1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	MATTER OF
5	MERRY-GO-ROUND PLAYHOUSE, INC.,
6	Respondent,
7	-against- No. 201
8	ASSESSOR OF CITY OF AUBURN, ET AL.,
9	Appellants.
10	20 Eagle Street
11	Albany, New York 12207 October 21, 2014
12	Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE VICTORIA A. GRAFFEO ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROBERT S. SMITH ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR. ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA
16	ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM
17	Appearances:
18	ANDREW S. FUSCO, ESQ.
19	CITY OF AUBURN CORPORATION COUNSEL Attorneys for Appellants
20	Memorial City Hall 24 South Street
21	Auburn, NY 13021
22	CHARLES H. LYNCH, JR., ESQ. BOYLE & ANDERSON, P.C.
23	Attorneys for Respondent 110 Genesee Street, Suite 300
24	Auburn, NY 13021
25	Karen Schiffmiller Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: We're going to start
2	with number 201, Matter of Merry Gray Merry
3	Rogro Merry-Go-Round Playhouse.
4	Counselor? Would you like any rebuttal
5	time, counselor?
6	MR. FUSCO: Would I
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Rebuttal time?
8	MR. FUSCO: Probably not.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No rebuttal time?
10	MR. FUSCO: No rebuttal.
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Go ahead; you're on.
12	MR. FUSCO: Thank you very much, Your
13	Honor. If it please the court, my name is Andrew
14	Fusco. I'm here on behalf of the city of Auburn.
15	This is my son and assistant, Adam Fusco, who
16	assisted me on the brief.
17	JUDGE PIGOTT: Mr. Fusco, what's the fiscal
18	impact of this case, if if they
19	MR. FUSCO: The fiscal impact? This is
20	about a million dollars worth of assessed valuation.
21	JUDGE PIGOTT: But what is what is -
22	what are the taxes?
23	MR. FUSCO: What are the taxes? The
24	present levy, I don't know per thousand.

JUDGE PIGOTT: I noticed in one of the - -

- one of the purchase agreements, it was about 5,000 1 2 dollars. Does that make sense? 3 MR. FUSCO: That would make - - - that would make - - - no, it would actually be more than 4 5 that. Just from my own experience, if - - - knowing 6 what my house is worth, and it's less than a million 7 dollars, what it's assessed for. In school, county 8 and city, we're probably talking about 35 to 40,000 9 total. 10 JUDGE PIGOTT: Okay. 11 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, what's - - -12 what's the issue here? What - - - why - - - why 13 isn't this arts-centered theater group that - - -14 that has this strong summer component, why shouldn't 15 they get an exemption? What's - - - what's wrong with it? 16 17 MR. FUSCO: Well, the rea - - - the reason 18 is, Your Honor, two-fold. There's a two-prong test 19 under Section 420 of - - -20 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you agree that 2.1 this is for a - - - an artistic or - - - purpose? 22 MR. FUSCO: I agree that they started life 23 that way. In 1958, when this entity was organized, 24 it was clearly an educational function. 25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Now they're not an

	educational format?
2	MR. FUSCO: Now they've grown tremendously
3	and they're primary activity is presenting Broadway-
4	style plays.
5	JUDGE GRAFFEO: But they're they're
6	activities are consistent with their mission
7	statement in their certificate of incorporation.
8	MR. FUSCO: I don't I think the
9	activity of presenting theater, whether it's theater
10	to students and schools, or whether it's theater,
11	Broadway-style theater
12	JUDGE GRAFFEO: It's still a
13	MR. FUSCO: is consistent with
14	with
15	JUDGE GRAFFEO: it's still a cultural
16	activity, isn't it?
17	MR. FUSCO: right is consistent
18	with their with their mission statement
19	JUDGE GRAFFEO: It's still a cultural
20	activity.
21	MR. FUSCO: However, the ownership
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, the question
23	is, is it a cultural activity, Judge Graffeo is
24	asking?
25	MR. FUSCO: Is it a cultural activity?

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: In general, isn't it
2	cultural, and isn't that consistent with the purposes
3	of a tax-exempt group?
4	MR. FUSCO: Not necessarily. This court -
5	
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why not? Why not?
7	MR. FUSCO: This court this court
8	specifically addressed that question in the Symphony
9	Space case back in 1983. And in the Symphony Space
10	case, you said that Symphony Space Theater is exempt
11	because it does not compete commercially with other
12	theaters. In other words, as Supreme Court found,
13	the mere act of theater is, in itself, not an exempt
14	activity.
15	But certain obviously many of the
16	theaters on Broadway
17	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Are they making
18	money? Is it a money-make
19	MR. FUSCO: are not exempt from
20	taxation, most of them.
21	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is it a money-making
22	enterprise?
23	MR. FUSCO: It is a money-making
24	enterprise. You've got their financial data before
25	you.

1	JUDGE SMITH: It's a not it's a not -
2	it's not-for-profit, but they
3	MR. FUSCO: They are a not-for-profit
4	entity
5	JUDGE GRAFFEO: So not for profit
6	MR. FUSCO: but they do run in the
7	black.
8	JUDGE GRAFFEO: And they've well,
9	they're run in they've run a deficit at some
10	time in the past.
11	MR. FUSCO: They have had deficits at
12	at some times.
13	JUDGE GRAFFEO: But they still have a
14	fairly extensive educational component to their
15	activities.
16	MR. FUSCO: Yes, and and I the
17	City concedes that the activities that they conduct
18	in the wintertime, going to schools, teaching
19	theatrical arts to students, putting on shows for
20	young students, is
21	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Isn't that intimately
22	
23	MR. FUSCO: is an exempt activity.
24	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, but isn't
25	that intimately connected with what they do in the

1	summer?
2	MR. FUSCO: I think the two are totally
3	disconnected.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You think the summer
5	summer is a commercial enterprise
6	MR. FUSCO: Correct, Your Honor.
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: as opposed to
8	what they do?
9	MR. FUSCO: Correct, Your Honor.
LO	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Even though they're a
L1	not-for-profit?
L2	MR. FUSCO: Correct, Your Honor.
L3	JUDGE SMITH: So even
L4	JUDGE GRAFFEO: So so what would be
L5	the rule you want us to say? It sounds like you want
L6	us to come up with something more definitive than the
L7	two-prong test.
L8	MR. FUSCO: Well, the the I
L9	- I don't think that this particular organization
20	satisfies either of the two prongs. We've already
21	discussed the fact that I don't think that that
22	because they compete with commercial theaters,
23	that's uncontroverted. Mr. Sayles said that in his
24	affidavit. We need this housing in other in

order to compete with for-profit theaters.

1	JUDGE PIGOTT: At one point in your summary
2	Mr. Fusco, one point
3	MR. FUSCO: They don't satisfy that
4	particular test, but they don't satisfy the second
5	prong.
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge Pigott's asking
7	you a question.
8	JUDGE PIGOTT: In one at one point in
9	your in your opposition to the motion for
LO	summary judgment, you said it's uncontested that
L1	petitioner satisfies the first prong.
L2	MR. FUSCO: At the time of their formation.
L3	However, as as the theater group has grown
L4	since 1958, they're doing a number act of
L5	activities that are very commercial in nature, and
L6	they admit in the papers
L7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Explain explain
L8	to me the difference between commercial in nature and
L9	cultural in nature?
20	MR. FUSCO: I think there is a difference,
21	Your Honor.
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's the
23	difference?
24	MR. FUSCO: And and I think that this
25	court drew that line in the Symphony Space case.

```
JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, counsel, if - -
 1
 2
          - if Symphony Space did compete with off-off
 3
          Broadway, which it is itself - - -
 4
                    MR. FUSCO: Right.
 5
                    JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: - - - are you saying
 6
          that their theater wouldn't be tax exempt?
 7
                    MR. FUSCO: If the theater competes with
          commercial activities, it is not an exempt
 8
 9
          organization.
10
                    JUDGE SMITH: Well, it does - - - even if
11
          it's - - - even if it's cultural - - - I mean,
12
          culture isn't in the statute, as I understand it.
13
          It's got to be educational or improvement. Or is
14
          culture in there?
                    MR. FUSCO: Well, the - - - the Appellate
15
16
          Division found the educational component - - -
17
                    JUDGE SMITH: Okay, I guess - - - I guess -
18
          - - I guess what I'm saying is - - -
19
                    MR. FUSCO: - - - and the moral improvement
20
          of man - - -
2.1
                    JUDGE SMITH: - - - isn't - - - can't you
22
          have a culture - - - is every cultural organization
23
          necessarily educational?
24
                    MR. FUSCO: Possibly, but not every
25
          cultural organization is exempt.
```

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, okay. I get -
2	let me I'm going to switch to a different
3	question. Is is this record really adequate
4	for us to to say the facts are clear either way
5	as to whether this is a an educational or
6	I guess, educational is the test?
7	MR. FUSCO: I think it is, Your Honor. I -
8	
9	JUDGE SMITH: That's clear your way, but I
10	mean, how you with there isn't that
11	much in the record about exactly what they do.
12	MR. FUSCO: Well, and and that may
13	well be true from your perspective. I, living in
14	Auburn
15	JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, but we don't yeah
16	
17	MR. FUSCO: are intimately familiar
18	with what they do.
19	JUDGE SMITH: But it's got to be it's
20	got to be in the record
21	MR. FUSCO: And I think and I think
22	Supreme Court
23	JUDGE SMITH: I mean, living in
24	Auburn doesn't do it.
25	MR. FUSCO: Yeah, and I think, Supreme

1	Court Judge Fandrich explained perfectly well in
2	finding facts in this case
3	JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, but they don't
4	MR. FUSCO: exactly what this theater
5	does and why he didn't consider it
6	JUDGE SMITH: But don't but doesn't -
7	doesn't it have to be in the record what they do?
8	We can't go on the fact that he lives in Auburn and
9	he knows what they do.
10	MR. FUSCO: Well, his decision is in the
11	record. And he did make a number of findings of fact
12	regarding what this entity does. The facts really
13	aren't in dispute, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE SMITH: He you whether
15	the he he granted you a motion to dismiss
16	by you.
17	MR. FUSCO: He I made a motion to
18	dismiss on movant's answer. He converted it to
19	summary judgment
20	JUDGE SMITH: So he gave you some
21	MR. FUSCO: and granted it
22	JUDGE SMITH: He gave you summary judgment,
23	and the Appellate Division gave them summary
24	judgment. I guess my question is, does either party
25	really meet the summary judgment burden on this

1	record? Isn't couldn't just if you
2	if you if you're just stuck with the record,
3	and don't have the benefit of living of living
4	in Auburn, it's hard to know whether they're an
5	educational institution or not.
6	MR. FUSCO: Well, let let's talk
7	about about this, Your Honor. Let's talk about
8	whether housing is an exempt activity.
9	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, why isn't it,
10	counsel?
11	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Well, why isn't the housing
12	here similar to our yeshiva case, the summer camp
13	case?
14	MR. FUSCO: Why is it not similar to
15	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Why why isn't it
16	similar to that summer camp case?
17	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Or even St. Luke's
18	Hospital?
19	MR. FUSCO: I'm sorry, which case?
20	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Yeshiva.
21	MR. FUSCO: Oh, okay, Yeshi I think
22	the reason it's not similar to Yeshiva
23	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Or as the Judge said our
24	St. Luke's case.
25	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Or St. Luke's

Т	Hospital.
2	MR. FUSCO: Well, St. Luke's and St.
3	by the way, I accept St. Luke's and I accept
4	St. Joseph's. In those situations, as we know,
5	common sense dictates that doctors and nurses are
6	often on call 24/7. The fact that the housing for
7	the doctor and the nurse is across the street from
8	the hospital in St. Luke's, and next door to the
9	hospital in
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you not accept
11	Yeshiva?
12	MR. FUSCO: Yeah, and I'll get to Yeshiva.
13	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No, but I'm asking
14	you. Do you not accept that or you accept that too?
15	MR. FUSCO: I accept Yeshiva. And in
16	Yeshiva, the serious undermine test that this court
17	articulated isn't met by this set of facts.
18	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why is it a differen
19	prong
20	MR. FUSCO: The Merry-Go-Round Theater
21	_
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why is it different
23	than here?
24	MR. FUSCO: The Merry-Go-Round Theater
2.5	

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why is it different
2	from here? Summer housing?
3	MR. FUSCO: Be because the Merry-Go-
4	Round Theater went on well for decades and decades
5	and decades renting from local landlords who paid
6	taxes. They got into the
7	JUDGE RIVERA: They say but they say
8	that
9	MR. FUSCO: They got into the apartment
10	business for pure convenience, because they got
11	they didn't want the
12	JUDGE RIVERA: Well, they say it's more
13	than inconvenience. They say they they cannot
14	financially continue that prior model.
15	MR. FUSCO: Well, I I respectfully
16	disagree. What what Mr. Sayles says in the
17	- in the affidavit is that it was time consuming and
18	took a lot of effort to deal
19	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let me ask you a
20	question.
21	MR. FUSCO: with all these landlords.
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Because it's
23	convenient, it precludes an exemption?
24	MR. FUSCO: Correct.
25	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why where

1	where does it
2	MR. FUSCO: Mere convenience
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: where does it
4	say that?
5	MR. FUSCO: Mere convenience is not
6	seriously undermining the activity, the exempt
7	activity.
8	JUDGE SMITH: Is seriously undermine really
9	a test as it says in Yeshiva, or is it just words we
10	use in one sentence than a test?
11	MR. FUSCO: I think it's a test. I I
12	I think in Yeshiva
13	JUDGE SMITH: In not serious in St.
14	Luke's, there's nothing about seriously undermining.
15	As I read St. Luke's, it just says it advances their
16	purpose, so that's all there is to it.
17	MR. FUSCO: Correct. But again, I would
18	just restrict St. Luke's and St. Joseph's to the
19	facts. Doctors who are on call 24/7, living is part
20	of working
21	JUDGE SMITH: Okay, well, you would
22	you're asking
23	MR. FUSCO: if you're a doctor and a
24	nurse.
25	JUDGE SMITH: You're asking us to do that,

but then you're - - - then you're really saying we 1 2 would - - - we would narrow their rationale 3 considerably over what we actually said in those - -- in that ca - - - at least in St. Luke's. In other 4 5 words, you - - -6 MR. FUSCO: Yes. 7 JUDGE SMITH: - - - you say limit it to its 8 facts. We wrote an opinion that's a little broader 9 than the facts, but you say we should walk away from 10 the opinion and stick to the facts? 11 MR. FUSCO: No, not walk away from it. 12 Doctors and nurses are on call working around the 13 clock. 14 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but the - - but the - - - but - - -15 16 MR. FUSCO: Actors work at 8 o'clock at 17 night. 18 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counselor - - -19 counselor, what we're saying, the language in the 20 decision is much broader than that particular set of 2.1 facts. You want us to stand back from our decision 22 in that case, and narrow what seemed to be the 23 holding in the language in the case? Is that what 24 you're asking us to do?

MR. FUSCO: Yes.

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay.
2	MR. FUSCO: I I
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let's
4	MR. FUSCO: I think I think St.
5	Joseph's and St. Luke's go no further than the facts.
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Let's
7	let's hear from your adversary.
8	MR. FUSCO: Thank you.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks.
LO	MR. LYNCH: Good afternoon, Your Honors.
L1	My name is Charles Lynch for the respondent, Merry-
L2	Go-Round Playhouse, Inc. This is Mr. Michael
L3	Ciaccio, who is my associate, actually for the second
L4	day. He just joined us yesterday, so.
L5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, what's the -
L6	what's the purpose behind this group? What do -
L7	what do they do? Is it broadly educational in -
L8	in your perspective I mean, including play
L9	into that your adversary's discussion of were they
20	competing with other theaters
21	MR. LYNCH: It is
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: commercial
23	theaters.
24	MR. LYNCH: Well, it is broadly
2.5	educational It's

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Does it matter that
2	you compete, if you do, with other theaters?
3	MR. LYNCH: It matters a great deal in that
4	this is a not-for-profit corporation. It's a
5	seasonal theater. In order to attract the talent and
6	staff that it needs
7	JUDGE PIGOTT: What's your income per year?
8	MR. LYNCH: Pardon me?
9	JUDGE PIGOTT: What's your income per year?
10	MR. LYNCH: I do not
11	JUDGE PIGOTT: Gross?
12	MR. LYNCH: I do not know the gross income
13	per year.
14	JUDGE PIGOTT: Can you speculate?
15	MR. LYNCH: I can't even speculate.
16	JUDGE PIGOTT: There's some place in here
17	that said 1.5 million dollars. I was wondering if
18	that was right.
19	JUDGE READ: Does the commercial activity
20	subsidize the educational activity?
21	MR. LYNCH: Yes, it's all it's all
22	part of one organization and one area.
23	JUDGE SMITH: Is it is it your
24	position that any any any non-profit that
25	puts on plays or that that, I mean, puts on

cultural shows is - - - is within the statute? 1 2 MR. LYNCH: No. No, that's not my position 3 at all. JUDGE SMITH: Okay, so what - - - what in 4 5 this record shows that the - - - that your activity -6 - - and particularly the summer theater is what I'm 7 asking about - - - what - - - what shows that it's an 8 educational activity within the meaning of the 9 statute? 10 MR. LYNCH: I think the Appellate Division 11 found that it not only was a - - -12 JUDGE SMITH: I'm not - - - I didn't ask 13 about the - - - what they - - - what they found. 14 What in the record shows it? He - - - he's appealing 15 from what they found. 16 MR. LYNCH: I would say that the - - - I 17 would say that if - - - asking me, I would say that 18 the summer theater more lends itself to the moral or 19 mental improvement of men, women and children. 20 JUDGE PIGOTT: Then why did you say at 2.1 record 185 that the housing accommodations allows it 22 to compete with successful for-profit theater 23 companies? 24 MR. LYNCH: Because in order to operate - -25

1	JUDGE PIGOTT: You're competing with for-
2	pro
3	MR. LYNCH: with these talented staff
4	
5	JUDGE PIGOTT: You're saying you're
6	saying we need a tax exemption, because these for-
7	profits who do not have a tax exemption are beating
8	us, and so we want to be able to compete with them on
9	a commercial level, and to do that, we want to take
10	tax money from Auburn.
11	MR. LYNCH: No, that's not what we're
12	saying. We're saying that we provide housing to our
13	actors and staff
14	JUDGE PIGOTT: No, no, no. It says the
15	housing accommodations allowed it to compete with
16	successful for-profit theater companies. Is that a
17	true statement?
18	MR. LYNCH: Off the ability to house
19	offer housing, not these particular housings.
20	We offered housing for the last forty years.
21	JUDGE SMITH: Well, I mean, I gather that's
22	really you're way of saying that it's necessary to
23	achieve your charitable purpose?
24	MR. LYNCH: Absolutely.
25	JUDGE SMITH: But but I I'm

1 - I'm still hung up on how - - - first of all, I'm a 2 little - - - I'm a little puzzled about, does the 3 record show who - - - I mean, are there times when no 4 theater is being put on? When the theaters are dark? 5 MR. LYNCH: Well, yes, there are. 6 JUDGE SMITH: And so who lives in the 7 houses then? MR. LYNCH: Well, it's - - at those 8 9 times, there can be nobody living in the houses. 10 There - - -11 JUDGE SMITH: You mean - - - you mean they 12 stand there empty? 13 MR. LYNCH: There can be full-time staff. 14 It's not just actors and actresses who live in these 15 houses - - - in these apartments - - - there's full-16 time staff. 17 JUDGE SMITH: I mean, how - - - how do we know even - - - is even that - - - even what you're 18 19 saying now in the record? 20 MR. LYNCH: Well, it's - - - the record 2.1 consists primarily and almost exclusively of the 22 affidavit of the director Ed Sayles. JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, that's - - - that's 23 24 what bothers me. I mean, it does - - - it doesn't 25 tell us all that much about what's really going on.

MR. LYNCH: Well, it does say that the - -1 2 - the youth theater is very active across the state of New York in schools throughout the state - - -3 JUDGE PIGOTT: Yeah, but for - - - for this 4 5 purpose, he says he spends significant amount of time 6 and energy in finding short-term rental apartments in 7 the local community, coordinating lease agreements 8 with various landlords, a process that - - - that has 9 become "cumbersome and difficult". Is that how we 10 base tax exemptions, because somebody says it's hard 11 for me to go through the newspaper and get - - - and 12 get rental properties? 13 MR. LYNCH: As this organization has grown 14 and the need for more and more people has grown with 15 it, it's been very difficult for them to find adequate housing - - -16 17 JUDGE PIGOTT: But that's not - - -18 MR. LYNCH: - - - especially when you're 19 dealing with a landlord who said, well, they're only 20 going to be here a month. 2.1 JUDGE PIGOTT: Can you buy a restaurant? 22 Can you - - - can, at some point, you say, you know, 23 it's - - - it's hard for these people to have who

have to walk out and find their own place to eat, so

we want to buy the downtown restaurant in Auburn and

24

1	use it for our cafeteria and that should be tax
2	exempt, too. Can you do that?
3	MR. LYNCH: The the thing
4	JUDGE PIGOTT: Your answer may be yes. I -
5	I'm
6	MR. LYNCH: Well, again, Your Honor, the
7	focus should be on the use to which this property is
8	put.
9	JUDGE PIGOTT: That's why I say, if you
10	- if you buy the restaurant and say we're going to
11	use it, you know, for our thespians, therefore it
12	ought to be tax exempt, is that okay?
13	MR. LYNCH: I can't answer that question
14	and be speculating. I really don't know.
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's hypothetical.
16	MR. LYNCH: I suppose it's possible
17	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah.
18	MR. LYNCH: but I don't know.
19	JUDGE SMITH: If if you make I
20	suppose if you have an office cafeteria in the
21	building, that would be exempt, so maybe maybe
22	that's your answer to Judge Pigott, just just
23	sort of an off off-premises cafeteria.
24	MR. LYNCH: Well, I know a lot of the cases
25	that we've cited have even the Yeshiva case

1	- has a ritual bath, ten acres of woods for hiking -
2	
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is the Yeshiva case
4	analogous to yours?
5	MR. LYNCH: In some respects, it is.
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's a good case for
7	you?
8	MR. LYNCH: I believe it I believe
9	it's supportive of our position, yes.
LO	JUDGE GRAFFEO: If they were to rent these
L1	apartments during the year when there's not actors or
L2	staff or whoever that are related to their
L3	productions, would would that change the
L4	equation here?
L5	MR. LYNCH: It might. But the problem is
L6	the apartments some of their staff is full
L7	time, because it works on both the summer theater and
L8	the youth
L9	JUDGE GRAFFEO: I'm I'm just asking,
20	if they had rental income, would that change what you
21	would expect our analysis to be?
22	MR. LYNCH: It may, but there are many
23	cases where rental income is derived that does not
24	defeat the tax exempt status.

JUDGE GRAFFEO: Are they deriving any

1 rental income here? 2 MR. LYNCH: No, they are not. JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: You keep using - - -3 MR. LYNCH: They're deriving no rental 4 5 income. As a matter of fact, they re - - - they rely on charitable donations to make ends meet with these 6 7 two properties. 8 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: And you - - - the 9 properties are not just for living space, correct? 10 There - - - there are other things going on there in 11 connection with the charitable purposes of the 12 organization. Isn't that true? 13 MR. LYNCH: Well, they don't just sleep 14 there. They spend time there. Contrary to what my 15 opponent said, the - - - the actor's day doesn't start at 8 o'clock at night. They start in the 16 17 morning, and they're rehearsing and they're running 18 lines, and they're - - - they're working on all kinds 19 of things having to do with a performance. That's 20 for the summer theater. 2.1 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Do they - - - do they 22 make sets there, or do they do other wood - - - you 23 know, wood-working or shopping? 24 MR. LYNCH: I would imagine part of that is

done there. I really - - - I really don't know to

1	that extent. But
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's convenient for
3	you to have this housing, right?
4	MR. LYNCH: It's more than convenient
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But but it is
6	convenient.
7	MR. LYNCH: It certainly is convenient, but
8	
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And is that is
LO	that I asked the same question of your
L1	adversary. Does that matter in terms of the
L2	exemption?
L3	MR. LYNCH: Of course not. Convenience is
L4	not a bar to tax exempt status.
L5	JUDGE SMITH: Why I'm how, if
L6	at all, does the summer theater differ from any off-
L7	Broadway theater that might be a non-profit?
L8	MR. LYNCH: Well, I'm in I'm not sure
L9	that it does. I don't I would have to know
20	what
21	JUDGE SMITH: So you so you so
22	you would say that any off-Broadway theater might be
23	tax exempt.
24	MR. LYNCH: I'm just saying it's possible
25	they could be tax exempt. I would have to know more

1 about the one you're referring to. 2 JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, well, I guess that's 3 sort of my problem with yours. I feel as though I have to know more about it. I mean, all you've got 4 5 is a rather short affidavit saying we put on summer 6 theater. 7 MR. LYNCH: Well, with all due respect, 8 Your Honor, I think it does go farther than that. JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, I - - - I grant you, 9 10 but not a whole lot farther. 11 JUDGE PIGOTT: For example, do you have - -12 - do you have a mortgage on these? 13 MR. LYNCH: I believe there is a mortgage. 14 I did not handle the closing, but I - - - I really -- - I really - - - I believe there is. 15 JUDGE PIGOTT: Looking at the cost benefit, 16 17 I was wondering if the cost of the mortgage is more 18 than the rent. I - - - I just didn't know. 19 mortgage looked like it's substantial with respect to 20 - - - to 230 Genesee. MR. LYNCH: Well, I think - - - I think the 2.1 22 organization did a balancing test and said, you know, 23 we have to negotiate individual leases with a myriad 24 of landlords at various times throughout the year.

There's a cost to that. Or we could acquire these

1 two properties. We have them. Our people can come 2 and go from these properties. They're always available. That's an issue we don't have anymore. 3 4 There's a cost to that, too. So they did a cost-benefit analysis 5 6 obviously, but they do rely, as Mr. Sayles said in 7 his affidavit, on charitable donations to make ends 8 meet with these properties. 9 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Does the proximity of 10 the buildings to wherever the productions are - - -11 are going on, does that make any difference? If - -12 - if they were way across town, as opposed to close 13 by the theater, would that make a difference here? 14 MR. LYNCH: Your Honor, in - - - in Auburn, 15 there's really little that's way across town. 16 very small community. 17 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right. 18 MR. LYNCH: But I don't believe it does, 19 and I think there's cases which have said that that's 20 not a - - - that geographical location is not 2.1 necessarily - - -22 JUDGE GRAFFEO: So what's your strongest 23 case? What precedent would you ask us to follow here 24 that you think is a close parallel to your situation?

MR. LYNCH: Well, I think for various

1	reasons, the Yeshiva case is a very is a very
2	appropriate case. St. Luke's Hospital I think
3	the whole line of cases that allow for full tax
4	exempt status, I mean, in cases that are really more
5	tenuous than what we've got here. Yeshiva, for
6	example, a wooded ten-acre parcel? I don't know. I
7	think we'd run into some problems if we tried to
8	claim something like that was tax exempt.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel,
10	thanks.
11	MR. LYNCH: Thank you, Your Honors.
12	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you both.
13	Appreciate it.
14	(Court is adjourned)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATION

I, Karen Schiffmiller, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of Matter of Merry-Go-Round Playhouse, Inc. v. Assessor of City of Auburn, No. 201, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Hour Laboffmille.

Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: October 29, 2014