

January 17, 2012

MOTIONS

2 Mo. No. 2011-1353
Aurora Loan Services, LLC,
 Respondent,
 v.
Philip Grant,
 Appellant,
et al.,
 Defendants.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1259
Bessie Caldwell et al.,
 Appellants,
 v.
Cablevision Systems Corporation, et al.,
 Defendants,
Communications Specialists, Inc.,
 Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1322
Caroline Commisso, &c.,
 Appellant,
 v.
Steven G. Orshan, &c., et al.,
 Respondents.

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from that portion of the Appellate Division order that affirmed Supreme Court's denial of appellant's motion to renew, dismissed upon the ground that such portion of the order does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution; motion for leave to appeal otherwise denied.

Mo. No. 2011-1243
In the Matter of Dileina M.F. (Anonymous).

MercyFirst,
Respondent;
Rosa F. (Anonymous),
Appellant;
et al.,
Respondent.
(And Another Proceeding.)

Motion for leave to appeal denied.
Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

4 Mo. No. 2011-1239
Arlene S. Garland, &c.,
Appellant,
v.
RLI Insurance Company,
Respondent,
et al.,
Defendant.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the
ground that the judgment sought to be appealed from
does not finally determine the action within the
meaning of the Constitution. The December 2008
Supreme Court order was the final paper in this
action.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1258
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.,
Respondent,
Goldman, Sachs & Co.,
Plaintiff,
v.
Almah LLC,
Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the
ground that the order sought to be appealed from
does not finally determine the action within the
meaning of the Constitution.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1354
Philip Grant,
Appellant,
v.
Aurora Loan Services, et al.,
Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1284
GS Plasticos Limitada,
 Appellant,
 v.
Bureau Veritas,
 Respondent,
Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services,
Inc.,
 Defendant.

Motion for reargument of motion for leave to appeal
denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary
reproduction disbursements.

4 Mo. No. 2011-1246
The People &c. ex rel. Ronald Jackson,
 Appellant,
 v.
Mark L. Bradt, &c., et al.,
 Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the
ground that this motion for leave to appeal does not
lie from the order of the individual Justice of the
Appellate Division (see NY Const, art VI, § 3[b];
CPLR 5602).

2 Mo. No. 2011-1257
In the Matter of Amber Gold J. (Anonymous).

Administration for Children's Services,
 Respondent;
Vanessa J. (Anonymous),
 Appellant;
et al.,
 Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1248
In the Matter of JT Tai & Co., Inc.,
Appellant,
v.
The City of New York, et al.,
Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

In the Matter of Manoco LP,
Appellant,
v.
The City of New York, et al.,
Respondents.

In the Matter of Stacy Maou,
Appellant,
v.
The City of New York, et al.,
Respondents.

4 Mo. No. 2011-1191
Waldemar H. Jurkowski, &c.,
Appellant,
v.
Sheehan Memorial Hospital,
et al.,
Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

4 Mo. No. 2011-1294
Kai Lin,
Appellant,
v.
Strong Health, et al.,
Respondents.
(And Another Action.)

Motion for reargument of motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1276
Roberta Miller,
 Appellant,
 v.
Nassau County Civil Service Commission, et
al.,
 Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1277
Roberta Miller,
 Appellant,
 v.
Nassau County Civil Service Commission, et
al.,
 Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1147
Nives Montero,
 Respondent,
 v.
Carlos Montero,
 Appellant.

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the Appellate Division order denying reargument or, in the alternative, leave to appeal to this Court, dismissed upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution; motion for leave to appeal otherwise denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1114
Nyack Hospital, &c., et al.,
 Respondents,
 v.
Allstate Insurance Company,
 Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.
Judge Jones took no part.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1250
James G. O'Callaghan,
 Appellant,
 v.
George Brunelle, &c., et al.,
 Respondents,
et al.,
 Defendants.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1201
The People &c.,
 Respondent,
 v.
Michael Palmer,
 Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1296
Lijo Panghat, M.D.,
 Appellant,
 v.
New York Downtown Hospital,
 Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1297
Lijo Panghat, M.D.,
 Appellant,
 v.
New York Downtown Hospital,
 Respondent.

Motion for relief ancillary to the motion for leave to
appeal herein dismissed upon the ground that it does
not lie.

3 Mo. No. 2011-1280
In the Matter of Jatie P., &c.

Franklin County Department of Social
Services,
 Respondent;
Joseph Q.,
 Appellant.
(And Another Related Proceeding.)

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the
ground that the order sought to be appealed from
does not finally determine the proceeding within the
meaning of the Constitution.

4 Mo. No. 2011-1249
In the Matter of Vicki Percival,
 Appellant,
 v.
Jeanne Sample, &c.,
 Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

3 Mo. No. 2011-1218
In the Matter of John Richard,
 Appellant,
 v.
Christopher Lindquist et al.,
 Respondents.

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the September 2011 Appellate Division order, dismissed upon the ground that such order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; motion for leave to appeal otherwise denied.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1164
In the Matter of Naomi S., &c.

Hadar S.,
 Appellant;
Commissioner of Social Services of the City
of New York,
 Respondent.

In the Matter of Uriel S.,
 Respondent,
 v.
Hadar S.,
 Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1230
Sarah Schottenstein,
 Appellant,
 v.
Windsor Tov, LLC, &c., et al.,
 Respondents,
et al.,
 Defendants.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

1 Mo. No. 2011-1274

Osqugama F. Swezey,

Appellant,

v.

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc.,

Respondent,

Philippine National Bank, et al.,

Intervenors-Respondents.

Motion to enlarge the record denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1247

Maria Swezey,

Appellant,

v.

Montague Rehab & Pain Management, P.C.,

et al.,

Defendants,

Shama Rasool, et al.,

Respondents.

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the Appellate Division order affirming Supreme Court's judgment dismissing the complaint, denied; motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the other Appellate Division orders, dismissed upon the ground that such orders do not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

3 Mo. No. 2011-1268

In the Matter of William B. Taylor,

Appellant,

v.

Rochester City School District

et al.,

Respondents.

Workers' Compensation Board,

Respondent.

Motion for reargument of motion for leave to appeal denied.

2 Mo. No. 2011-1178
In the Matter of Jahquavius W. (Anonymous).

Orange County Department of Social
Services,

Respondent;
Quanteria H. (Anonymous),
Appellant.
(And Another Proceeding.)

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed for failure to
demonstrate timeliness as required by Rules of the
Court of Appeals (22 NYCRR) § 500.22(b)(2).