



State of New York
Court of Appeals

Vol. 45 - No. 24
6/23/25

Heather Davis, Esq.
Chief Clerk and
Legal Counsel to the Court

Clerk's Office
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207-1095
518-455-7700

COURT OF APPEALS NEW FILINGS

Preliminary Appeal Statements processed
by the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office

June 13, 2025 through June 19, 2025

Each week the Clerk's Office prepares a list of recently-filed appeals, indicating short title, jurisdictional predicate, subject matter and key issues. Some of these appeals may not reach decision on the merits because of dismissal, on motion or sua sponte, or because the parties stipulate to withdrawal. Some appeals may be selected for review pursuant to the alternative procedure of Rule 500.11. For those appeals that proceed to briefing in the normal course, the briefing schedule generally will be: appellant's brief to be filed within 60 days after the appeal was taken; respondent's brief to be filed within 45 days after the due date for the filing of appellant's brief; and a reply brief, if any, to be filed within 15 days after the due date for the filing of respondent's brief.

The Court welcomes motions for amicus curiae participation from those qualified and interested in the subject matter of these newly filed appeals. Please refer to Rule 500.23 and direct any questions to the Clerk's Office.

PEOPLE v BRAZEAL (JARELLE):

APL-2025-00111

2nd Dept. App. Div. order of 2/19/25; affirmance; leave to appeal granted by Wilson, Ch. J., 6/3/25;

Crimes—Sentence—Probation—Whether Supreme Court properly imposed a probation condition, under Penal Law § 65.10, requiring defendant to consent to searches of his person, vehicle, and place of abode for firearms and other weapons by a probation officer or agent;

Supreme Court, Kings County, convicted defendant, upon his plea of guilty, of attempted assault in the second degree, and imposed a sentence of probation; App. Div. affirmed.

MATTER OF SENECA MEADOWS v TOWN OF SENECA FALLS:

APL-2025-00116

Stipulation of 5/28/25; discontinued claims, sua sponte examination of whether the stipulation appealed from finally determines the action within the meaning of the Constitution;

Parties—Standing—Whether petitioner-plaintiff has standing to challenge the Town’s passage of Local Law #3 under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) without alleging a specific environmental harm because petitioner-plaintiff’s property was directly targeted by the Local Law; whether the Town Board failed to comply with the requirements of SEQRA by failing to take a “hard look” at the environmental impacts of the Local Law;

Supreme Court, Seneca County, in a combined CPLR article 78 proceeding and declaratory judgment action, granted the motion of petitioner-plaintiff for partial summary judgment on its first cause of action and declared Town of Seneca Falls Local Law No. 3 of 2016 invalid; App. Div., with two Justices dissenting, reversed, denied the motion, and vacated the declaration.