

Supreme Court of the State of New York
Appellate Division: Second Judicial Department

D33029
C/nl

_____AD3d_____

Submitted - November 7, 2011

REINALDO E. RIVERA, J.P.
JOHN M. LEVENTHAL
ARIEL E. BELEN
SHERI S. ROMAN, JJ.

2010-07153

DECISION & ORDER

In the Matter of Amos Katz, appellant, v Hazel Dotan,
respondent.

In the Matter of Hazel Dotan, respondent, v Amos
Katz, appellant.

(Docket Nos. F-932-01/08I, F-932-01/09L-M)

Amos Katz, Haifa, Israel, appellant pro se.

In related child support proceedings pursuant to Family Court Act article 4, the father appeals from an order of the Family Court, Nassau County (Kent, J.), dated May 21, 2010, which denied his objections to two orders of the same court (Cahn, S.M.), both dated February 5, 2010, which, after a hearing, inter alia, denied his petition for a downward modification of his child support obligation.

ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed, without costs or disbursements.

It is the obligation of the appellant to assemble a proper record on appeal (*see* Family Ct Act § 1118; CPLR 5525[a]; *Matter of Remy v Mitchell*, 60 AD3d 860). The failure to provide necessary transcripts inhibits the Court's ability to render an informed decision on the merits of the appeal (*see Matter of Rudick v Rudick*, 16 AD3d 514). In this case, the full record of the proceedings in the Family Court has not been transcribed (*see Matter of Davis v Pegues*, 266 AD2d 288; *Matter of Baiko v Baiko*, 141 AD2d 635).

December 6, 2011

Page 1.

MATTER OF KATZ v DOTAN
MATTER OF DOTAN v KATZ

This appeal must be dismissed, as the papers provided were patently insufficient for the purpose of reviewing the issues the father has raised (*see Matter of Remy v Mitchell*, 60 AD3d at 860; *Matter of Zullo v Hom*, 22 AD3d 675, 676; *Matter of Rudick v Rudick*, 16 AD3d at 514).

RIVERA, J.P., LEVENTHAL, BELEN and ROMAN, JJ., concur.

ENTER:


Aprilanne Agostino
Clerk of the Court