

Jury Innovations Bibliography

Jury Innovations- Generally

American Bar Association, Principles Relating to Juries and Jury Trials (2005)

Boatright and Krauss, Jury Summit 2001, 86 Judicature 145, (Nov-Dec 2002)

Committee of Lawyers to Enhance the Jury Process (New York State), Report to the Chief Judge and Chief Administrative Judge, (January 1999)

Connor, Los Angeles County Trial Courts Test Jury Innovations and Find They Are Effective, 67 Defense Counsel Journal 186 - 195 (April 2000)

Cowan, et al., What Attorneys Think of Jury Trial Innovations, 86 Judicature 192 (Jan-Feb 2003)

Dann and Hans, Recent Evaluative Research on Jury Trial Innovations, 41 Court Review 12 (Spring 2004).

Dann, Learning Lessons and Speaking Rights: Creating Educated and Democratic Juries. 68 Indiana Law Journal 1229 (1993)

Final Report of the Massachusetts Project on Innovative Jury Trial Practices (Jan. 30, 2001)

ForsterLee and Horowitz, The Effects of Jury-Aid Innovations on Juror Performance in Complex Civil Trials, 86 Judicature 184 (Jan-Feb 2003)

Joseph, Innovative Comprehension Initiatives Have Enhanced Ability of Jurors to Make Fair Decisions, NYSBA Journal, June 2001, 14 – 18

Munsterman, A Brief History of State Jury Reform Efforts, 79 Judicature 263 (1996)

Munsterman and Hannaford, Building on Bedrock: The Continued Evolution of Jury Reform, 43 Judges' Journal 17 (Fall 2004)

Schwab, Innovative Trial Practices for the 21st Century, 29 NYSBA Torts, Insurance & Compensation Law Section Journal 25 (Summer 2000)

Smith, Prototypes in the Courtroom: Lay Representations of Legal Concepts, 61 Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 857 (1991)

Smith, When Prior Knowledge and Law Collide, 17 Law and Human Behavior 507 (1993)

Voir Dire Openings and Individual Questioning

Connor, Los Angeles County Trial Courts Test Jury Innovations and Find They Are Effective, 67 Defense Counsel Journal 186 – 195. (April 2000) (Discusses “mini-openings.”)

Mize, On Better Jury Selection: Spotting UFO Jurors Before They Enter the Jury Room, Court Review, Spring 1999, 10 – 15

Hans and Jehle, Avoid Bald Men and People with Green Socks? Other Ways to Improve the Voir Dire Process in Jury Selection, 78 Chicago-Kent Law Review 1179 (2003).
<http://lawreview.kentlaw.edu/articles/78-3/CONTENTS%2078-3.html>

Jury Instructions and Order of Trial

Amiram, Elwork and Sales, Making Jury Instructions Understandable. (1982)

Amon, Shaking Up Juries State by State, National Law Journal, Feb. 14, 2000 (discussing interim commentary)

Charrow and Charrow, Making Legal Language Understandable: A Psycholinguistic Study of Jury Instructions, 79 Columbia Law Review 1306 (1979).

Cohen, Symposium: Communicating with Juries: The Timing of Jury Instructions, 67 Tennessee Law Review 681 (2000)

ForsterLee and Horowitz, The Effects of Jury-Aid Innovations on Juror Performance in Complex Civil Trials, 86 Judicature 184 (Jan-Feb 2003) (Mock jury research assessing combined impact of pre-instruction and note-taking.)

Heuer and Penrod, Instructing Jurors: A Field Experiment with Written and Preliminary Instructions, 13 Law and Human Behavior 4009 (1989)

Heuer and Penrod, Trial Complexity: A Field Investigation of Its Meaning and Its Effects, 18 Law and Human Behavior 29 (1994)

Tiersma, Reforming the Language of Jury Instructions, 22 Hofstra Law Review 38 (1993)

Juror Questions

Boatright and Krauss, Jury Summit 2001, Judicature, Nov-Dec 2002.

Connor, Los Angeles County Trial Courts Test Jury Innovations and Find They Are Effective, 67 Defense Counsel Journal 186 - 195 (April 2000)

Diamond, et al., Jurors' Unanswered Questions, 41 Court Review 21 (Spring 2004)

Dodge, Should Jurors Ask Questions? A Report Submitted to the Colorado Supreme Court's Jury System Committee (Fall 2002)

Final Report of the Massachusetts Project on Innovative Jury Trial Practices (2001)

Final Report of Jury Sub-Committee of the Civil Practices Committee, New Jersey Pilot Project on Allowing Juror Questions (2001)

Gottlieb, The Day the Jurors Took Over, New Jersey Law Journal, Feb 5, 2001.

Heuer and Penrod, Juror Note-taking and Question Asking During Trials: A National Field Experiment, 18 Law and Human Behavior 121 (1994)

Heuer and Penrod, Increasing Juror Participation Through Note-taking and Questions Asking, 79 Judicature 257 (1996)

Mott, The Current Debate on Juror Questions: "To Ask or Not to Ask, That is the Question", 78 Chicago-Kent L Rev 1099 (2003).). <http://lawreview.kentlaw.edu/articles/78-3/CONTENTS%2078-3.html>

Note, Maintaining the Adversarial System: The Practice of Allowing Jurors to Question Witnesses During Trial, 55 Vanderbilt Law Review 1521 (2002)

Penrod and Heuer, Tweaking Commonsense: Assessing Aids to Jury Decision Making, Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, Vol 3, No. 2/3, 259-284 (page 271)

Richman, May Jurors Questions Witnesses, New York Law Journal, September 25, 2003

Rohde, Your Witness Now Signals Jury's Turn to Ask Questions, New York Times, August 14, 1999.

Schwab, Innovative Trial Practices for the 21st Century, 29 NYSBA Torts, Insurance & Compensation Law Section Journal 25 (Summer 2000)

Thompson, Should Jurors Ask Questions? Minority Report Submitted to the Colorado Supreme Court's Jury System Committee (2002)

Valentino, Innovative Jury Trial Practices, The Daily Record (Monroe County), September 11, 2003

Note-taking and Note Books

American Judicature Society maintains a list of federal decisions and all state court statutes and rules concerning note-taking.

http://www.ajs.org/jc/juries/jc_improvements_notetaking_statutes.asp (Last viewed March 2, 2005).

ForsterLee and Horowitz, The Effects of Jury-Aid Innovations on Juror Performance in Complex Civil Trials, 86 Judicature 184 (Jan-Feb 2003)(Mock jury research assessing combined impact of pre-instruction and note-taking)

Heuer and Penrod, Juror Note-taking and Question Asking During Trials: A National Field Experiment, 18 Law and Human Behavior 121 (1994)

Heuer and Penrod, Increasing Juror Participation Through Note-taking and Questions Asking, 79 Judicature 257 (1996)

Penrod and Heuer, Tweaking Commonsense: Assessing Aids to Jury Decision Making, Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 1997, Vol 3, No. 2/3, 259-284 note-taking (page 263)

Summary Jury Trials/Alternatives to Trial

Bennet, Mini-Trials and Summary Jury Trials. BBB Solutions, Volume 4, No. 3 published by the Better Business Bureau's Dispute Resolution Division

Casola, Summary Jury Trials A Useful Tool. Published in the Internet by the Law Firm of Woods, Rogers & Hazelgrove, P.L.C. 1998

Connolly, How to Conduct Summary Jury Trials, Excerpted from Certified Civil Arbitration Training Manual, Minnesota State Bar Association, Continuing Legal Education

Cooper, Summary Jury Trials: A Judge's Perspective

Ibelle, Innovative "Trial" Settles Seven Years of Litigation in Two Days, 2002
Lawyers Weekly USA 305 (April 29, 2002)

National Jury Project, The Summary Jury Trial, Chapter 12 of Jurywork: Systematic
Techniques, updated annually. This chapter is dated, September 1991.
Success of Summary Jury Trials, The Jurist, Fall/Winter 2002