index No. 121197/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTONINO SCLAFANI and ROSARIA SCLAFANI


Index No. 121686/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTONINO SCLAFANI and ROSARIA SCLAFANI

Index No. 121686/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
JAN 31 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTONINO SCLAFANI and ROSARIA SCLAFANI

Index No. 121686/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121686/00


Antonino Sclafani

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.13419

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98, 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-7032

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98, 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

1235-6536

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98, 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

722-1122

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98, 122297/00

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 113121/98, (122297/00)

JAMES MURPHY and ARANELL MURPHY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
James Murphy and Aranell Murphy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY KATE FISHER, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of GERALD  
E. FISHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122310/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mary Kate Fisher and Gerald E. Fisher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY KATE FISHER, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of GERALD  
E. FISHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122310/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mary Kate Fisher and Gerald E. Fisher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY KATE FISHER, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of GERALD  
E. FISHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122310/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
02/02, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mary Kate Fisher and Gerald E. Fisher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

7 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARY KATE FISHER, Individually and as  
Personal Representative for the Estate of GERALD  
E. FISHER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122310/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mary Kate Fisher and Gerald E. Fisher  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-7035

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. RUSSELL, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of THOMAS E. RUSSELL

Index No. 122496/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. RUSSELL, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of THOMAS E. RUSSELL

Index No. 122496/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. RUSSELL, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of THOMAS E. RUSSELL

Index No. 122496/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Index No. 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-22177C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANICE TOMASKI, Individually and as Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOSEPH TOMASKI

Index No. 123565/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANICE TOMASKI, Individually and as Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOSEPH TOMASKI


Index No. 123565/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANICE TOMASKI, Individually and as Administratrix for  
the Estate of JOSEPH TOMASKI

Index No. 123565/00


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
PETER AVARAS,		:
		:
	Plaintiff(s),	:
		:
	-against-	:
		:
A.C. & S., INC., et al.		:
		:
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

NYCAL

Index No. 123870/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
CLYDE FRASIER (Dec.), :

Index Nos. 124331/00  
100757/03

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BRIAN J. MCGUIRE and SARAH MCGUIRE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No. 124527/00, 112919/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Brian J. McGuire and Sarah McGuire  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-6514

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 124527/00, 112919/98

BRIAN J. MCGUIRE and SARAH MCGUIRE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Brian J. McGuire and Sarah McGuire  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-22350H

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117768/98  
125131/00


Francis G. Callahan

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**


150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02916

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

125131/2000

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-0281AO

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

125131/2000

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011  
2383-22509AO

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98, 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

1122-6979

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. ~~Shirley~~ Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-2105

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98, 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

~~MAR~~ 1 2011

~~NEW YORK~~  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-0286AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

125/31/2000  
~~1285131/00~~

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 119874/98, ~~1285131/00~~

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

CHESTER HORNER and RITA HORNER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 119874/98, 1285131/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

125131/2000

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
11, 2011


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Chester Horner and Rita Horner  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1971

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98, 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

03 04 2011

722-1778

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98, 125131/00

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kernann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-22509AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118509/98 (125131/00)

TERRANCE J. FOLEY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Terrance J. Foley  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-0281AB

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 00-125263

This Document Relates to:

TWILIA L. BILBY, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE OF LANE L. BILBY AND TWILIA L. BILBY,  
INDIVIDUALLY

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

against

A.C. AND S., INC., ET AL

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New York, New York

1/13/2011

*Wendy R. Kagan*

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08906

*Lisa Busch*

LISA BUSCH, ESQ. *Daniel Blouin*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Twilia L. Bilby, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of Lane L. Bilby and Twilia L. Bilby,  
Individually  
100 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 04 2011**



BS-CORN-19

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WALTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
125333/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
125333/00

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
125333/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL D. ZOGBY and TONI D. ZOGBY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 122201/99, 114257/00,  
(125333/00)

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Michael D. Zogby and Toni D. Zogby  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Index No.: 114151/05, (25799/00,  
104449/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114151/05, 125799/00,  
104449/00

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 4 2011

1122-10509

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Index No.: 114151/05, 125799/00,  
104449/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2383-27316

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN R. CATANZARO and JOETT  
CATANZARO,

Index No.: 114151/05, (125799/00),  
104449/00

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John R. Catanzaro and Joett Catanzaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 002489/01  
114483/02


Vito Conti

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.06878

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 100621/01

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ and ARLENE  
FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


A.C. and S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/26/11  
New York, New York

  
~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESS.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route-34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
JOSE F. FERNANDEZ and ARLENE	:	Index No. 100621/01
FERNANDEZ.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  


SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101205/01


James Ashcraft

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.05216



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherby K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JAMES ASHCRAFT (Dec.),		: Index No. 101205/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10011  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
MARSHA A. KESSLER, Executrix for the Estate	: Index No. 101892/01
of TERRY M. KESSLER and MARSHA A.	:
KESSLER, Individually,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
Plaintiffs,	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/12/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Matthew Park  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee  
264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
MARSHA A. KESSLER, Executrix for the Estate	: Index No. 101892/01
of TERRY M. KESSLER and MARSHA A.	:
KESSLER, Individually,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
Plaintiffs,	:
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	:
Defendants.	: -----X


WHEREFORE, defendant The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/12/11


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Matthew Park

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP


Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

102567/2001

Index No.: ~~1025657/01~~

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

ALEXANDER ABRAMSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119380/00; 102693/01



RICHARD W. MITCHELL

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	 Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10005
---	--

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JOHN A. MEEHAN(Dec.),		: Index Nos. 0888/94; 115696/00;
		: 119380/00; 102693/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
		: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELIZABETH WALKER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of THEODORE F. WALKER


Index No. 103003/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELIZABETH WALKER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of THEODORE F. WALKER

Index No. 103003/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELIZABETH WALKER, Individually and as Proposed  
Executrix for the Estate of THEODORE F. WALKER


Index No. 103003/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENIS F. NICASTRO and CAROLE S. NICASTRO

Index No. 104561/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENIS F. NICASTRO and CAROLE S. NICASTRO

Index No. 104561/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heiter

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES V. ROSATI

Index No. 104696/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES V. ROSATI

Index No. 104696/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES V. ROSATI

Index No. 104696/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff *Sam Meirowitz*  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AMERICO A. VIEIRA, as Administrator for the Estate of  
JOSE A. VIEIRA

Index No. 105347/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AMERICO A. VIEIRA, as Administrator for the Estate of  
JOSE A. VIEIRA


Index No. 105347/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AMERICO A. VIEIRA, as Administrator for the Estate of  
JOSE A. VIEIRA


Index No. 105347/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011


  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

BRENDA L. TANNER, AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM M. TANNER,  
AND BRENDA L. TANNER, INDIVIDUALLY,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

**Index No.: 105354/01**

- against -


A.C. & S. INC., ET AL.,


Defendant(s).  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 2, 2011

  
By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: Brenda L. Tanner, as  
Administratrix for the Estate of William M.  
Tanner, and Brenda L. Tanner, Individually

  
By: Joshua A. Deutsch, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT  
& DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
757 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 105480/01

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

STANLEY J. KREVETSKI,  
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,


Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/14, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Talene N. Megerian, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SALVATORE RANDAZZO and RHODA RANDAZZO

Index No. 106463/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: February 4, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Feltler

~~JAN 27 2011~~

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	ANNE M. REILLER, as Executrix for the Estate	: Index No. 106642/01
	of ANTHONY JOHN REILLER,	: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-----X		: IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of DONALD E. SIERING


Index No. 107081/01  
106797/06


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of DONALD E. SIERING

Index No. 107081/01  
106797/06


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11799

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011,

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative for the  
Estate of DONALD E. SIERING


Index No. 107081/01  
106797/06

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

1102 T 0 N 9  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERTA HARSHBERGER, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of CASIMIR SIBIGA, and WANDA  
HARSHBERGER, Individually

Index No. 107083/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERTA HARSHBERGER, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of CASIMIR SIBIGA, and WANDA  
HARSHBERGER, Individually

Index No. 107083/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERTA HARSHBERGER, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of CASIMIR SIBIGA, and WANDA  
HARSHBERGER, Individually

Index No. 107083/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CELESTINE SHEDLOW as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW and CHRISTINE  
KLIMEK as Personal Representative for the Estate of  
VERONICA SHEDLOW


Index No. 107086/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in  
the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and  
there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims  
against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD R. WINTERS, JR. and KATHY COGAR, as  
Proposed Co-Executors for the Estate of DONALD R.  
WINTERS, nad THELMA WINTERS, Individually

Index No. 107187/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: 1/21, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD R. WINTERS, JR. and KATHY COGAR, as  
Proposed Co-Executors for the Estate of DONALD R.  
WINTERS, nad THELMA WINTERS, Individually

Index No. 107187/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
  
NEW YORK  
Noriel Sta. Mada COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD R. WINTERS, JR. and KATHY COGAR, as  
Proposed Co-Executors for the Estate of DONALD R.  
WINTERS, nad THELMA WINTERS, Individually


Index No. 107187/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107201/01


Clifford A. Walker

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.03562

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLIFFORD A. WALKER and DOROTHY WALKER

Index No. 107201/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLIFFORD A. WALKER and DOROTHY WALKER

Index No. 107201/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLIFFORD A. WALKER and DOROTHY WALKER

Index No. 107201/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003


  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK JR. as Executor for the Estate of  
WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR., and ELIZABETH TAHIRAK  
Individually


Index No. 107317/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:  2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK JR. as Executor for the Estate of  
WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR., and ELIZABETH TAHIRAK  
Individually


Index No. 107317/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK JR. as Executor for the Estate of  
WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK SR., and ELIZABETH TAHIRAK  
Individually


Index No. 107317/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAMIE THOMPCKINS Individually and as Executrix for  
the Estate of ALTON H. THOMPCKINS


Index No. 107325/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAMIE THOMPKINS Individually and as Executrix for  
the Estate of ALTON H. THOMPKINS

Index No. 107325/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MAMIE THOMPCKINS Individually and as Executrix for  
the Estate of ALTON H. THOMPCKINS


Index No. 107325/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARILYN E. WILLIAMS, MICHAEL E. WILLIAMS, and  
JOSEPH P. HUNT as Co-Executors for the Estate of  
JOSEPH J. TURNER


Index No. 107335/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARILYN E. WILLIAMS, MICHAEL E. WILLIAMS, and  
JOSEPH P. HUNT as Co-Executors for the Estate of  
JOSEPH J. TURNER


Index No. 107335/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB - 1 2011

FILED  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOAN C. SPARLING as Executrix for the Estate of  
OSCAR SPARLING and JOAN C. SPARLING  
Individually,

Index No. 107403/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Nofiel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOAN C. SPARLING as Executrix for the Estate of  
OSCAR SPARLING and JOAN C. SPARLING  
Individually,

Index No. 107403/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriei Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heltzer

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOAN C. SPARLING as Executrix for the Estate of  
OSCAR SPARLING and JOAN C. SPARLING  
Individually,

Index No. 107403/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107450/01


Kathy Metzger, as Executrix for the Estate of Dennis M.  
Whalen

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.03517

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHY METZGER as Executrix for the Estate of  
DENNIS WHALEN

Index No. 107450/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

JAN 31 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHY METZGER as Executrix for the Estate of  
DENNIS WHALEN

Index No. 107450/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Norfel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHY METZGER as Executrix for the Estate of  
DENNIS WHALEN

Index No. 107450/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

1/28/2011  
Samuel Meifowitz

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHY METZGER as Executrix for the Estate of  
DENNIS WHALEN

Index No. 107450/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/21, 2011

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Norieh Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 107453/01 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

23 14 2011

2383-22958

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 107453/01, 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (107453/01), 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant


Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-7023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107453/01, 118210/98

JOSEPH S. COSTANZO and JUNE E.  
COSTANZO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph S. Costanzo and June E. Costanzo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01, 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-19099

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2082-0001

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011



Keryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Treadwell Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-1806

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011

  
Kerriann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-23251AD

SKH - DATED: **FEB 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011



Keryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Patterson Pump Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



ERIK JACOBS, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-001

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: FEB 8 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, 108713/01

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

February 3, 2011

  
Keryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1122-2291

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 101158/99, (108713/01)

DANKO ORBANIC,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

February 13, 2011 *adld*


*Carol Tempesta*

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



*ERIK JACOBS, ESA*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Danko Orbanic  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2571-0001

~~2011~~  
FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (108713/01) 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

04 2011

1235-18563

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01, 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/11, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

722-2201

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108713/01, 100050/99

JOSEPH A. MASSARO and MARY JEAN  
MASSARO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph A. Massaro and Mary Jean Massaro  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANKO ORBANIC


Index No. 101158/99  
108713/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 22, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANKO ORBANIC


Index No. 101158/99  
108713/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 22, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100050/99  
108713/01


Joseph A. Massaro Jr.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/19/11  
  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Matthew Park  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 05335.02687

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

AMERICAN CLERICAL SERVICE

Index No.: 110850/01

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

SUSAN HAGEN, as Personal Representative for the Estate  
of JAMES T. HAGEN, and SUSAN HAGEN, Individually

Plaintiff(s)

v.

A.C. and S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

---

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Consolidated Rail Corporation hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant Consolidated Rail Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon Notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Consolidated Rail Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: White Plains, New York  
January 31, 2011

  
Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.  
Law Offices of Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
700 Broadway  
NY, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Thomas M. Smith, Esq.  
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Consolidated Rail Corporation*  
10 Bank Street, Suite 1061  
White Plains, NY 10606  
(914) 286-2807

SO ORDERED:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

110850 / 01

no opp order

**FILED**

Hagen

MAR - 1 2011

✓

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ACJS

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

SUSAN HAGEN, As Personal Representative for  
the Estate of JAMES T. HAGEN, and SUSAN  
HAGEN, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendant(s).  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**


**Index No.: 110850/01**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 3, 2011

  
By: Frank M Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5814  
(212) 344-5461 (fax)  
Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

  
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

{00848799.DOC }  
956543v.1

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BRYAN M. PRICE


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119477/01 &  
111220/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/4 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86041

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB 04 2011

FEB - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 115831/2001  
11222/2001

WILLIAM P. TANNER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11

  
\_\_\_\_\_

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED**   
\_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111222/01  
115845/01


Frank M. Colabella

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01794

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS SHEEHAN


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111223/01 &  
120770/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/7/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86659

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111224/2001  
111913/2003

FRED A. HOFMANN JR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same be hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.14.11

*Suzanne Ratcliffe*  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*  
RUSSELL S. JAMISON, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
**Attorneys for Defendant**  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

*[Signature]*

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111226/01  
122547/01


James Joseph Faulkner


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.13115

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111228/01, 121426/01

EDWARD MARTENS AND DOLORES  
MARTENS,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
  
Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARIK  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward F. Martens and Dolores Martens  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1122-6618

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111228/2001  
121426/2001

EDWARD F. MARTENS (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/14/11

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED**  


**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111228/2001  
121426/2001

EDWARD F. MARTENS (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
WITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.09.11  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR -1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
219, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-874

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111228/2001  
121539/2001

ALBERT CONTENTO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto..

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11

*Matthew T. Weitz*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED**

*[Signature]*

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-9687

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 111228/01 121426/01

EDWARD MARTENS AND DOLORES  
MARTENS,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
  
Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Patterson Pump Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARIC  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward F. Martens and Dolores Martens  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-1347AB

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 111228/01 121426/01

EDWARD MARTENS AND DOLORES  
MARTENS,  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARIK  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward F. Martens and Dolores Martens  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6208AB

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No. 111230/2001  
123065/2001

JOHN DOHRERTY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.03.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**



**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 10 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123078/01  
111230/01


GERTRUDE KRALJIC, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JERRY CHIERCHIA, and JOAN CHIERCHIA,  
Individually


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01299

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111231/01

LOUIS J. ANGELILLO and PRUDENCE ANGELILLO


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X


WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.16350

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 111231/01  
120462/01


Vincent Costa and Lucy Costa

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
1/25/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.16363

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK


Index No. 118721/01  
111233/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK

Index No. 118721/01  
111233/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK

Index No. 118721-01

111233/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788


SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EILEEN A. ROMERO as Executrix for the Estate  
JOSEPH MC CARTHY


Index No. 113260/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: JAN 31 2011

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EILEEN A. ROMERO as Executrix for the Estate  
JOSEPH MC CARTHY

Index No. 113260/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 01-113260

This Document Relates to:

EILEEN A. ROMERO AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE  
ESTATE JOSEPH MCCARTHY

against

A.C. AND S. INC. ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New York, New York

*January 26, 2011*

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


  
FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Joseph McCarthy  
700 Broadway  
New York NY 10003

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

FEB 04 2011



BT-GHOST-9

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**Plaintiff,  
EILEEN A. ROMERO as Executrix for  
the Estate JOSEPH MCCARTHY**

**INDEX NO.01-113260**

**vs.**

**Defendants,  
A.C. AND S. INC., et al**


**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

KATHERINE MCGILLICK, being duly sworn deposes and says I am an employee of Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP, the attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.

That on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2011 a copy of the No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order was mailed to Frank Ortiz, Esq., Weitz & Luxenberg, 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 and a copy was mailed to the attorneys on the attached service list.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.

  
Katherine McGillick

Sworn to before me this 14<sup>th</sup> day of  
February 2011

  
NOTARY PUBLIC

**ALESANDRA L. FARRELL  
NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY  
My Commission Expires 10/19/2014**

Hoagland, Longo,  
Longo, Moran, Dunst  
Doukas, LLP  
Attorneys at Law

501 Broadway  
Suite 1804  
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


Index No. 104634/07  
115787/01 112680/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1-20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


Index No. 104634/07  
115787/01 112680/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/21, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA, and BENEDETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


Index No. 104634/07  
115787/01 112680/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/20, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 115831/2001  
111222/2001

WILLIAM P. TANNER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11

  
\_\_\_\_\_

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED**   
\_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111222/01  
1d5845/01


Frank M. Colabella

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01794

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116146/01

JOHN J. MCCUE and EDNA MCCUE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John J. McCue and Edna McCue  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

FEB 04 2011

1235-6525

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116146/01

JOHN J. MCCUE and EDNA MCCUE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John J. McCue and Edna McCue  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23797

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116146/01

JOHN J. MCCUE and EDNA MCCUE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John J. McCue and Edna McCue  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 116146/01

JOHN J. MCCUE and EDNA MCCUE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John J. McCue and Edna McCue  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-7021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK

Index No. 118721/01  
111233/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED:

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK

Index No. 118721/01  
111233/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK

Index No. 118721-01  
111233/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: December 15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Meitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BRYAN M. PRICE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 119477/01 &  
111220/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86041

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

FEB 04 2011

MAR - 1 2011

CLERK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This document relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 120388/2001  
106318/2002

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Suzanne Ratcliffe*  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ. 02.14.11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*  
**FILED**

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLR.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

*[Signature]*

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Index No.: 120388/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.,


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 1/26/11  
New York, New York

  
~~Benjamin Darche, Esq.~~ SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08366  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 04 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Index No. 120388/01  
106318/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLorenzo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FILED  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

~~JAN 20 2011~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Index No. 120388/01  
106318/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Suzanne R. Kliff  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,


Index No. 120388/01  
106318/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106273/02  
120388/01


Daniel J. Walsh, as Executor for the Estate of George M.  
Walsh


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02859

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120390/2001  
123906/2001

JAMES J. COUGHLIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-


KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11  


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10288  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** 

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01  
104308/02


Jerry Diamond and Joann Diamond


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.16309

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01  
123906/01


James J. Coughlin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01958

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120395/2001  
109554/2002

JOSE TRINIDAD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Matthew T. M...*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.15.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** *[Signature]*

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: (120395/01)  
109549/02


Frank Catalano

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02080

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103336/02  
120396/01


Charles Pellegrino

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.08277

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 04 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103336/02 &  
120396/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/1/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86638

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120429/01  
100623/02


Emil J. Coppola

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01756

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

KH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

PAT IACOBELLI AND LENA IACOBELLI, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., ET AL., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION ON  
BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR  
COMPANY**


Index No.: 120431/01

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 3, 2011

  
By: Frank M Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5814  
(212) 344-5461 (fax)  
Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

  
By: Justin M. Kasman  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARGARET VOSBURGH as Administratrix for the  
Estate of WALTER S. VOSBURGH and MARGARET  
VOSBURGH, Individually,


Index No. 101070/02  
120432/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/4/11, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

 **FILED**  
~~MAR 1 2011~~  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant YORK  
BMCE Inc. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: 1/31/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARGARET VOSBURGH as Administratrix for the  
Estate of WALTER S. VOSBURGH and MARGARET  
VOSBURGH, Individually,


Index No. 101070/02  
120432/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/4/11, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9 2010


  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120432/2001  
101070/2002

WALTER VOSBURGH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.09.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10520  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** 

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----X

This document relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120432/2001  
101070/2002

WALTER VOSBURGH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/14/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

**SO ORDERED:**

FEB 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070702

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9, 2010

MAR - 1 2011


  
Matthew Park, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.,  
INC.

177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111231/01  
120462/01


Vincent Costa and Lucy Costa

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


  
1/23/11

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.16363

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS SHEEHAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111223/01 &  
120770/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/17 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86659

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121231/01

ROSSAND G. AUGUSTE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



ERICA U. CESARO  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Rossand G. Auguste  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6251

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherryl Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2571-1124

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111228/01, 121426/01

EDWARD MARTENS AND DOLORES  
MARTENS,  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGINNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARIK  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward F. Martens and Dolores Martens  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-6208AB

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111228/01, 121426/01

EDWARD MARTENS AND DOLORES  
MARTENS,  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARIK  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward F. Martens and Dolores Martens  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1122-6618

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No. 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-874

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111228/2001  
121426/2001

EDWARD F. MARTENS (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.09.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** 

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-9687

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111228/01, 121426/01

EDWARD MARTENS AND DOLORES  
MARTENS,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,  
  
Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/9, 2011

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Patterson Pump Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
MATTHEW PARLIC  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward F. Martens and Dolores Martens  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

454-1347AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111228/2001  
121426/2001

EDWARD F. MARTENS (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/04/11

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED**  


**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111228/2001  
121539/2001

ALBERT CONTENTO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto..

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11

*Matthew T. Weitz*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*

**FILED**

FEB 18 2011

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 121734/01


Frank S. Barbera

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07534072333

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK S. BARBERA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 121734/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 2/4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86595

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108146/02  
122139/01


William J. Dowd

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.03953

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

MAR 04 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122306/01


Tomasso Colagiacomo

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.13555

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

3 0 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111226/01  
122547/01


James Joseph Faulkner

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.13115

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 122704/01


Nicholas J. Balestra

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02607

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111230/2001  
123065/2001

JOHN DOHRERTY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.03.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** 

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 10 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123078/01  
111230/01


GERTRUDE KRALJIC, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JERRY CHIERCHIA, and JOAN CHIERCHIA,  
Individually

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01299

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ANTONIO RICHICHI AND LIBERA  
RICHICHI, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., :

Defendant(s). :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

**Index No.: 123280/01**


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 28, 2011



By: Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: ANTONIO RICHICHI AND  
LIBERA RICHICHI



By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123299/01


Linda P. Richardson, as Executrix for the Estate of Floyd  
C. Richardson, and Linda P. Richardson, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.15294

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123563/01


Orlyn Congdon


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, **Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.09982

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

PAT IACOBELLI AND LENA IACOBELLI, :  
Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION ON  
BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR  
COMPANY**

-against- :

Index No.: 123576/01


A.C. & S., INC., ET AL., :


Defendant(s).  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 3, 2011

  
By: Frank M Ortiz  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5814  
(212) 344-5461 (fax)

  
By: Justin M. Kasmir  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHEILA J. KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01  
123906/01


James J. Coughlin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/21/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01958

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120390/2001  
123906/2001

JAMES J. COUGHLIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.15.11



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880



Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**



**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100461/02


Charles Caruso and Lucille Caruso

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 075361274

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120429/01  
100623/02


Emil J. Coppola


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003


  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.01756

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100873/02


Vincent J. Dado


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.08651

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 120432/2001  
101070/2002

This document relates to:

WALTER VOSBURGH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2/14/11

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

**SO ORDERED:** 

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9 2010

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Our File No.: 11084-

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

NO-OPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/9, 2010

MAR - 1 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.,  
INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARGARET VOSBURGH as Administratrix for the  
Estate of WALTER S. VOSBURGH and MARGARET  
VOSBURGH, Individually,

Index No. 101070/02  
120432/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 1/4/11, 2010

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Hettler

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARGARET VOSBURGH as Administratrix for the  
Estate of WALTER S. VOSBURGH and MARGARET  
VOSBURGH, Individually,


Index No. 101070/02  
120432/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in  
the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and  
there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims  
against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice  
and without costs.

DATED: 1/4/11, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

 **FILED**  
MAR 1 2011  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant YORK  
BMCE Inc. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - DATED: 3/1/2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120432/2001  
101070/2002

WALTER VOSBURGH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.09.11  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10520  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:** 

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101245/02


Kenneth F. Bailey

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02308

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102946/02


Patricia I. Singley, as Executrix for the Estate of James J.  
Carr


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 0153602114

  
SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 04 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103056/02


William J. Galinsky

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.06888

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011

**FEB 04 2011** NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103060/02  
190255/09


Henrietta P. Barry, as Executrix for the Estate of Howard J.  
Barry, and Henrietta P. Barry, Individually

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in  
interest to Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.00278

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

3 04 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES PELLEGRINO

NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103336/02 &  
120396/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2/11/2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86638

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103336/02  
120396/01


Charles Pellegrino

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003




Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.08277

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 06 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120390/01  
104308/02


Jerry Diamond and Joann Diamond


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
1/21/11  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.16309

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105175/02


Francis E. Cosgrove

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.10956

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JACK DONALDSON, SR. and MARY  
DONALDSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.& S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 105280/02 116634/99,  
103649/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011




Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Michael Favelli  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Jack Donaldson, Sr. and Mary Donaldson  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

2082-7017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

Index No. 106242/02  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

 1/28/2011  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Nonel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11787

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

Index No. 106242/02  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

 1/28/2011  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg Samuel Meisowitz  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 1 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELOISE M. ZOYHOFSKI, Individually and as Executrix  
for the Estate of DONALD J. ZOYHOFSKI

Index No. 106242/02  
115696/00

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2011

*Samuel Meirowitz 1/28/2011*  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meirowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*[Signature]*  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

*[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106273/02  
120388/01


Daniel J. Walsh, as Executor for the Estate of George M.  
Walsh

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02859

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Index No. 120388/01

106318/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Index No. 120388/01  
106318/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

~~JAN 20 2011~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Index No. 120388/01  
106318/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/7, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler  
Index No.: 106318/02

----- X  
This Document Relates to:  
STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

AC&S, INC., et. al,

Defendants.  
----- X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
1/24, 2011

By: Suzanne R. Kliff By: Wendy Tobias

WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway, 6th Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Wendy Tobias  
CULLEN AND DYKMAN LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING  
CO., INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
Tel No.: 718-851-9000  
File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120388/2001

106318/2002

STEPHEN BOBROWICH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*Suzanne Patchiff*  
SUZANNE PATCHIFF, ESQ. 02.14.11  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*  
FILED

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLR.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 NEW YORK  
Harrison, New York 10528 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
(212) 661-1151  
MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ARTHUR KINGSLAND and ANNIE M.  
KINGSLAND,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 106369/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur Kingsland and Annie M. Kingsland  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-1616

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106369/02

ARTHUR KINGSLAND and ANNIE M.  
KINGSLAND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**


MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur Kingsland and Annie M. Kingsland  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106369/02

ARTHUR KINGSLAND and ANNIE M.  
KINGSLAND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerlyann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur Kingsland and Annie M. Kingsland  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 106369/02

ARTHUR KINGSLAND and ANNIE M.  
KINGSLAND,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011




Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Arthur Kingsland and Annie M. Kingsland  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
125-1129

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108040/02

FLOYD CADY, SR. and SHIRLEY L. CADY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/10, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd Cady, Sr. and Shirley L. Cady  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

106 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108040/02

FLOYD CADY, SR. and SHIRLEY L. CADY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd Cady, Sr. and Shirley L. Cady  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-25583

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108040/02

FLOYD CADY, SR. and SHIRLEY L. CADY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd Cady, Sr. and Shirley L. Cady  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

14 2011

1122-3115

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 108040/02

FLOYD CADY, SR. and SHIRLEY L. CADY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Floyd Cady, Sr. and Shirley L. Cady  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-2635

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- x  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108146/02  
122139/01


William J. Dowd

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.03953

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

MAR 04 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120395/01  
109549/02


Frank Catalano

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as **successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02080

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 120395/2001  
109554/2002

JOSE TRINIDAD,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Matthew T. Muckley

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 02.15.11  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

Russell S. Jamison

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:** [Signature]

MAR 3 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011



Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

304 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 109854/02, 23724/99,  
122078/97

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,  
  
Plaintiffs,

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*  
  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-20747

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109854/02 123724/99,  
122078/97

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 109854/02, 123724/99,  
122078/97

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PHILIP MULE and CYNTHIA MULE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122078/97, 123724/99,  
109854/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Philip Mule and Cynthia Mule  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MANUEL ALMOZARA and CANDIDA  
ALMOZARA,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 122872/00, 114991/98,  
109635/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Manuel Almozara and Candida Almozara  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111243/02 102783/00,  
121743/97

THOMAS J. CRUGER and BARBARA  
CRUGER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011


**FILED**

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Thomas J. Cruger and Barbara Cruger  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111905/02

MARILYN GARCIA, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN  
SANCHEZ GARCIA, III,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Attorney for Plaintiffs *ERICA J. CEBALERO*  
Marilyn Garcia, Individually and as  
Administratrix of the Estate of John Sanchez  
Garcia III  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6251

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,  
2571-1125

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121985/99, 112375/02

PHYLLIS GACH, Individually and Executrix for  
the Estate of MICHAEL GACH,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Phyllis Gach and Michael Gach  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)-558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-119810  
02-113277

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
WILLIAM J. BERTON

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, sued herein as Freightliner Corp. (hereafter "DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/24/11, 2011

By: Suzanne Ratcheff  
Suzanne Ratcheff  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By: Santo Borruso  
Santo Borruso, Esq.  
Joseph Ortego, Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Daimler Trucks  
North America s/h/a Freightliner  
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, NY 11735-2728  
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

CHARLES GRANGLOFF and MARY  
GRANGLOFF, :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., :

Defendant(s). :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

**Index No.: 113277/02**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
January 28, 2011



By: Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: CHARLES GRANGLOFF and  
MARY GRANGLOFF



By: Justin M. Kasmin, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 002489/01  
114483/02


Vito Conti

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.06878

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Cheryl L. Coccaro, as Executrix for the  
Estates of Albert J. Neubert, Sr. and  
Mae V. Neubert,

Index No.: 117278/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
214, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Cheryl L. Coccaro, as Executrix  
for the Estates of Albert J.  
Neubert, Sr. and Mae V. Neubert  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-5424

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANETTE SIKKAS, Individually and as Executrix for the  
Estate of BERNARD SIKKAS

Index No. 118207/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 12/30, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell:  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10013  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

1122-1732

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 118207/02 110522/00,  
121939/97

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Ker yann M Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

454-7233

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

2383-3090X

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BERNARD SIKKAS and JEANETTE SIKKAS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 118207/02, 110522/00,  
121939/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Bernard Sikkas and Jeanette Sikkas  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

LB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119810/02

William J. Berton and Gloria Berton


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- x  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

1/29/11


  
Lisa Busch, Esq. *Charles Fungus*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003


**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK

  
Julie Evans, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., Individually,  
and as successor in interest to  
Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
File No. 07536.17181

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 04 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-119810  
~~02-113277~~

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**WILLIAM J. BERTON**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, sued herein as Freightliner Corp. (hereafter "DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

1/24/11, 2011

By: Suzanne Ratchiff  
SUZANNE RATCHIFF  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By: Santo Borruso  
Santo Borruso, Esq.  
Joseph Ortego, Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant, Daimler Trucks  
North America s/h/a Freightliner  
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, NY 11735-2728  
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED, [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: :  
CHARLES GRANGLOFF and MARY : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
GRANGLOFF, : **JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO**  
: **FORD MOTOR COMPANY**  
Plaintiff(s), :  
: **Index No.: 119815/02**  
-against- :  
: :  
A.C. & S., INC. (ARMSTRONG :  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al., :  
: :  
Defendant(s). :  
: :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
January 28, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
By: James C. Long, Jr., Esq. *Charles Fenz*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Counsel for: CHARLES GRANGLOFF and  
MARY GRANGLOFF

  
By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 123166/02

ROBERT DOYLE,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/4, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123166/02 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/13, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

-----  
This Document Relates to:  
-----

Index No.: 123166/02



**ROBERT E. DOYLE**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	 Helen Antoniou McElroy, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10003
---	---

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (123166/02) 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerrann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

2383-25787

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This document relates to:

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 123166/2002  
105292/2000

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C. DOYLE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY

2/3/11  
  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 123166/02, 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-21202

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 123166/02, 105292/00

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C.  
DOYLE,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert E. Doyle and Geraldine C. Doyle  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 124397-02

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

EMILIO PAOLINI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 2/10, 2011  
New York, New York

  
~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

FEB 14 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Ann Rosner, as Executrix for the  
Estate of Frank D'Alessandro,

Index No.: 127003/02

Plaintiff,  
- against -  
A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,  
Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/10, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

*Samuel M. Weitz*  
Samuel M. Weitz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Ann Rosner, as Executrix for  
the Estate of Frank  
D'Alessandro  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

*Justin M. Tafel*  
Justin M. Tafel  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-3616

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:  
*Sherry K. Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Rose Callahan and John Signorelli, as  
Co-Executors for the Estate of Joseph  
V. Signorelli,

Index No.: 127007/02

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
2/10, 2011

MAR - 1 2011

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Rose Callahan and John  
Signorelli, as Co-Executors for  
the Estate of Joseph V.  
Signorelli  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: (127007/02), 100118/94

ROSE CALLAHAN and JOHN SIGNORELLI, as  
Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH V.  
SIGNORELLI and MARY SIGNORELLI,  
Individually,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiffs,

-against-


A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011



Jamie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Michael F. Howell  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Joseph V. Signorelli and Mary Signorelli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106083/99  
100754/03  
114255/04

Gerald C. Carpenter


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

  
~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meisowitz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
Our File No. 053 23 401

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

MAR 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

NYCAL

ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, as Personal :  
Representative for the Estate of DAVID :  
BLENKENSOPP, and ANNA E. BLENKENSOPP, :  
Individually, :

Index Nos. 104633/07  
100773/03  
119388/00

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_



SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 112517/2000  
100750/2003

JAMES BALDWIN and HELEN A. BALDWIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, NY 02.15.11

*Math T. Luxenberg*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

*Russell S. Jamison*

Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

~~SO ORDERED~~

*Sherry Klein-Heitler*  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FEB 18 2011

FEB 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

----- X  
This Document Relates To:

Index No. 100750/03  
112517/00


James Baldwin

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**


----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC.**, Individually, and as successor in interest to **Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003



Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC.**, as successor in  
interest to **Anaconda Wire &  
Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10011  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.02604

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
CLYDE FRASIER (Dec.), :

Index Nos. 124331/00

100757/03

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

722-1026

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03/113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

1235-21161

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerry Ann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE!

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26276

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-9355

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 107991/03, 113130/98

SAM DONOFRIO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Sam Donofrio  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-21659

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190358/10  
108114/03

Arthur J. Mediate

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER**

----- X  
WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ERICSSON INC., Individually, and as successor in interest to Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/3/11  
R/lu  
Michael Roberts, Esq. *BENOARCHE*  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

[Signature]  
Julie R. Evans, Esq.  
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ERICSSON INC., Individually,**  
**and as successor in interest to**  
**Anaconda Wire & Cable Co.**  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10017  
212-490-3000  
Our File No. 07536.17933

SO ORDERED, [Signature]

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109278/03


**ROBERT DOWNEY**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq.  
Bellack & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New Yprk, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110506/03, 123724/99,  
~~122313/97~~

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No. 110506/03 123724/99,  
122313/97


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

1122-2739

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD DEVINE and ARLENE DEVINE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No. 110506/03, 123724/99,  
122313/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward Devine and Arlene Devine  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4 2011

1235-2259

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FEB 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 111829/03 123724/99,  
122879/97

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/10, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


Index No.: 111829/03 123724/99,  
122879/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
21, 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No. 111829/03, 123724/99,  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Heitler

FEB 14 2011

1122-2784

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

EDWARD W. JEMIOLO, Administrator for the  
Estate of EDWARD L. JEMIOLO,

Index No. 111829/03 123724/99,  
122879/97

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward L. Jemiolo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 04 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 111224/2001  
111913/2003

FRED A. HOFMANN JR,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 02.16.11

*Suzanne Ratcliffe*  
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5880

*Russell S. Jamison*  
RUSSELL S. JAMISON, Esq.,  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

*[Signature]*

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112936/03


GORDON CLEMENT

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115218/03

EDWARD T. PLANTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*January 26, 2011*

*Janie A. Bartolomeo*

Janie A. Bartolomeo, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Kentile Floors, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Edward T. Plantz*

Carol Blaw  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward T. Plantz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 115745/2003

GRACE CAVELLI, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANK CAVELLI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 2/14/11


  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
BELLUCK & FOX  
546 5th Ave  
New York, NY 10036-5000  
(212) 681-1575

  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED**  
  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 115745/2003

GRACE CAVELLI, as Administratrix for the Estate of  
FRANK CAVELLI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 2/14/11

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
BELLUCK & FOX  
546 5th Ave  
New York, NY 10036-5000  
(212) 681-1575


  
Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

  
Judge Sherry Klein-Heitler.

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115839/03

VELPO JOHNSON, JR. AND MINNIE JOHNSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/1, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



SUZANNE RATCLIFFE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Velpo Johnson, Jr. and Minnie Johnson  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
1122-28811

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115839/03

VELPO JOHNSON, JR. AND MINNIE JOHNSON,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


2/1, 2011

  
Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
SUZANNE PATCHETTE  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Velpo Johnson, Jr. and Minnie Johnson  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115839/03

VELPO JOHNSON, JR. AND MINNIE JOHNSON,  
  
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
SUZANNE RACHTIG  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Velpo Johnson Jr. and Minnie Johnson  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
324-7746

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116300/03


OSCAR MONTONEY

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/14, 2011

  
Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

  
Cristina Z. Sinclair, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RICHARD ADAMS and ELIZABETH ADAMS,

Index No. 03-117566

Plaintiff (s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

BY:  ~~Feb. 7~~ 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212)681-1575  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Joseph W. Belluck

BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry Heiter

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

**FEB 18 2011**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: (117873/03) 122185/99


**SAM MUDARO**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----  
WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq.  
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water  
Products Company  
88 Pine Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANE O'MALLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of  
THOMAS O'MALLEY, and JANE O'MALLEY

Index No. 118351/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Jan 17, ~~2010~~ <sup>2011</sup>

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Emmell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

**FILED**  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANE O'MALLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of  
THOMAS O'MALLEY, and JANE O'MALLEY

Index No. 118351/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Jan 17, <sup>2011</sup>~~2010~~

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JAN 31 2011

FILED  
MAR - 1 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JANE O'MALLEY, as Executrix for the Estate of  
THOMAS O'MALLEY, and JANE O'MALLEY

Index No. 118351/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: Jan 17, <sup>2011</sup> 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 31 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 121810/1997  
105233/1998  
111068/1998  
100755/2004

LLOYD HARDEN (Deceased),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 2/3/11

Dated Harrison, NY

  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

  
Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 500  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

  
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

MAR 4 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100755/04, 121810/97

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/2, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121810/97, 100755/04

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/1, 2011

FILED

MAR - 1 2011




Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100755/04, 121810/97

LLOYD HARDEN and LORETTA HARDEN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/10, 2011

**FILED**

MAR - 1 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Company  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Lloyd Harden and Loretta Harden  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 14 2011

454-9921