

**Supreme Court of the State of New York**  
**Appellate Division: Second Judicial Department**

D17457  
Y/kmg

\_\_\_\_\_AD3d\_\_\_\_\_

Argued - October 19, 2007

ROBERT A. LIFSON, J.P.  
MARK C. DILLON  
JOSEPH COVELLO  
WILLIAM E. McCARTHY, JJ.

---

2006-08169

DECISION & ORDER

In the Matter of Matthew Donald R. (Anonymous),  
Stacey R. (Anonymous), et al., appellants;  
Administration for Children's Services, et al., respondents.  
(Proceeding No. 1)

In the Matter of Peter Julian R. (Anonymous),  
Stacey R. (Anonymous), et al., appellants;  
Administration for Children's Services, et al., respondents.  
(Proceeding No. 2)

(Docket Nos. N-16123/01, N-16124/01)

---

Steven Greenfield, West Hampton Dunes, N.Y., for appellants.

Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel, New York, N.Y. (Larry A. Sonnenshein and Mordecai Newman of counsel), for respondent Administration for Children's Services.

Carrieri & Carrieri, P.C., Mineola, N.Y. (Ralph R. Carrieri of counsel), for respondent SCO Family of Services.

Steven Banks, New York, N.Y. (Tamara A. Steckler and Marcia Egger of counsel), Law Guardian for the children.

In related proceedings pursuant to Family Court Act article 10 and Social Services Law § 384-b, the parents appeal from an order of the Family Court, Queens County (Salinitro, J.),

December 26, 2007

Page 1.

MATTER OF R. (ANONYMOUS), MATTHEW DONALD  
MATTER OF R. (ANONYMOUS), PETER JULIAN

dated July 26, 2006, which granted the petitioners' motion to temporarily suspend supervised visitation between the parents and their two children.

ORDERED that the order is reversed, on the law, without costs or disbursements, the petitioners' motion to temporarily suspend supervised visitation is denied, and the matter is remitted to the Family Court, Queens County to complete the adjudication of all outstanding issues forthwith.

Total denial of visitation to a parent "should only be affirmed where the totality of the record contains compelling reasons to deny visitation as detrimental to the child's well-being" (*Matter of Jones v McMore*, 37 AD3d 1031, 1032). The petitioners failed to show that continued supervised visitation would be detrimental to the children.

The parties' remaining contentions are without merit.

LIFSON, J.P., DILLON, COVELLO and McCARTHY, JJ., concur.

ENTER:



James Edward Pelzer  
Clerk of the Court