SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

1084

KA 17-01302

PRESENT: SMITH, J.P., CARNI, LINDLEY, CURRAN, AND TROUTMAN, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

7.7

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

JOSHUA L. MILLER, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

THE LEGAL AID BUREAU OF BUFFALO, INC., BUFFALO (KAIXI XU OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

JOSEPH V. CARDONE, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ALBION (SUSAN M. HOWARD OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

Appeal from a judgment of the Orleans County Court (James P. Punch, J.), rendered October 17, 2016. The judgment convicted defendant upon his plea of guilty of attempted criminal possession of a controlled substance in the second degree.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed.

Memorandum: Defendant appeals from a judgment convicting him upon his plea of guilty of attempted criminal possession of a controlled substance in the second degree (Penal Law §§ 110.00, 220.18 [2]). We reject defendant's contention that his waiver of the right to appeal was invalid. The plea colloquy establishes that defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waived the right to appeal (see generally People v Lopez, 6 NY3d 248, 256 [2006]). Inasmuch as defendant's valid waiver of the right to appeal specifically included a waiver of the right to challenge the severity of the sentence and defendant was informed of "the maximum sentence [County Court] could impose in its discretion," the waiver encompasses his challenge to the severity of his sentence (People v Lococo, 92 NY2d 825, 827 [1998]; see People v Lasher, 151 AD3d 1774, 1775 [4th Dept 2017], lv denied 29 NY3d 1129 [2017]). Defendant's valid waiver of the right to appeal likewise encompasses his challenges to the court's suppression ruling (see People v Antonio J., 173 AD3d 1743, 1744 [4th Dept 2019]; People v Brand, 112 AD3d 1320, 1321 [4th Dept 2013], lv denied 23 NY3d 961 [2014]).

Entered: November 15, 2019 Mark W. Bennett Clerk of the Court