1	NEW YORK STATE JUDICIAL INSTITUTE
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	
5	TRANSGENDER LITIGANTS IN THE COURTROOM Providing Equal Access and Impartial
6	Justice - Part One
7	
8	
9	October 10, 2013
10	Participants:
11	HON. FERN A. FISHER (INTRODUCTION) HON. PETER MOULTON
12	HON. PAULA J. HEPNER (RET.) ELANA REDFIELD, ESQ.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Penina Wolicki Official Court Transcriber

2 3 4

2.1

JUDGE FISHER: Good afternoon, and welcome to this afternoon's program. I know most of you know who I am and my titles. But I'm addressing you mainly with my hat as director of Access to Justice this afternoon. And I think this is a most important program, and I'm happy to see the attendance here today.

Today we are going to be having experts - - and I consider them all here experts and people
committed to the issue - - - where we'll be dealing
with the issue of transgender litigants in the court
system. And I know I'm probably speaking to the
choir when I explain that gender, being female or
male, is a basic element that helps make up an
individual's personality and sense of self. So when
someone has a strong discontent with the sex that one
is born with, clearly, the person has struggles,
particularly in this society.

But why are we talking about this as a court system? And that's what we're here today.

One of the things that we are clear about from the Access to Justice Program is that we must take into consideration the differences of the litigants that come before us. Because the differences of people: race, natural origin, gender

1 | | - - -

2.1

Yes?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The sound system's not on. I'd ask if they could turn it on.

JUDGE FISHER: Oh, that would be helpful.

JUDGE MOULTON: We don't actually have mics. This is just for the recording.

JUDGE FISHER: Okay, so I'll try to keep my voice up. How about that.

What I was saying is that we know, from the Access to Justice Program, that people's individualities and backgrounds affect how they relate to the court system: race, gender, national orien - national origin, sexual orientation, and today's topic, transgender.

We want to make sure that people who come into our court system leave our court system with a perception that they have been treated fairly. And in order to do that, we have to understand how people view our court system differently. And so today, our speakers are going to begin to talk about the topic to open up the topic for the entire court system - - - and I'm so happy to see that this is being filmed, so other people can watch this - - - and so that we can address the issues that transgender individuals

have when they come into court with legal problems just like everybody else.

2.1

I want to thank Judge Peter Moulton for organizing this panel, and Judge Peter Moulton is a supervising judge of New York County Civil Court; Judge Juanita Bing Newton, the dean of the JI, who was right on board right away to getting this program done, and Damaris Torrent, who's sitting right here, who's worked on this.

I want to welcome - - - welcome with arms wide, Judge Paula Hepner, who's the former supervising judge of family court in Brooklyn. And she retired just a bit ago, but she's back and she's back with the family to talk about this most important topic. And finally, from somebody outside the court family, the fact that you would spend time with us this afternoon, is very - - - we're very grateful for that. I'd like to introduce you to Elana Redfield, who's from the Sylvia Rivera Law Project. So thank you, Elana, particularly, for being here, because I know you're doing this out of the goodness of your heart. So thank you very much.

So I think we - - all of us who know about the topic, there's always something that we can learn. And for those of you who are coming to learn,

keep your minds and your hearts open. Thank you very much, and enjoy the program.

2.1

JUDGE MOULTON: Thank you, Judge Fisher for your opening remarks and for your support of this program. I would also like to thank Judge Juanita Bing Newton and her very able staff at the Judicial Institute for getting this program off the ground.

Welcome to the first day of the program, Transgender Litigants in the Court System - Providing Equal Access and Impartial Justice.

My name is Peter Moulton and I am the supervising judge of civil court in Manhattan. I'm joined by my colleague, retired Judge Paula Hepner, who was formerly the supervising judge of family court in Kings County, and by Elana Redfield, a staff attorney at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project.

The second day of the program, which will concern the experiences of transgender people in name change proceedings in civil court and in various proceedings in family court will be held in this same room on October 25th from 1:10 to 2:30 p.m. A third program on the experiences of transgender people in criminal courts of the State of New York, will be held at a later date. It's still in formation.

We will discuss the meaning of the term

"transgender" at greater length later in our presentation. For those of you who are new to the topic, let me give a basic definition. A basic definition of a transgender person is someone who dresses or acts or otherwise identifies in a way that is different than society's expectations for a person born a particular sex. For example, a transgender man is someone who was assigned the sex "female" when born, but who dresses and acts in conformity with social norms commonly associated with men.

2.1

As we will discuss, a transgender person may or may not have had hormone therapies or sexual reassignment surgery. A person's gender identity, which refers to how a person inwardly perceives and outwardly expresses his or her gender, is different than sexual orientation. The term sexual orientation, of course, concerns the question who a person is attracted to.

Transgender people are increasingly visible in our society. However, they continue to face overt discrimination and violence. In 2011, the National Gay and Lesbian Task Force and the National Center for Transgender Equity published a report summarizing data generated by a broad survey of transgender people in the United States. It's available online

at www.thetaskforce.org. The report illustrates the difficulties faced by transgender people in our society. Transgender people face discrimination in school and at the workplace.

2.1

Seventy-eight percent reported they had been harassed in school. Fifty-five percent reported that they had lost a job because of bias. According to the report, transgender people face an unemployment rate that is twice the national average. And they are nearly twice as likely to be homeless as the general population.

Sixty-one percent of the survey respondents said that they had been the victim of physical assault, and sixty-four percent reported that they had been the victim of sexual assault.

We're here today to discuss how to make the Court system a safe place for transgender people.

Court personnel, judicial and nonjudicial, whether straight, gay and lesbian, transgender, or questioning, need to ensure that transgender people in our courts have the same access to justice as anyone else. That does not mean that we, as court personnel must shed the neutrality that's the hallmark of any well-ordered court system. It does mean that we need to create a court environment that

is respectful of transgender people and cognizant of the special challenges they face in our court system.

2.1

Among the speakers we will be hearing in these programs are lawyers who regularly and ably advocate for transgender people. In addition to participating in the discussion about how we can ensure that courts are welcoming and bias-free places for transgender people, these lawyers may express points of view with respect to particular court proceedings that not all judges agree with.

This program is not prescriptive. Rather, it's designed in part to open up a dialog concerning the best practices in such proceedings.

Our obligation to be fair to everyone who comes before us is part of our DNA. The duty is also ingrained in the judicial canons of ethics as well as the code of ethics for nonjudicial personnel. I'm now going to walk you thought some of the pertinent provisions and give you citations so you know where to find them. Judge Hepner will discuss at greater length how we apply these provisions.

A foundational canon of judicial conduct is found at 22 New York Code of Rules and Regulations 100.3(4), which states in relevant part: "A judge shall perform judicial duties without bias or

prejudice against or in favor of any person. A judge in the performance of judicial duties shall not, by words or conduct, manifest bias or prejudice, including by not limited to bias or prejudice based upon age, race, creed, color, sex, sexual orientation, religion, national origin, disability, marital status, or socioeconomic status, and shall require staff, court officials and others subject to the court's direction and control to refrain from such words or conduct."

2.1

Under subsection (B) (5), a judge also has the obligation to require that lawyers appearing in front of her refrain from expressing bias.

At this time, these provisions do not explicitly mention bias against gender identity or gender expression, however, the general prohibition against these - - - against bias, embraces transgender people.

Subsection (C) of the same canon speaks to a judge's duty to discharge her administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice.

The Code of Ethics for Non-Judicial Employees contains similar principles. Section 50.1(C) provides that "Court employees shall not discriminate and shall not manifest by words or

conduct, bias or prejudice on the basis of race, color, sex, sexual orientation, religion, creed, national origin, marital status, age or disability."

2.1

This provision does not, again, explicitly include gender identity or expression, but again, a basic imperative of the court system is the provision of equal justice under law to all people who come into our courts.

The Lawyer's Code of Professional

Responsibility, at Rule 8.4(g) also contains a

prohibition against unlawful discrimination in the

practice of law.

This rule of the Chief Administrator that's on the PowerPoint, provides that if we do trans - - - if we transact official business outside of official court buildings, we may not do so in any place that discriminates on the basis of various protected categories.

Of course, there is also a growing body of laws and policies affecting transgender people which may come up in court. Some of these are listed in the PowerPoint.

SONDA, the Sexual Orientation Non-Discrimination Act, speaks to discrimination on the basis of perceived and actual sexual orientation. Transgender people may fall within its protection based upon their sexual orientation.

2.1

The Dignity for All Students Act mandates instruction in the state's public schools concerning civility and character education. It also contains anti-bullying provisions that could be of use to transgender youth who are often exposed to bullying in the state schools.

Local jurisdictions have passed anti-discrimination provisions that embrace transgender people.

Just moving quickly through this, Slide 12 lists various executive orders that also afford protection to transgender individuals; as have various agency directives.

Slide 15 shows various professional organizations have also promulgated codes of ethics for professions that may provide expert witnesses in court. They also have policy statements that are put out by their organizations concerning anti-bias against transgender people.

Finally, there is a nascent body of case
law involving the rights and responsibilities of
transgender people. These cases are a good place to
start for judges seeking some insight into how issues

involving transgender people come up in the state's courts.

2.1

I now turn the microphone and the mouse over to former Family Court Judge Paula Hepner.

JUDGE HEPNER: Thank you, Judge Moulton.

While these canons speak about performing judicial duties without bias or prejudice, not manifesting bias or prejudice by words or conduct, and the Code speaks about lawyers not unlawfully discriminating in the practice of law, they are talking about more than eliminating derogatory phrases from our language and snickering from our behavior. They are talking about making the judicial system, as Judge Fisher pointed out, respond respectfully and effectively to people of all cultures, languages, classes, races, ethnic backgrounds, abilities, religions, genders, sexual orientations, gender identities and gender expressions.

Achieving this change in our culture is a process. The judicial system as a whole will change its approach to the diversity of the people who come before us when the individuals in that system are able to recognize, affirm, and value the worth of every person in the family units we see.

How do we do the three things you see on the slide: assure that transgender adults and youth are treated equitably and fairly by everyone they meet in the courthouse from the day that - - - the moment they walk in to the moment they leave? How do we develop cultural competence in working with transgender youth and adults? And how do we, as judicial officers and personnel in the court system demonstrate the values, behaviors, attitudes, and practices in every case that we see, so that transgender people, and indeed, all people who come to the court will find it a welcoming environment?

We cannot change our judicial system externally until we do something about it internally, both as individuals and collectively as a group. We begin by identifying our own history with sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, and the role that that upbringing has played in our formulation of what we view as appropriate cultural norms.

In doing this, we can move beyond blindness, avoidance and simple tolerance of difference based on sexual orientation, gender identity, and gender expression to an understanding of, respect for, and acceptance of each person's

right to identify as they choose and to live their lives consistent with that identity.

2.1

What it means to become culturally competent and how we demonstrate this in our cases and our courthouses is the subject of the remainder of this program, which will be led by Elana Redfield, staff attorney at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project, and also director of the Survival and Self-Determination Project.

MS. REDFIELD: Thank you, Judge Hepner and thank you Judge Moulton.

I want to start by bringing into the room,
Sylvia Rivera, for a second. Our law project is
named after her, and she was a transgender Latina
activist who really paved the way for our way of
thinking about transgender advocacy, which is to say,
we think of it not in isolation, but as it relates to
everything else.

So as I go through my presentation today, I want you to remember that I don't think of any of these things as being isolated, but all related to every other kind of experience someone in their lives is going to have.

So we're talking today about cultural competency, and that's really the focus of my piece.

The way I think of it, it's broken down into four components when we're talking about transgender people. The first component is terminology. How do we speak about gender and sex, sexual orientation, and specifically, what are some terms that transgender activists or allies use?

2.1

The second section is identifying and challenging some of the messages that we get from our society, from our culture, from our peers about what trans people are, who trans people are, and what trans people do.

Then the third part is to deepen our understanding about transphobia. What does it mean when we say "transphobia", and what does discrimination against trans people look like?

The fourth part would be to learn some ways to support trans people who are in the legal system. Also, these same tips are applicable in our own personal lives if we have trans people in our lives that we want to support.

So we're starting with terminology. Some terms of art. These terms, I want to say, are a baseline. These are terms that you can use comfortably knowing that they - - - they're not necessarily offensive or that they are terms that are

broadly accepted. But I don't want you to think that these are the only terms that are used or that these terms might not be challenged by anybody.

2.1

"transgender" is an umbrella term. We at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project use it to refer - - as an umbrella term to refer to anybody whose gender identity is different from the sex they're assigned at birth, which we'll talk about more in detail. But it can be used to apply to anybody whose gender trans - - they transgress gender norms in any way.

So who might be transgender? People who dress in a way that's associated with one sex, even though they were raised as another sex; people who identify as a particular sex or gender, even though they were raised as a different sex or gender; people who simply do not identify with either male or female at all; or people who identify with parts of one gender and parts of another gender.

How many transgender people are there?

Well, it's really hard to say. There are a number of reasons. For one, trans - - - trans-status is not a checkbox on a census. Another reason is that many trans people wouldn't feel comfortable outing themselves as trans because of discrimination or

violence. Or, many people who are - - - who have an experience of being transgender don't identify that way for the purposes of documentation. They would say, I'm a man or I'm a woman. They wouldn't say I'm a transgender man or - - -

2.1

So it's hard to really get an accurate number. But there are estimates. The National Center for Transgender Equality estimates around .25 percent to 1 percent of the United States population, which is roughly the population of Alaska. And I like that as an image - - - Alaska.

I should credit Harper Jean Tobin who - - - for - - - who put that out there in my head. She works at the National Center for Transgender Equality.

So now I want to break some things down even further. I want to talk about the differences between gender and sex. So when I say gender, I'm referring to a social construction. The World Health Organization defines gender as "the result of socially constructed ideas about the behavior, actions, or roles a particular sex performs."

So here we have a nifty graphic illustrating that gender identity or expression is not equal to the assigned birth sex for transgender

people. Now, what do I mean by "assigned birth sex"?

Well, advocates use the term "sex" to - - - some advocates, not all advocates - - - most advocates use the term "sex" to refer to anatomical features, such as chromosomes, genitals, or secondary sex characteristics like facial hair. And when we say "assigned", that's the process of being assigned that sex that happens at birth. So when you're born, the doctor or your parents look at your sex characteristics and they say, this person is a boy or this person is a girl.

And we like the term "assigned sex" because it emphasizes the fact that a decision's being made by a third party. That's an action that's being taken to decide what your sex is. And the reality is that approximately one in one hundred babies is born with sexual traits or sex characteristics that don't neatly fit into the traditional concepts of male and female. So most of those people are - - - the doctor looks at them and they say, I'm going to go with female or I'm going to go with male.

Or in the case of many people, they're actually forced to undergo surgeries to conform their bodies to the gender that the doctor decides they're most like or should be, which is actually an area of

activism for many people who identify as intersex.

And that's the term that you would use.

2.1

And also, a side note, the sex characteristics change over time, right? Everyone, as we grow and age, sex characteristics change.

Someone - - - well, I'll leave it at that. You can use your imagination.

So gender expression is the way that a person expresses their gender to the world. Now, this can be - - - it manifests in so many ways: posture, the way that people speak, the way that people dress, jewelry, whether you wear it, whether you don't wear it, what kinds of jewelry you might wear. Also things like do you hold a door for somebody? Do you walk through the door first? It's very deep, and there's a long list, so I'll leave it at that.

But I want to note that these things really vary across cultures. So it's influenced by race and class. It's influenced by culture, by family role, by religion, by your peers, by media. So gender expression varies very broadly.

And a story I like to tell about gender expression is I had a client who had a severe - - - or has a severe psychiatric disability. And she

lives in a supported facility where her ability to express her gender is limited by the rules of the facility that she lives in. So she was assigned male at birth; she identifies as female. But because of where she lives, she's not really allowed to express her gender the way that she wants to. She's subject to the rules of the residential facility, of the programs that she spends her day in, and of the kind of jobs that she's allowed to take because of her situation. So you can't look at her and necessarily know what her gender is.

2.1

The next slide I have is about gender nonconformity. This one was a hard one. I kind of wanted to put it in the beginning, kind of wanted to put in the end. I wasn't sure where to put it, so I just threw it in the middle. But gender nonconformity is sort of a generalized term for when characteristics, aspects, or mannerisms do not match assumptions about sex or gender.

So, right, so if you're looking at my client and she does not necessarily look like what you would think a woman looks like, you actually - - - you can't know. She's gender nonconforming, because she identifies as female, but she presents in a way that you might think of or someone might think

of as not feminine.

2.1

And gender nonconforming is really broad.

It's a term you can use whenever gender - - - you

don't ex - - - you don't match assumptions about the

way a particular gender should look or act. For

example, there was a court case a while back where

this person who identified as a woman but was very

masculine presenting, tried to use a bathroom - - - a

women's bathroom in a restaurant in Manhattan. And

the restaurant in the case said you can't use that

bathroom, because she didn't look feminine enough.

I mean, they were, I guess, confused about her gender. But suffice to say they won that case.

And really what I want to drive everyone towards is a recognition that the most important factor is gender identity, how someone identifies.

Okay. So this is the internal personal sense for what your gender is. Gender identity is innate. We all have one, and most people know theirs from a very young age. Most people's gender identity remains the same, although it can change over time. And many people have gender identities that do not match their assigned birth sex. Right?

So that, again, brings us back to transgender. So I think we've probably hit that nail

enough times to drive it home. But I really wanted to get that definition in your heads.

2.1

So one term that we used when we're talking about trans people is cisgender, and cisgender is a term to refer to people who are not transgender.

It's an antonym of transgender. And there is a Latin root, cis being the opposite of trans in Latin. We think that - - no one really knows where it started, but it seems like it may have started in Internet chat rooms or academia back in the 90s. But now it's become a very common, widely accepted way to describe non-trans people.

And I'd like to say, you don't normally need to use it unless you're talking about trans people, because most of the time, you don't need to make distinctions between trans and non-trans people.

The last point in the terminology I want to make, is that - - - oops, here's a whole - - - so this is not the last point. It's the second to last point. So some terms you might see to describe gender.

This is a list. The list is not exhaustive. People are going to describe themselves however they identify. So the best thing you can always keep in mind is to reflect back the language

that people use for themselves.

2.1

But some common terms you will hear are:

woman, man, trans woman or MTF - - - this is a person

who was assigned male at birth and identifies as

female; or trans man or FTM - - - this is a person

who's assigned female at birth and identifies as

male.

You may also hear terms like gender nonconforming woman or AG or gender queer or gender nonconforming. These are other terms that people use to self identify.

Some terms to avoid are terms you might hear in movies or films or on the street or the subway or even in the hallways, terms like: he-she, she male, tranny, transvestite or cross dresser.

Now, all these terms are not - - - okay, well, he-she is usually pretty derogatory. But some of these terms are terms people may use to describe themselves, but it's better to use a term like transgender that's more broadly accepted.

A cross-dresser is not a negative term at all, but it's a term that refers to a specific group of people who self identify that way. So you shouldn't apply that term broadly.

And so gender is separate from sexual

orientation. And there's been a long history of advocates for lesbian and gay rights to work together with transgender advocates to achieve similar goals, but they're a separate thing. Sexuality and gender identity are separate things. So I use the star to represent gender identity, yeah; and a heart to represent who you love.

So when we say gender identity referring to who you are, how you identify; and sexual orientation refers to who you are attracted to. So you can never know somebody's sexual orientation just because you know that they're trans. Right? Yeah, the same way that if you are a republican, you might date republicans or democrats, or it could go, you know, any way; you can't make assumptions based on someone's own self identification.

is to identify cultural messages that we're getting.

Now, I'm going to skip to this slide, because I think it makes the point that they're everywhere. This is a photograph of a promotional poster in a subway stop next to where I live. And if you can't see what it says, someone has drawn a beard on this person and written, I'm really a man.

It's actually a very common form of

2.1

ridicule, right, to degender someone or to say this person isn't really what they are. And particularly making fun of trans - - - trans women or poking fun at people who are not really women, is very common in our society.

2.1

So there's a lot of misinformation out there, and I want to just touch on some of what's out there. So there's a challenge I like everyone to take which is to watch any movie or TV show or comedy special and give yourself a point every time gender nonconformity is used as a gag. And I gave some examples here. But this list is not exhaustive. I'm pretty sure any comedy movie made in the last thirty years does - - has at least one trans joke in it. So if anyone wants to try that out, I'd be curious to hear the results.

But also we see a lot of sinister plot

twists. I'm just thinking about the - - - The

Silence of the Lambs. And you know, I remember as a

kid thinking, wow, trans people are terrifying,

because you see this movie, right? And I was just on

the subway last week, and now there's a haunted house

that they're promoting using a reference to The

Silence of the Lambs. So it's still alive, that

idea, of like the murderous transsexual who needs to

fulfill their gender transition through killing people.

2.1

And then I feel this next image really shows the kind of reaction people - - - the way that trans people are depicted in media, right? This is from the show The Family Guy. And this is when Brian discovers that he's been having a relationship with a transgender woman. And I think that sense of disgust runs really deep, and that's part of all this - - - all of these messages we're getting.

These don't always seem significant, but they add up. Right? They play a powerful role.

They reaffirm cultural standards about trans people being other, being undesirable, being unsexy, being disgusting. And I want to note that this is the same thing that's happening with racism and the same thing that's happening with size and fatness and with ability, that these - - - we're always getting these messages about what kinds of bodies are okay.

Some of the - - - if you just - - - still, if you boil down what these messages are saying it's transgender people are only pretending to be something they're not, or trans people are overly sexual, or sexually deviant, or all trans people are sex workers.

Messages that it's disgusting, humiliating or shameful to love or be romantically involved with transgender people, or that they're less valuable, or less real, less trustworthy, because they are - - - they're - - or their needs are not as important.

Right? That they don't matter as much.

Janet Mock is an author, an activist, who recently wrote a really interesting blog post about loving trans women. So I'm just going to read her quote. "We as a society have not created a space for men to openly express their desire to be with trans women. We tell men to keep their attraction to trans women secret, to limit it to the Internet, to frame it as a fetish or a passing transaction. In effect, we're telling trans women that they are only deserving of secret interactions with men, further demeaning and stigmatizing trans women."

And so I think that touches on a lot of the messages. Right? And the effect. Right? They obscure the realities of transgender lives. The conflate causes and effects of discrimination. And they justify the denial of healthcare, of services, of support, and respect for trans people. And they contribute to the mentality that trans people are disposable.

No transgender presentation would be complete without a discussion of the surgery. The number one transgender myth. Here's Christine

Jorgensen. So the myth is that a person of one gender becomes a person of another gender when they have the surgery. So where does it come from? Well,

I pointed - - I put that picture of Christine

Jorgensen, because that is her narrative and that is one of the earliest times when trans people were discussed in the media and discussed broadly across different cultures was when Christine Jorgensen was trying to get health care so she could change her gender.

For many people, sex - - - gender reassignment is extremely important. It provides a greater sense of harmony between - - - between their gender identity and the physical body. And - - - and genital reassignment surgery can be a really huge part of that.

It also provides a really good litmus test or really - - - sorry, not good. I won't use the good. But an easy litmus test for deciding who gets to be trans - - - who gets to be male, who gets to be female.

The reality is, there's no single procedure

that all trans people get. Less than twenty percent of transgender people overall get genital reassignment surgery, less than five percent of transgender men; and that they're very costly.

Surgeries can run up to, you know, 30,000, 50,000, 80,000 dollars.

2.1

They're physically challenging, so sometimes people can't have them because they have other medical conditions or they don't want to take the risk of the complications of surgery. They're permanently sterilizing, so whenever you have surgery, it's - - - you have to agree to not reproduce. Right? And they're frequently undesired. Many trans people want to be recognized for how they identify without having these kinds of surgeries.

I would describe the myth of the surgery as hetero-normative, because it's based on the idea of two distinct sexes and the functions that they perform. And it's also class-based, because it doesn't acknowledge the reality that most people cannot afford this kind of treatment.

Oftentimes there's a narrative that if you really wanted it you would - - - you would save up and you would get it. Hopefully the next few segments of the presentation will help to erase that

sort of thinking, if anybody has it.

2.1

The myth of the surgery ignores the fact that gender identity is innate, that you have it. It doesn't matter what your body is like. It doesn't matter what you look like or how you dress. You know who you are. And it - - it also ignores the reality that a lot of people who would have genital surgeries cannot get them because of discrimination. It's not covered by most surger - - most health insurance. It is extremely expensive. And we'll talk about the forms of discrimination people experience and how it might add up to a complete impossibility of obtaining this kind of treatment.

It also sets up a standard of authenticity that only can be met by a privileged few. Right? So in some ways, I guess that that's part of - - - of the idea - - - of people not wanting to deal with trans people, is that well, only people who have this particular proceeding - - - procedure, are actually trans or actually female or actually male, and that means that there's a lot of people who we don't have to actually worry about. Right? We don't have - - - this doesn't - - it doesn't undermine the sense of what it means to be male and female, because it's this limited number of people who can do it.

So our alternative model is one that says appropriate clinical treatment is what trans people need to transition into their preferred gender. So harmonizing your body with your gender identity should be a matter of whatever clinical treatment is

appropriate to you, decided by you and your doctor.

2.1

This includes all forms of treatment, everything from hormone therapy to psychotherapy, talk therapy, nongenital surgery like top surgery, lifestyle changes, legal, social factors, using a different pronoun, and genital surgery. So it's a much more comprehensive and holistic approach.

I was reading this book called "How Sex Changed" by Joanne Meyerowitz right before preparing this. And I thought, actually, it's a really good history of the way that the narrative of the surgery has evolved throughout culture. It's by no means an exhaustive read, but it's an interesting one.

So the third component is to --- is understanding transgender discrimination. And I want to really dig deep into it today.

So transphobia is the fear, hatred, dislike of, or discrimination towards a person because they're trans or because they transgress gender norms. Violent transphobia is one of the most

discussed forms of transphobia, and it's extremely real. It's particularly common against transgender people of color are the most common targets of violence.

2.1

And there's a good report on the violence against transgender people of color called "Disposable People" by the Southern Poverty Law Center. So the CLA materials contain references that you can look at.

I want to talk about one - - - actually a couple examples. So I know this might be a sort of disturbing image to look at, but this is a picture of Cece McDonald who was attacked. She's a transgender woman of color who was physically attacked. One of the assailants smashed a beer glass across her face. This is her getting medical treatment afterwards.

She defended herself, and one of the attackers was killed. She was charged with second degree murder, and after a long period of time in jail she accepted a plea in order to avoid going on trial. And this is a picture of her talking with a visitor.

There's an important thing to understand, right, is that transgender people, and in particular transgender people of color, are so frequently

getting killed. Recently, just a month ago - - - a little bit less than - - - no, around a month ago, this woman, Islan Nettles, was killed in Harlem. And when this happens we see media coverage like this that totally - - - she's - - - she identifies as a woman and she's described as a man. And they put her old - - her birth name into the newspaper articles. And this is extremely common. Unfortunately, I don't know the name of the other woman who's in this picture. But it adds insult to injury. Right?

2.1

And then we see the trivialization of this same violence. We see movies like "Ticked Off
Trannies with Knives" that talk about how funny and sexy and sassy it is that trans people are trying to, you know, exact vengeance for the violence that they experience. And then we see the real - - in real life, what happens is that they're put in jail for it. So Cece is in jail for being alive, and - - in short.

But there's a lot more to transphobia than violence. And this is a part where everyone here can really play a role. I want to talk about structural discrimination. And that's essentially discrimination that arises because structures, institutions, practices, don't take trans people into

consideration. They don't anticipate trans people.

2.1

Here's an easy example. Does your intake form say M/F, and - - - or does it - - - does it allow people to describe their gender differently? But it goes - - - it goes a lot deeper than that.

So any kind of institution that doesn't take the needs of a particular community into consideration would be structural discrimination.

You're not - - - those communities are not - - - not the ones making the intake forms. They're not the ones who are deciding how policies work. They're left out.

It doesn't require a perpetrator, which is why it's so hard sometimes to talk about discrimination. There's not an individual actor.

It's just that's the way it is.

And it's - - - these systems are deeply intertwined with healthcare, government, and the economy and frequently result in health risks, violence, and death in transgender communities.

So to start, I want to talk about gender transition and ways this - - - this comes up in gender transition. So when I say the term "transition", I'm talking about the process of aligning the - - - your physical body, your social

life, your legal role, all - - - or your gender expression, with the way that you identify your gender.

2.1

It might include healthcare treatments. It might include telling your friends, I use a different pronoun now or I use a different name now. It may involve a legal name change. It may involve a shift in a social group or your role in your family or a shift in - - - and many other things.

One of the biggest components of transition is healthcare. So all of the most common treatments for trans - - - for gender transition are considered safe and effective by medical providers, including the American Medical Association, the American Psychiatric Association, and the American Psychological Association.

However, very few doctors have experience treating trans people, and it's so common that people have the experience of going in because of a broken arm and becoming a subject of discussion, having all the interns brought in to meet you, being unable to get even - - - what's the word - - - when you enter a hospital, what's that called - - - admitted as your preferred gender, and then having to wear a bracelet that has your old name or your old gender on it.

So even - - - so people are discouraged from treatment for general things not related to trans health, and very few doctors have the expertise to actually provide healthcare - - - trans healthcare.

It's typically excluded by healthcare providers, insurance providers. So trans people frequently have to pay out-of-pocket, which means many of them cannot afford to get care.

New York State Medicaid does not cover trans health care. It has a specific exclusion which was passed in 1998. As a result, low-income New Yorkers who are on Medicaid cannot get genital reassignment surgery. And what that presents is a really interesting situation, because what New York State Medicaid requires in order to change your IDs is genital surgery.

So if you want to ever get a New York State benefits ID, you have to get off of Medicaid, or find a way to get a surgery that - - - you know, a 30,000-dollar surgery, and then get back on it, and then they'll change it, maybe. So people are stuck in a Catch-22. So they can never change their gender marker.

Many people, especially low-income people,

are unable to get these treatments covered, so they end up having to take on extra work. And if you are unemployed or if you're low income, many people end up doing sex work. We have a lot of clients who will tell us, I ended up - - - I started doing sex work because I had to pay for my hormones. And it's just a reality that people have to acknowledge that - - - that trans communities need this care and they're going to find ways to get it.

2.1

Doctors' letters, long-term treatment and sometimes surgery, are required in order to update ID documents. So many agencies, notably the Human Resources Administration, require surgery in order to update IDs, which is outdated at this point, because there's general medical consensus that - - - that surgery should not be required.

This is some of the IDs I like to - - these are IDs. So what do you use an ID for? For
getting into programs, for getting jobs, for getting
healthcare, for accessing a gym, for accessing your
building, accessing lots of physical spaces. They
always print the name and often print the gender.
Usually there's a picture and sometimes a signature.

Related to IDs are school transcripts, credit history and GEDs as well as medical

documentation bears your name on it. Right?

2.1

So in order to change those things, people have to get a court order to - - - a legal court order to change their name. And New York State does not have a provision for legal gender change through the courts. So some form of medical intervention is required, and it varies across agencies.

So here are some examples of non-affirming policies. A policy that requires gender - - - genital surgery includes New York City and State birth certificates require genital surgery in order to be changed, and New York City HRA benefits card.

Examples of affirming policies, we look to the federal level. We look to the United States passport, the Social Security, consular birth certificates and immigration documents can all be changed with a doctor's letter stating that the person has had appropriate clinical treatment.

Now, moving beyond the concept of transition into the day-to-day lives of trans people. Here's a quote from Lisa Mottet, who's a transgender activist: "Society pushes people into the streets into order to survive, and they're not allowed to survive there," so, "that's a societal hate crime."

One - - - one site where people end up

getting forced into the streets, unfortunately, is family. A recent study found fifty-seven percent of respondents had experienced significant family rejection. And fifty-one percent of those who - - - whose families had rejected them had attempted suicide. So we're talking about more than half of trans people have been rejected by their families, and more than half of those people have tried to kill themselves.

Trans people are disproportionately likely to be kicked out of their homes or run away from home. Transgender people in foster care have a similar higher rate of the same experience.

New York City's homeless youth are disproportionately LGBT. This recent study was really startling. Twenty to forty percent of homeless youth are believed to be LGBT, so lesbian, gay, bisexual or transgender. And thirty-nine percent of them were kicked out of their homes by their families.

And the same is true for adults. Many, many, many adults lose their families because they decide to transition. This leads to a higher rate of homelessness. Right?

Transgender people are disproportionately

2.1

likely, one third of transgender New Yorkers reported, in one study, to be - - - to have been homeless at one point in their lives.

2.1

Homeless shelters are sex segregated. New York City actually has a fairly affirming policy that allows you to enroll in the homeless - - - in the shelter system by self identifying your gender.

There's basically intake points for male and for female facilities, and you can choose which one you want to go to.

How many people here use a bathroom? I do too. So everybody, I think, needs to use them. And for people whose gender identity or expression is different than the sex they're assigned at birth, this can bring up a lot of problems.

Under New York City law, the Human Rights

Law, anyone can use a bathroom based on their gender

identity, but it doesn't mean that they won't

experience discrimination trying to do so. They'll

probably win the court case that happens afterwards.

But people are still pretty unaware that this is a

law or that they have that right.

There actually is a card that's put together by the NYCLU and the Transgender Legal

Defense and Education Fund that has - - - says this

is my right to use this bathroom. And if you want that card, you can get that card from us or from the NYCLU or TLDEF.

2.1

But it's really common for people still to get arrested for using the wrong bathroom, which is funny, because it's not illegal. Actually, there's not a law that says you can't use the restroom of the opposite gender. But, you know, it's disorderly conduct. Right? People also win those cases when they get arrested. Our founder was arrested for using the wrong bathroom.

And people experience a lot of violence in bathrooms. Just so much violence trying to use a bathroom. So if you are a trans woman and you are forced to use a men's bathroom, that leads to a lot of - - at the very least, a lot of discomfort, and usually verbal - - verbal harassment, or physical harassment, or sexual harassment. And the same is true for trans men using men's rooms. Sometimes trans men don't want to use men's rooms.

So the best kind of policies are ones that allow people to self identify what bathroom they want - - - they feel safest using. And one of the ways that many - - - many buildings and organizations accommodate that is by having all-gender bathrooms,

that is to say, bathrooms anybody can use.

2.1

I think the centerpiece of these kind of bathrooms is having stalls that lock, so anybody can feel safe when they're using the bathroom. They've been used for a long time. I'm not sure how long the Urban Justice Center has had them, but many, many, many years. But other organizations like the New York City LGBT center and Green Chimneys have all-gender bathrooms. CUNY Law School where I went to law school, has all-gender bathrooms.

So employment and income. If your IDs don't match the way you look or your preferred name, it's very hard to get a traditional job, especially low-income jobs.

If a - - - even if a trans person is hired, they're likely to face harassment or discrimination at some point from coworkers or from clients or elsewhere.

So we have double the rate of unemployment; near universal harassment. So what that means is that ninety-seven percent of people who took a survey about employment harassment for trans people reported experiencing some degree of harassment on the job.

There's a significant likelihood of losing jobs or not getting promoted. And there are high

rates of poverty amongst trans people.

2.1

So since trans people have such high rates of employment and such high rates of discrimination, many times people have a hard time getting jobs, and are forced to actually work off the books. A lot of people are on welfare, and a lot of people end up having to commit survival crimes such as sex work, in order to get by.

JUDGE MOULTON: Elana, I need to just take a short break. For people who are getting CLE credit, the CLE code is 1520 - - - 1520. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt.

MS. REDFIELD: So with a high likelihood of homelessness, difficulty finding work, high rates of family rejection, you can't change your IDs, you can't get the healthcare you need, it's not a surprise that many trans people are arrested, and trans people are disproportionately likely to end up in the criminal justice system.

Trans people - - - gender is highly regulated in prisons. Right? Because they are deeply sex segregated. While incarcerated, trans people are disproportionately likely to be sexually assaulted. So one statistic was that - - - from a California - - - California men's prisons, was that

fifty-nine percent of transgender women in men's facilities reported sexual assault. So that's essentially two thirds of the participants of the survey.

2.1

Another egregious issue is placement. Most people are placed by their genital status, and it's done by a genital check. So when you're arrested they stick their finger - - their hands in your pants and say well, that's what's there so that's where you're going.

And at SRLP, at the Sylvia Rivera Law

Project, we have zero clients who are incarcerated by
their preferred gender. So that means that none of
our clients are in facilities that match how they
identify.

There was, interestingly, a show "Orange is the New Black" where there was a character - - - this was portrayed by Laverne Cox, who's an amazing transgender activist. And this character was actually in a women's facility and based on a real person who was a trans woman in a women's facility. So we know they're out there. But for the most part, people are placed by their genital status.

In prison, it's extremely hard to get any kind of healthcare, but accessing transgender

healthcare is ex - - - is very difficult. The New

York State Department of Corrections and Community

Supervision has a protocol for providing trans

healthcare. But it doesn't mean that people are able

to access it freely. There are often obstacles.

2.1

So getting healthcare, preventing hate violence, and accessing gender affirming clothing are main - - are some of the main issues that our incarcerated community members experience on a daily basis.

I have to also mention Chelsea Manning.

Right? So she was recently brought to - - - a lot of media attention to prison issues, because she announced that she was trans - - changing her gender after her sent - - she was sentenced to eight to thirty-five years' sentence in a military facility.

She requested treatment for gender dysphoria, and the prison immediately issued a statement that they were not going to provide it. So this is fairly common. It actually sheds a lot of light on the day-to-day lives of so many people in New York State prisons as well.

So I want to remind folks of the intersections, too, that we talked about in the

beginning, that transgender people of color and low income people face the manifestations of transphobia much more severely than people who - - - with more si - - - more privilege. Right? And that when you're dealing with transphobia and racism, that's - - - or transphobia and poverty, that that means you're going to experience it differently than a middle-class white person is going to experience transphobia.

2.1

So there are so many different ways that this - - - that transphobia plays out. And we have to remember that we have to push back on all of them at the same time.

So the fourth component, now that we've gone through discrimination and what it looks like, is to get practical and talk about what are the ways that people - - - that everyone here can support trans people, can help facilitate people feeling respected in the court system and in our personal lives.

So the first one is to challenge assumptions. I really think this is like one of the most important parts of cultural competency, is to recognize what you're bringing, what your assumptions are, and what you think about trans people, and how that might affect how you treat people.

It means thinking critically about gender roles, what we're taught. In my family - - - I grew up in the country. In my family, the women children were responsible for cleaning our house and for doing the dishes and the male - - - assigned male children were responsible for stacking wood, cutting wood, for fixing things that are broken, for mowing the lawn.

So like there's a very gender division, right in my life. And everyone's life, I think, we'll probably recognize that there are those factors.

And we have to look at structures. Like I mentioned intakes - - - intake forms or bathrooms, hiring practices, and, you know, security check points. It's one thing that's great about the court system is that you don't need to show an ID to enter. But in many places you do need to show an ID enter, and that means that many people might not have IDs. They might not have ID that match. So people need to be aware that that's going to happen for trans people, there's going to be those problems.

Affirming preferred names. So whether or not someone has legally changed their name, you can always use their preferred name. And you can always - - - so if their name is legally changed, then court documents can be amended to reflect the legal name

change. If their name has not been legally changed, there may be ways - - - strategies where you can make a notation in the file so that the preferred name can be used.

2.1

Affirming gender. Now, one of the biggest pieces of this is recognizing what pronoun someone wants to use. And even if you're using the old name, you can still use the preferred pronouns that someone wants to use. So I prefer she and her. You can always - - if you are not aware of what pronoun someone might prefer, then you can ask them. It's better to do it privately like in a sidebar type situation, a bench conference. But if you can find out the way someone wants to be referred to, that makes a huge difference in their feeling respected.

And also, addressing people by Mr. or Ms. can be problematic sometimes, because you don't necessarily know how someone identifies. So you could use the last name of the case, or if there are multiple parties, you could say parties on that case or if you have a different name for the plaintiff or the defendant, you could say "Plaintiff Smith"

Defendant Rodriguez" or call it by the case number.

I don't know if that's going to work most - - but there are a lot of ways around saying Mr. So-and-so,

Ms. So-and-so, or using the old name.

2.1

In the name-change context, you can call someone by the name they're trying to change their name to. At least you know that's the one that they want, right?

Avoiding questions that are not relevant to the case. A lot of times trans people get this question of have you had the surgery. And my favorite response to that is have you had the surgery, or even better, tell me what your genitals look like. Because people don't want to talk about that, right? No one wants to talk about that. And the only reason - - trans people may tell you about it, but it's because all these messages in society that we have to tell you about our genitals all the time.

Like, you know, you could get a picture and put it on a T-shirt and then there it is.

But unless it's at issue in the case,
there's no need to ask a question like that. And you
could always just check - - - check yourself by
saying, do I need to know this in order to move
forward with whatever we're doing together, whether
it's a case, whether it's a conversation we're
having. So avoiding questions that are too personal

or too specific to their trans experience, unless they say I would like to talk about this or offer it.

2.1

And, you know, also don't push people if they're like, I don't want to talk about that.

Unless it's like a lawsuit where you're trying to determine - - you know, I can't even imagine how it would come up that you'd have to talk about it.

Considering the impact of transphobia on the case. This is important. So there's all these factors that every litigant is bringing into the courtroom. The factors like did they suffer - - - what kinds of discrimination have they experienced; what kind of violence have they been experienced.

I mean, I don't want to generalize completely, but I'd say most trans people have been verbally harassed or physically harassed at some point in their lives. So they're going to be afraid to like put their address in things or necess - - - or they might be afraid. Or they may not want to discuss - - they may be more reticent to discuss facts with you. So understanding they're coming with that.

Understanding that trans people may have criminal history because they only had the option of sex work. They may have been arrested for

prostitution because they couldn't survive if they didn't do sex work. Or they may have chosen sex work because it was affirming to their gender, whereas otherwise they were being constantly shut down.

2.1

Severed. Or consider the fact - - - well, I already mentioned violence, but I'll mention it again - - - that there's a climate - - - and we all live in this climate - - - where trans people are constantly killed and constantly told that they are worth being killed; that that's what they're worth, and that it's okay to kill trans people, and that it's okay to harass trans people, and it's okay to make you feel bad about using your preferred bathroom or feel bad about dressing the way that you want to dress.

So recognize that whenever you have a litigant in your courtroom, they're probably coming in with a lot of baggage.

Also consider the potential power of your - of the outcome of the case. Right? If you deny
someone a personal safety waiver, will the
publication of a name change out them to their family
and cause family rejection? Will it cause people in
their neighborhood to know that they're trans when
they didn't know before?

Or will denial of bail result in jail conditions that disproportionately harm a transgender defendant? Right? So if you know that a disposition that might not - - might be unpleasant for somebody, but you know that as a trans person, they're going to go in and they're - - - you know they're going to face extra harassment - - - they're sixty-six or sixty percent likely to be sexually harassed when they're locked up, is that going to affect your decision about bail or about alternatives to incarceration?

And also, will that unfairly coerce them into taking a plea? Is it a factor for a trans person that they don't want to - - - they'd take a plea rather than spend more time being locked up? I think that's a real consideration.

And then also, there's so much power to really support trans people. Every name change reduces transphobia, because it helps someone get ID documents that match the way they identify.

Every time a judge or attorney uses

pronouns that affirm a litigant's gender, that - - 
that creates trust and it creates investment in the

legal system. And it's a small step, but it makes so

much difference when you can feel like your gender's

being respected when you're in court.

2.1

And at this point, I'm going to conclude and hand it over to Judge Hepner - - - Hepner for some more consideration.

JUDGE HEPNER: We were talking about what we do on a daily basis when people come to court and how these things that we've just been delving into affect the way we respond when transgender folks come into our courthouses, either as adults, as children, as litigants, or as people who accompany anyone to court.

In the practice tips, you heard Ms.

Redfield speak about being open to experiences of people. And those are people who are differently situated than we are. So when we are open to people who are differently situated, it means that we think about what we say before we say it.

It's so easy for us to say things that we don't really realize unless we've thought about it are not affirming, are offensive, are hostile and unwelcoming. For example, saying to a transgender mom who comes with her lesbian partner to court, "tell your friend to have a seat in the back of the courtroom." Just think about the assumptions that went into that sentence and how easily we can say

that. Because why? We didn't stop to think.

2.1

So we need to stop making assumptions about anyone's sexual orientation and gender identity. The fact of the matter is, we don't know a single thing about any single one person we see. So we need to be sensitive. We need to be open to every possibility that exists.

We don't want to be saying to a minor who comes to court with a trans woman, where's your father? We don't know what we've just done. But we certainly have made a gap. We have made an unwelcoming environment. We've made a lot of assumptions.

We need to try to stop ourselves from acting on assumptions about people's gender identity based on what we see: the clothes, the things that Ms. Redfield mentioned, the jewelry, the hairstyles, the androgynous appearances. It doesn't tell you a single thing. And they're not failsafe clues. We have to remember that when people come into our courtrooms.

We have to keep in the forefront of our mind that relationship categories are more than different-sex and same-sex. And in your materials that are online, you will find a table of all the

possible combinations of same-sex and different sex relationships that we see in our courtrooms.

2.1

We can start working on developing openended questions for how to meet and greet our public
and our litigants. And those questions should signal
inclusiveness. So how do we do that? It's really
quite simple when you take a moment to stop and think
about it.

How would you like me to address you, Mr., Ms., he, she, or another term? If you say all of those things, you're communicating an openness. If you don't, and you just barrel ahead saying what you think is correct, then you've again cut off openness, access, and a welcoming environment.

So by adding in multiples you communicate that you're aware that there are differences in the people we serve and that you're open to hearing any one of those answers, which is important. So for example, do you describe your relationship - - - in a family offense case - - - do you describe your relationship as bisexual, different-sex, same-sex, or something else? I mean, that's not the limit either. We have pan-sexual, omni-sexual, non-monosexual. There's no limit to what people are referring to themselves as. So let's communicate by giving lots

of options, that we are open, we are inclusive, and we are willing to hear what you have to say.

2.1

Another example: are you legally related by marriage, civil union, domestic partnership? Look what you're communicated to people. You've communicated that a) you know about it, and you're not going to flip when somebody says one of those words to you, you're just going to go on as - - - as life has dealt it.

In the case of children: do you - - - does your mother allow your male and female friends to come to your home? So that if you have a lesbian daughter in the family, she can feel free to say they don't like who I associate with. But if you only say does your mother let boys come to your home, then you've done the same thing again; you've cut off access; you've made it nonwelcoming; and you've communicated that you have a perspective that may not match the person who's in front of you.

We heard Ms. Redfield talk about substituting gender-neutral and non-heterosexist language. She said terms like Mr. and Ms. are not necessarily failsafe either. So we have to be certain about the gender identities of our litigants before we even step forward and use those words.

We were all - - - everyone in this room - - raised to believe that that was as proper form of
address and that that was respectful. But in the
context of our presentation today, I hope you can see
how those terms can be instantly alienating. And
that's what we're trying to show here.

"He and she" are not gender neutral terms.

We grew up thinking that's so. It's not. How do we deal with that? Skip the "he and she". "Does this person live with you?" Or use the person's name:

"where does Miley live?" if it's a respondent in a family offense case or any other case.

Boyfriend and girlfriend are not gender neutral terms in the context of our presentation. So let's go with: "Are you dating anyone?" you know, so that we get out of putting people into our preconceived assumptions.

Husband and wife are not gender-neutral any longer. "What's your spouse or partner's name?" will get you past communicating the barrier or nonreceptivity.

Mother and father are not gender neutral.

So as I said before: "Did you come to court with
your parent"; or "Did your parent come today?" And
always safe, as Ms. Redfield said, are: petitioner,

respondent, plaintiff, defendant, complainantdefendant; because that doesn't connote anything. It
leaves it all open.

2.1

We talked a lot about assumptions today, and we talked a lot about the negative things that we see. Most of us meet transgender folks - - - a lot of us meet transgender folks, where? In criminal proceedings or in juvenile delinquency proceedings.

Do we - - - we heard a lot of the data that came from the report in Justice at Every Turn, which is in your materials, and I highly recommend you look at. It's a terrific report. But what we don't hear are the affirming things. And it's not part of our, I venture to say, list of assumptions we carry around in our head, that for example, twenty-seven percent of people in this study - - - there's more than 6,500 folks across the country - - - said they were partnered. Twenty-three percent said they were separated, and eleven percent said they were divorced.

Think about that when you meet people in your courtrooms. Who are you seeing? It's not just a single person standing there.

Twenty percent of the respondents said they

had been in the military. And we talked about

Chelsea Manning. Twenty percent of the transgender respondents have been in the military. Forty-seven percent have received a college degree.

2.1

We don't think of that when we see people coming into court on a criminal charge for loitering, prostituting, or any other sex work that they've done to survive, as we heard in this program.

Twenty-seven percent have a college degree.

Twenty percent have a graduate degree. Those are not part of the set of assumptions we bring when we start thinking typically about transgender folks.

Forty-nine percent said they went to school as a transgender individual. So let's think about the affirming side as well. And this is - - - leads us to showing respect for - - - how do I make this go? There we go - - - a person's sexual orientation and gender ID - - identity.

And it's not, as we have been saying, affirmative, but happens all too often - - - I've heard it myself many times over - - - judges saying to a child's attorney, tell your client to get off that makeup, those extensions, and nail tips. He doesn't look much like a Richard to me.

It's really hard to believe that people

would say that, but it's been said. And we need to think about what we are doing when we say things like that.

2.1

We have many examples of judges directing people how to dress in the courtroom. You know? That's not really showing respect, if we have the expectation that people will dress as we have defined their gender to be, not as they have defined their gender to be. And when we don't accept that, that's again, alienating, unwelcoming, and creating a hostile environment.

Ms. Redfield said we should mirror the language people use in referring to themselves. And we should start thinking about what unnecessary words we can eliminate when we talk to people. So for example, if you're a clerk in a petition room, how can I help you, sir - - - do we need "sir"? No, we don't need "sir". How can I help you? Let's start off on equal footing here. Let's not instantly communicate where we're coming from.

And the same is true for officers who are outside; people checking in in the morning. You know? What's the case - - - what's your case name, sir? You know. We don't need those extra words.

What's the respondent's real name? That -

- - we don't need to have "real". We can just go with what's the respondent's name. These are not difficult things to do.

2.1

Speaking in affirmative and inclusive ways which I was talking about a moment ago, is not just about adding more options to what we say. But it is being prepared to address the expectations of the litigants who come in and the parents who come in. We need to be prepared to explain that it's the court's obligation to respect the dignity and rights of every person who come here. We need to be prepared to act if necessary.

So we need to think in advance about some phrases we might have in our minds like: my job is to see that the court provides equal access to everyone, gay, straight, young, old, men, women, black, white, bisexual and trans people, so that we communicate that we are open and accepting and ready to serve anyone in those communities.

Or we might say my professional obligation is to respect your child's or the respondent's gender identity, sexual orientation, just as it is my obligation to respect yours.

I can't tell you how many parents I had in front of me whose children were lesbian or gay and

they would appeal to me to say tell him or her to change. So that's the parent's expectation.

2.1

Or you get in the family offense cases where one of the parties is transgender, the other person who is not, making derogatory remarks in court about the other person, with the expectation that you'll side with them. So we need to have things in our lexicon, prepared, that we can say: our court has a nondiscrimination policy, which means I have to treat everyone in the courtroom with dignity and respect, whether they're same-sex couples, whether they're different-sex couples, and whether you agree or you don't.

And last but not least, our job, we saw in the canons, is to be prepared to act if necessary.

That's a judge's responsibility. Canons say we must act with regard to the people over whom we have control. That doesn't just mean our clerks and our officers and our court attorneys. It means virtually everyone in the courthouse and it certainly means everyone who appears in our courtroom. Lawyers: we have an obligation to deal with that - - - their behavior, their conduct. We have an obligation to deal with case workers. We have an obligation to deal with probation officers. We have an obligation

to deal with the forensic mental health experts that we assign to do custody evaluations.

2.1

And they all have, as you saw, codes of professional responsibility that require them to be culturally competent and nondiscriminatory. So as I said, we need to see - - oversee the behavior and conduct of folks in our courtrooms, and we need to find a way to address it.

One of the best practices is to, with your staff, I think, start proactively and address it first before it happens, and say to people, this is how I want cases involving transgender folks, adults and children, to be dealt with, or litigants, or people who come with litigants. I - - this is how I want them to be treated. This is how I want them to be referred to, before you're on the spot; before it happens. It's much easier.

We need to, as judges and judicial officers, think about the level of advocacy we see from lawyers and social workers, particularly if we start to suspect that there's bias going on in their relationship to their trans clients. That's an issue. As your canons and codes require, you can't discriminate based on who you are representing.

And then last but not least, we receive, as

judges and judicial officers, tons of reports that may or may not contain inappropriate language, phrases, references, in them.

2.1

For example, I received in a report from one of the agencies who said something like - - - it was a - - it was a probation report, and it said, this - - this young man is gay, but I don't make too much out of this gay thing, because the kid will probably grow out of it. That was written in a report I received.

It's our obligation to do something. Now, that doesn't mean you have to do something publicly. But there are lots of strategies you need to think about how are you going to address that. How are you going to raise the consciousness and the awareness so that our environment does become affirming and welcoming?

Whoops. That's the wrong way. Back to you. Back to you. Thank you all for coming.

JUDGE MOULTON: Thank you. Thank you all for coming. That does end the CLE portion of our program.

Lieutenant, can we stay in the courtroom for a little while longer?

So even though the program is over, if

1	people ha	ave que	estic	ns a	nd wai	nt to	discus	ss the	Š
2	program,	we're	all	able	to s	tay.	Thank	you.	
3		(End	of a	audio	)				
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

## 

## 

## CERTIFICATION

I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of Transgender Litigants in the Court System was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Penina waish.

Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: March 10, 2014