\_\_\_\_\_\_

This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the New York Reports.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

No. 251 SSM 24

Robert K. Monette et al.,

Appellants,

v.

Christina L. Trummer, et al.,

Defendants,

Jim Ball Pontiac-Buick-GMC, Inc.,

Respondent.

Submitted by Jeffrey A. Black, for appellants. Submitted by Christopher R. Turner, for respondent.

## MEMORANDUM:

The order of the Appellate Division should be affirmed, with costs.

On these facts, we agree with the Appellate Division majority that defendant dealer satisfied its initial burden of

- 2 - SSM No. 24

proving that it was not an owner of the vehicle in question under Vehicle and Traffic Law § 128. Plaintiffs failed to raise a genuine issue of fact to support a contrary finding.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order affirmed, with costs, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott, Rivera and Abdus-Salaam concur.

Decided October 22, 2013