

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**  
***Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department***

1314

**KAH 10-00951**

PRESENT: MARTOCHE, J.P., SCONIERS, GREEN, AND PINE, JJ.

---

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK EX REL.  
LEROY HAYES, PETITIONER-APPELLANT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL  
SERVICES, RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

---

HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP, NEW YORK CITY (KEVIN F. CLINES OF COUNSEL),  
FOR PETITIONER-APPELLANT.

ANDREW M. CUOMO, ATTORNEY GENERAL, ALBANY (VICTOR PALADINO OF  
COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

---

Appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Wyoming County (Mark H. Dadd, A.J.), entered August 7, 2009 in a habeas corpus proceeding. The judgment dismissed the petition.

It is hereby ORDERED that the judgment so appealed from is unanimously affirmed without costs.

Memorandum: Supreme Court properly dismissed the petition seeking a writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner contends that, because he was incarcerated when he committed the assault underlying the parole violation charges, he was not on parole and therefore could not violate his parole. We reject that contention (*see People ex rel. Wilson v Jackson*, 2 AD2d 638). As a parolee, petitioner remained in the legal custody of the Division of Parole "until expiration of the maximum term or period of sentence, or expiration of the period of supervision, including any period of [postrelease] supervision, or return to the custody of [respondent]" (Executive Law § 259-i [2] [b]). Thus, petitioner was on parole despite the fact that he was incarcerated when he committed the assault in question.

Entered: November 12, 2010

Patricia L. Morgan  
Clerk of the Court