

COMPOUNDING A CRIME
(A Misdemeanor)
(Conferring benefit)
PENAL LAW 215.45(1)(b)
(Committed on or after Sept. 1, 1967)

The _____ count is Compounding a Crime.

Under our law, a person is guilty of Compounding a Crime when he or she confers, or offers or agrees to confer, any benefit upon another person upon an agreement or understanding that such other person will refrain from initiating a prosecution for a crime.

Some of the terms used in this definition have their own special meaning in our law. I will now give you the meaning of the following terms: “benefit,” “agreement,” and “understanding.”

BENEFIT means any gain or advantage to the beneficiary and includes any gain or advantage to a third person pursuant to the desire or consent of the beneficiary.¹

AGREEMENT means a mutual agreement whereby one person confers, or offers or agrees to confer a benefit upon another person and in exchange that other person agrees to refrain from initiating a prosecution for a crime.²

UNDERSTANDING means at least a unilateral perception or belief by a person who confers, or offers or agrees to confer a benefit upon another person that such other person will refrain from initiating a prosecution for a crime.³

¹ Penal Law § 10.00(17).

² *Cf. People v. Tran*, 80 N.Y.2d 170 (1992) (defining “agreement or understanding” in context of bribing a public servant).

³ *Id.*

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case, beyond a reasonable doubt, both of the following elements:

1. That on or about (date), in the county of (specify), the defendant, (defendant's name), conferred, or offered or agreed to confer, a benefit upon another person; and
2. That the defendant did so upon an agreement or understanding that such other person would refrain from initiating a prosecution for a crime.

Note: If the affirmative defense does not apply, conclude as follows:

Therefore, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of Compounding a Crime as charged in the _____ count.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of the crime of Compounding a Crime as charged in the _____ count.

Note: If the affirmative defense does apply, conclude as follows:

If you find that the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of Compounding a Crime as charged in _____ count.

On the other hand, if you find that the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must consider an affirmative defense the defendant has raised. Remember, if you have already found the defendant not guilty of

Compounding a Crime, you will not consider the affirmative defense.

Under our law, it is an affirmative defense to this charge of Compounding a Crime that the benefit did not exceed an amount which the defendant reasonably believed to be due as restitution or indemnification for harm caused by the crime.⁴

Under our law, the defendant has the burden of proving an affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence.

In determining whether the defendant has proven the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, you may consider evidence introduced by the People or by the defendant.

A preponderance of the evidence means the greater part of the believable and reliable evidence, not in terms of the number of witnesses or the length of time taken to present the evidence, but in terms of its quality and the weight and convincing effect it has. For the affirmative defense to be proved by a preponderance of the evidence, the evidence that supports the affirmative defense must be of such convincing quality as to outweigh any evidence to the contrary.

Therefore, if you find that the defendant has not proven the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, then, based upon your initial determination that the People had proven beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of Compounding a Crime, you must find the defendant guilty of that crime as charge in the _____ count.

On the other hand, if you find that the defendant has proven the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, then you must find the defendant not guilty of Compounding a Crime as charged in the _____ count.]

⁴Penal Law § 215.45(2).

