

CRIMINAL CONTEMPT IN THE FIRST DEGREE
(Violation of Order of Protection;
Intentional Damage to Property;
Damage More Than \$250¹)
Penal Law § 215.51(d)
(Committed on or after Jan. 1, 1995)

The (*specify*) counts is Criminal Contempt in the First Degree.

Under our law, a person is guilty of Criminal Contempt in the First Degree when, in violation of a duly served order of protection, or such order of which the defendant has actual knowledge because he or she was present in court when such order was issued, he or she intentionally damages the property of the person for whose protection such order was issued in an amount exceeding two hundred fifty dollars (\$250).

The following term used in that definition has a special meaning:

A defendant INTENTIONALLY damages property of a person for whose protection an order was issued when that defendant's conscious objective or purpose is to do so.²

The amount of damage to property is determined by the cost of repair or the replacement value, whichever is less.³

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove, from all the evidence in the case, beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following four elements:

¹See Penal Law § 145.00(1).

²See Penal Law § 15.05(1).

³See *People v. Woodward*, 148 AD2d 997 (4th Dept. 1989); *People v. Gina*, 137 AD2d 555 (2d Dept. 1988); *People v. Simpson*, 132 AD2d 894 (3d Dept. 1987).

1. That on or about (date), the (specify) court issued an order of protection that was duly served, or such order of which the defendant had actual knowledge because he/she was present in court when such order was issued;
2. That the order was issued for the protection of (specify);
3. That on or about (date), in the County of (county), the defendant, (defendant's name), in violation of the order, damaged the property of (specify) in an amount exceeding two hundred fifty dollars (\$250); and
4. That the defendant did so intentionally.

If you find the People have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of those elements, you must find the defendant guilty of this crime.

If you find the People have not proven beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of those elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.