November 22, 2016

CASES

No. 177
Ace Fire Underwriters Insurance Company, &c.,

Appellant,

V.

Special Funds Conservation Committee, Respondent.

2 No. 182
In the Matter of ACME Bus Corp.,
Appellant,
V.

Orange County, et al., Respondents.

1 No. 180
Rasheed Al Rushaid, et al.,
Appellants,
v.
Pictet & Cie, et al.,

Respondents.

Order reversed, with costs, and matter remitted to Supreme Court, New York County, for further proceedings in accordance with the memorandum herein.

Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Pigott, Rivera, Abdus-Salaam, Stein, Fahey and Garcia concur.

Order reversed, with costs, and matter remitted to Supreme Court, Orange County, for further proceedings in accordance with the opinion herein. Opinion by Judge Fahey.

Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Rivera, Abdus-Salaam and Stein concur.

Judge Garcia dissents and votes to affirm in an opinion in which Judge Pigott concurs.

Order reversed, with costs, and case remitted to Supreme Court, New York County, for further proceedings in accordance with the opinion herein. Opinion by Judge Rivera.

Judges Abdus-Salaam, Fahey and Garcia concur, Judge Garcia in a concurring opinion in which Judge Abdus-Salaam concurs.

Judge Pigott dissents and votes to affirm in an opinion in which Chief Judge DiFiore and Judge Stein concur.

No. 186

The People &c., Respondent, V. Jose Aviles,

Appellant.

No 166 The People &c., Respondent, V. Phillip Couser, Appellant. (Appeal No. 1.)

No. 167 The People &c., Respondent, Phillip Couser, Appellant. (Appeal No. 2.)

No 185 Betty L. Kimmel, Respondent, V. State of New York et al., Appellants.

Emmelyn Logan-Baldwin,

Interested Party-Respondent.

Order affirmed. Opinion by Judge Garcia. Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Pigott, Abdus-Salaam and Stein concur. Judge Rivera dissents in an opinion in which Judge Fahey concurs.

Order, insofar as appealed from, affirmed. Opinion by Judge Abdus-Salaam. Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Pigott and Garcia concur.

Judge Fahey dissents in part in an opinion in which Judges Rivera and Stein concur, Judge Stein concurring in so much of the opinion as relates to the appeal herein.

Order affirmed. Opinion by Judge Abdus-Salaam. Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Pigott, Stein and Garcia concur, Judge Stein concurring in so much of the opinion as relates to the appeal herein. Judge Fahey concurs in result in a separate concurring opinion in which Judge Rivera concurs.

Reargument ordered and case set down for argument at a future session of the Court. Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Rivera, Abdus-Salaam, Stein and Garcia concur. Judges Pigott and Fahey took no part.

2 No. 176
In the Matter of Donald William Leo, a Disbarred Attorney,
Appellant.

Order affirmed, without costs.
Opinion Per Curiam.
Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Pigott, Abdus-Salaam, Stein and Garcia concur.
Judge Fahey concurs in result in a separate concurring opinion.
Judge Rivera took no part.

MOTIONS

1 Mo. No. 2016-968
In the Matter of 111 Condominium, et al.,
Appellants,
V.
Board of Standards and Appeals of the City of New York et al.,
Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

4 Mo. No. 2016-954
In the Matter of Saifuddin Abdus-Samad,
Appellant,
V.
Anthony J. Annucci, &c.,
Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2016-923 Ramandeep Badwal, Respondent, V. Avtar S. Badwal, Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that this Court does not have jurisdiction to entertain the motion (see NY Const, art VI, § 3[b]; CPLR 5602).

1 Mo. No. 2016-1006 BGC Notes, LLC, Appellant, v. Kevin J. Gordon,

Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Mo. No. 2016-990 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction Bond & Broadway, LLC, Appellant, disbursements Funding Exchange, Inc. et al., Respondents. 2 Mo. No. 2016-982 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, V. Danny Broadus, Appellant. Mo. No. 2016-911 Motion for leave to appeal denied. The People &c., Respondent, v. Kelly J. Burke, Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred Mo. No. 2016-930 dollars costs and necessary reproduction Joseph Collins, disbursements. Appellant, Martin P. Unger, Esq., et al., Respondents, Certilman Balin Adler & Hyman, LLP, et al., Defendants Mo. No. 2016-942 1 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction Roy Den Hollander, disbursements. Appellant, V.

Tory Shepherd, et al.,

Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. 2 Mo. No. 2016-953 In the Matter of Alisa R. Diamond, Respondent, V. Stephen E. Lichaw, Appellant. 2 Mo. No. 2016-962 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, V. Nancy Gonzalez, Appellant. Mo. No. 2016-914 Motion for leave to appeal denied. The People &c., Respondent, v. William P. Graziano, Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal denied. Mo. No. 2016-905 Judge Fahey took no part. Manuel H., &c., Appellant, V. Ellen Landsberger, M.D., et al., Respondents. Motion for reconsideration of this Court's September 3 Mo. No. 2016-981 In the Matter of Douglas E. Johnson, 13, 2016 dismissal order denied. Appellant, V. Anthony J. Annucci, &c.,

Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2016-972 The People &c., Respondent, V. Jackie Jordan, Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

4 Mo. No. 2016-924
In the Matter of Deatrick Marshall,
Appellant,
V.
Anthony Annucci, &c.,
Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed as untimely (see CPLR 5513[b]).

Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

2 Mo. No. 2016-925

Jill S. Meyer, M.D.,
 Appellant,
 V.

North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health
System, Inc., et al.,
 Respondents,
et al.,
 Defendants.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Jill S. Meyer, M.D.,
Appellant,
V.
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health
System, Inc., et al.,
Defendants,
Parker Jewish Institute et al.,
Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Mo. No. 2016-961 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, V. Jermel Mitchell, Appellant. 1 Mo. No. 2016-899 Motion for leave to appeal granted. Paramount Pictures Corporation, Appellant, V. Allianz Risk Transfer AG, et al., Respondents, et al., Defendant. Mo. No. 2016-992 Motion for leave to appeal denied. The People &c., Respondent, V. Victor Pavia, Appellant. Mo. No. 2016-984 1 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction Antoinette Sardina Pinkham, disbursements. Appellant, West Elm, &c. et al., Respondents. Mo. No. 2016-915 Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from Patrick Quintavalle, does not finally determine the action within the Respondent, meaning of the Constitution. Nestor Perez, III, et al.,

Appellants.

1 Mo. No. 2016-966
Angel R., &c., et al.,
Respondents,
v.
New York City Transit Authority et al.,
Appellants,
et al.,
Defendant.

3 Mo. No. 2016-867
Matthew J. Ryan,
 Appellant,
et al.,
 Plaintiff,
 V.
Powers & Santola, LLP, et al.,
 Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

On the Court's own motion, appeal, insofar as taken from the June 2016 Appellate Division order, dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution; appeal, insofar as also deemed taken (see CPLR 5512) from so much of the April 2016 Appellate Division order as finally determined the action, dismissing appellant's appeal to that court from the May 2015 Supreme Court order dismissing his complaint, dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved. Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the June 2016 Appellate Division order, dismissed, upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution; motion, insofar as also deemed to be seeking leave to appeal (see CPLR 5512) from so much of the April 2016 Appellate Division order as finally determined the action, dismissing appellant's appeal to that court from the May 2015 Supreme Court order dismissing his complaint, denied.

Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

1 Mo. No. 2016-979 Mohammad Saidin, Appellant, v. Sam Negron et al., Respondents. Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

2 Mo. No. 2016-960 Caroline Swoboda, et al., Respondents,

v. Adrian Philip Fontanetta, &c., et al., Respondents, Day-Op Center of Long Island, Inc., Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

3 Mo. No. 2016-922
In the Matter of Injah Unique Tafari,
Appellant,
V.
Anthony J. Annucci, &c.,
Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

2 Mo. No. 2016-947
Theaprin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., &c., et al.,
Appellants,
v.
Joseph D. Conway, et al.,
Respondents.
(Nassau County Index No. 601039/13)

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2016-948
Theaprin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., &c., et al.,
Appellants,
V.
Joseph D. Conway, et al.,
Respondents,
et al.,
Defendants.
(Nassau County Index No. 601040/13)

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

1 Mo. No. 2016-906
In the Matter of Elaine Ward,
Appellant,
V.
City of New York, et al.,
Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.