June 05, 2012

CASES

3 No. 107 Shawn Bukowski, Appellant, v. Clarkson University et al., Respondents.

2 No. 134
In the Matter of Board of Managers of Copley
Court Condominium,
Appellant,
v.
Town of Ossining, &c., et al.,
Respondents,

Town of Ossining, &c., et al.,
Respondents,
Briarcliff Manor Union Free School District,
Respondent.

4 No. 149 SSM 22

Mark Dzielski et al.,
Respondents,
V.
Essex Insurance Company,
Appellant,
et al.,
Defendant.

Order affirmed, with costs.
Opinion by Chief Judge Lippman.
Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

Order affirmed, with costs, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order reversed, with costs, plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment denied, defendant's motion for summary judgment granted, and judgment granted to defendant declaring that it has no obligation to indemnify its insured in the underlying personal injury action, for the reasons stated in the dissenting memorandum at the Appellate Division (90 AD3d 1493, 1495-1497 [2011]). Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

4 No. 90
The People &c.,
Respondent,
V.
Derrick Gause,
Appellant.

1 No. 91
In the Matter of Lisa Harbatkin,
Appellant,
v.
New York City Department of Records and Information Services, et al.,
Respondents.

1 No. 97
The People &c.,
Respondent,
v.
Jorge Pagan,
Appellant.

3 No. 102
The People &c.,
Appellant,
V.
Lamarr Reid,
Respondent.

Order reversed and indictment dismissed.
Opinion by Judge Jones.
Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo and Smith concur.
Judge Pigott dissents and votes to affirm in an opinion in which Judge Read concurs.

Order modified, without costs, in accordance with the opinion herein and, as so modified, affirmed. Opinion by Judge Smith.
Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Pigott and Jones concur.

Order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Division, First Department, with directions to dismiss the appeal taken to that court.

Opinion by Judge Graffeo.

Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Read and Jones concur.

Judge Smith dissents in an opinion in which Judge Pigott concurs.

Order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Division, Third Department, for consideration of the facts and issues raised but not determined on the appeal to that court.

Opinion by Judge Pigott.

Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith and Jones concur.

1 No. 105
The People &c.,
Respondent,
v.
Ledarrius Wright,
Appellant.

Order modified in accordance with the opinion herein and, as so modified, affirmed.
Opinion by Judge Ciparick.
Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Graffeo, Read,
Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

MOTIONS

4 Mo. No. 2012-372
In the Matter of Roxanne Adrian,
Appellant,
V.
Board of Education of City School District of City of Niagara Falls et al.,
Respondents.

3 SSD 23
In the Matter of Association for Community
Living, Inc., et al.,
Appellants,
v.
New York State Office of Mental Health, et al.,

2 Mo. No. 2012-364
Michael Brathwaite,
 Appellant,
 v.
New York City Housing Authority, et al.,
 Respondents,
et al.,
 Defendants.

Respondents.

1 Mo. No. 2012-382
Leon Casper,
Appellant,
v.
Cushman & Wakefield,
Respondent.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u>, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

2 Mo. No. 2012-353 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, V. Andrew Crandall, Appellant. 3 SSD 22 Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court sua sponte, upon the ground that no substantial Ayinde Fair, constitutional question is directly involved. Appellant, V. Joseph T. Smith, Individually and as Superintendent of Shawangunk Correctional Facility, et al., Respondents. Mo. No. 2012-336 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, V. Tyrone Gant, Appellant. Mo. No. 2012-358 3 Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from Peter Gonzalez et al., does not finally determine the action within the Appellants, meaning of the Constitution. L'Oreal USA, Inc., Respondent. Mo. No. 2012-363 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Gyrodyne Company of America, Inc., Respondent, V State of New York,

Appellant.

1 Mo. No. 2011-842 In the Matter of Lisa Harbatkin, Appellant, V.

New York City Department of Records and Information Services, et al., Respondents.

1 Mo. No. 2012-360 In the Matter of Jussi K. Kivisto, Appellant,

NYC Human Resources Administration, et al.,

Respondents.

4 SSD 21 In the Matter of Edward Koehl,

Appellant,

v. John Lempke, Superintendent, Five Points Correctional Facility, Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2012-351 Deborah Rae Lamb, et al., Appellants,

V.

Governor for New York State, et al..

Respondents.

Mo. No. 2012-398

The People &c.,

Respondent,

V.

Michael Lewis, Appellant. On the Court's own motion, appeal dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u>, upon the ground that the order appealed from <u>does</u> not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for reconsideration of this Court's February 21, 2012 dismissal order denied.

Motion for a stay dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Mo. No. 2012-399 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, V. Paul Manning, Appellant. 2 Mo. No. 2012-396 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction In the Matter of James McGee, disbursements. Appellant, V. Michael Johnson, et al., Respondents. Mo. No. 2012-356 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. In the Matter of Michael Melendez, Appellant, v. James L. Berbary, &c., et al., Respondents. Mo. No. 2012-352 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction Allan B. Mendelsohn, &c., disbursements. Appellant, City of New York (19th Precinct), Respondent. Mo. No. 2012-340 Motion for reargument denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction David Mirvish, disbursements. Appellant, V. Hanno D. Mott, &c., et al.,

Respondents.

Mo. No. 2012-342 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction Theresa Overhoff et al., disbursements Appellants, V. Sunta Perfetto, Respondent. 1 Mo. No. 2012-389 Motion for reargument of motion for leave to appeal Freda Gates Pozefsky, denied Appellant, V. Richard T. Aulisi, &c., et al., Respondents, John Does, &c., et al., Defendants Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred Mo. No. 2012-354 dollars costs and necessary reproduction Kenneth E. Ramseur, disbursements. Appellant, V. Hudsonview Company, et al., Respondents. Mo. No. 2012-355 1 Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from Marko S. &c., does not finally determine the action within the Respondent, meaning of the Constitution. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. Heather S. &c., Appellant.

Mo. No. 2012-348

Ann M. Sawyer et al.,
Appellants,

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

v. Victor Rutecki, et al., Respondents. 3 SSD 20
In the Matter of Anna Scheffey-Hohle,
Appellant,
v.
Travis C. Durfee,

2 Mo. No. 2012-380 Daniel Schick, et al., Respondents, v.

Respondent.

200 Blydenburgh, LLC, et al., Appellants.

1 Mo. No. 2012-216 In the Matter of Dashawn W., et al. &c.

Antoine N.,
Appellant,
et al.,
Respondent,
Administration for Children's Services,
Respondent.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u>, upon the ground that the two-justice dissent at the Appellate Division is not on a question of law (CPLR 5601[a]).

Motions for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.