

**THE FUTURE OF  
PRO BONO IN NEW YORK**

**APPENDICES**  
TO VOLUME ONE:

Report on the  
2002 Pro Bono Activities

**NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM**

## APPENDIX A

## **RESOLUTION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD OF THE COURTS**

Lawyers are strongly encouraged to provide pro bono legal services to benefit poor persons. Every lawyer should aspire (1) to provide at least 20 hours of pro bono legal services each year to poor persons and (2) to contribute financially to organizations that provide legal services to poor persons.

Pro bono legal services that meet this goal are:

- professional services rendered in civil matters, and in those criminal matters for which the government is not obliged to provide funds for legal representation, to persons who are financially unable to compensate counsel;
- activities related to improving the administration of justice by simplifying the legal process for, or increasing the availability and quality of legal services to, poor persons; and
- professional services to charitable, religious, civic and educational organizations in matters designed predominantly to address the needs of poor persons.

Appropriate organizations for financial contributions are:

- organizations primarily engaged in the provision of legal services to the poor; and
- organizations substantially engaged in the provision of legal services to the poor, provided that the donated funds are to be used for the provision of such legal services.

## **APPENDIX B**

# THE PRO BONO ACTIVITIES OF THE NEW YORK BAR

The purpose of this survey is to collect information from members of the New York Bar regarding their 2002 pro bono activities. This survey is voluntary and anonymous and should take only a few minutes to complete. Please return your completed survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

### MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use pens with ink that bleeds through the paper.
- Completely erase any mark you change.
- Marks should completely fill ovals.
- Make no stray marks.

INCORRECT MARKS



CORRECT MARK



1704

## PART I – Questions About You

1. Are you a resident of New York State?     Yes     No
  
2. What is your age group?     Under 35     35–44     45–54     55–64     65+
  
3. Number of years admitted to practice:     5 yrs or less     6–10     11–15     16–20     Over 20
  
4. Current Employment:
 

<input type="radio"/> Attorney in Private Practice	<input type="radio"/> Public Interest Lawyer	<input type="radio"/> Not Currently Employed
<input type="radio"/> Corporate Counsel	<input type="radio"/> Judge	<input type="radio"/> Other, please specify:
<input type="radio"/> Law School Faculty/Administrator	<input type="radio"/> Non-Legal Occupation	
<input type="radio"/> Government Lawyer	<input type="radio"/> Retired	
  
5. If attorney in private practice, size of firm (number of attorneys):
 

<input type="radio"/> 1 (self)	<input type="radio"/> 2–10	<input type="radio"/> 11–40	<input type="radio"/> 41–100	<input type="radio"/> 101–200	<input type="radio"/> Over 200
--------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------------
  
6. The location of your principal place of business is:     In New York State     Outside New York State
  
7. If your principal place of business is in New York State, in what county is your main office? (Please select only one.)
 

<input type="radio"/> Albany	<input type="radio"/> Dutchess	<input type="radio"/> Madison	<input type="radio"/> Putnam	<input type="radio"/> Sullivan
<input type="radio"/> Allegany	<input type="radio"/> Erie	<input type="radio"/> Monroe	<input type="radio"/> Queens	<input type="radio"/> Tioga
<input type="radio"/> Bronx	<input type="radio"/> Essex	<input type="radio"/> Montgomery	<input type="radio"/> Rensselaer	<input type="radio"/> Tompkins
<input type="radio"/> Broome	<input type="radio"/> Franklin	<input type="radio"/> Nassau	<input type="radio"/> Richmond (SI)	<input type="radio"/> Ulster
<input type="radio"/> Cattaraugus	<input type="radio"/> Fulton	<input type="radio"/> New York (Manhattan)	<input type="radio"/> Rockland	<input type="radio"/> Warren
<input type="radio"/> Cayuga	<input type="radio"/> Genesee	<input type="radio"/> Niagara	<input type="radio"/> St. Lawrence	<input type="radio"/> Washington
<input type="radio"/> Chatauqua	<input type="radio"/> Greene	<input type="radio"/> Oneida	<input type="radio"/> Saratoga	<input type="radio"/> Wayne
<input type="radio"/> Chemung	<input type="radio"/> Hamilton	<input type="radio"/> Onondaga	<input type="radio"/> Schenectady	<input type="radio"/> Westchester
<input type="radio"/> Chenango	<input type="radio"/> Herkimer	<input type="radio"/> Ontario	<input type="radio"/> Schoharie	<input type="radio"/> Wyoming
<input type="radio"/> Clinton	<input type="radio"/> Jefferson	<input type="radio"/> Orange	<input type="radio"/> Schuyler	<input type="radio"/> Yates
<input type="radio"/> Columbia	<input type="radio"/> Kings (Brooklyn)	<input type="radio"/> Orleans	<input type="radio"/> Seneca	
<input type="radio"/> Cortland	<input type="radio"/> Lewis	<input type="radio"/> Oswego	<input type="radio"/> Steuben	
<input type="radio"/> Delaware	<input type="radio"/> Livingston	<input type="radio"/> Otsego	<input type="radio"/> Suffolk	

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

02097

## Part II Questions About Your Free Legal Work During 2002 in New York State

1. Please indicate in the "yes" or "no" column whether you engaged in the following types of activities in New York State in 2002 and indicate in the hours columns the approximate time you devoted to each type of work. For government and public interest attorneys, include only work performed outside the scope of your employment.

Generally, for purposes of (a) through (d) below, poor persons are those poor enough to receive assistance from legal services organizations. For example, in 2002, generally a *single* person with a gross income greater than \$16,613 from all sources would be ineligible to receive help from a federally-funded legal services organization; for a family of *four*, the cut-off is \$33,938.

YES NO		Free legal work for poor persons undertaken with no expectation of payment from any source.	APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOURS DEVOTED TO ACTIVITY DURING 2002				
			1-9	10-19	20-49	50-100	OVER 100
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	(a) Free legal services for poor persons in a civil matter.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	(b) Free legal services for poor persons in a criminal matter.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	(c) Free legal services for a charitable, religious, civic or educational organization primarily addressing the needs of the poor.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	(d) Activities that increase the availability or quality of legal services for, or access to justice by, poor persons (e.g., bar association activities focused on these objectives or fundraising for a legal services organization).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Other Activities</b>							
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	(e) Free legal services or other law-related activities for a charitable, public interest or not-for-profit organization in matters other than those primarily addressing the needs of the poor. Please specify: <input style="width: 300px; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	(f) Other free legal work or law-related activities which you consider pro bono. Please specify: <input style="width: 300px; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you participated in any activities identified in (a) through (d) in Part II (free legal work for poor persons), please answer questions 2-7 below.

2. The pro bono work I did was undertaken (please select one):

- Mostly through one or more organized programs designed to provide pro bono legal services.
- Some through one or more organized programs and some on my own.
- Mostly on my own.

3. The pro bono work I did was undertaken primarily in (please select one):

- The *same* county as my principal place of business.       A *different* county from my principal place of business.

4. The number of pro bono matters I worked on during 2002 was:

- one     two     three     four     five     six     seven     eight     nine or more

5. In which substantive areas of the law did you do pro bono work during 2002? (Please mark all that apply.)

- |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Law—Matrimonial     | <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer Law             | <input type="checkbox"/> Immigration        | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: <input style="width: 150px; height: 20px;" type="text"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Law—Non-matrimonial | <input type="checkbox"/> Elder Law                | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Benefits    |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Debt Collection/Bankruptcy | <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Rights             | <input type="checkbox"/> Not-For-Profit Law |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wills, Probate & Estates   | <input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Law             | <input type="checkbox"/> Taxes              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Landlord-Tenant            | <input type="checkbox"/> Labor and Employment Law | <input type="checkbox"/> Real Property      |  |

6. When you did pro bono work during 2002, did you report the work to:

- A bar association.       Other, please specify:
- A local pro bono coordinator.       Did not report the work.

7. Was any of your Continuing Legal Education (CLE) requirement fulfilled by doing pro bono work during 2002?

- Yes     No

**Questions About Your Pro Bono Work**

**1. If you participated in pro bono work during 2002, what was the referral source or sources of your clients? (Please mark all that apply.)**

- Clients who contacted me directly and it was clear that I would perform legal work on a pro bono basis.
- Clients who originally agreed to pay for service, but I later agreed to provide the service on a pro bono basis.
- Clients referred to me by a social service agency or charitable organization.
- Clients referred to me through an organized pro bono or volunteer lawyer program.
- Clients who are poor and were referred to me by friends or family members.
- Clients who are poor and were referred to me by former clients.
- Clients referred by a legal services or legal aid program.
- Clients who are poor and were referred to me by an internet-based system.
- Other, please specify:

**2. During the last year I provided financial support to one or more organizations that provided legal services to the poor in the amount of:**

- none
- \$1-49
- \$50-149
- \$150-299
- \$300-499
- \$500 or more

**Reasons for Non-Participation in Pro Bono Work During 2002 in New York State**

**If you did not participate in any of the activities identified in (a) through (d) in Part II (free work for poor persons), please mark all the reasons that apply by indicating either "yes" or "no".**

- |   |                          | YES                      | NO                       |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. I participated in activities identified in (e) and (f) in Part II.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. I have participated in activities in (a) through (d) in prior years at a level in excess of 20 hours per year, but not during 2002.            | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. I am retired from the practice of law in New York State.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. I am not interested in doing the type of pro bono work identified in (a) through (d) in Part II.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. I did not have the time to volunteer.  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. My employer prohibits or discourages me from doing this type of pro bono legal work.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. I did not have the office support staff to perform this type of pro bono legal work.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. I do not have the expertise in the legal areas involving poor persons.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 9. I was interested in doing this type of pro bono work but have found it difficult to find appropriate activities.                               | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 10. I do not have malpractice insurance or my policy does not cover pro bono representation.  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 11. I was not able to arrange for sufficient child-care or other family care accommodations that would make it possible to perform pro bono work. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 12. My financial circumstances limited my availability for pro bono work.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 13. I am concerned that the pro bono work will demand more time and resources than I can provide.   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 14. Other, please specify:  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## Encouraging Pro Bono Service and of Legal Services to the Poor

**Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.**

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1. I would prefer to provide pro bono service on my own rather than through an organized program.	<input type="radio"/>				
2. I would be more likely to participate in pro bono work if I could handle a discrete task in the representation (e.g., initial consultation, pleading, drafting a letter, conducting a negotiation) rather than full representation.	<input type="radio"/>				
3. Assuming a voluntary pro bono system, I would be willing to report my pro bono work as a means to assess the unmet legal needs of the poor.	<input type="radio"/>				
4. I would prefer a pro bono service arrangement where I could partner with another attorney or legal service provider on a particular case.	<input type="radio"/>				
5. The training and resources of an organized pro bono program are essential to doing pro bono work in an area where I do not have legal expertise.	<input type="radio"/>				
6. I would be comfortable with receiving additional training and resource materials to do pro bono work through the use of an internet-based training web site.	<input type="radio"/>				
7. I would prefer to be matched to a non-paying client in my area of expertise rather than having to seek training in a new legal area.	<input type="radio"/>				
8. I would be more willing to handle a pro bono litigation matter if the court gave some priority to calling my case.	<input type="radio"/>				
9. I would consider using an internet-based system where I could search for appropriate pro bono work that fits my schedule and legal skills.	<input type="radio"/>				
10. I would consider registering with a pro bono program that maintains an internet-based system designed to match me with clients with unmet legal needs.	<input type="radio"/>				

**11. What suggestions do you have for expanding pro bono in New York State?**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Pro Bono Activities Survey • Office of Court Administration • P.O. Box 2060 • Empire State Plaza Station • Albany, NY 12220**



*The Chief Judge of the State of New York*  
and  
*The Chief Administrative Judge*

*Judith S. Kaye*

*Jonathan Lippman*

February 7, 2003


Dear Bar Member:


The New York State Court System is seeking to measure the current level of pro bono activity performed by the Bar. To that end, we are sending the enclosed anonymous survey questionnaire to a representative sample of New York lawyers.

As you may be aware, in 1997 the Administrative Board of the Courts adopted a resolution urging lawyers to provide at least 20 hours of pro bono legal services each year to poor persons and contribute financially to organizations that provide legal services to such persons. Thereafter, the Administrative Board authorized periodic surveys of the New York State Bar to assess the level of pro bono activity Statewide. The first survey was conducted in 1998, with its results serving as a benchmark measure.

The current survey seeks to measure both the level of pro bono activity performed in 2002 as well as capture the Bar's views about various initiatives aimed at encouraging pro bono service and expanding the availability of legal services to the poor. Therefore, whether or not you performed pro bono service during 2002, we would appreciate it if you would complete the survey, which should take only a few minutes of your time. The results of the survey will allow us to assess the progress made since the first survey and assist us in determining how best to support and encourage pro bono work in the future.

Any questions regarding the survey can be directed to the Office of Court Administration at 866-604-3307 or [dcajji@courts.state.ny.us](mailto:dcajji@courts.state.ny.us). Thank you for taking the time to complete this very important survey.

  
Hon. Judith S. Kaye  
Chief Judge of the State of New York

  
Hon. Jonathan Lippman  
Chief Administrative Judge of the  
State of New York

*State of New York*



*Juanita Bing Newton  
Deputy Chief Administrative Judge  
for Justice Initiatives*

April 16, 2003

Dear Bar Member:

In February, Chief Judge Judith S. Kaye and Chief Administrative Judge Jonathan Lippman wrote to you requesting your participation in an anonymous survey being conducted by the New York State court system regarding the pro bono activities of New York lawyers during 2002.

Whether or not you actually performed pro bono service last year, your response to the survey is very important to us. By completing the survey, you will assist us in determining what effect pro bono service has on the availability of legal services to the poor in New York. Additionally, you will provide insight into how we can further encourage further pro bono service.

If you have not already done so, please complete the short survey and return it as soon as possible. For your convenience, another copy of the survey and a return envelope is enclosed.

Any questions about the survey can be directed to my office at 1-866-604-3307 or [dcajji@courts.state.ny.us](mailto:dcajji@courts.state.ny.us). Thank you for your time and cooperation in this important endeavor.

Very truly yours,

  
Juanita Bing Newton

JBN/cl

## APPENDIX C

**Appendix C**

**Demographic Characteristics of Survey Respondents Who Did and Did Not Engage in Qualifying Pro Bono Services (Categories a-d) During 2002 in New York State**

	All Respondents	Attorneys Engaged in Qualifying Pro Bono	Attorneys Not Engaged in Qualifying Pro Bono
<b>1. Resident of New York State</b>			
Yes	3432 (90.7%)	1500 (93.3%)	1932 (88.8%)
No	351 (9.3%)	108 (6.7%)	243 (11.2%)
Total	3783	1608	2175
<b>2. Age Group</b>			
a. under 35	525 (13.8%)	231 (14.3%)	294 (13.5%)
b. 35-44	989 (26.1%)	420 (26.0%)	569 (26.1%)
c. 45-54	1070 (28.2%)	497 (30.8%)	573 (26.3%)
d. 55-64	644 (17.0%)	279 (17.3%)	365 (16.8%)
e. 65+	564 (14.9%)	187 (11.6%)	377 (17.3%)
Total	3792	1614	2178
<b>3. Years Since Admitted to Practice</b>			
a. 5 or less	441 (11.7%)	198 (12.3%)	243 (11.5%)
b. 6-10	539 (14.3%)	207 (12.9%)	332 (15.4%)
c. 11-15	576 (15.3%)	272 (16.9%)	304 (14.1%)
d. 16-20	488 (13.0%)	219 (13.6%)	269 (12.5%)
e. over 20	1719 (45.7%)	714 (44.3%)	1005 (46.7%)
Total	3763	1610	2153

## APPENDIX D

**Appendix D**

**Attorneys Providing Qualifying Pro Bono Services by Current Employment**

Current Employment	Total Respondents	(a) In Civil Matters		(b) In Criminal Matters		(c) For a Charitable, Religious, Civic or Educational Organization		(d) Activities that Increase the Availability or Quality of Legal Services		(a - d) Any Qualifying Pro Bono Activity		20 Hours or More	Average # of Hours	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%			N
(A) Attorney in Private Practice	224	974	43.8%	255	11.5%	527	23.7%	294	13.0%	1262	56.7%	608	27.3%	44.7
(B) Corporate Counsel	264	30	11.4%	6	2.3%	35	13.3%	14	5.1%	62	23.5%	24	9.1%	24.4
(C) Law School Faculty	25	12	48.0%	1	4.0%	9	36.0%	11	47.8%	18	72.0%	7	28.0%	50.9
(D) Government Lawyer	399	45	11.3%	14	3.5%	27	6.8%	33	8.8%	77	19.3%	27	9.0%	34.1
(E) Public Interest Lawyer	102	34	33.3%	15	14.7%	27	26.5%	23	22.3%	56	54.9%	27	26.5%	65
(F) Judge	67	6	8.9%	0	0.0%	3	4.5%	9	15.2%	14	20.9%	6	8.9%	38
(G) Non-Legal Occupation	195	19	9.7%	6	3.1%	14	7.2%	11	5.9%	34	17.4%	16	8.2%	43.6
(H) Retired	263	18	6.8%	5	1.9%	14	5.3%	8	2.8%	32	12.2%	15	5.7%	46.3
(I) Not Currently Employed	88	11	12.5%	3	3.4%	4	4.5%	6	5.9%	19	21.6%	8	9.1%	52.1
(J) Other	156	28	17.9%	6	3.8%	14	9.0%	13	7.4%	39	25.0%	17	10.9%	37.2
Total	3783	1177	31.1%	311	8.2%	674	17.8%	422	11.2%	1613	42.6%	755	19.9%	44

## APPENDIX E

**Appendix E**

**Attorneys in Private Practice Providing Qualifying Pro Bono Services by Size of Firm**

Size of Firm (# of Attorneys)	Total Respondents	(a) In Civil Matters		(b) In Criminal Matters		(c) For a Charitable, Religious, Civic or Educational Organization		(d) Activities that Increase the Availability or Quality of Legal Services		(a-d) Any Qualifying Pro Bono Activity		20 Hours or More	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
(A) 1 (self)	745	359	48.2%	119	16.0%	170	22.8%	90	12.1%	446	59.9%	256	34.4%
(B) 2-10	696	345	49.6%	91	13.1%	175	25.1%	87	12.5%	420	60.3%	250	35.9%
(C) 11-40	289	98	33.9%	19	6.6%	60	20.8%	36	12.5%	133	46.0%	75	25.9%
(D) 41-100	136	34	25.0%	7	5.1%	25	18.4%	15	11.0%	55	40.4%	29	12.4%
(E) 101-200	81	25	30.9%	5	6.2%	25	30.9%	13	16.0%	42	51.8%	28	34.6%
(F) Over 200	408	152	37.3%	26	6.4%	101	24.7%	63	15.4%	224	54.9%	152	37.3%
Total	2355	1013	43.0%	267	11.3%	556	23.6%	304	12.9%	1320	56.0%	790	33.5%

## APPENDIX F

**Appendix F**

**Qualifying Pro Bono Services Performed by Attorneys in 2002 by the Geographic Area of their Principal Place of Business**

Place of Business	Total Respondents	(a) In Civil Matters		(b) In Criminal Matters		(c) For a Charitable, Religious, Civic or Educational Organization		(d) Activities that Increase the Availability or Quality of Legal Services		Any Qualifying Pro Bono (a-d)			Average # of Hours	
		N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%	20 Hours or More		
												N		%
<b>New York City</b>	N	N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%	N	%	(Hrs)
Bronx	52	17	36.2%	12	26.7%	14	30.4%	4	9.3%	27	51.9%	16	30.8%	42.6
Kings	135	43	32.8%	20	16.4%	26	21.8%	9	7.9%	62	45.9%	37	27.4%	49.8
New York	1547	415	27.6%	78	5.5%	257	17.8%	171	12.2%	610	39.4%	390	25.2%	47.5
Queens	115	45	40.5%	18	18.4%	17	17.5%	14	14.3%	61	53.0%	35	30.4%	31.7
Richmond	29	10	52.6%	4	15.4%	5	19.2%	5	19.2%	15	51.7%	4	13.8%	14.7
Total New York City	1878	530	28.2%	132	7.7%	319	18.4%	203	12.1%	775	41.3%	482	25.7%	45.6
<b>Suburban Counties</b>	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	(Hrs)
Nassau	296	111	38.4%	40	14.5%	68	23.8%	33	12.0%	143	48.3%	73	24.7%	31.9
Suffolk	162	61	38.6%	18	11.8%	33	21.6%	22	14.7%	72	44.4%	42	25.9%	33.7
Westchester	221	66	31.1%	18	9.2%	31	15.7%	21	10.9%	89	40.3%	54	24.4%	36.2

**Appendix F**  
**Qualifying Pro Bono Services Performed by Attorneys in 2002 by the Geographic Area of their Principal Place of Business**

Place of Business	Total Respondents	(a) In Civil Matters		(b) In Criminal Matters		(c) For a Charitable, Religious, Civic or Educational Organization		(d) Activities that Increase the Availability or Quality of Legal Services		Any Qualifying Pro Bono (a-d)				
		N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%	20 Hours or More		Average # of Hours
												N	%	
<b>Upstate</b>	N	N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%*	N	%	N	%	(Hrs)
Albany	141	33	23.7%	13	9.5%	21	15.7%	22	16.2%	52	36.9%	34	24.1%	36.8
Erie	137	64	46.7%	21	16.4%	30	22.7%	19	14.6%	81	59.1%	58	42.3%	40.9
Monroe	66	30	45.5%	8	12.7%	13	21.0%	16	25.0%	37	56.1%	27	40.9%	39.5
Onondaga	83	37	45.7%	11	15.9%	19	25.3%	13	18.8%	49	59.0%	31	37.3%	34.1
Remaining 50 New York Counties	334	157	50.2%	52	19.4%	91	32.5%	42	15.7%	189	56.6%	147	44.0%	39.0
<b>All Survey Respondents</b>	3565	1187	34.2%	313	9.6%	680	20.5%	422	13.1%	1626	45.6%	945	26.5%	41.3

\* Percentages based upon those responding to the individual categories of qualifying pro bono and who indicated a principal place of business.