1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	MATTER OF EDNA SHANNON, FAMILY SERVICE SOCIETY OF YONKERS, Petitioner,
5	-against-
6	No. 80
7	WESTCHESTER COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, Appellant,
8	EASTCHESTER REHABILITATION
9	& HEALTH CENTER, Respondent.
10	Judicial Institute
11	84 North Broadway White Plains, New York 10603
12	April 29, 2015 Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR.
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM ASSOCIATE JUDGE LESLIE E. STEIN
16	ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE M. FAHEY
17	Appearances:
18	EILEEN CAMPBELL O'BRIEN, ESQ. WESTCHESTER COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF LAW
19	Attorneys for Appellant 600 Michaelian Office Building
20	148 Martine Avenue, 6th Floor White Plains, NY 10601
21	SARAH C. LICHTENSTEIN, ESQ.
22	ABRAMS, FENSTERMAN, FENSTERMAN, EISMAN, FORMATO, FERRARA & WOLF, LLP
23	Attorneys for Respondent 1111 Marcus Avenue, Suite 107
24	Lake Success, NY 11042
25	Karen Schiffmiller Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Number 80.
2	Okay, counsel, you're up.
3	MS. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Do you want any
5	rebuttal time, counsel?
6	MS. O'BRIEN: Two minutes, please, thank
7	you.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Two minutes, sure.
9	Go ahead.
10	MS. O'BRIEN: My na
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You're on.
12	MS. O'BRIEN: May it please the court, my
13	name is Eileen Campbell O'Brien, Senior Assistant
14	County Attorney, on behalf of the Westchester County
15	Department of Social Services.
16	The enactment of Mental Hygiene Law 81.44
17	was to provide a time frame with which a guardian is
18	to act. It was not enacted to provide preferential
19	treatment of the guardian's account upon the ward's
20	death or to expand the rights of the creditors. It
21	is to facilitate the transition between the guardian
22	and the personal representative of the estate, and to
23	provide
24	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, what
25	what do you think is is owed to you to

the Department?

2.1

2.2

MS. O'BRIEN: I think the - - - I think under the Supreme Court, the direction that the guardian was given was to provide to pay back the Medicaid claim was appropriate because it was part of the estate of the decedent, Shannon.

JUDGE STEIN: What - - - what does Mental Hygiene Law 81.44(d) mean when it says that the guardianship property has to be delivered to the representative of the estate, "except for property retained to secure any known claim, lien or administrative cost of the guardianship"?

MS. O'BRIEN: It would mean that - - - and all those other - - - the claims and the liens, all those involve the administrative cost of the guardianship, because that is upon the death of a ward, all that can be - - - can be paid for by the guardian.

JUDGE STEIN: But why - - - but it says three things: claim, lien, or administrative costs. How can you say that it's only talking about administrative costs?

MS. O'BRIEN: If you go to the legislative intent, which the purpose of this statute was - - - JUDGE STEIN: But that's not what - - - but

```
- - - but this is the plain reading of the statute.
 1
                    MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, I understand, but a - -
 2
 3
          - it should - - - it also needs to be considered with
          the - - - with the intent of the statute, and also
 4
 5
          with subdivision (e) - - -
 6
                    JUDGE STEIN: Well, don't we - - -
 7
                    MS. O'BRIEN: - - - which does limit the -
 8
          - - does - - - excuse me.
 9
                    JUDGE STEIN: Don't we only get to the
10
          intent if it's not clear?
11
                    MS. O'BRIEN: Well, I - - - you do get to
12
          the intent - - - you - - - you always - - - I mean, I
13
          think the intent is always there and it's in the
14
          words that they use. However, when you look at (d),
15
          it's not in isolation, and can't be raised to a
          higher level to allow a guardian to do more than
16
17
          they're able to do upon the death of the ward, and -
18
19
                    CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, was the lack
20
          of a judgment here that was obtained by the home,
2.1
          dispositive?
22
                    MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. Had the - -
23
24
                    CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that - - - is that
25
          what this case boils down to?
```

1 MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, it would - - - yes, Your 2 Honor. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: If they had obtained 3 a judgment, we'd be in a different situation? 4 5 MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, if they had obtained a judgment, we would've only been a preferred creditor 6 7 and we may have been subservient to their claim upon 8 the de - - -9 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Counsel, was there any 10 11 MS. O'BRIEN: - - - through the estate. 12 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: - - - was there 13 anything that DSS could do to protect itself while 14 Mrs. Sha - - - Ms. Shannon was alive, like, put a 15 lien on her house or something like that? 16 MS. O'BRIEN: The - - - a lien of the house 17 could've - - - could've been put - - - placed on by 18 the respondent at any time - - - at any time. The 19 Department is limited to when they were able to, in 20 fact, place a lien on the property, and that would 2.1 have only been at the time that it was the intent 22 that Ms. Shannon was not going to be returning home, 23 which was a subjective intent. 24 So they would not have been able to put one 25

until several - - - a year - - - about a year and a

half or so later than when the respondent was able to actually attach or put a lien on the property, because their claim accrued at the end - - - by August 31st, 2008, because Medicaid picked up on September 1st, 2008. They - - - they no longer were accruing any more, so they could have, at that time, placed a judgment or done something to attach to the lien of the property.

2.1

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, I'm - - - I'm

trying to understand whether DSS could have protected

itself from what occurred here. In other words,

you're saying that they could only have become a

preferred creditor - - - I - - - if they had - - - if

the - - - the nursing home had gotten a judgment,

then her estate or the DSS would become a preferred

creditor, but the judgment would trump that.

And I'm asking, because the - - - the nursing home brought its claim before she died, was there anything that DSS could have done before she died to protect itself?

MS. O'BRIEN: We were unable to assert a - a Medicaid cla - - - claim against the decedent - against Ms. Shannon prior to her death. That is
what was required in Social Services - - -

JUDGE READ: You - - - you have to wait - -

- you have to wait until there's a death? 1 2 MS. O'BRIEN: In order for us to assert a 3 claim, yes; however, if there was a lien, that would have been whoever had filed the lien earlier, but we 5 were pro - - - DSS was prohibited - - -6 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: So you couldn't - - -7 you couldn't file a lien. 8 JUDGE STEIN: What - - - why - - -9 MS. O'BRIEN: You could not file a lien 10 until the - - - after such time as there was an 11 intent for Ms. Shannon not to return to her home. JUDGE STEIN: Well, when the surrogate 12 13 court said that - - - that they - - - the guardian 14 could sell the house, because she wasn't going back, 15 wouldn't - - - wouldn't that have been a time you 16 could've done that? 17 MS. O'BRIEN: The Department could've; 18 however the fact that the Department didn't is not a 19 reason why we would not be eligible to recover, 20 because at the time of the death of the ward, her 2.1 assets then become part of her estate, and at that 22 time - - -23 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Yes, but you're saying 24 that the nursing home should have gotten a judgment, 25 and that's why you believe that you should get

whatever's left of - - - of her estate, whereas 1 2 essentially you could have filed a lien and been in a 3 sort of, you know, equi - - - equal position to the nursing home. 4 5 MS. O'BRIEN: Well, we - - - as - - - we 6 could not have filed the lien until - - - as - - - as 7 noted, until she was going to not to return to her 8 home, and that - - - and even if we had filed a lien, 9 we would - - - we may have been subsequent to the 10 respondent's lien had they, in fact, exercised their 11 rights - - -12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but your argu -13 14 MS. O'BRIEN: - - - in a timely fashion. 15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Your argument is 16 you're still a preferred creditor, regardless - - -17 your argument, even if you acknowledge, which I 18 gather you are, that you could have done it, that 19 you're still a preferred creditor and - - - and their 20 not getting a judgment puts you ahead of them - - -2.1 MS. O'BRIEN: That - - -22 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - regardless of 23 what you should have done, even if they didn't do 24 what they should have done, which clearly would have

eclipsed your claim or made it secondary.

1	MS. O'BRIEN: That's exactly correct.
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I mean, that's
3	it's simple
4	MS. O'BRIEN: That's correct.
5	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, if
6	MS. O'BRIEN: And that but
7	excuse me.
8	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Counsel, if we read
9	the the this case the way that the
10	Appellate Division majority read it, then you would
11	not be able to collect. The nursing home would be -
12	would be able to co collect, correct?
13	MS. O'BRIEN: That's correct. And that
14	really would go against what public policy is,
15	because it is it is really not this
16	statute was not enacted, 81.44, to somehow jump the
17	rights of Medicaid to recover from an estate. This
18	was strictly to provide a time frame for the
19	guardians and and to retain cost reasonably
20	anticipated for the administration of the
21	guardianship account. And so by allowing or
22	expanding this right to the creditor
23	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Could the guardian
24	have paid the debt before she died?
25	MS. O'BRIEN: They I they

1 if they had asserted the claim appropriately, they 2 could have, and - - - but the fact that the guardian did not - - -3 4 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, was there any 5 question that they didn't assert the claim 6 appropriately? 7 MS. O'BRIEN: I - - - they did - - - they 8 did provide a notice I be - - - to the nursing - - -9 to the - - - to the guardian that they, in fact, were 10 owed some money. However, by the fact that the 11 quardian didn't pay at all for years, they sat on 12 their rights, and then they waited till upon her 13 death to actually assert them. They could have gone 14 at any time, gone back to court to compel payment. 15 They could have put a judgment that would have protected their rights. They failed to timely 16 17 exercise their right, which should not have - - -18 JUDGE FAHEY: Well, they - - - they - - -19 they made - - - they made the claim in June of 2010, 20 and I guess she died in December of 2011. 2.1 MS. O'BRIEN: That's correct. 22 JUDGE FAHEY: And I think - - - I think 23 that's right. But really it comes down to, if this 24 was a simple matter of the Social Services Law, then

you'd be the preferred creditor, and it - - - and it

```
1
          would be relatively simple. But it's not because of
 2
          81.44 and the interplay between sections (d) and (e),
 3
          and so the question is, is in our statutory
          interpretation, do we get to the point where we look
 4
          at the sponsor's memorandum?
 5
 6
                    MS. O'BRIEN: I think - - -
 7
                    JUDGE FAHEY: And you're argument is, is
 8
          that (e) conflicts with (d), right?
 9
                    MS. O'BRIEN: I bu - - - (e) limits (d) in
10
          that, and I think that is consistent - - -
11
                    JUDGE FAHEY: Well, it's - - - yeah, you
          could - - -
12
13
                    MS. O'BRIEN: - - - with legislative
14
          intent.
15
                    JUDGE FAHEY: You could read it - - - (d)
16
          doesn't favor you at all the way I read it, but - - -
17
          but (e) can be read to favor your position, yeah.
18
                    MS. O'BRIEN: Well, I - - - I don't
          actually think - - - I mean, I think (d) - - - I
19
20
          think known claims would involve only those involving
2.1
          with the administrative costs, so I don't think it
22
          does - - - it would - - - it - - - it does damage to
23
          the Department, because - - -
                    JUDGE FAHEY: Um-hum.
24
25
                    MS. O'BRIEN: - - - that is a not - - - the
```

1	administra the nursing home claim is not a
2	- is not part of the administrative cost.
3	JUDGE READ: So you say you have to read
4	the two together to make this
5	MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, I do believe that that's
6	the case. And actually, (d) references subdivision
7	(e).
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Thank you.
9	MS. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Anything else,
11	counsel?
12	MS. O'BRIEN: No, thank you, Your Honor.
13	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, thanks.
14	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your
15	Honors. Excuse me. I'm Sarah Lichtenstein, from
16	Abrams Fensterman, representing Eastchester in this
17	matter.
18	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, how do you -
19	how do you get around the issue of your failing
20	to obtain a judgment and their being a preferred
21	creditor? What
22	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Very easily, Your Honor.
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Go ahead.
24	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Because we're not
25	playing, if you if you permit me to we're

1	not playing in the same sandbox. These are not
2	competing claims. The judgment is relevant only to -
3	to determine priority if the claims are the same.
4	Eastchester's claim was a lifetime claim asserted
5	against the guardianship property
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, yeah, but
7	but how does that lifetime claim assert itself when -
8	when the she's deceased? The ballgame
9	starts at that's the sandbox.
10	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, there's
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You have an estate
12	and you both want claims against the estate. How
13	- how do you prevail? What's the legal way
14	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: With
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: that you
16	prevail?
17	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: With respect to Your
18	Honor, our claim in not against the estate. It was a
19	lifetime claim and as Judge Abdus-Salaam said, we
20	could have been
21	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but where's the
22	where's the money, though, once once she
23	died?
24	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, let me if -
25	I'm trying to answer that.

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No, answer my
2	question, and then you'll you'll give your
3	explanation.
4	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: The answer is that the
5	money is in the control of the guardianship court
6	-
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes.
8	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: and it's
9	guardianship property, and since it could it
10	could have been paid during the lifetime, it equally
11	could have been paid after death. The order
12	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, yeah, but
13	that's my point to you
14	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: It's a claim it's
15	still a claim against the guardianship property.
16	It's not a claim against the estate. That claim gets
17	determined first in the guardianship court.
18	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So you're saying the
19	the guardian then pays that before it goes into
20	the estate?
21	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Exactly. And
22	Eastchester's claim
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Under what authority
24	do you say?
25	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Under the authority of

1 the Mental Hygiene Law and the supervision of the - -2 3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where - - - where 4 exactly does the - - -5 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: - - - quardianship 6 court. 7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - does the Mental 8 Hygiene Law say that? MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Okay, it says it in 9 10 several places. First of all, it says the - - - the 11 order that appointed the guardian which tracks the 12 guardianship, the Mental Hygiene Law permits the 13 quardian to pay claim - - - pay bills after death 14 that could've been paid prior to the death. And 15 there's no question that this could have been paid 16 prior to Ms. Shannon's death. 17 Number two, 81.44 governs the - - - what 18 happens upon the death of an incapacitated person 19 including the disposition of claims that - - - known 20 claims - - - and this - - - there's no issue that 2.1 Eastchester's claim was a known claim - - - prior to 22 turning over property to the estate representative. 23 So with a - - -24 JUDGE PIGOTT: I read 81.44 as a wind-up 25

provision, you know.

MS. LICHTENSTEIN: I'm sorry. 1 2 JUDGE PIGOTT: I read it as a wind-up 3 provision. In other words, you know, the - - - the 4 person's now dead, so now you got to go up to 5 surrogate's court. But up until then, the - - - the 6 -- - it was a guardianship. So you have to -- -7 you know, you - - - you have to - - - you can do a 8 few little things. 9 But if I'm - - - if I'm the guardian, and 10 someone dies, and I say, you know what? I - - - what 11 I really ought to pay is that 50,000 dollars that 12 this now deceased guardian of mine owes my son. So 13 I'm going to pay that money now as part of my duties 14 under 81.44, and the County can wait for its money. 15 Now, you would agree that that's not the 16 way this thing's supposed to read? I - - - I think 17 you're supposed to just wind up fully. 18 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, it - - - it - - but part - - - we agree that it's wind-up. But part 19 20 of the wind-up is to pay - - - is to address the 2.1 known claims that haven't yet been paid - - -22 JUDGE PIGOTT: But don't you have to - - -23 doesn't it occur to - - - it seems to me, that here's

a nursing home that, for two years, didn't pursue

I mean, she was gone. And - - - and - - - and

24

25

this.

```
1
          - - - so you've got a right to sue her.
 2
                    MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, actually, Your
 3
          Honor, that's - - - that's not correct. In order for
          --- for --- first off, let me explain why
 4
 5
          Eastchester did not seek to get a judgment in this
 6
          case. It wasn't necessary. First of all,
 7
          Eastchester's - - -
 8
                    JUDGE PIGOTT: Let's assume it was. Do you
 9
          have a right to - - -
10
                    MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Eastchester's claim was
11
          undispute - - -
12
                    JUDGE PIGOTT: Do you have a right to sue
13
          her?
14
                    MS. LICHTENSTEIN: We have to - - -
15
                    JUDGE PIGOTT: You said you don't.
16
                    MS. LICHTENSTEIN: We have to seek
17
          permission first from the quardianship court. It's -
18
          - - I'll take you through the process because it ends
19
          up - - - it's mostly a futile process in practice.
20
                    JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, that's - - - that's
2.1
          your complaint. But what - - - what you've done now
22
          is you've sat on your rights. You're owed a lot of
23
          money. It's too bad, but here comes the big County,
24
          and believe me, they - - - they do this very often.
25
                    MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Excuse me - - - Your
```

2.1

JUDGE PIGOTT: And they're saying this is taxpayer dollars. We want it and you can't say, oh, well, your son is owed 50,000 dollars from the guard - - - from the - - - from the guard - - - from the - - -

MS. LICHTENSTEIN: With respect, that's

JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - her, and therefore, we're not going to pay you.

MS. LICHTENSTEIN: - - - that's really looking at it from a Medicaid-centric perspective.

You really have to look at this case from the perspective of the guardianship system that's set forth in the Article 81 of the Mental Hygiene Law.

In fact, federal - - - the federal Medicaid Act, which is followed in Social Services Law, Section 369, the default is that Medicaid does not have a right to recover against the Medicaid recipient until after - - until - - - unless and until the person dies - - - well, not unless - - -

JUDGE PIGOTT: When this lady died - - - when this lady died, you know, on day one, and on day - - - I think you can get 150 days - - - day 100, can you deed her property to somebody else?

1	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: The guardianship
2	property? No, Your Honor, that's the
3	JUDGE PIGOTT: Of course, because you don't
4	have any authority anymore, because you're not a
5	guardian anymore
6	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Yes, but
7	JUDGE PIGOTT: because the
8	guardianship is over.
9	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: But
10	JUDGE PIGOTT: And yet you want to say,
11	even though the guardianship is over, I have this
12	multi-thousand dollar claim that I decided I want to
13	prefer over the County.
14	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: With respect, Your
15	Honor, first of all, we didn't sit on our rights,
16	because 81.44
17	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: How difficult would
18	it have been to get a judgment?
19	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: I'm so I'll
20	I'll explain.
21	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Explain
22	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Okay. So first
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: succinctly how
24	difficult it is.
25	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: First, you have to make

a motion to - - - for permission to sue either the 1 2 incapacitated person or the guardian. 3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okav. MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Assuming that that's 4 5 approved, you then have to start a plenary action in 6 Supreme Court. 7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Go ahead. 8 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: And invariably in 9 Supreme Court, the Supreme Court judge will say, this 10 is related to a quardianship, so you must make a 11 motion to consolidate and bring your - - - your 12 action or your motion to obtain the judgment back to 13 the guardianship judge. 14 And then when we go back to the 15 guardianship judge, the guardianship judge says, invariably, let's just wait and handle this during 16 17 the settlement of the - - - of the guardian's final 18 account, when the guardianship is terminated. So the 19 creditor has accomplished nothing - - -20 JUDGE PIGOTT: So you're - - - you're upset 2.1 that - - - you're - - -22 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: - - - and the 23 guardianship estate has been reduced. 24 JUDGE PIGOTT: I'm almost done. You're 25 upset that the procedure is difficult.

1 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, it - - - no. 2 JUDGE PIGOTT: And so you say, what we're 3 going to do is we're going to wait until she dies, and then - - and then we have a better chance. 4 5 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: That's really not the 6 case, Your Honor, because as I kept trying to say, 7 there was no reason for Eastchester to obtain a 8 judgment. There were no competing - - -9 JUDGE READ: Because you thought - - -10 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: - - - creditors - - -11 JUDGE READ: Because you thought you didn't 12 have to. 13 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: We didn't have to. 14 claim on the merits was - - -15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, yeah, but - - -I understand. But if you're wrong that you didn't -16 17 - - that - - - that there was no need for you to do 18 it, then you're out of luck now. 19 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, Your Honor - - -20 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: It - - - it - - -2.1 no, no, no. That's right. That if you're wrong, 22 you're out of luck. 23 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, with respect, if 24 we're wrong about whether we should have gotten a 25 judgment - - -

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That you didn't need
2	to obtain yes.
3	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: we're out of luck
4	We're not out of court because the claim is still a
5	claim. You must take recognize the distinctio
6	between Eastchester's claim being against the
7	guardianship property
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, yeah, but we
9	went in
10	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: and it gets
11	addressed be
12	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: but Judge
13	Pigott no, no. But counselor, Judge Pigott
14	just went with you. You're assuming that the
15	guardian, after she's dead, can go and say, oh,
16	great, there are all these claims I know that I'm
17	going pay them, rather than just wrapping up.
18	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, no, what I'm saying
19	
20	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Isn't that what
21	you're assuming?
22	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, that's not what I'm
23	saying.
24	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What are you saying?
25	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: I'm saying I'm

1	saying that upon the incapacitated person's death,
2	the guardian is required, pursuant to 81.44, to do
3	several things. One of them is to issue a statement
4	to the estate representative concerning what the
5	remaining assets are and then transfer to the estate
6	representative property all of that property -
7	except property sufficient to secure known
8	claims.
9	JUDGE PIGOTT: Right. Can you pay off
10	_
11	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: And then
12	JUDGE PIGOTT: Can you pay off the car? If
13	she had a car
14	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: It gets handled
15	JUDGE PIGOTT: can you say I want to
16	pay off the car?
17	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: It gets if the
18	claim probably, if the claim arose prior to
19	_
20	JUDGE PIGOTT: Can you can you
21	can you buy
22	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: the person's
23	death.
24	JUDGE PIGOTT: Can you buy the Buffalo
25	Bills' seasons tickets, because you know that's what

1 she would want to do? I don't mean to be flip, but 2 where does - - - there's - - -3 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: The - - - the - - because the claims get - - -4 5 JUDGE PIGOTT: There seems to be no end to 6 what you think a quardian can do even after the - - -7 after the - - -8 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: That's not what I'm saying, and I - - - I apologize if that's the 9 10 impression that we've made, because the conclusion of 11 the quardianship is handled by the quardianship 12 court. The guardian submits a final account, and the 13 claims - - and whether there are claims that should 14 be paid before the balance of the money is 15 transferred to the estate representative, is 16 supervised, determined - - -17 JUDGE RIVERA: But what - - - what - - -18 what if - - -19 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: - - - and controlled by 20 the guardianship judge. 2.1 JUDGE RIVERA: What if the quardian is 22 disputing your claim, and that's why they don't pay 23 for over a year? Don't you have to get a judgment? 24 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Not if we're the on - -25 - no, because it still can get handled in the context

1 of the final accounting. 2 JUDGE RIVERA: So - - -3 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: And if there's no other 4 competing - - -5 JUDGE RIVERA: I don't understand that. 6 I'm sorry; wait a minute. You're saying once she - -7 - once - - - once you have the - - - the person who 8 is - - - you - - - you have the decedent; at that 9 point you're saying that now the guardian can 10 continue to engage in some negotiation with you to 11 resolve a dispute over a claim? 12 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: In the con - - - what 13 happens is the guardian is required under 81.44 to 14 set - - - to judicially settle its final account, 15 makes a report to the guardianship court, and says this is all the property that I - - - I gathered 16 17 during the course of the guardianship. 18 JUDGE RIVERA: Right. 19 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: These are the payments 20 I've made. These are the outstanding claims, if 2.1 there are some. Also the administrative costs get 22 paid. 23 JUDGE RIVERA: These are the outstanding 24 claims that are disputed. I want to keep negotiating 25 this and figure this out.

1	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, not negotiating -
2	
3	JUDGE RIVERA: How much longer can the
4	guardian hold this money to figure this out?
5	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: It gets it gets -
6	it gets litigated in front of the guardianship
7	judge. The guardian is saying
8	JUDGE RIVERA: Well, how much longer? How
9	much longer? Because the person has now died.
LO	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, the the
L1	- the guardian
L2	JUDGE RIVERA: And you have an estate and
L3	they want to proceed on paying whatever bills they
L4	have to pay.
L5	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: The guardian is required
L6	under the statute to to settle its judicial
L7	account within 150 days
L8	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: So, counsel, if
L9	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: of the decedent's
20	of the IP's death.
21	JUDGE RIVERA: However if it's in dispute
22	and they don't agree with you, you're then going to
23	do what?
24	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: It's it's
25	litigated before the guardianship judge, however, you

1	know, they
2	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: So you're saying that
3	no payment can be made until the judge approves the
4	guardianship account?
5	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, what I'm
6	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Or if the payment is
7	already made
8	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: What I'm if
9	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: would DSS be
10	able to come in and dispute it?
11	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, that's our position.
12	If if the guardian had paid this claim in due
13	course while the while Ms. Shannon was still
14	alive, which he had clearly had the authority
15	to do
16	JUDGE READ: We'd have
17	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: we wouldn't be
18	here.
19	JUDGE READ: Well, that's for sure. That's
20	the point.
21	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: And DSS wouldn't be able
22	to wouldn't have a complaint.
23	JUDGE READ: But but he didn't.
24	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: The guardian didn't
25	because guardians tend not to.

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The guardian didn't -
2	
3	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Guardians are
4	conservative
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And and the
6	guardian didn't and you didn't do anything about it.
7	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: We didn't because
8	Eastchester
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Because the system is
10	complicated, and you decided, gee
11	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No.
12	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I can't do it.
13	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, Your Honor.
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that why?
15	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: No, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE RIVERA: But the guardian might have
17	disagreed with the amount you were billing them?
18	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: The guardian might have,
19	and that would have been addressed during the course
20	
21	JUDGE RIVERA: So how how much mon -
22	
23	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: of the final
24	accounting.
25	JUDGE RIVERA: How much of the estate is

1	the guardian going to withhold while they're figuring
2	out this dispute?
3	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Enough to secure
4	JUDGE RIVERA: The total amount you're
5	demanding?
6	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: They don't have a
7	choice. They're they're instructed by the
8	statute. 81.44(d) says you turn over everything
9	except a sufficient to secure
10	JUDGE RIVERA: So they hold the entire
11	amount you're demanding even if they're disputing
12	that amount, to insure that they pay that amount
13	_
14	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: If the cou if
15	- right, if it's determined that we had a legi
16	JUDGE RIVERA: if required to do so.
17	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: If they had if
18	they had disputed the validity of the claim, which
19	they never did.
20	JUDGE RIVERA: And how long will it take to
21	resolve this, when you say they're going to resolve
22	it?
23	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: In the course of the
24	final accounting?
25	JUDGE RIVERA: Yeah, well, how long will

1	that take?
2	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: I don't I
3	frankly, I can't answer that. I don't I don't
4	know.
5	JUDGE PIGOTT: In in in real
6	life, I suppose, would that 150 days could you
7	effectively el you know, eliminate the estate?
8	In other words, you know there's a big lien out there
9	from the County, and you say we've got only X number
LO	of dollars. If we spend this within the next 150
L1	days, the County's out of luck. Could you do that?
L2	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, the guard
L3	no. The guardian is not spending it. The guardian
L4	is, as you've said as we've said, is winding up
L5	the affairs of the guardianship. And and
L6	JUDGE PIGOTT: What's wrong with spending
L7	it? I'm saying
L8	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Without spending it.
L9	JUDGE PIGOTT: No, what
20	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: There are certain
21	limited
22	JUDGE PIGOTT: We're fencing over a word, 1
23	guess. What what I'm saying is, they dispose
24	of all the assets in the 150 days before the
25	all calling it a wind-up, because we know the

1 County's coming. So can we pay off every bill that 2 we think might be owed? Is that - - - is that within 3 the limits? MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Well, not every bill 4 5 that they think might be owed, but if it's a 6 legitimate bill, they have the authority under the 7 statute, and under - - - usually under the order 8 appointing them to pay bills that - - - after death -9 - - that could have been paid beforehand. In prac -10 11 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Is that the rule that you're asking us to adopt here? That guardians can, 12 13 in their winding up, pay bills that they know are le 14 --- well, how would --- how would the guardian determine whether it's a legitimate bill or not? 15 16 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: It's - - - it's not a 17 rule we're asking the court to - - to make. It's -18 - - it's provided in - - - it's already in the 19 statute, and it goes case-by-case - - -20 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Even though - - -2.1 even - - -22 MS. LICHTENSTEIN: - - - if it's in order 23 approve - - - appointing the guardian. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Even though there's a 24 25 preferred creditor?

1	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: DSS is not a preferred
2	creditor in the guardianship. They only become a
3	preferred creditor upon the death of the guardian
4	_
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And we have
6	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: and they I
7	mean, the IP.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And we have the death
9	here.
10	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Yes, except that there
11	was no estate, again, for which them to apply their -
12	
13	JUDGE READ: Well, you're saying they don't
14	they don't become preferred until the estate is
15	fully wound up, however, long
16	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: They don't they
17	don't become preferred until there's an estate that
18	exists
19	JUDGE READ: Well, they until the
20	guardianship is wound up.
21	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: and there's no
22	estate here, because it was it was
23	JUDGE READ: Until the guardian is wound
24	up.
25	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel, we

1	understand your arguments. Thanks.
2	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Thank you.
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let's get rebuttal
4	now.
5	MS. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Your Honor. It -
6	exactly right. If the guardian were ab if
7	the guardian were able to pay any and all claims that
8	were put before them, it would, in fact, be the
9	entire estate.
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What is the
11	guardian's power at that point where
12	MS. O'BRIEN: At the time of the death
13	_
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yes.
15	MS. O'BRIEN: of the ward, their
16	power is really only to cover costs, retain assets to
17	cover costs for the reasonably anticipated
18	administrative costs
19	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What is that in real
20	in real
21	MS. O'BRIEN: of the guardianship.
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: in practical
23	terms, what do they do?
24	MS. O'BRIEN: They they would
25	they would maybe they would maintain the money

1 to pay the court examiner, to pay the premiums on the bonds which would need to continue for the 2 3 guardianship account. They may have to pay attorneys' fees. They would have to pay the 4 5 accountant. Those are - - -6 JUDGE PIGOTT: I - - - I think - - -7 MS. O'BRIEN: - - - some of things that may 8 in fact have to be - - - I apologize. 9 JUDGE PIGOTT: No, I'm interrupting you. 10 --- I think one of the concerns is that why ---11 why wouldn't a nursing home apply for a guardianship 12 for an IP early enough so then they get, you know, a 13 quardian in and take care of themselves? In other 14 words, if - - - there's allegations in - - - in the 15 past that - - - that nursing homes have done that. 16 They've - - - you know, they've fi - - - they've 17 filed for a guardianship. They get a guardian 18 appointed, and that guardian then does what the - - -19 what the nursing home would like to have done and get 20 their bills paid, while the County and everybody else 2.1 is sitting outside. MS. O'BRIEN: Well, and that - - - what 22 23 would happen if the guardian was allowed to expend 24 all the assets in - - - in - - - in the account - - -

JUDGE PIGOTT: But where - - - where do you

1 draw the line, though? 2 MS. O'BRIEN: - - - prior to transfer to 3 the estate. JUDGE PIGOTT: I mean, counsel makes an 4 5 argument, I mean, this - - - these are bills; they 6 ought to be paid. And you, of course, making your 7 argument, but 81.44 must mean something to - - -8 MS. O'BRIEN: It means that it's for the 9 administrative costs of the quardianship account, and 10 then the other - - all those assets that are not 11 for that would go to the estate, which then would be 12 determined in - - - on the - - - in the order in 13 which is paid and how much for everybody was going to 14 be paid. 15 And Your Honor, if I may just close up? 16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Finish up, go ahead, 17 counsel. 18 MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, thank you. A private 19 entity's failure to preserve its rights should not 20 result in an inability of Medicaid to recoup from an 2.1 available resource, which is what an estate is, funds 22 that are vital for its continued assistance. And 23 this is not - - - and 448 - - - 81.44 is not an 24

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel.

expansion of rights.

1	MS. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks, counsel.
3	Thank you both; appreciate it.
4	(Court is adjourned)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

I, Karen Schiffmiller, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of Matter of Shannon, No. 80, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

20 gang feliffmille.

Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: May 6, 2015