**CRIMINAL MISCHIEF IN THE SECOND DEGREE
  
(Intentionally Damaging Another's Property;
  
Amount More Than $1,500)
  
Penal Law § 145.10
  
(Committed on or after Sept 1, 1971)
  
(Revised January 5, 2009)**1   
**(Revised December 17, 2009)**2

The (*specify*) count is Criminal Mischief in the Second Degree.

Under our law, a person, is guilty of Criminal Mischief in the Second Degree when with intent to damage property of another person, and having no right to do so nor any reasonable ground to believe that he or she has such right, that person damages property of another person in an amount exceeding one thousand five hundred dollars ($1,500.00).

The following term(s) used in that definition has/have a special meaning:

INTENT means conscious objective or purpose.3 Thus, a person intends to damage property of another person when his or her conscious objective or purpose is to damage property of another person.

[PROPERTY OF ANOTHER includes all property in which another person has an ownership interest, whether or not a person who damages the property, or any other person, may also

1 This charge was revised in January, 2009 to incorporate the legislative enactment of a statutory definition of “property of another” (Penal Law § 145.13).

2 This charge was revised in December, 2009 to incorporate the

legislative enactment of an amendment to the statutory definition of “property of another” (Penal Law § 145.13; L. 2009, c. 45, § 2).

3 *See* Penal Law § 15.05(1).

have an interest in the property.] 4

The amount of damage to property is determined by the cost of repair or the replacement of the property, whichever is less. 5

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove, from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following three elements:

1. That on or about  *(date)*  , in the county of  *(county)*, the defendant,  *(defendant's name)*  , damaged property of another person in an amount exceeding one thousand five hundred dollars ($1,500);
2. That the defendant did so with the intent to damage property of another person; and
3. That the defendant had no right to cause such damage nor any reasonable ground to believe he/she had such right.

If you find the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of this crime.

If you find the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

4 Penal Law § 145.13. The modifying word “such” contained in the statute has been replaced here with “the” for clarity.

5 *See People v. Woodard*, 148 A.D.2d 997 (4th Dept. 1989); *People v. Gina*, 137 A.D.2d 555 (2nd Dept. 1988); *People v. Simpson*, 132 A.D.2d 894 (3rd Dept. 1987).
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