1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	MATTER OF GABRIELA A.,
5	Appellant.
6	
7	No. 41
8	20 Eagle Street
9	20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207 February 13, 2014
10	
11	Before: CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN
12	ASSOCIATE JUDGE VICTORIA A. GRAFFEO ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ
13	ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROBERT S. SMITH ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR.
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE LOGENE F. FIGOII, OK. ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM
15	Appearances:
16	LINDA M. TRENTACOSTE, ESQ.
17	WESTCHESTER COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Attorneys for Appellant
18	148 Martine Avenue 6th Floor
19	White Plains, NY 10601
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Penina Wolicki
25	Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Number 41.
2	Counselor, you're aware that your advers -
3	I'm sorry. Go ahead. Maybe someone could
4	There's some water spilled.
5	Counselor you're aware that your adversary
6	is submitting?
7	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Yes. Yes.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. Go ahead,
9	proceed, counselor.
10	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Yes, Your Honor. May it
11	please the court, my name is Linda Trentacoste,
12	Associate County Attorney, on behalf of the
13	appellant, Westchester County Presentment Agency.
14	Before this honorable court is the issue of
15	the interpretation of and interplay between the PIN -
16	PINS behavior and juvenile delinquency behavior,
17	as set forth in the family court
18	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: When does PINS
19	behavior roll over into JD behavior?
20	MS. TRENTACOSTE: When the child commits
21	and act which, if committed by an adult, constitutes
22	a crime, that's where the distinction lies. And
23	that's why the child, in the case, crossed that line.
24	There was it is unquestionable that if an adult
25	had done what this child had done in the directly

1 confrontational, physical behavior with these 2 officers, that that would have constituted the crime 3 of obstruction of governmental administration - - -4 JUDGE GRAFFEO: So - - - so where have the 5 Appellate Divisions gone wrong? Because they have 6 claimed these fall within the typical behavior of 7 PINS adolescents as opposed to actual juvenile 8 delinguency behavior. 9 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I think - - - I think the 10 problem comes in - - - in the focus on - - -11 exclusively on the Appellate Division of the fact 12 that this child, as a PINS is deemed to be 13 incorrigible, ungovernable, and habitually disobedient, beyond the lawful control of a parent or 14 15 16 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Well, that is - - -17 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - person who is 18 responsible. 19 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - the definition of 20 PINS. 21 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Absolutely. 22 JUDGE GRAFFEO: So that's some of the - - -23 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Absolutely. 24 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - characteristics that 25 these adolescents are going to have.

MS. TRENTACOSTE: And I think the normal 1 2 bootstrapping cases, which is what my adversary would 3 have argued against me, is the difference between those situations and this is that those acts that 4 5 they're talking about for bootstrapping are not acts which if committed by an adult will constitute a 6 7 crime. They're talking about acts of not obeying 8 curfew, of leaving - - -9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Any crime? What's 10 the - - - what's the test? 11 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I'm sorry? 12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Any crime that would 13 have been an - - - a crime as an adult is enough? MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well, I bel - - - I 14 15 believe that is the distinction we're trying to make 16 here, Your Honor, that once - - -17 JUDGE SMITH: Are you - - -18 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - it crosses - - -19 JUDGE SMITH: - - - are you - - - you're 20 not quest - - - as I understand it, you don't 21 question the cases that say you can't bring a juvenile delinquency proceeding against a PINS kid 22 23 who left a - - - who left a detention facility 24 against a court order. You can't - - -25 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Right. Those are - - -

JUDGE SMITH: You can't return - - -1 2 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - the contempt cases 3 that the family courts - - -4 JUDGE SMITH: They're cont - - -5 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - were - - -JUDGE SMITH: - - - basically, they're 6 7 contempt and escape cases. Right? 8 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Exactly, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE SMITH: You say - - - you say the 10 bootstrapping doctrine is limited to contempt and 11 escape cases. MS. TRENTACOSTE: And this case goes beyond 12 13 that, Your Honor. JUDGE SMITH: We've never - - - we've never 14 15 accepted it even in those cases. It's strictly an 16 Appellate Division - - - yeah. 17 Do you - - - do you say we sh - - - do you 18 have a position as to whether we should or shouldn't 19 accept the bootstrapping doctrine at all? 20 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I think there are 21 concerns with us - - - with respect to that. This 22 one, though, clearly drew the line, which is why we 23 actually moved for leave to appeal to the Court of 24 Appeals on this one. 25 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: What was the behavior,

1	exactly, that you say clearly drew the line?
2	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well
3	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Was it the handcuffing
4	
5	MS. TRENTACOSTE: in this partic
6	_
7	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Yes.
8	MS. TRENTACOSTE: in this particular
9	case it was because it was directly confrontational
10	behavior with the peace officers.
11	Now, understandably, you know
12	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: If if the
13	if she had not had an open handcuff and just re
14	you know, not wanted to be handcuffed if there
15	wasn't an open handcuff involved, would you still say
16	that this was a crime?
17	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well, then I think you're
18	going more along the lines of what what they're
19	saying about the bootstrapping cases. I mean, how
20	far is it for you to be obstructing a governmental
21	author
22	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Right.
23	MS. TRENTACOSTE: administrator. To
24	some extent, if you disobey the order of a of a
25	peace officer, a correction officer, a court officer,

they're telling you not to do something, and you 1 2 continue to do something, there are consequences with 3 that - - - with respect to an adult. 4 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But is it - - - but 5 Judge Abdus-Salaam is asking, is that the key piece 6 that - - - is that the thread here, that you had the 7 open cuff that could be - - -8 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well, I - - -9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - dangerous or a 10 threat to some kind of public safety? MS. TRENTACOSTE: I think - - -11 12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that the test? Is 13 it public safety? MS. TRENTACOSTE: I think - - -14 15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: A threat to public 16 safety? 17 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I think it was more the 18 public safety, and the fact that these are peace 19 officers. It was clearly crossing the line. There 20 was a confrontation. 21 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but - - -22 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Even if she hadn't 23 grabbed the open cuff, she was physically flailing 24 her arms and trying to prevent - - -25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But there are

1 differences in testimony as to what she was doing and 2 not doing, right? 3 MS. TRENTACOSTE: No, actually, there was 4 agreement as to what she was doing. There was 5 absolutely no dispute - - -6 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: On her part? 7 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - that she - - - on 8 her part as well. She absolutely admitted to - - -9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What do you say the 10 agreement is as to what she was doing? 11 MS. TRENTACOSTE: That she had grabbed the 12 cuff. That she had - - - she had physically tried to 13 prevent the officers from - - - from - - -14 JUDGE SMITH: There was - - -15 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - bringing her back. 16 JUDGE SMITH: - - - there were some 17 differences in the details, weren't there. I mean, 18 she said she didn't stomp anybody? 19 MS. TRENTACOSTE: On the feet. Yes, that's 20 true. She said - - - she claimed she didn't stomp 21 anybody on the feet. 22 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But she - - -23 JUDGE RIVERA: Right. I'm sorry. Can you 24 clarify what you claim there's no disagreement on 25 with respect to her hand movement and the cuff?

1	MS. TRENTACOSTE: That is true. That one
2	of her cuffs one of her hands had been cuffed.
3	She had been moving her arms about.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But she's a child.
5	What would you expect her to do?
6	MS. TRENTACOSTE: But that's not
7	here, it's not we're trying not to punish the
8	child. Both Articles 3 and Article 7 are merely
9	_
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But if you're trying
11	not to punish, wouldn't it be better to let her go
12	through the PINS system?
13	MS. TRENTACOSTE: I don't think it's a
14	question of whether or not it's better to
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The question is, why
16	not? Why is this so important that this kid be
17	treated as a JD rather than a PINS, where all of this
18	emanates from basically PINS-type conduct?
19	MS. TRENTACOSTE: But
20	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What
21	MS. TRENTACOSTE: But it's also
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: so what is it
23	that distinguishes that she's crossed the line?
24	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Because this is
25	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: She threatened public

1 safety? 2 MS. TRENTACOSTE: it's esca - - - it's 3 escalating here, Your Honor. It - - -4 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: How so? How so? 5 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Because there are other 6 individuals - - -7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The nature of her 8 resistance? 9 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - the nature of the 10 resistance, the fact that there were other 11 individuals involved, the fact that there are other 12 people, also, involved. 13 The mere fact that this occurred in a home as opposed to out on the street or out somewhere 14 15 else, doesn't distinguish the fact that - - -16 JUDGE SMITH: I thought - - -17 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - these are officers 18 here. 19 JUDGE SMITH: - - - I thought - - - I'm 20 trying to get my hands on where you draw the line 21 here. I thought you were arguing a minute ago - - -22 maybe I misunderstood - - - that the bootstrap cases 23 should just be limited to the escape and contempt 24 cases - - -25 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Um-hum.

1	JUDGE SMITH: and otherwise a JD is a
2	JD. I don't care
3	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Um-hum. That's correct.
4	JUDGE SMITH: whether it's in the
5	home or where.
6	MS. TRENTACOSTE: That's correct.
7	JUDGE GRAFFEO: What
8	MS. TRENTACOSTE: So
9	JUDGE GRAFFEO: what's the difference
10	in the ramification between the two designations?
11	Because she could have up to a year placement as a
12	PIN.
13	MS. TRENTACOSTE: That's correct.
14	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Correct?
15	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Yes.
16	JUDGE GRAFFEO: If you if you felt
17	she needed some kind of supervision beyond that that
18	her family could provide.
19	MS. TRENTACOSTE: That's correct. But even
20	with dealing with a juvenile delinquent, you're
21	you're trying to also show them that certain behavior
22	is unacceptable, and that, you know, there are
23	different dispositional alternatives. They could
24	have given her an ACD; they could have given her
25	conditional discharge, which they did in this case.

1	JUDGE PIGOTT: Could they
2	MS. TRENTACOSTE: They could place
3	JUDGE PIGOTT: could they have kicked
4	it down
5	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Which is a lot less than
6	giving her placement, where she would have had more
7	guidance
8	MS. TRENTACOSTE: And she was
9	JUDGE GRAFFEO: and counseling.
10	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Exactly. And she was
11	placed under the PINS. And despite the fact that she
12	was placed under the PINS, she hadn't served at all
13	in the facility, because she kept running away.
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So how how have
15	you helped the kid by making her JD, and play into
16	it, what Judge Graffeo just asked you, the
17	consequence to the child of what you're doing? How
18	are you helping the child?
19	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well, I think that was
20	exactly it, Your Honor. I think the child once
21	once she was put into a facility in connection
22	with the JD, she was
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You want to put her
24	in a secure secure facility?
25	MS. TRENTACOSTE: No, that's

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that the issue?
2	MS. TRENTACOSTE: not that's
3	not the point, Your Honor.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So what is the point?
5	MS. TRENTACOSTE: It's the point is
6	to get the child the help she needed, and to
7	THE COURT: You got
8	MS. TRENTACOSTE: understand
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: you got to make
10	her a JD to get her the help that she needs?
11	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well, apparently in this
12	case, we did. Because once she once she went
13	through this process, as difficult and as
14	traumatizing as it might have been for the child, but
15	mind you, she had also there was also dramatic
16	incidents that were occurring with this child.
17	JUDGE SMITH: You're saying that there are
18	some kids for whom a little trauma will do them good?
19	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Absolutely, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE PIGOTT: Let's assume
21	MS. TRENTACOSTE: And this is rather
22	do this when they're young when they can learn, okay,
23	this behavior is unacceptable. But we're dealing
24	with
25	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: The behavior is the

1	flailing about when they're trying to secure her?
2	MS. TRENTACOSTE: There's and, you
3	know, physically having a confrontation with these
4	officers.
5	JUDGE PIGOTT: If you charge if you
6	charge her as under the juvenile delinquency
7	laws and the court were to disagree with you, can
8	- does it get kicked down to a PINS?
9	MS. TRENTACOSTE: It was already a PINS.
10	JUDGE PIGOTT: I understand. I'm speaking
11	globally. Now necessarily we're all
12	we're all talking about bootstrapping up.
13	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Yeah.
14	JUDGE PIGOTT: In other words, how about
15	bootstrapping down? In other words, let's assume you
16	file a JD, does the court have the ability to say
17	- not this one I don't want you to talk about
18	this one necessarily
19	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Okay.
20	JUDGE PIGOTT: that this child who
21	you've charged as a Presentment Agency with being a
22	juvenile delinquent, I don't think so, but I do think
23	it's a person in need of supervision?
24	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Well, that that has
25	happened. There have there have been cases

1 even now that if we brought - - - we brought a 2 juvenile delinquency before the court, that they've 3 converted it to PINS and that they try to do 4 diversion and whatnot. Which - - -5 JUDGE PIGOTT: Why - - - why should we get 6 excited about this, then? I mean, if the - - - if 7 the trial courts and the Appellate Divisions are 8 making those determinations, we shouldn't be trying 9 to stop them one way or the other, should we? 10 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I'm not - - - I think - -11 - I think the problem here is that certain things are 12 not getting addressed. And to - - - to actually tell 13 the Presentment Agency well, you can't even bring a 14 charge on this kid because this - - - this child is a 15 PINS kid, whereas the same exact behavior by a child 16 who is not a PINS - - -17 JUDGE PIGOTT: No, I asked you if you could 18 bring a JD and you said yes. And I said, and if the - - - if the family court judge said you've brought a 19 20 juvenile delinquency petition - - -MS. TRENTACOSTE: Um-hum. 21 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - against this - - -22 23 this person, but I don't think it is, and I - - - and 24 I want you to petition her or him as a PINS - - -25 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Um-hum.

1 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - that they have the 2 authority to do that. So if they have the authority 3 to do that - - -4 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Um-hum. 5 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - why should we get 6 involved in whether or not that happens or whether or 7 not the Appellate Division does it? 8 MS. TRENTACOSTE: Because here the family 9 court did - - - didn't do that. Here there was a 10 situation where the family court didn't feel that it 11 was appropriate to ignore the juvenile delinquency 12 behavior. Here the family court was trying to give 13 the child what they thought - - -14 JUDGE PIGOTT: Okay, help me out, then, 15 because I'm - - -16 MS. TRENTACOSTE: It's the Appellate 17 Division that - - -18 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - I - - - I - - -19 MS. TRENTACOSTE: - - - changed that. 20 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - I will stop. 21 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I'm sorry. 22 JUDGE PIGOTT: It's okay. 23 MS. TRENTACOSTE: I'm sorry. I guess I'm 24 not understanding. 25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counselor, what did

1	the AD do wrong? What did the Appellate Division do
2	wrong?
3	MS. TRENTACOSTE: The Appellate Division
4	ignored the behavior constituted juvenile
5	delinquency behavior, that there were sufficient
6	facts
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So they general
8	MS. TRENTACOSTE: in family court
9	_
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So your the
11	core of your argument is the general belief that this
12	was more like a JD is not enough. They didn't go
13	into enough focus on what the child actually did?
14	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Absolutely, Your Honor.
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, thanks
16	counselor.
17	MS. TRENTACOSTE: Thank you, Your Honors.
18	Have a great day.
19	(Court is adjourned)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the
5	foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of
6	Appeals of Matter of Gabriela A. v. , No. 41 was
7	prepared using the required transcription equipment
8	and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
9	
10	Penina Waliety
11	, and a survey
12	Signature:
13	
14	Agency Name: eScribers
15	
16	Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street
17	Suite # 607
18	New York, NY 10040
19	
20	Date: February 17, 2014
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	