

X:/FBW42201/42762/legal/DECEMBER 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

HARVEY FLEITMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 100588/01, 100756/03 &  
119394/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
Sanja Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moe  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
**FILED**

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100621/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSE F. FERNANDEZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100621/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
DAVID J. GIANCARLO

Index No.: 100725/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/3, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
David J. Giancarlo  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo,  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2415

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
DAVID J. GIANCARLO,	: Index No. 100725/01
	: 119393/00
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/24/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: [Signature]  
Michael Fanelli, Esq

By: [Signature]  
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. **SKH - DATE ~~MAR 18 2011~~**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 101145/01

EARL R. MONETTE

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3<sup>rd</sup>, 2011



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**



J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES ASHCRAFT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 101205/01


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
TERRY M. KESSLER

Index No.: 101892/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
<sup>3/3</sup>  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Terry M. Kessler  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
APR - 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

TERRY M. KESSLER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101892/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	ROSA M. MATTE, as Executrix for the Estate of	: Index No. 102125/01
	JOSEPH MATTE,	: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
		: :
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
		: IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: :
-----X		


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

X:/cases/FBW42212/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
GIOVANNI LATTANZIO,

Index No.: 100787/03,  
102269/01, 107623/06 &  
119393/00

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/8/11  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER,		: Index Nos. 119383/00
		: <u>102314/01</u>
	Plaintiff(s),	: 100787/03
		:
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S., INC., et al.		:
		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

X.:cases/FBW42212/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No.: 119383/00,  
102314/01 & 100787/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

3/8/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
RAYMOND T. KENSY

Index No.: 102456/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Raymond T. Kensity  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED  
MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEATRICE ANN MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN AND  
BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 115696/00, 119380/00,  
102693/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John A. Meehan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1016F

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEATRICE ANN MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN AND  
BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 115696/00, 119380/00,  
102693/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John A. Meehan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-22452Q

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEATRICE ANN MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN AND  
BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 115696/00, 119380/00,


102693/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/9, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Meehan, John Anthony  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5723F

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEATRICE ANN MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN AND  
BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 115696/00, 119380/00,  
102693/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John A. Meehan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-14425

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

BEATRICE ANN MEEHAN, AS EXECUTRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN A. MEEHAN AND  
BEATRICE ANNE MEEHAN, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 115696/00, 119380/00,  
102693/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/30, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of John A. Meehan  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15015

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
ANTHONY MODAFFERI and MAUREEN :  
MODAFFERI, :

Index No. 102720/01

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
EUGENE DEGANNES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 103003/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
	THEODORE F. WALKER (Dec.),	: Index No. 103003/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	: :
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-----X		: IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
  
By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.  
  
By: Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

536.16952/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 103007/01  
EUGENE DEGANNES, :  
: (December 2010 Monthly FIFO  
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsani  
STEPHEN F. BALSANI, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 25 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

536.16952/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 103007/01  
EUGENE DEGANNES, :  
: (December 2010 Monthly FIFO  
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsano  
STEPHEN F. BALSANO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 25 2011

FILED

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE DEGANNES

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103007/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE DEGANNES and JACQUELINE DEGANNES

Index No. 103007/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
RUFUS HALL (Dec.), :

Index No. 103563/01

Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM C. MARTIN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103611/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

314, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM C. MARTIN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103611/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 28<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
WILLIAM V. HAKES III,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 103611/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
WILLIAM C. MARTIN,	: Index No. 103611/01
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
Plaintiff,	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: IAS Part 30
	: Defendants.
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/16/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]  
Michael Fanelli

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL
-----X		
WILLIAM C. MARTIN (Dec.),		: Index No. 103611/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
WILLIAM V. HAKES III (Dec.), :

Index No. 103870/01

Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
RICHARD PARKER (Dec.), :

Index No. 103986/01

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE LIGAMMARE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104033/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DENIS F. NICASTRO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104561/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

536.06694/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 104561/01  
DENIS F. NICASTRO, Deceased, :  
: (December 2010 Monthly FIFO  
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey  
Matthew J. MacIntyre  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsani  
STEPHEN F. BALSANI, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,  
Sherry Klein Heitler  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 25 2011

536.06694/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 104561/01  
DENIS F. NICASTRO, Deceased, :  
: (December 2010 Monthly FIFO  
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. MacLarty  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DENIS F. NICASTRO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104561/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
DENIS F. NICASTRO (Dec.), :

Index No. 104561/01

Plaintiff(s), :

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. :

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DENIS F. NICASTRO and CAROLE S. NICASTRO

Index No. 104561/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/17, 2011

Matthew T. Weitz  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLorenzo  
Anna M. DiLorenzo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RUFUS and ELOISE HALL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 104563/01


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sandra Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
JOHN J. ROSATI, as Executor for the Estate of		: Index No. 104696/01
JAMES V. ROSATI,		: :
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
		: :
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/31/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10011  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES ROSATI**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104696/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

314, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry X. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES V. ROSATI**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104696/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 01/104919

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

RUSSELL ANGELONE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3-19, 2011  
New York, New York



Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Ave. 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022



Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT B. and BARBARA GAGLIARDO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 104982/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sahia Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT B. GAGLIARDO and BARBARA  
GAGLIARDO

Index No. 104982/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


DATED: 2/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FILED**  
MAR 18 2011  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

NYCAL

MARY BREIDENSTEIN, as Administrator for the :  
Estate of FRANCIS J. LANGAN and ELIZABETH :  
M. LANGAN, Individually, :

Index No. 104997/01

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

**FILED**


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

**MAR 18 2011**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANCIS J. & ELIZABETH LANGAN,

Index No.: 104997/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/8/11  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

JOHN PAUL and DOROTHY KEITH,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 105230/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

3/8/11



Sanja Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
JOHN PAUL KEITH,	: Index No. 105230/01
	: Plaintiff,
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	: .
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
3/8/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:   
Frank Ortiz

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN P. KEITH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105230/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

314, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN P. KEITH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105230/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500




Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
CHARLES E. MEYERS (Dec.),	:	Index No. 105252/01
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:	
A.C. & S., INC., et al.	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	:	IAS Part 30
-----X	:	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. **MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
JOSE A. VIEIRA (Dec.), :

Index No. 105347/01

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A.C. & S., INC., et al. :

Defendants. :  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ETHEL KOROSECZ,

Index No.: 105477/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

3/8/11

  
Sarina Malikzaybardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ETHEL N. KOROSECZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105477/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ETHEL N. KOROSECZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105477/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105605/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANTONIO MIGLIOZZI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105605/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



---

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE RANDAZZO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106463/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**SALVATORE RANDAZZO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106463/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10012  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

CAROL ANN KELLY, as Executrix for the Estate of : NYCAL  
LAWRENCE J. KELLY, and CAROL ANN KELLY, : I.A.S. Part 30  
Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Plaintiff(s), : Index No(s): 106643-01

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**


Defendants.  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/8/11  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirster Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE J. KELLY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106643/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



---


Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

LAWRENCE J. KELLY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 106643/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LAWRENCE J. and CAROL A. KELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 106643/01

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
MAURI SAVOLAINEN and SILJA SAVOLAINEN, :

Index No. 106962/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

:  
: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

:  
: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30  
:-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative :  
for the Estate of DONALD E. SIERING, :

Index Nos. 109379/00

107081/01

100779/03

106797/06

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs:

Dated: New York, New York


3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
CASIMIR SIBIGA (Dec.) and WANDA SIBIGA,		: Index No. 107083/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff(s)'s complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.


264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD R. SKELLY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107095/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD R. SKELLY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107095/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

317, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X		
DONALD R. WINTERS (Dec.),		: Index No. 107187/01
	Plaintiff(s),	: :
	-against-	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
		: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>		: :
	Defendants.	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
-----X		: IAS Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CLIFFORD A. WALKER and DOROTHY  
WALKER,

Index Nos. 119376/00  
107201/01  
100771/03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear  
Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and  
Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEROME BROPHY, Individually and as Executor for the  
Estate of MARY BROPHY

Index No. 107296/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

~~FEB 28 2011~~

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
WILLIAM J. TAHIRAK, SR. (Dec.),	:	Index No. 107317/01
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.C. & S., INC., et al.	:	
	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	:	IAS Part 30
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

NYCAL

ALTON H. THOMPCKINS (Dec.),

Index No. 107325/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
MARILYN E. WILLIAMS, HELENA L. :  
MARROLLETTI, JOHN P. HUNT and MICHAEL :  
WILLIAMS, as Co-Executors for the Estate of :  
JOSEPH J. TURNER, :

Index Nos. 119377700  
107335/01

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. TURNER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107335/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. TURNER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107335/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 15 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	
-----X	:	
OSCAR SPARLING (Dec.),	:	Index Nos. 119378/00
	:	107403/01
Plaintiff(s),	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	:	<b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	:	IAS Part 30
-----X	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
KATHY METZGER, as Executrix for the Estate of :  
DENNIS WHALEN, :

Index No. 107450/01

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CATHERINE STASI, as Executrix for the Estate of  
PETER STASI, and CATHERINE STASI, Individually

Index No. 107731/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD E. WYANT, SR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107774/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD E. WYANT, SR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107774/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

DIANA A. JOHNSON, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE  
OF SIMON B. MANNING, AND DIANA A. JOHNSON,  
INDIVIDUALLY,

Index No.: 108009-2001

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S INC. et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY JEAN MASSARO, as Administratrix for the Estate  
of JOSEPH ANTHONY MASSARO, JR. and MARY  
JEAN MASSARO Individually

Index No. 108713/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH A. MASSARO, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108713/01


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4 ; 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**MAR 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARY JEAN MASSARO, as Administratrix for the  
Estate of JOSEPH ANTHONY MASSARO JR., and  
MARY JEAN MASSARO, Individually

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 100050/99 &  
108713/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85356

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	:
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
	GLENN E. POWERS (Dec.),	:
		:
	Plaintiff(s),	:
		:
	-against-	:
		:
	A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u>	:
		:
	Defendants.	:
-----X		

NYCAL

Index No. 109019/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.


By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
ROCCO ROBERT ROMANO, as Administrator for :  
the Estate of ANTHONY J. ROMANO, and :  
ROCCO ROMANO, as Administrator for the Estate :  
of KATHERINE ROMANO, :

Index No. 110165/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO ROBERT ROMANO as Administrator for the  
Estate of ANTHONY J. ROMANO and ROCCO ROBERT  
ROMANO as Administrator for the KATHERINE  
ROMANO

Index No. 110165/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/3, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO ROBERT ROMANO as Administrator for the  
Estate of ANTHONY J. ROMANO and ROCCO ROBERT  
ROMANO as Administrator for the KATHERINE  
ROMANO

Index No. 110165/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/3, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO ROBERT ROMANO as Administrator for the  
Estate of ANTHONY J. ROMANO and ROCCO ROBERT  
ROMANO as Administrator for the KATHERINE  
ROMANO

Index No. 110165/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/3, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 1 8 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.07550/AJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	Index No.: 110438/01
HAROLD McGINN, Deceased,	:	
	:	(December 2010 Monthly FIFO
Plaintiff,	:	Trial Group)
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
<hr/>		

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

*Stephen F. Balsamo*  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 25 2011

536.07550/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: Index No.: 110438/01  
HAROLD MCGINN, Deceased, :  
: (December 2010 Monthly FIFO  
Plaintiff, : Trial Group)  
: vs. :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
et al., : AND ORDER  
: Defendants. :  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. MacIntyre  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 25 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD MCGINN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110438/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

HAROLD MCGINN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 110438/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
HAROLD MCGINN

Index No.: 110438/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Harold McGinn  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2497

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

FILED  
APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN J. SIZENSKY and ELEANOR M. SIZENSKY

Index No. 110740/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/16, 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-874

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16, 2011

APR - 4 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 6754-9687

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOLORES MARTENS, and as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD F. MARTENS, and  
DOLORES MARTENS, Individually


Index No. 121426/01  
111228/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOLORES MARTENS, and as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD F. MARTENS, and  
DOLORES MARTENS, Individually


Index No. 121426/01  
111228/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11786

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS,	: Index No. 111229/01
	: 119609/01
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
Plaintiffs,	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: IAS Part 30
	: Defendants.
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/16/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Michael Fanelli  
Michael Fanelli

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee  
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Sherry Klein Heitler  
MAR 18 2011

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01

111229/01  
190027/09


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS


Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES THOMAS

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111229/01 &  
119609/01 & 190027/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86667

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT COSTA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111231/01 &  
120462/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85953

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
-----X	
JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK,	: Index No. 111233/01
	: 118721/01
	: :
Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
-against-	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	: :
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	: :
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2-14-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

By:   
Jason Kaufman, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

**MAR 18 2011**

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 111233/01  
118721/01

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.


Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/31/11  




Steven Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Joseph W. Shreck and Catherine Shreck  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-13195

3.25.11

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~FEB 14 2011~~

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BERTRAND E. LINDSEY

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No. 112131/01 &  
120575/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skeny, Esq.


**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86027

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

X:/KMA43127/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ANTHONY COSTANTINO, as Executor of the Estate  
of FRANCES COSTANTINO,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

Index No.: 112177/01

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants *JOHN CRANE INC.* hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants *JOHN CRANE INC.* with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants *JOHN CRANE INC.*, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
Eric V. Cesano, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022

3/9/11

  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for JOHN CRANE, INC.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

  
SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Hertler  
MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 01/112177

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

FRANCES COSTANTINO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.


---


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/9, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Ave. 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:AA-43108/legal/nosim

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

ANTHONY O'DONNELL and MARY O'DONNELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 112178/01

NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant **ECR International, Inc, f/k/a Utica Boilers, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **ECR International, Inc, f/k/a Utica Boilers, Inc.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **ECR International, Inc, f/k/a Utica Boilers, Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Erica Cesaro, Esq. 3/9/11  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022



Shawnette A. Flutt, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
ECR International f/k/a Utica Boilers, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein-Hertler

MAR 22 2011

X:ATT43105/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

ANTHONY O'DONNELL and MARY O'DONNELL,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 112178/01

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant ATLAS TURNER INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ATLAS TURNER INC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ATLAS TURNER INC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
3/9/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Atlas Turner  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 1006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 113260/01

Eileen A. Romero, Executrix for the Estate of  
Joseph M. McCarthy,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.C.& S., Inc., et al.


Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., individually and improperly sued herein as "Peerless Heater Co., Inc.," with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/1/11  
  
Peter M. Venetis, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Eileen A. Romero, Executrix for the Estate of  
Joseph M. McCarthy,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-26950

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
EILEEN A. ROMERO, as Representative for the	: Index No. 113260/01
Estate of JOSEPH MCCARTHY,	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
Plaintiff(s),	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.C. & S., INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2-14-11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.


By:   
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

By:   
Jason Kaufman, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. - DATED: 

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS A. DIGILIO


Index No. 114686/01  
190166/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 10 2011 - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS A. DIGILIO


Index No. 114686/01  
190166/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003





Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
CARL INZANTI,

Index No.: 114872/01

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

-against-


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
March 10, 2011



Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy, Phillips &  
Konigsberg, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Carl Inzanti  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 114872/01

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 01/114872

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CARL INZANTI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3-19, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Ave. 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

~~MAR 18 2011~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HELEN TORRETTA, as Executrix for the Estate of  
DANIEL TORRETTA and HELEN TORRETTA,  
Individually

Index No. 115630/01  
111218/08


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 115739/01

JOE JENKINS and NAZARINE JENKINS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Steven Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Joe Jenkins and Nazarine Jenkins  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-24421

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOE JENKINS AND NAZARINE JENKINS

Index No. 115739/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**

FEB 28 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOE JENKINS AND NAZARINE JENKINS

Index No. 115739/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/17, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

FEB 28 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOE JENKINS AND NAZARINE JENKINS

Index No. 115739/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/17, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie L. Mer

Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

FEB 28 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank U. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

2383-26059AS

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5081AR  
324-8943  
324-6389

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-14894

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-2807  
454-11166

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/3, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15505

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA and BERNADETTA ORILIA,  
Individually


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
**Index No:** 122202/99 &  
**115787/01** & 112680/00 &  
104634/07

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85792

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kyein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. MCCUE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 126822/95 &  
111076/98 & 116146/01 &  
117401/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85359

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011 - 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
EDNA MCCUE, Individually and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of JOHN H. MCCUE,

Index No.: 116146/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11


*St. T. W.*

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

*Matthew T. MacIntyre*

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Edna McCue, Ind. and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of John J. McCue  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. MCCUE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116146/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/4, 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation

599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:  
EDNA MCCUE, Individually and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of JOHN H. MCCUE,

Index No.: 116146/01

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/7/11



Steven T. Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Edna McCue, Ind. and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of John J. McCue  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. MCCUE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116146/01

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 15 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
THOMAS CORRIGAN,

Index No.: 116615/01

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
*march 10,* 2011



Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy, Phillips &  
Konigsberg, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Thomas Corrigan  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No. 110842490

SO ORDERED.

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK,	: Index No. 111233/01
	: 118721/01
	:
Plaintiff(s),	: NO OPPOSITION
	: SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	: <u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
	:
A.C. & S., INC., et al.,	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	


WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2-14-2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

By:   
Jason Kaufman, Esq. **FILED**

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500


264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 18 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

JOSEPH W. SHRECK and CATHERINE SHRECK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 111233/01


118721/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., (incorrectly sued as Peerless Heater Co., Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


4/21/11  


Steven Corbin, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300



Daniel Blouin, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Joseph W. Shreck and Catherine Shreck  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1863-13195

3.25.11

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~FEB 14 2011~~

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS

INDEX NO.

119609/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND


ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/8/11

  
~~Richard W. Babinecz, Esq. Michael Fanelli,~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3592

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
MAR 18 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES THOMAS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111229/01 &  
119609/01 & 190027/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86667

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Frwell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,


  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED** NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS,	Index No. 111229/01
	<u>119609/01</u>
Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
-against-	<u>MOTION AND ORDER</u>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
Defendants.	IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/16/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Michael Fanelli  
Michael Fanelli

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Lawrence G. Lee  
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

I. D. CAPLES

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106314/02 &  
120388/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85919

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120388/01 &  
106310/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: <sup>3/15</sup> 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85956

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT CANNIZZARO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 123909/01 &  
120390/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/2 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No. 473.86598

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 10 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AETNA

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 104106/02, 120392/01

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 22, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

LAW OFFICES  
OF  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
1863-26954  
700 BROADWAY  
NEW YORK, NY 10003

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,


Index No. 120392/01  
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,

Index No. 120392/01  
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farnell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
Julie L. Mer COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,

Index No. 120392/01  
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/03, 2011

**FILED**


APR - 4 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farwell,  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Weitler

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BRENNAN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 105777/02 &  
120394/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85908

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kleinf Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120394/01 &  
105789/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86634

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN


Index No. 109543/02  
120395/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meisowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway,  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN


Index No. 109543/02  
120395/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meiorowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN

Index No. 109543/02


120395/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

Samuel Marowitz

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

~~FEB 29 2011~~

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES SMITH and JOSEPHINE SMITH

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01 &  
109561/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/14, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Karen M. Lager, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86065

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No. 120395/01 &  
109549/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85920

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALEX SPARAGO

Index No. 103330/02  
120396/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Meyer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 1 8 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

MICHELE VINESKI, Individually and as  
Representative for the Estate of RICHARD  
VINESKI,

Index No. 100293/02  
120430/01

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber  
Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Michael Fanelli, Esq.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Geiriz Shoai Maini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_



SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RICHARD VINESKI,

Index No.: 120430/01  
& 100293/02

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
~~Michael Finelli, Esq.~~ Michael Finelli  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

3/9/11

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16 2010

APR - 4 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16, 2010

APR - 4 2011

Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Kristen Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.,  
INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Frank C. Perry & Emilie B. Perry

Index No. 120432/01  
101088/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 1, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Frank C. Perry & Emilie B. Perry

Index No. 120432/01  
101088/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 1, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT COSTA

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111231/01 &  
120462/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85953

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BERTRAND E. LINDSEY

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112131/01 &  
120575/01


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

  
James M. Skeny, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**

Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86027

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

Index No.: 01/121231

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

ROSAND AUGUSTE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

---

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/19, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Erica Cesaro, Esq.  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP  
800 Third Ave. 13th Floor  
New York, NY 10022

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228701

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16, 2011

APR - 4 2011


  
Matthew Park, Esq.

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

our File No.: 6754-9687

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD F. MARTENS,

Index No.: 121426/01  
111228/01

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16, 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EDWARD F. MARTENS  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-874

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOLORES MARTENS, and as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD F. MARTENS, and  
DOLORES MARTENS, Individually

Index No. 121426/01  
111228/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOLORES MARTENS, and as Personal Representative  
for the Estate of EDWARD F. MARTENS, and  
DOLORES MARTENS, Individually


Index No. 121426/01  
111228/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/15, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11786

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 121697/01

GEORGE RENZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **TREADWELL CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, **TREADWELL CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **TREADWELL CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011



Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
**Treadwell Corporation**  
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
LEVY, PHILIPS & KONIGSBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**George Renz**  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-3109

N0033672-1

APR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kleih Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733702  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102753/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN D. HALL and JOAN C. HALL

Index No. 122295/01  
100784/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 26, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Dan M. Northcott Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL DUNPHY

INDEX NO.

123830/01

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

*2/28/11*

*[Handwritten signature]*

~~Richard W. Babinecz, Esq.~~ *Michael Farrell*  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

*[Handwritten signature]*

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

*[Handwritten signature]*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VINCENT CANNIZZARO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 123909/01 &  
120390/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/2 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No. 473.86598

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 10 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD VINESKI

INDEX NO.

100293/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/8/11



~~Frank...~~ Esq. Michael Farrell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 18 2011**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
MICHELE VINESKI, Individually and as	: Index No. 100293/02
Representative for the Estate of RICHARD	: 120430/01
VINESKI,	:
	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
	:
	:
	: <b>Plaintiffs,</b>
	:
	: <b>-against-</b>
	:
	: A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.
	:
	: <b>Defendants.</b>
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: [Signature]  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Golriz Shoai Naini, Esq.

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RICHARD VINESKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.;

Defendant(s).  
-----X

Index No. 120430/01  
& 100293/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
~~Michael Frwell, Esq.~~ Michael Frwell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

3/9/11

  
Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16 2010

APR - 4 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BURNHAM LLC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH,

Index No.: 120432/01  
101070/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/16, 2010


APR - 4 2011

  
Matthew Park, Esq.

  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
WALTER S. VOSBURGH  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

Kristen L. Loughren, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO.,  
INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry N. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Frank C. Perry & Emilie B. Perry


Index No. 120432/01  
101088/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 1, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Frank C. Perry & Emilie B. Perry


Index No. 120432/01  
101088/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: March 1, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ALEX T. MILLER

Index No.: 101286/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

3/23  
2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Alex T. Miller  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER,

: Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

: **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
: **MOTION AND ORDER**

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30  
: X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2/24/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre  
Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

By: Golriz Shoat-Naini  
Golriz Shoat-Naini, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: SKH  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011

  
Matthew T. MacLety


Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer

Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE D. STEINHAUER and KAREN L.  
STEINHAUER

Index No. 102733/02  
122138/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/1, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALEX SPARAGO

Index No. 103330/02  
120396/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AEINA

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

Index No. 104106/02, 120392/01

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 22, 2011

  
Megan E. Kriegstein, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Peerless Industries, Inc.,  
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
77 Water Street, Suite 2100  
New York, New York 10005  
(212) 232-1300

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

LAW OFFICES  
OF  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
&  
LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 BROADWAY  
NEW YORK, NY 10003

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,

Index No. 120392/01  
104106/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/23, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,


Index No. 120392/01  
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/03, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL C. BLAKE and ELISE C. BLAKE,

Index No. 120392/01  
104106/02

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/03, 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell -  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 105172/02

GERALD HAHN (Deceased)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9, 2011



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**



J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
GERALD D. HAHN

Index No.: 105172/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/13, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Gerald D. Hahn  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 11084-2237  
APR 4 2011

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

PEGGY COMMERFORD, as Administratrix for : Index No. 105280/02  
the Estates of JACKIE E. DONALDSON SR. and : 116634/99  
MARY K. DONALDSON, : 111058/98  
: 113279/97  
: 103649/97

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

: NO OPPOSITION  
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
: MOTION AND ORDER

: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/24/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: [Signature]  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq. **FILED**

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

APR - 4 2011

**MAR 18 2011**  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SKH-DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES BRENNAN

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105777/02 &  
120394/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85908

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Kleinf Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN B. MCDONALD

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: ~~120394/01~~ &  
105789/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.,  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86634

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD

Index No. 105877/02  
18179/87

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: February 17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD


Index No. 105877/02  
18179/87


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: February 17, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff *Daniel Blouin*  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARION BARTHOLD, Individually and as Administratrix  
for the Estate of WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD

Index No. 105877/02  
18179/87

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: February 17, 2011


  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Daniel Blouin*



Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FEB 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM C. BARTHOLD

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105877/02 &  
18179/87

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86083

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ANGELO T. D'AGOSTINO

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120388/01 &  
106310/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85956

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klenz Heitler

MAR 24 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

I. D. CAPLES

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 106314/02 &  
120388/01

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.


**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**


Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI

530 Saw Mill River Road

Elmsford, New York 10523

(914) 345-7301

File No.: 473.85919

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN

Index No. 109543/02  
120395/01

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003  
*Samuel Marowitz*

  
Anna M. DiLorenzo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

~~FEB 28 2011~~

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**FILED**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN


Index No. 109543/02  
120395/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meironitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anha M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS McCANN and KATHERINE McCANN


Index No. 109543/02  
120395/01


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Samuel Meisowitz  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway,  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK CATALANO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 120395/01 &  
109549/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500


  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85920

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES SMITH and JOSEPHINE SMITH

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 120395/01 &


109561/02

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/14, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Karen M. Lager, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86065

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
JOHN GARCIA,

Index No.:111905/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -

GOULDS PUMPS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/22, 2011

APR - 4 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Esq.

Levy, Philips & Konigsberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
John Garcia

800 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6333

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Kristen L. Lough  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
GOULDS PUMPS, INC.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.:6754-7275

So Ordered:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 22 2011

MAR 23 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL GACH

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 112375/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VITO CONTI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114483/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**

Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
VITO CONTI

Index No.: 114483/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR - 4 2011

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Vito Conti  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2414

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VITO CONTI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114483/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

314, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**

Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ALBERT J. NEUBERT SR.

Index No.: 117278/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

  
3/30, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Albert J. Neubert Sr.  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 117398/02

EUGENE O'BRIEN and JANE O'BRIEN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

31<sup>st</sup> 2011  


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

*SO ORDERED*



MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOHN J. MCCUE

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 126822/95 &  
~~111076/98~~ & 116146/01 &  
117401/02


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85359

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011 - 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
EDWARD KANIA

Index No.: 121911/02

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York


 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Edward Kania  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No. 11084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
 :  
DORENE KANIA, as Executrix for the Estate of : NYCAL  
EDWARD KANIA, and DORENE KANIA, : I.A.S. Part 30  
Individually, : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
 :  
Plaintiff(s), : Index No: 121911-02  
 :  
-against- :  
 : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
A.C. & S., INC., et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**  
 :  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/16/11  
New York, New York

  
Matthew Park, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022-6030  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT E. DOYLE

INDEX NO.

123166/02

ASSIGNED TO:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9/11

  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

  
Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New  
York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. DOYLE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123166/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SC ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT E. DOYLE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 123166/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yevitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry M. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT E. DOYLE and GERALDINE C. DOYLE

Index No. 123166/02


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/28, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

SKN - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 123323/02

LORETTA O'BRIEN as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOHN O'BRIEN, and LORETTA O'BRIEN Individually

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
5<sup>th</sup>, 2011



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:



J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,


Index No. 126681/02  
190028/11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,


Index No. 126681/02  
190028/11

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,


Index No. 126681/02  
190028/11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L. :  
POLOTAYE, ET AL., :

Plaintiff(s), :

- against - :

A.O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET. :  
AL., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

**Index No.: 126681/02**

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011


NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 12, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 17, 2011

By:   
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway,  
New York, New York 10003  
212-681-1575  
Counsel for: Robert Polotaye and Sandra L.  
Polotaye

By:   
Justin M. Kasir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
VIVIAN LAWRENCE, as Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE  
LAWRENCE, Deceased,

x  
Index No. 126776-2002

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x  
WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 24 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 127003/02

FRANK D'ALESSANDRO

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3<sup>rd</sup>, 2011




WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, L.L.M.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRANK D'ALESSANDRO

Index No.: 127003/02

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York

  
3/2, 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frank D'Alessandro  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 1084-1

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK D'ALESSANDRO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 127003/02


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

**MAR 14 2011**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK D'ALESSANDRO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 127003/02

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



---

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



---

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES F. MURPHY


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113121/98 &  
111047/98 & 122297/00  
& 100751/03

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:  2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85361

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
HARVEY FLEITMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 100588/01, (100756/03 &  
119394/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

  
Sanja Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CLYDE FRASIER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No. (100757/03 & 124331/00


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA GALANTE, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH D. GALANTE,  
AND VIRGINIA GALANTE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100769/03, 112438/00,  
108353/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/4, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank ORTIZ, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph D. Galante  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-11236

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA GALANTE, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH D. GALANTE,  
AND VIRGINIA GALANTE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100769/03, 112438/00,  
108353/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/17, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph D. Galante  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2902AT

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA GALANTE, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH D. GALANTE,  
AND VIRGINIA GALANTE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100769/03 112438/00,  
108353/00


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/7, 2011

  
Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph D. Galante  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5098AT

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA GALANTE, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH D. GALANTE,  
AND VIRGINIA GALANTE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100769/03, 112438/00,  
108353/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011



Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph D. Galante  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-7517AT

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA GALANTE, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH D. GALANTE,  
AND VIRGINIA GALANTE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100769/03, 12438/00,  
108353/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph D. Galante  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0630AS

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA GALANTE, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH D. GALANTE,  
AND VIRGINIA GALANTE, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 100769/03, 112438/00,  
108353/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011

  
Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Joseph D. Galante  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2011

1122-11760

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

BARBARA GALANTE, as Executrix for the Estate of  
JOSEPH D. GALANTE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 112438/00 &  
108353/00 & 100769/03

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15 2011


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85746

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

X:/<<<fbw40515/legal/November2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JOSEPH D. GALANTE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 108353/00, 112438/00,  
100769/00 & 100769/03

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550

3/15/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CLIFFORD A. WALKER and DOROTHY  
WALKER,

Index Nos. 119376/00  
107201/01  
100771/03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. & S., INC., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear  
Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR  
3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and  
Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against  
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are  
hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

X:/FBW42226/legal/DECEMBER 2010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DAVID and EDITH BLENKENSOPP,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 100773/03 104633/07 &  
119388/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
Sanja Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative :  
for the Estate of DONALD E. SIERING, :

Index Nos. 109379/00

107081/01

100779/03

106797/06

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN D. HALL and JOAN C. HALL

Index No. 122295/01

100784/03


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: January 26, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff *Dan M. Northcott Esq.*  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
FEB 18 2011

X.:cases/FBW42212/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).  
-----X


Index No.: 119383/00,  
102314/01 & 100787/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

3/8/11



Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

CARL KROGER and CAROL ANN KROGER, : Index Nos. 119383/00  
 : 102314/01  
 : 100787/03  
 :  
 Plaintiff(s), :  
 :  
 -against- :  
 :  
 A.C. & S., INC., et al. :  
 :  
 Defendants. : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
 : IAS Part 30  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
GIOVANNI LATTANZIO,

Index No: 100787/03,  
102269/01, 107623/06 &  
119393/00

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


Defendant(s).

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/8/11  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Sanja Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND WEBER

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 100788/03  
&113350/00 & 112521/98 &  
111046/98

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85372

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

536.16859/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: :  
ARTHUR MEDIATE, : Index No.: 190358/10  
: 108114/03  
Plaintiff, :  
: (April 2011 In Extremis Trial  
vs. : Group)  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :  
et al., : NO OPPOSITION  
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
Defendants. : AND ORDER  
:

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/17/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
BENJAMIN DANCHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190358  
03-108114

-----X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARTHUR MEDIATE


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
3/19, 2011

By: 

Ben Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By: 

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

X:KMA46235/Agel/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RICHARD J. FLORKIEWICZ, as Fiduciary of the  
Estate of THEODORE J. FLORKIEWICZ, and HELEN  
FLORKIEWICZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND**


**ORDER**


Index No.: 108146/03

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendants **JOHN CRANE** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **JOHN CRANE** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **JOHN CRANE**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bonnie Steinwoffe, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for JOHN CRANE  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
MAR 18 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/kma46975/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ROBERT DOWNEY and RITA DOWNEY,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

-against-


Index No.: 109278/03

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant JOHN CRANE, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant JOHN CRANE, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant JOHN CRANE, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10017

3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicole Pitti, Esq.  
Attorneys for: John Crane, Inc.  
Barry, McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street - 14th floor  
New York, NY 10006

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 18 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY	:
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	:
This document relates to:	:
	:
<b>ROBERT DOWNEY</b>	:
	:
	Index No.: 109278/03
	:
	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3/16/11

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
 Belluck & Fox  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
 New York, NY 10003  
 (212) 681-1575

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Robert K. Gunn, Esq.  
 Smith Abbot, L.L.P.  
 Attorneys for Defendant  
 Pneumo Abex LLC  
 90 Broad Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 New York, New York 10004  
 (212) 981-4501 ext. 15

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

x

ROBERT DOWNEY and RITA DOWNEY,

Index No. 109278-2003

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~MAR 25 2011~~

MAR 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x  
ROBERT DOWNEY and RITA DOWNEY,

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 109278/03

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al  
Defendant.


----- x


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC. (Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT)", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: January 31, 2011


Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 11 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115218/03

EDWARD T. PLANTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION WATER  
PRODUCTS, CO., *et al.*


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 18, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



~~Charles Ferguson, Esq.~~ *Paul Blouin*  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Edward T. Plantz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

GRACE CAVELLI, as Administratrix of the Estate of  
FRANK CAVELLI, and GRACE CAVELLI, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al  
Defendant.  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115743/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC. (Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT)", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: January 31, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

KMA47405/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANK CAVELLI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),  
-----X


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115745/03


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants JOHN CRANE, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants JOHN CRANE, INC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants JOHN CRANE, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
John Crane, Inc.  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
OSCAR MONTONEY

Index No.: 116300/03

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/10, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Oscar Montoney  
546 Fifth Avenue  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 11084-APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

ELLA RUTH MONTONEY and DONNA JEAN WEESE,  
as Co-Executrix of the Estate of OSCAR DOANE  
MONTONEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al  
Defendant.

----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 116300/03

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC. (Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT)", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: January 31, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

ELIZABETH ADAMS, Individually and as Executrix of  
the Estate of RICHARD ADAMS, deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al

Defendant.  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 117566/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC. (Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT)", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: January 31, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
:  
:  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

This document relates to:

**RICHARD ADAMS**


Index No.: 117566/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 3/16/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert K. Gunn, Esq.  
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Pneumo Abex LLC  
90 Broad Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 981-4501 ext. 15

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RICHARD MITCHELL**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 117873/03


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL AND HELGA  
MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 109249/00, 117873/03,  
122185/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
Kenneth M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
454-11971

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL AND HELGA  
MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 109249/00, 117873/03,  
122185/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/9, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klem Heitler

2571-0444

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL AND HELGA  
MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 109249/00, 117873/03,  
122185/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15122

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL AND HELGA  
MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 109249/00, 117873/03,  
122185/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-14527

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL AND HELGA  
MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 109249/00, 117873/03,  
122185/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Mitchell, Richard W.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-5046H  
7774

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RICHARD W. MITCHELL AND HELGA  
MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 109249/00, 117873/03,  
122185/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011



Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Richard W. Mitchell and Helga Mitchell  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26171L

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

LAURA LANDINO, as Executrix of the Estate of  
FRANK LANDINO a/k/a FRANKLIN DELANO  
LANDINO a/k/a FRANK DELANO LANDINO, Deceased,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al  
Defendant.  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 119461/03


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC. (Individually and as successor to BELL & GOSSETT)", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: January 31, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.  
McGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
FRANK LANDINO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

Index No.: 119461/03


NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant JOHN CRANE, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant JOHN CRANE, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant JOHN CRANE, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nicole Pitti, Esq.  
Attorneys for: John Crane, Inc.  
Barry, McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, NY 10006

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRANKLIN LANDINO

Index No.: 119461/03

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/10, 2011

Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Franklin Landino  
546 Fifth Avenue  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-296

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ALOYSIUS KENNY

Index No.: 120579/03

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
<sup>313</sup>\_\_\_\_\_, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Aloysius Kenny  
700 Broadway, 6th Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11064-2348

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

RUDOLPH DAVIS, ET AL., :

Plaintiff(s), :

-against- :

A J PEGNO CONSTRUCTION CORP, ET AL., :

Defendant(s). :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION ON  
BEHALF OF FORD MOTOR  
COMPANY**

**Index No.: 121356/2003**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 18, 2011



BY: Michael Croce, Esq.  
LAW OFFICES OF JOHN C. DEARIE &  
ASSOCIATES  
Attorneys for PLAINTIFF(S)  
515 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
T: 212-980-0404  
F: 212-980-9889



By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY  
757 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

**MAR 22 2011**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH GALANTE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 121970/03


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400



SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 102222/04

JOAN E. BYRNES, as Executrix for the Estate of EDWARD  
BYRNES, SR. and JOAN E. BYRNES, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011  


WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500

\_\_\_\_\_  
  
Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

\_\_\_\_\_  


**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
JAMES H. MACK JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


Index No.: 108387/00, 12123/00 &  
102902/04


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/15/11

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**EZIO COSTANZO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102902/04


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES MACK, JR.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102903/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

314 , 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JAMES MACK, JR.**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102903/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York, 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No: 102903/04, 112123/00,  
108387/00

ROSE MARIE MACK, ADMINISTRATRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MACK, JR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011

*Michele J. Mittleman*

Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

*Frank M. Ortiz*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. Mack, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

*Sherry Klein Heitler*

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-13783

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE MARIE MACK AS ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES MACK JR.,

Index No. 102903/04, 112123/00,  
108387/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. Mack, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2905B

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE MARIE MACK, ADMINISTRATRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MACK, JR.,

Index No.: 102903/04, 112123/00,  
108387/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/30, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. Mack, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7972  
324-5090B

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 102903/04, 12123/00,  
108387/00

ROSE MARIE MACK, ADMINISTRATRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MACK, JR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank N. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. Mack, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Kleir Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

1122-14373

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 102903/04, 112123/00,  
108387/00

ROSE MARIE MACK, ADMINISTRATRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MACK, JR.,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/0, 2011

  
Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. Mack, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2571-0551B

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROSE MARIE MACK, ADMINISTRATRIX FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MACK, JR.,

Index No: 102903/04, 112123/00,  
108387/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011

  
Kerry M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. Mack, Jr.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
454-8386

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK FRACCALVIERI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105233/04


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
FRANK FRACCALVIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105233/04, 108353/00,  
112444/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011



Michele J. Mittelman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Frank Fraccalvieri  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-11229

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
FRANK FRACCALVIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105233/04, 108353/00,  
112444/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/17, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Frank Fraccalvieri  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler **MAR 18 2011**

2383-2902AG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK  
FRACCALVIERI,

Index No.: 105233/04, 108353/00,  
112444/00

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/7, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Frank Fraccalvieri  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7855  
324-5098AK

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
FRANK FRACCALVIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No.: 105233/04, 108353/00,  
112444/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Frank Fraccalvieri  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

1122-11753

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, ADMINISTRATRIX  
FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK  
FRACCALVIERI,

Index No. 105233/04 108353/00,  
112444/00

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/7, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Oliz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Frank Fraccalvieri  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0630AK

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LAURA FRACCALVIERI, AS  
ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF  
FRANK FRACCALVIERI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 105233/04, 08353/00,  
112444/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Frank Fraccalvieri  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-7644AK

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
LAURA FRACCALVIERI, as Administratrix for	: Index No. 105233/04
the Estate of FRANK FRACCALVIERI,	: 112444/00
	: 108353/00
	: Plaintiff(s),
	: -against-
A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Matthew Park, Esq.

By:   
Scott A. Harford, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C. MAR 18 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 04-106520

This Document Relates to:

FRED A. HOFFMANN, JR. AND GENE ANN  
HOFFMANN

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New York, New York

2/15/11

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
BENJAMIN DARGHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Fred A. Hoffmann, Jr. and Gene Ann  
Hoffmann  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ



BU-ANT-11

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK LOPOPOLO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108929/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

APR 4 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
FRANK LOPOPOLO

Index No.: 108929/04

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

- against -


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/3, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Frank Lopopolo  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500



Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 108929/04

**FILED**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011 NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
Celia Lopopolo, Individually and as  
Administratrix for the Estate of Frank  
Lopopolo,

Index No.: 108929/04

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212,  
dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario &  
DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no  
opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/7, 2011

  
Michael Fanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Celia Lopopolo, Individually  
and as Administratrix for the  
Estate of Frank Lopopolo  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Justin M. Tafe, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000

Our File No.: 10924-4309

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FRANK LOPOPOLO

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 108929/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 4 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
FRANCES MOSCATO, Individually and as :  
Executrix of the Estate of FRANK MOSCATO, :  
ET AL :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

Plaintiff, :

**Index No.: 109928/04**

- against - :

A W CHESTERTON, ET AL, :

Defendant(s). :


-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 22, 2011

  
By: Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
WILLENZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  
110 William Street  
26th Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
Attorneys for: PLAINTIFFS

  
By: Heather J. Gaw, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:   
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

VALERIE ZUCKERMAN, as Executrix for the Estate of  
MARTIN ZUCKERMAN, and  
LAWRENCE ZUCKERMAN, as Executor for the Estate of  
THELMA ZUCKERMAN


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)  
Index No: 111253/04 &  
125793/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85375

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111253/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SC ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 14 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN ZUCKERMAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111253/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 15 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES G. KUTKUHN and BARBARA L. KUTKUHN


Index No. 111957/04

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/4, 2011


  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

SKH - DATED: 

~~FEB 27 2011~~

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

VIVIAN HARRIETT, Administratrix  
of the Estate of WILLIAM HARRIETT,

Plaintiff(s),

-v-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC, et al.,

Defendants.

X

NYCAL

Index No. 04/113966

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

X

WHEREFORE, defendant HOBART BROTHERS COMPANY, Individually and as  
Successor to McKay Welding Products ("Hobart Bros.") hereby requests summary judgment in the  
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs'  
complaint against defendant Hobart Bros. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
Hobart Bros. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/15, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG


DARGER & ERRANTE

By:



Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6205

By:



Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:

  
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

VIVIAN HARRIETT, Administratrix  
of the Estate of WILLIAM HARRIETT,

Plaintiff(s),

-v-

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL

Index No. 04/113966

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant LINCOLN ELECTRIC COMPANY ("Lincoln") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Lincoln with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lincoln Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/15, 2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG

By:   
Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6205


DARGER & ERRANTE

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

	x	
VIVIAN HARRIETT, Administratrix of the Estate of WILLIAM HARRIETT,	:	NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	:	Index No. 04/113966
-v-	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER</b>
A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC, et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
	x	

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
3/15, 2011


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011


LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By:   
Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALD C. CARPENTER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114255/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

GERALD C. CARPENTER

NYCAL  
i.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114255/04


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/1, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ISABELLA W. LUNDERMAN Individually and as  
Executrix for the Estate of CARL T. LUNDERMAN

Index No. 121774/97;  
100198/00; 115493/04


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/8/11, 2010

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 28 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARL LUNDERMAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 115493/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CARL LUNDERMAN

NYCA  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115493/04

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4 . 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 100  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

GLENN WITTING AND CONSTANCE WITTING,

Index No.: 115962-2004

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____	X	
	:	NYCAL
ROSS RIMICCI, as Executor of the	:	
Estate of ANTHONY RIMICCI,	:	
	:	
	:	Index No. 04/117050
Plaintiffs,	:	
-v-	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY., et al.,	:	
	:	Justice Sherry K. Heitler
Defendants.	:	
_____	X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/7/2011


LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By:   
Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 102194/05

JAMES LAUFER (dec.)

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**SO ORDERED:**



\_\_\_\_\_  
J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN COUGHLIN AND BARBARA ANNE  
COUGHLIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 102347/05, D11031/97,  
123219/97

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
3/9, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



~~Erik Jacobs, Esq.~~ Frank Letiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
John Coughlin and Barbara Ann Coughlin  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

2571-0001

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 103664/2005

JOHN B. QUINN and DOROTHY M. QUINN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**

*WHEREFORE*, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

*ORDERED*, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 03.10.11

  
BELLUCK & FDK LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
346 5<sup>TH</sup> Avenue  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 684-1575

  
Russell S. Jamison, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
Attorneys for Defendant


KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue-Suite 501  
Harrison, NY 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RAYMOND C. LOBUS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 121983/99 &  
109114/00 & 105205/05

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/15, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
**improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85351

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 24 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

_____	X	
	:	NYCAL
ELLEN ROCKMAKER, as Executrix of the Estate of	:	
GORDON ROCKMAKER,	:	
	:	
	:	Index No. 05/110157
Plaintiffs,	:	
-v-	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY., et al.,	:	
	:	Justice Sherry K. Heitler
Defendants.	:	
_____	X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lennox Industries Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lennox Industries Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/7/2011

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By:  (LPK)  
Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 18 2011**



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
ADA IRIS OVALLE,

X  
Index No. 112085-2005

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ X

WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
RAFFAELE LACALAMITA and COLOMBA LACALAMITA,

x

Index No. 114107-2005

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x

WHEREFORE, defendant General Electric Company hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

MAR 22, 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

536.14802/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to :  
:   
ROBERT G. LORENTZ, : Index No.: 108351/00  
: 111109/00  
Plaintiff, : 114496/05  
:   
vs. : (November 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial  
: Group)  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :  
et al., :  
: NO OPPOSITION  
Defendants. : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Weitz  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

[Signature]  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 25 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

536.14802/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
ROBERT G. LORENTZ, : Index No.: 108351/00  
: 111109/00  
Plaintiff, : 114496/05  
: (November 2010 Monthly FIFO Trial  
vs. : Group)  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :  
et al., :  
Defendants. : NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2/25/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

Matthew T. Weitz  
FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

Stephen F. Balsamo  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FEB 25 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT G. LORENTZ AND JULIA A.  
LORENTZ,

Index No.: (114496/05) 108351/00,  
111109/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert G. Lorentz and Julia A. Lorentz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-13613

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114496/05, 108351/00,  
111109/00

ROBERT G. LORENTZ AND JULIA A.  
LORENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert G. Lorentz and Julia A. Lorentz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2371-0550AN

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 114496/05, 08351/00,  
111109/00

ROBERT G. LORENTZ AND JULIA A.  
LORENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert G. Lorentz and Julia A. Lorentz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-13048  
1235-0330

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 114496/05, 108351/00,  
111109/00

ROBERT G. LORENTZ AND JULIA A.  
LORENTZ,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Robert G. Lorentz and Julia A. Lorentz  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-27324  
2383-2904AN

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT G. LORENTZ AND JULIA A.  
LORENTZ,

Index No.: 114496/05, 108351/00,  
111109/00

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,


Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/0, 2011

  
Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robert G. Lorentz and Julia A. Lorentz  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-8068

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT G. LORENTZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 114496/05

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011



Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

	X		
BERNARD HOCHBERG,	:	:	NYCAL
	:	:	Index No. 05/115185
	:	:	D.O.F.: 10/31/05
Plaintiff(s),	:	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-v-	:	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	:	:	<b>MOTION AND ORDER</b>
A.W. CHESTERTON CO., INC, et al.,	:	:	
	:	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Defendants.	:	:	
	X		

WHEREFORE, defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York  
3/9, 2011


APR - 4 2011

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P.

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

By:   
Audrey P. Raphael, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 605-6200

By:   
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED:   
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_  
GINGER M. WOOD, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF HENRY L. WOOD, Index No. 101027-2006

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant General Electric Company hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
MARCH 22, 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**RICHARD DANSON**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102335/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD DANSON

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102335/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REEDSMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

NYCAL

-----X  
DONNA M. MEDER, as Personal Representative :  
for the Estate of DONALD E. SIERING, :

Index Nos. 109379/00  
107081/01  
100779/03  
106797/06

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
IAS Part 30

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York


3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: 

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.


By: 

Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
GIOVANNI LATTANZIO,

Index No.: 100787/03,  
102269/01, 107623/06 &  
119393/00

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s).  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules  
§3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS**  
with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against  
defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff 3/8/11  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FULTON BOILER WORKS  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X		
	GIOVANNI LATANZIO and ANNA LATTANZIO,	: Index No. 107623/06
	Plaintiff(s),	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
		: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u>	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	Defendants.	: IAS Part 30
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

By:   
Lois Kim, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

MARTIN SIMUNOVICH

NYCAL  
!A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107899/06

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

LINDA A. HUSSAIN, as Executrix for the Estate : Index No. 112048/06  
of JOSEPH C. LANG and NANCY LANG, :  
Individually, : **NO OPPOSITION**  
 : **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
 : **MOTION AND ORDER**  
 :  
 :  
 Plaintiffs, :  
 :  
 -against- :  
 :  
 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :  
 :  
 :  
 Defendants. :  
 :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/16/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: [Signature]  
Michael Fanelli

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
x

PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of  
ELI J. SEGAL,

Index No. 113056-2006

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

DANIEL E. CRANEY and CAROLINE M. CRANEY,

Index No.: 114137-2006

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. INCLUDING GENERAL  
ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant General Electric Company hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant General Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
SAM F. ANGRISANO,	: Index No. 116882/06
	:
Plaintiff,	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
	:
-against-	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., <u>et al.</u> ,	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/16/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [Signature]  
Michael Fanelli

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: [Signature]  
Lawrence G. Lee **FILED**

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED: [Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

INDEX NO.: 116682-06

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD ANGRISANO, AS THE EXECUTOR FOR  
THE ESTATE OF SAM ANGRISANO AND  
ANGELINE ANGRISANO, INDIVIDUALLY

against

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**DATED:** New York, New York

3/8/2011

  
WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.  
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN  
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Kohler Co.  
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903


  
MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),  
Richard Angrisano, as the Executor for the  
Estate of Sam Angrisano and Angeline  
Angrisano, Individually  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

**FILED**

APR 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**

  
Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011



BT-OWL-28

HOAGLAND, LONGO  
MORAN, DUNST &  
DOUKAS, LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY  
40 PATERSON ST  
PO BOX 480  
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY  
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD  
SUITE 202  
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JAMES H. MCELROY

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100837/07


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 100837/07, 122190/99,  
109408/00

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JAMES H. MCELROY, AND ELIZABETH W.  
MCELROY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/9, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. McElroy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Lynn Heitler

324-5053X

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JAMES H. MCELROY, AND ELIZABETH W.  
MCELROY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 100837/07, 122190/99,  
109408/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/20, 2011



Robert Darish, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. McElroy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-0561X

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 100837/07 122190/99,  
109408/00

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR  
THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. MCELROY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. McElroy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-14929

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JAMES H. MCELROY, AND ELIZABETH W.  
MCELROY, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 100837/07 122190/99,  
109408/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. McElroy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 21 2011

2383-27564  
2383-4009Q

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ELIZABETH W. MCELROY, AS PERSONAL  
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF  
JAMES H. MCELROY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 100837/07, 122190/99,  
109408/00

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456



Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of James H. McElroy  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-14339

MAR 21 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHRISTOPHER KANE

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101422/07


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

24, 2011




Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102116/07  
104205/99

ANTHONY J. RICOTTA


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 15, 2011  
17

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David H. Jones, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
*Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation*  
150 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:

Index No.: 102706/07

GUNARS TRESS

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/29, 2011



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SO ORDERED:**



J.S.C.

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY :  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL  
-----X

GUNARS TRESS, and GUNARS TRESS, : Index No. 102706/07  
Executrix for the Estate of ANN TRESS, :

Plaintiffs, : **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
-against- : **MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30


Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
*February 17, 2011*

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Daniel Blouin

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:  **FILED**  
Lawrence G. Lee


700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

ELIZABETH H. BARNES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX OF  
THE ESTATE OF LE ROY A. BARNES, JR.,

Index No.: 103121-2007

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York


3/23/2011

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
DAVID and EDITH BLENKENSOPP,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 100773/03, 104633/07 &  
119388/00

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30


WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-550  
3/8/11

  
Sania Malikzay, Esq.  
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS  
Barry McTiernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

DAVID BLENKENSOPP

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 104633/07


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH ORILIA, as Executor for the Estate of  
FRANCESCO ORILIA and BERNADETTA ORILIA,  
Individually

NYCAL


I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 122202/99 &  
115787/01 & 112680/00 &  
104634/07

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: <sup>3/15</sup>  , 2011

Frank Ortiz, Esq.


**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.

**MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories,  
Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.85792

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680700, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Tishman Liquidating Corp.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank H. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26059AS

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No. 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/8, 2011



Matthew T. Fairley, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5081AR  
324-8943  
324-6389

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. (104634/07) 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/2, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Treadwell Corporation  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-14894

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No: 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
Kerriann M. Cook, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Patterson Pump Co.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456

  
Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

454-2807  
454-11166

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOSEPH ORILIA, EXECUTOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF FRANCESCO ORILIA, AND  
BENEDETTA ORILIA, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Index No. 104634/07, 115784/01,  
112680/00, 122202/99

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/3, 2011



Michele J. Mittleman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Courter & Company, Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Estate of Francesco Orilia  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-15505

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. PART 30

(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
This document relates to:  
BERNICE BURCKHALTER, as the Executrix for the Estate :  
Of MILTON BURCKHALTER and BERNICE  
BURCKHALTER, Individually,

Index No.: 108386/07

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.


Defendant.  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER AS TO  
DEFENDANT  
KEELER-DORR-  
OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**


**WHEREFORE**, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2011



WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212)558-5500



Paul Josephs, Esq.  
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER  
COMPANY**  
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501  
Harrison, New York 10528  
(212) 661-1151

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**SO ORDERED:**



J.S.C.

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
MILTON BURCKHALTER

Index No.: 108386/07

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -


A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/3, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Milton Burckhalter  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-132

SO ORDERED:  
  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

MAR 18 2011 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 108386/07

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

MILTON BURCKHALTER,

Plaintiff(s),

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

- against -


AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 3/8, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

\_\_\_\_\_  
JOEL GARLAND,

x

Index No. 111905-2007

Plaintiff,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
WHEREFORE, defendant General Electric Company hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115557/07

ALLAN EDWARD WILSON and PEGGY  
WILSON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. W. CHESTERTON CO., *et al.*

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


Defendants.


WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., d/b/a Weir Valves & Controls USA Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., d/b/a Weir Valves & Controls USA Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., d/b/a Weir Valves & Controls USA Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3-21, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., d/b/a  
Weir Valves & Controls USA Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Allan Edward Wilson and Peggy Wilson  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
10036

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

NATHAN GLOWATZ

NYCAL  
I.A.S Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116794/07

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York New York

3/4, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
MAR 14 2011 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK



-----X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION :
-----X	
FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI and PATRICIA	: Index No. 116796/07
JUZDOWSKI,	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
Plaintiffs,	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
-against-	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, <u>et al.</u> ,	: IAS Part 30
	:
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/3/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &  
Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By:   
Frank Ortiz

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York

**MAR 18 2011**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

FELIX M. JUZDOWSKI

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 116796/07

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.

**REED SMITH, LLP.**  
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,  
formerly known as Dana Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 14 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

JAMES RUDGE and SONIA RUDGE,

Index No.: 102239-2008

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X


WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 24 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_ x  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

\_\_\_\_\_ x  
VICTOR REYNIAK AND SYBILLE REYNIAK, Index No. 102688/2008

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

BARNSTEAD INTERNATIONAL, et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x

WHEREFORE, defendant General Electric Company hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011



Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036



Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Henler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HELEN TORRETTA, as Executrix for the Estate of  
DANIEL TORRETTA and HELEN TORRETTA,  
Individually

Index No. 115630/01  
111218/08

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01  
111229/01  
190027/09

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/8, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

FILED  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES THOMAS and SANDRA THOMAS

Index No. 119609/01

111229/01


190027/09

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 2-22, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff - Michael Farrell  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED** NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES THOMAS

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 111229/01 &  
119609/01 & 190027/09

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/7 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.86667

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X		
In re: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	I. A. S. Part 30
	:	(Heitler, J.)
-----X		
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 09/190125
	:	
<b>Louis D'Addio</b>	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	:	JUDGMENT MOTION
	:	AND ORDER
-----X		

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant The Nash Engineering Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/15, 2011

  
Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.  
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022

  
Kerryann Cook, Esq.  
MC GIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street, Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

00088837.WPD

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

THOMAS LEAHY AND EVELYN LEAHY,

Index No.: 190162-2009

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

3 M COMPANY et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FRANCISCO SALDIVAR,

Index No.: 190228-2009

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC et al. including, GENERAL  
ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FRANCISCO SALDIVAR,

Index No.: 190228-2009

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC et al. including, GENERAL  
ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

THOMAS C. CARROLL and CHERYL CARROLL,  
Plaintiffs,

Index No.: 190259-09

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et. al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION (hereinafter "EXXONMOBIL"),  
sued herein as EXXONMOBIL hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to  
Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant  
EXXONMOBIL with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
EXXONMOBIL be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/27/2011

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP

By: Christopher Romanelli, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

By: Dennis E. Vega, Esq.  
Attorneys for Exxon Mobil Corporation  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERTA FRIEDMAN AND STUART FRIEDMAN

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190263/09


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 8, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY., 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
: :  
----- X

This Document Relates To: :  
: Index No.: 190300/09


GEORGE GRAHAM, :  
: :  
: Plaintiff, :  
: :  
: - against - :  
: :  
: A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY and :  
: JOHN ZINK COMPANY LLC, et al. :  
: :  
: Defendants. :  
: :  
----- X

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant JOHN ZINK COMPANY LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against JOHN ZINK COMPANY LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant JOHN ZINK COMPANY LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 14, 2011  
New York, New York



Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.  
**LEVY, PHILLIPS &  
KONIGSBERG, LLP**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022



William T. Miedel, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
John Zink Company LLC  
100 Wall Street  
New York, NY 10005

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

KATHRYN THAUT, AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF RODNEY  
THAUT, DECEASED,

Index No.: 190333-2009

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036



\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 5 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
WILLIAM EDWARD TURNER and  
ZELLA FARIS TURNER

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190349/2009

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----- x

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 2, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011


Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

*Yvette Harmon*  
Yvette Harmon, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 22 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**ZELLA F. TURNER, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS  
EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM E.  
TURNER, DECEASED**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190349/09


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 8, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX, L.L.P.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**D**  
MAR 14 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----x  
Index No. 190350/09

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

THOMAS WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 23, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin M. Berry, Esq.  
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN &  
SPITZER, P.C.  
110 William Street, 26th Floor  
New York, New York 10038



\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE





MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

4051-197 (11)  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THOMAS WILLIAMS and KATHLEEN WILLIAMS, his  
wife,

*Plaintiff*

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

*Defendants*

NYCAL



INDEX NO: 190350/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant, SCHIAVONE-BONOMO CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against SCHIAVONE-BONOMO CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against SCHIAVONE-BONOMO CORP. be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

By:  Kevin M. Berry, Esq. Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A. 110 William Street, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10038-3901 Attorneys for Plaintiff	By:  Russell A. Pepe, Esq. HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC 350 Fifth Avenue Suite 3304 Empire State Building New York, NY 10118 212-268-5136 Attorneys for Defendant Schiavone Bonomo Corp.
---	---

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

Dated: New York, New York  
March 19, 2011

SO ORDERED:   
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190432/09

-----x  
This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

RAYMOND SCHAEFER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x


WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
February 3, 2011


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
~~Michael Roberts, Esq.~~  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Shevy Klion Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190008-10**

**JOHN H. ZAUGG and GERALDINE ZAUGG,,  
Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**-against-**


**BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.**

**Defendants.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *march 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**  
  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry R. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**JOHN MLINCSEK and JOAN MLINCSEK,**

**Index No.: 190025-10**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,**

**Defendants.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

APR - 4 2011

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

NATHANIEL MURRAY,

Index No.: 190036-2010

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

BONDEX INTERNATIONAL, INC et al. including,  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
NATHANIEL MURRAY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190036/2010


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 2, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Yvette Harmon, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190046-10**

**JAY NISSINOFF and MERYL NISSINOFF,  
Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**-against-**

**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.**

**Defendants.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011


New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190052-10**

**HILLIARD YOUNG and SHIRLEY  
YOUNG,**

**Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**-against-**


**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.**

**Defendants.**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry N. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190053-10**

**RICHARD D. SLAKOFF and MARCIA  
SLAKOFF,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**


**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.**


**Defendants.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----x

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190054-10**

**PASQUALE DISERFINO,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**

**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY., et al.**


**Defendants.**


-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200



\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF and  
JOANNE DOLORES ALTUCHOFF,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190058/2010


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 2, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Yvette Harmon, Esq.  
McGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----  
**KENNETH WILLIAM ALTUCHOFF and JOANNE  
DOLORES ALTUCHOFF,**

INDEX NO: 190058/2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

Defendant(s)

-----X  
-----

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
March 11, 2011



Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 681-1575



THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
La Sorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: (914) 682-3300

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

X

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
CARLOS E. SIMMONS,

X

Index No. 190062-2010

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
X

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 11 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**CARLOS E. SIMMONS**

INDEX NO: 190062/2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

Defendant(s)  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

*Mar 11, 2011*

  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 681-1575

  
THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
La Sorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

\_\_\_\_\_x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_x

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190068-10**

**DOMINICK PALAIO and ANNA PALAIO,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**


**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et  
al.**


**Defendants.**  
\_\_\_\_\_x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
NOUBAR PUSKULIAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

Index No.: 190071/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, defendant HUBBELL LIGHTING, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant HUBBELL LIGHTING, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant HUBBELL LIGHTING, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 14, 2011


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
~~Douglas D. von Oiste, Esq., Esq.~~ David A. Chandler  
**WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David H. Kochman, Esq.  
**HARRIS BEACH PLLC**  
Attorneys For Defendant  
HUBBELL LIGHTING, INC.  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon.

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**HEINZ MEYER and GRACE MEYER,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION  
AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO  
PUMPS, INC., et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190072-10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*

New York, New York



Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200



SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

MAR 22 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190096-10**

**MARK LONERGAN,  
Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


**-against-**

**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY,  
  
Defendants.**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----x

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190101-10**

**PAUL CONIGLIO and MARIE CONIGLIO,  
Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


**-against-**


**AMERICAN BILTKITE COMPANY,  
Defendants.**

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200



APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

\_\_\_\_\_X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_X

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190127-10**

**EDWARD BITTNER and NANCY BITTNER,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**


**ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al.,**


**Defendants.**  
\_\_\_\_\_X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**CARL A. STEINER,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,  
Defendants.**


**Index No.: 190128-10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

**FILED**  
*[Signature]*  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry R. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190136-10**

**JOSEPH P. McDERMOTT and ROSALIE  
MCDERMOTT,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**-against-**


**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION AS  
SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS,  
INC., et al.**

**Defendants.**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

  
SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

SUSAN L. MASONE AS EXECUTRIX OF  
THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH C. NEMEC

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190139/10


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**


WHEREFORE, defendant Union Carbide Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Union Carbide Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York

March 8, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
New York, NY., 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.  
REED SMITH, LLP.  
Attorneys for Union Carbide Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> FL.  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 14 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

**JOHN S. VIKELIS and EVANGELIA  
VIKELIS,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190144-10**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: *March 22, 2011*  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----x

**RICHARD J. TAYLOR and ADELAIDE  
TAYLOR,**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION  
AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO  
PUMPS, INC., et al,**

**Defendants.**

**Index No.: 190159-10**


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

ROBERT HOMBERGER and JANICE HOMBERGER,

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)


Index No: 190162/10


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 7, 2011

  
Erik Jacobs, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
James M. Skelly, Esq.  
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN  
& COURTNEY, P.C.  
Attorneys for Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.,  
improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc  
f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI  
530 Saw Mill River Road  
Elmsford, New York 10523  
(914) 345-7301  
File No.: 473.80878

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS A. DIGILIO


Index No. 114686/01  
190166/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED  
MAR 10 2011  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS A. DIGILIO

Index No. 114686/01  
190166/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


WHEREFORE, defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Robert A. Keasbey Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robert A. Keasbey Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003



  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Robert A. Keasbey Co.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
MAR 18 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE ROBINSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 190170-10

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC., formerly known as Square D Company, (hereinafter "SQUARE D") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SQUARE D with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SQUARE D be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 11, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Kirsten Alford Kneis*  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
GEORGE ROBINSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190170/2010


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: June 24, 2010

Signed by Plaintiff: March 1, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Loretta Shelko, Esq.  
MCGUIRE WOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190170/10

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION**

GEORGE ROBINSON,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG  
CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.


Dated: 3/9, 2011  
New York, New York

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
**BELLUCK & FOX**  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, NY 10036

  
Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.  
**SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE  
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.**  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Weil-McLain  
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 651-7500

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**GEORGE ROBINSON**

INDEX NO: 190170/2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

Defendant(s)  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

*mar. 11*, 2011



Beluck & Fox LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 681-1575



THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
La Sorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant

**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: (914) 682-3300

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

GEORGE ROBINSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

NYCAL


Index No: 190170/2010


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dean Pump Division hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dean Pump Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Dean Pump Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Buffalo, New York 3-17-11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan C. Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor  
New York, New York 10036

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James W. Whitcomb, Esq.  
PHILLIPS LYTTLE LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Dean Pump Division  
3400 HSBC Center  
Buffalo, New York 14201

**FILED**


APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Doc # 01-2383807.1

**HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER**

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  


**MAR 24 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
\_\_\_\_\_

**This Document Relates To:**

**Index No.: 190171-10**

**JOE MARTIN and G.JAN MARTIN,  
Plaintiff,**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**-against-**


**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,**

**Defendants.**  
\_\_\_\_\_

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**MAR 22 2011**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
**In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  
-----X

**THOMAS MASON and MARY ANN MASON,**

**Index No.: 190178-10**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**-against-**

**AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION  
AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO  
PUMPS, INC., et al.,**


**Defendants.**

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To: :  
: NYCAL  
CHARLES GIULINI AND MARY GIULINI, : I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff(s), :  
: Index No.: 190179/10  
-against- :  
ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, et al., : **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**  
Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MARCH 21, 2010  
New York, New York

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis,  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**RICHARD W. DUQUETTE AND  
DORIS H. DUQUETTE,**

**INDEX NO: 190182/2010**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**-against-**

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

**A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY; et al.**

**Defendant(s)**  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works)** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works)** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc., (Individually and as successor to Armstrong Machine Works)** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
March 11, 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
~~MARK STRAUSS, ESQ.~~ *JASON HODGKINS, Esq.*  
EARLY, LUDWICK, SWEENEY &  
STRAUSS  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>TH</sup> FL  
New York, New York

  
THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
LaSorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
(S/H/A Armstrong International, Inc., Individually  
and as Successor to Armstrong Machine Works)  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10/190184

XAVIER SCARDINO & ANITA SCARDINO,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-


3M COMPANY, f/k/a MINNESOTA MINING &  
MANUFACTURING CO., et al.


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: <sup>3-21-11</sup>  
New York, New York

  
Robert Komitor, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08735  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190185/10

LOUIS JASON,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-


ALPHA WIRE CORP., et al.

Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York  
  
Robert Komitor, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN New  
STANDARD INC.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,   
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOUIS JASON

INDEX NO: 190185/2010

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER

-against-


3M COMPANY, et al.

Defendant(s)

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.**, (sued herein as **Armstrong International, Inc., Individually And As Successor To Armstrong Machine Works**) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc. (sued herein as Armstrong International, Inc., Individually And As Successor To Armstrong Machine Works)** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York  
March 11, 2011



Patrick Timmins  
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 THIRD AVE -- 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
NEW YORK, NY 10022

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE



THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
La Sorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
RONALD DUMMITT and DORIS KAY DUMMITT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
----- x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190196/2010


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 2, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: March 11, 2011

  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Yvette Harmon, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
RONALD DUMMITT and DORIS DUMMITT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.;

Defendants.  
-----X


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

Index No.: 190196/10


NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **INSULECTRO**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **INSULECTRO**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **INSULECTRO**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Belluck & Fox  
546 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036

3/8/11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.  
Attorneys for **INSULECTRO**  
Barry McTernan & Moore  
2 Rector Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10006  
(212) 313-3600

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**RONALD DUMMITT AND DORIS KAY DUMMITT**  
Plaintiff(s),

INDEX NO: 190196/2010

-against-

**A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.,**

Defendant(s)  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

Mar 11, 2011



Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 681-1575



THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
La Sorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

ALEJANDRO BAUTISTA,

Index No.: 190197-2010

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 190199/10

ANGEL RIJO and ILUMINADA RIJO,

Plaintiffs,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

-against-


A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
02/02, 2011



Carol Tempesta, Esq.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Oakfabco Inc.  
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.  
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 509-3456




Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Angel Rijo and Iluminada Rijo  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
ANGEL RIJO,

Index No.: 190199/10

**NO-OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Plaintiff,

- against -

ANCHOR PACKING CO., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
3/4, 2011

  
David Chandler, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Angel Rijo  
700 Broadway, 6th Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Burnham LLC  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 11084-2252

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 18 2011

FILED  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

EDWARD KERN and JOAN KERN,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.

Defendants.

Index No. 10/190203

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Justice Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, defendant **Hopeman Brothers Inc.** ("Hopeman") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Hopeman with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hopeman Brothers Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/15, 2011

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

DARGER & ERRANTE LLP

By: Robert I. Komitor  
Robert I. Komitor, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 681-1575

By: Jennifer W. Darger  
Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
116 E. 27<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 452-5300

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED: Justice Sherry K. Heitler  
Justice Sherry K. Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10/190203

EDWARD KERN and JOAN KERN,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS  
COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.


-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

3-21-11

New York, New York

  
Robert Komitor, Esq.  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
800 Third Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US, INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 28 2011

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

~~MAR 22 2011~~

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates to:

ALPHONSE MAGLIO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS., et al.,

Defendants,  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J)

April 2011 *In Extremis* Group

Index No. 190243/2010

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

3/11, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By David Chandler, Esq.

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 509-3456  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.

By John S. Rand, Esq. Dated 3-22-11

99 Court Street  
White Plains, New York 10601  
(914) 946-8900  
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL  
PAPER COMPANY s/h/a U.S. PLYWOOD  
CORPORATION

**FILED**

SO ORDERED

Sherry Klein Heitler  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**MAR 25 2011**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

ETB  
398.38456

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 190261/10

-----X  
MARIE COLETTE WARD, as Personal  
Representative for the Estate  
Of JOHN M. WARD, and MARIE COLETTE  
WARD, individually,

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

CERAMCO, INC., DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL  
INC., Individually, and as successor  
To DENTSPLY AUSTENAL AND DENTSPLY  
CERMCO, EMPIRE-ACE INSULATION  
MFG. CORP., EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,  
HERAEUS KULZER, as successor by  
Merger to J.F. JELENKO & CO.,  
INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY,  
J.F. JELENKO AND COMPANY, INC.,  
KERR CORPORATION, d/b/a KERR  
DENTAL CORPORATION, individually,  
And as successor by merger to KERR  
MANUFACTURING COMPANY, KEWAUNEE SCIENTIFIC  
CORPORATION, THE J.M. NEY COMPANY,  
WHIP MIX CORPORATION,

Defendants.

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, J.M. Ney Company, and its affiliates,  
subsidiaries, predecessors, successors in interest, agents, heirs  
and assigns, hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-captioned  
case, pursuant to CPLR § 3212, dismissing Plaintiff MARIE COLETTE  
WARD, as Personal Representative for the Estate Of JOHN M. WARD,  
and MARIE COLETTE WARD'S, complaint against Defendant, J.M. Ney  
Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and

cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/16/11

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.,  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway LLP  
New York 10003

*Many  
contest  
ward*

*Eileen Budd*  
Eileen Budd, Esq.  
HARDIN, KUNDLA, MCKEON &  
POLETTO, P.A  
Attorneys for J.M. Ney Company  
110 William Street 25<sup>th</sup>  
Floor  
New York, New York 10038

SO-ORDERED: *SKH*  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

190261/10

*do opp 55  
ARL*

*WARD  
U  
CERAMCO*

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**MICHAEL MORENO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2010/190262

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9/11

  
Robert J. Komitor, Esq. *Carmen St. George, Esq.*  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
REED SMITH LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 14 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	: NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:
-----X	
MICHAEL V. MORENO,	: Index No. 190262/10
	:
Plaintiff,	:
	: <b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
-against-	: <b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>
	: <b><u>MOTION AND ORDER</u></b>
ABEX CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
	: IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:
-----X	

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
2/16/2011

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: *Carmen V. St. George*  
Carmen V. St. George

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By: *Jason Kaufman*  
Jason Kaufman

264 West 40th Street  
New York, New York 10011  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: *[Signature]*  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

**MAR 18 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
STEVEN GOOD, as Personal Representative for  
the Estate of EDWARD T. GOOD,

Index No.: 190263/10

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law  
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant BLACKMER with  
prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 28, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sam Meirowitz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David H. Kochman, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH PLLC  
Attorneys For Defendant  
BLACKMER  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 04 2011

SKH - DATED: 

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Hon.



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190305/10

WILLIAM SPIER

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "CBS Corporation") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CBS Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CBS Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 9, 2011



Dennis Weitzel, Esq.  
MORGAN & MORGAN  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
20 North Orange Avenue, Suite 1600  
Orlando, FL 32801  
(407) 420-1414



Rula D. Alzadon, Esq.  
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC  
*Attorneys for Defendant CBS Corporation, a  
Delaware Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc.,  
successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a  
Pennsylvania Corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse  
Electric Corporation*  
150 Broadway, Suite 60  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 791-0285

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

WILLIAM SPIER and JOSEPHINE SPIER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

:  
: NYCAL  
: I.A.S. Part 39  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
:  
: Index No.: 190305-10  
:  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
: **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, Defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,  
**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 21, 2011  
New York, New York



Dennis Weitzel, Esq.  
MORGAN & MORGAN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
20 N. Orange Avenue, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
PO Box 4979  
Orlando, FL 32802-4979  
(407) 420-1414



Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3990

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.190317/10  
-----x

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

CONCETTA CRISTIANO, Individually and for the Estate of  
ROBERT CRISTIANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., he and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
March 9, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747- 230

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**Index No.: 190318-10**

This Document Relates to:

**WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET  
MENDEZ,**

Plaintiff(s),

v.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Ave, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Rheem Manufacturing Company  
2430 Route 34  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

**NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS  
LITIGATION**

**Index No.: 190318-10**

**This Document Relates to:**

**WILLIAM MENDEZ and MARGARET  
MENDEZ,**

Plaintiff(s),

v.

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,**

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

-----

**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, TUTHILL CORPORATION, solely for Murray Turbine ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant TUTHILL CORPORATION solely for Murray Turbine with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 10-190323

MANUEL A. CHINEA and JANET M. CHINEA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER


AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY , et al.,


Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., sued herein as  
"TRANE U.S. INC. (Individually and as successor to AMERICAN STANDARD INC.)", hereby requests  
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,  
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD  
INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with  
prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Early, Esq.  
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
360 Lexington Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE U.S. INC.  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

JANET GOGEL, as Executrix of the Estate of :  
WILFRED J. GOGEL (deceased), and :  
CATHERINE J. GOGEL, Individually, :

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY**

Plaintiff(s), :

**Index No.: 190332/10**

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., :

Defendant(s).  
-----X


**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 2, 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

By:   
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Counsel for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
T: 212-681-1575  
F: 212-681-1574

By:   
Joshua A. Deutsch, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN  
& DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED: 

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

This Document Relates to:  
SAM GALEN,

Index No.: 190335/10

Plaintiff,

**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as  
Successor by Merger to BUFFALO PUMPS,  
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC.,  
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case,  
pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing  
plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING  
CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and  
cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC.,  
be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
March 17, 2011

  
A. BRANDTS, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Sam Galen  
700 Broadway, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10003  
212-558-5500

  
Jeffrey C. Fegan, Esq.  
Cullen and Dykman LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.,  
Inc.  
177 Montague Street  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(718) 855-9000  
Our File No.: 10924-6389

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

  
Hon. Stephen Klein-Heitler  
**MAR 21 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.190338/10  
-----x

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

ROSALIE GENNOSA and JOSEPH GENNOSA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.C.&S, INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
March 10, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Gary T. Healy, Esq.  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE,

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.190340/10  
-----x

This Document Applies to:

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

JOSEPH GENNOSA and ROSALIE GENNOSA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C.&S, INC., et al.,


Defendants.  
-----x

WHEREFORE, defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TISHMAN REALTY AND CONSTRUCTION CO. INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York  
March 10, 2011

  
Frank Ortiz, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, New York 10038  
(212) 558-5500

  
Gary T. Healy, Esq. COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
McMAHON, MARTINE & GALLAGHER  
55 Washington Street, Suite 720  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
(212) 747-1230

**FILED**

**APR - 4 2011**

NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: 

Hon: Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

BERNARD D'AQUILA and MARY ANN  
D'AQUILA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)


Index No.: 190343-10


**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 15, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ambre Brandis, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&I GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
ANTHONY CORINO and ANTOINETTE CORINO,

x  
Index No. 190351-2010

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x


WHEREFORE, defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FOSTER WHEELER, LLC** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011  


Robert Gordon, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 10-190358  
03-108114

-----X  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:


ARTHUR MEDIATE

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**


-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY  
3/19, 2011

By:   
Ben Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
(212) 558-5500

By:   
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.  
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.  
NIXON PEABODY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Patterson-Kelley Company  
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza  
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500  
Buffalo, NY 14202  
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

536.16859/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: :  
ARTHUR MEDIATE, : Index No.: 190358/10  
: 108114/03  
: :  
Plaintiff, :  
: (April 2011 *In Extremis* Trial  
vs. : Group)  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, :  
et al., : NO OPPOSITION  
: SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
Defendants. : AND ORDER  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/17/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

  
BENJAMIN DARCHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
NEW YORK  
~~COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE~~  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

**JACQUELINE MORILLO**

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 2010/190360


**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9/11

  
Robert J. Komitor, Esq. *Carroll S. George, Esq.*  
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
800 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 605-6200

  
Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.  
REED SMITH LLP  
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
(212) 521-5400


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

**MAR 14 2011**

536.24097/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION : IAS PART 30  
: (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)  
This document relates to: :  
: :  
RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY, Deceased, : Index No.: 190365/10  
: :  
Plaintiff, : (April 2011 *In Extremis* Trial  
: Group)  
vs. :  
: :  
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, : NO OPPOSITION  
et al., : SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION  
: AND ORDER  
Defendants. :  
:

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/18/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

APR - 4 2011

DANIEL WASSERBERG, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
STEPHEN F. BALCOWSKI, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :  
-----X

RAYMOND E. WOOLSEY and EDNA : Index No. 190365/10  
WOOLSEY, :  
Plaintiffs, : **NO OPPOSITION**  
: **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**  
-against- : **MOTION AND ORDER**


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,  
: IAS Part 30  
Defendants. :  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Georgia-Pacific LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Georgia-Pacific LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.


Dated: New York, New York  
MARCH 11, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:   
Daniel Wasserman

700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Georgia-Pacific LLC

By:   
Lawrence G. Lee

264 West 40<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10018  
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
PATEL ARUNKUMAR,

Index No. 190370-2010

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

AMERICAN STANDARD INC. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ X

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

APR - 4 2011



Robert Gordon, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 24 2011

SURPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
ARUNKUMAR PATEL,

Index No. 190370/10

Plaintiffs,

IAS PART 30  
(JUSTICE SHERRY KLEIN  
HEITLER)

-against-

CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC.,  
successor by merger to CBS  
CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE  
ELECTRIC CORPORATION, et. al.,

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP n/k/a ECR  
INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, Inc. **FILED**  
RADIATOR CORP., by its attorneys, JONES HIRSCH CONNORS & BULL  
P.C., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled  
case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules 63212  
plaintiff's Complaint against defendant DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP  
n/k/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, Inc.,  
f/k/a DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP., along with all cross-claims  
against it, with prejudice; there being no opposition thereto, it  
is hereby

APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
**ORDERED** that, upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims  
and cross-claims against defendant DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP n/k/a


ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC. s/h/a ECR INTERNATIONAL, Inc., f/k/a  
DUNKIRK RADIATOR CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed  
with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
February 1, 2011

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

JONES HIRSCH CONNORS & BULL P.C.

  
Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
*Arunkumar Patel*

  
Hyun Soo Lee, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendants  
ECR International, Inc.,  
f/k/a Dunkirk Radiator Corp.  
One Battery Park Plaza  
28th Floor  
New York, NY 10004

SO ORDERED:

  
Hon. Shirley Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

L7689-812498.1

- 2 - MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

CHRISTOPHER TANSOSCH AND GEORGIANA,

Index No.: 190382-2010

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

84 LUMBER COMPANY et al. including,  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- X

This Document Relates To:

JOHN CHILARSKI and HELEN CHIALRSKI,

Plaintiffs.  
----- X

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)


Index No: 10190391/10

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, AVIALL, INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, and Section XVI of this Court's Amended Case Management Order dated September 20, 1996 and amended February 19, 2003 dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint and all amendments thereto with prejudice based upon Plaintiffs' lack of identification of exposure to any asbestos containing product for which Defendant, AVIALL, INC., bears legal responsibility, and there being no opposition thereto, it is


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, AVIALL, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
FEB 25, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kimberly A. Tracey, Esq.  
NAPOLI BERN RIPKA LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
350 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 7413  
New York, NY 10118  
(212) 267-3700

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph A. D'Avanzo, Esq.  
WILSON ELSER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants  
AVIALL, INC.  
3 Gannett Drive  
White Plains, NY 10604  
914-323-7000  
File No. 07040.00018

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.S.C.

3038938.1

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 24 2011  
SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190393/10

EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,


Defendants.

-----x  
WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
APR - 4 2011

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN  
STANDARD INC.,  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

**FILED**  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190393/10

EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jordan Fox, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-1  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

Sherry Klein Heimer  
Judge Sherry Klein Heimer

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL  
IAS Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

-----X  
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

-----  
**EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO**

INDEX NO: 190393/2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

**A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.**

Defendant(s)  
-----X

**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **Armstrong International, Inc.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant **Armstrong International Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

Mar. 11, 2011



Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue - 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 681-1575



THOMAS M. BENEVENTANO  
La Sorsa & Beneventano  
Attorney for Defendant  
**ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601  
Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED, \_\_\_\_\_

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

x

MARVIN EISENBERG and LORRAINE EISENBERG,

Index No. 190397-2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

CARRIER CORPORATION et al., including  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
x

WHEREFORE, defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/23/2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.  
Belluck & Fox LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10036

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Novakidis, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for General Electric Company  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 24 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THOMAS J. COYNE AND SUZANNE COYNE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA (ALCOA),  
et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190399/2010

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

WHEREFORE, Defendant Komatsu America Corp. ("Komatsu"), incorrectly identified in the complaint as "Komatsu America Corporation," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Komatsu, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Komatsu be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost.

Dated: 3/4/11

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By   
Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
Tel: (212) 558-5500

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By   
Marc J. Shanker, Esq.  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178  
Tel: (212) 309-6000

*Attorneys for Defendant Komatsu America Corp.*

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED

  
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x  
NYCAL  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

\_\_\_\_\_  
JULIO C. GARAY and ROX ANA GARAY,

x  
Index No. 190404-2010

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),  
-against-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ x

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

**FILED**


APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Dated: New York, New York  
3/23/2011



Robert Gordon, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500



Dennis E. Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JULIO C. GARAY and ROXANA GARAY

Index No. 190404/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

---


WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/25, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

975-403-0010

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SKH - DATED:                     

MAR 18 2011



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. FOLEY and THOMAS FOLEY


Index No. 190411/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED 3/14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

*Patricia Foley and Thomas Foley*

  
Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190413/10

CORNELIUS BYL and NINA YANG BYL,

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-


A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

-----X  
WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 22, 2011  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles Ferguson, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003  
(212) 558-5500

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.  
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC  
Attorney for Defendant  
BIRD INCORPORATED  
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18  
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736  
(732) 528-8888  
NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK


-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
-----X


This Document Relates To: :  
: NYCAL  
DANIEL ZINK and DOROTHY ZINK, : I.A.S. Part 30  
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)  
Plaintiff(s), :  
: Index No.: 190417-10  
-against- :  
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**  
AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al., : **JUDGMENT MOTION AND**  
: **ORDER**  
Defendants. :  
-----X

**WHEREFORE**, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 16, 2011  
New York, New York

  
Adam Cooper, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.  
K&L GATES LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CRANE CO.  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 536-3900

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,   
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**MAR 22 2011**

536.24184/AJM  
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	:	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	IAS PART 30
	:	(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
<hr/>		
This document relates to:	:	
	:	
VOLKERT J. PETERS,	:	Index No.: 190423/10
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	(April 2011 <i>In Extremis</i> Trial
	:	Group)
vs.	:	
	:	
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION,	:	<b>NO OPPOSITION</b>
et al.,	:	<b>SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION</b>
	:	<b>AND ORDER</b>
Defendants.	:	
<hr/>		

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/17/11  
East Hanover, New Jersey

  
BENJAMIN DARCHE, ESQ.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, New York 10003

  
STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.  
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO  
& FLINN  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
United Conveyor Corporation  
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350  
P.O. Box 438  
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

  
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 24 2011

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VOLKERT PETERS

INDEX NO. 102918/00 AND 190423/10  
ASSIGNED TO:  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER  
  
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9/11



Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035



Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10001-3198

**FILED**

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES  
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VOLKERT PETERS

INDEX NO. 102918/00 AND 190423/10  
ASSIGNED TO:  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER  
  
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/9/11

Benjamin Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.  
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.  
Attorney for Defendant  
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.  
4 Irving Place  
New York, NY 10003-3508

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Index No. 10-190423  
00-108848

Plaintiff,

- against -

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

3/4, 2011

BY: 

WITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212)558-5500  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Benjamin Darche

BY: 

Patrick J. Dwyer  
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHLER &  
BRENNAN, LLP  
2 Research Way,  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
(609)924-6000  
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED 

Hon. Sherry Heitler

**MAR 21 2011**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
VOLKERT J. PETERS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.  
-----X

Index No.: 190423/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND  
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules

~~Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice,~~  
and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 14, 2011

  
Ben Darche, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
David H. Kochman, Esq.  
HARRIS BEACH PLLC  
Attorneys For Defendant  
BLACKMER  
100 Wall Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED, 

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID B. DURK and ARLENE DURK,


Index No. 190432/10

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PEDRO RODRIGUEZ,

Index No. 190451/10


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Julie L. Mer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

x

NYCAL

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

x

ROBERT FOGLIETTA,

Index No. 190463-2010

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND  
ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including  
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.


\_\_\_\_\_  
x

WHEREFORE, defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FOSTER WHEELER, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

9/23/2011  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert Gordon, Esq.  
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
700 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003  
(212) 558-5500

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Dennis E. Vega, Esq.  
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP  
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC  
Three Gateway Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102  
(973) 242-0002

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

APR 24 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- x  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
----- x

This Document Relates To:  
ISAAC RUBIN and SHEILA RUBIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).  
----- -x

NYCAL  
I.A.S. Part 30  
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190008/2011


**NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION  
AND ORDER**


**WHEREFORE**, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 21, 2011


Signed by Plaintiff: 2/24, 2011

  
Bryan Belasky, Esq.  
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 681-1575

  
Kathleen Marron Trabold, Esq.  
MCGUIREWOODS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
1345 Avenue of the Americas  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10105  
(212) 548-2100


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

SO ORDERED,   
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 22 2011

SKH - DATED: 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,

Index No. 190028/11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER


---

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/14, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Noriel Sta. Maria  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 10 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X  
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY : NYCAL  
ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

-----X  
This Document Relates To: :

ROBERT POLOTAYE AND SANDRA L.  
POLOTAYE, :

Plaintiff(s), :

- against - :

A.O SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET.  
AL., :

Defendant(s).

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT MOTION**

**Index No.: 190028/11**

-----X  
**WHEREFORE**, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York  
March 17, 2011

By: Chris Romanelli, Esq.  
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC  
700 Broadway,  
New York, New York 10003  
212-681-1575  
Counsel for: Robert Polotaye and Sandra L.  
Polotaye

By: Justin M. Kasmir, Esq.  
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN &  
DEUTSCH, LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
**Ford Motor Company**  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
T: 212-593-6700  
F: 212-593-6970


**FILED**

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SO ORDERED:

  
HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

MAR 22 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,


Index No. 126681/02  
190028/11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Lockheed Martin Corp.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,


Index No. 126681/02  
190028/11

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,


ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT POLOTAYE and SANDRA POLOTAYE,

Index No. 126681/02  
190028/11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-15, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Andrew M. Warshauer  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

**FILED**  
APR - 4 2011  
NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

UTA ENDRES

Index No. 190034/11


NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/19, 2011

  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003

  
Colleen M. Cronin  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Borg-Warner Corporation  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

**FILED**

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  
MAR 18 2011

SKH - DATED:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD MULLER

Index No. ?

NO OPPOSITION  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3-14, 2011



Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Weitz & Luxenberg  
700 Broadway - 7th floor  
New York, NY 10003



Anna M. DiLonardo  
Attorneys for Defendant  
BMCE Inc.  
Weiner Lesniak LLP  
888 Veterans Memorial Highway  
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

  
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR - 4 2011

NEW YORK  
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 18 2011