This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the New York Reports.

-------

Submitted by Michael J. Genute, for appellant. Submitted by Cheryl F. Coleman, for respondent.

## MEMORANDUM:

The order of the Appellate Division should be reversed and the case remitted to the Appellate Division for consideration of issues raised, but not determined, on the appeal to that court.

The People's forensic expert gave statistical testimony

- 2 - SSM 11

regarding the likelihood ("1.661 quadrillion times more likely") that defendant and his deceased wife, rather than two randomly selected individuals, were contributors to a DNA mixture profile drawn from a blood stain on defendant's sweatshirt. prosecutor, during his summation, summarized this testimony by telling the jury that the victim's DNA was "on" defendant's sweatshirt. Defense counsel's failure to object to this characterization did not amount to ineffective assistance of The expert testimony regarding the "likelihood ratio" here contrasts with the testimony at issue in People v Wright (25 NY3d 769 [2015]), which "only indicated that defendant could not be excluded from the pool of male DNA contributors, and . . . provided no statistical comparison to measure the significance of those results" (Wright, 25 NY3d at 771). Nor did counsel's other alleged errors of representation, either individually or collectively, deprive defendant of meaningful representation.

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Division, Third Department, for consideration of issues raised but not determined on appeal to that court, in a memorandum. Chief Judge DiFiore and Judges Rivera, Stein, Fahey, Garcia and Wilson concur. Judge Feinman took no part.

Decided June 29, 2017