



State of New York
Court of Appeals

Vol. 46 - No. 12
3/27/26

Heather Davis, Esq.
Chief Clerk and
Legal Counsel to the Court

Clerk's Office
20 Eagle Street
Albany, New York 12207-1095
518-455-7700

COURT OF APPEALS NEW FILINGS

Preliminary Appeal Statements processed
by the Court of Appeals Clerk's Office

March 20, 2026 through March 26, 2026

Each week the Clerk's Office prepares a list of recently-filed appeals, indicating short title, jurisdictional predicate, subject matter and key issues. Some of these appeals may not reach decision on the merits because of dismissal, on motion or sua sponte, or because the parties stipulate to withdrawal. Some appeals may be selected for review pursuant to the alternative procedure of Rule 500.11. For those appeals that proceed to briefing in the normal course, the briefing schedule generally will be: appellant's brief to be filed within 60 days after the appeal was taken; respondent's brief to be filed within 45 days after the due date for the filing of appellant's brief; and a reply brief, if any, to be filed within 15 days after the due date for the filing of respondent's brief.

The Court welcomes motions for amicus curiae participation from those qualified and interested in the subject matter of these newly filed appeals. Please refer to Rule 500.23 and direct any questions to the Clerk's Office.

MATTER OF ESTATE OF DELANEY:

APL-2026-00029

3rd Dept. App. Div. order of 3/12/26; affirmance; sua sponte examination of whether the order appealed from finally determines the action within the meaning of the Constitution and whether any jurisdictional basis exists for an appeal as of right;

Executors and Administrators—Account—Whether respondent's objections to an accounting of decedent's estate were properly dismissed as untimely; whether the challenge to the dismissal of prior objections for lack of standing was before the Appellate Division;

Surrogate's Court, Ulster County, among other things, in a proceeding pursuant to SCPA

article 22, dismissed respondent Andrew Delaney's objections to an accounting of decedent's estate; App. Div. affirmed.

MATTER OF GOLD:

APL-2026-00028

2nd Dept. App. Div. order of 2/18/26; granted motion; sua sponte examination of whether a substantial constitutional question is directly involved in the order appealed from;

Attorney and Client—Disciplinary Proceeding—Whether respondent was properly suspended from the practice of law based on, among other things, conduct concerning a conviction that was later pardoned; alleged constitutional violations;

App. Div. suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of five years.

G.K. v S.T.:

APL-2026-00030

1st Dept. App. Div. order of 3/10/26; affirmance, dismissal, and modification; sua sponte examination of whether the order appealed from finally determines the action within the meaning of the Constitution and whether a substantial constitutional question is directly involved in the order appealed from;

Parent, Child and Family—Custody—Whether the custody determination was properly affirmed; alleged constitutional violations;

Supreme Court, New York County, after a trial, awarded plaintiff sole legal and residential custody of the subject children, with supervised parenting time to defendant on specified conditions; Supreme Court, New York County, appointed plaintiff as receiver to effectuate the sale of the marital property; Supreme Court, New York County, after a trial, among other things, precluded defendant from testifying about the financial documents in the trial record, imputed income to the defendant in the amount of \$1 million per year, set up an income cap of \$500,000 for the purposes of calculating child support and post-divorce maintenance, awarded plaintiff post-divorce maintenance for a period of 38 months, awarded plaintiff counsel fees in the amount of \$906,776.45 and expert fees in the amount of \$5,000, and denied defendant's request for the justice's recusal; App. Div. affirmed the order concerning custody, modified the order concerning income, support, maintenance, and fees to reduce the counsel fee award and otherwise affirmed that order, and dismissed as moot appeal from the order considering receivership.